File No. <u>220098</u>

Committee Item No.Board Item No.41

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date:

Date: February 1, 2022

## **Cmte Board**

		Motion
$\square$	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Resolution
H		Ordinance
		Legislative Digest
		Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
		Youth Commission Report
	$\square$	Introduction Form
		Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
		MOU
		Grant Information Form
		Grant Budget
		Subcontract Budget
		Contract/Agreement
		Award Letter
		Application
E		

Public Correspondence

## OTHER



Prepared by:	Jocelyn Wong
Prepared by:	

Date:	January 28, 2022
Date:	

1	[Supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 256 (Kalra) - The California Racial Justice Act for All]
2	
3	Resolution supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 256 - The California Racial
4	Justice Act for All, introduced by State Assembly Members Ash Kalra, Sydney
5	Kamlager, Robert Rivas, and Miguel Santiago, that would the apply the protections of
6	the California Racial Justice Act to everyone, regardless of when discrimination in the
7	court occurred.
8	
9	WHEREAS, The community is a diverse, multicultural and a multiethnic group of
10	people deserving of dignity; and
11	WHEREAS, Thirty-seven governing bodies across California have declared racism a
12	public health crisis, and have made other resolutions to be culturally responsive and address
13	racist effects; and
14	WHEREAS, Racism corrupts the fabric of our society and discrimination and implicit
15	bias perpetuate inequality in our justice system; and
16	WHEREAS, Implicit bias, although often unintentional and unconscious, injects racism
17	and unfairness into proceedings similar to intentional bias; and
18	WHEREAS, Racial disparities exist throughout the justice system and California has
19	disproportionately incarcerated indigenous, Latinx and Black people; and
20	WHEREAS, Black people in California are imprisoned at a rate nine times higher than
21	their share of the population; and
22	WHEREAS, Justice system involvement can expose families and communities to
23	instability in the home and families with incarcerated parents face an increased risk of their
24	children living in poverty or experiencing homelessness, independent of any other factors
25	present in a young person's life; and

WHEREAS, Justice Sonia Sotomayor, a United States Supreme Court Justice,
 observed during the Schuette v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, Integration and
 Immigrant Rights and Fight for Equality By Any Means Necessary, "[t]he way to stop
 discrimination on the basis of race is to speak openly and candidly on the subject of race, and
 to apply the Constitution with eyes open to the unfortunate effects of centuries of racial
 discrimination."

WHEREAS, The California Racial Justice Act (Ch 317, 2020) empowers individuals to
challenge racism and discrimination when it happens in the courtroom; and

9 WHEREAS, The intent of the Act is not to punish this type of bias, but rather to remedy 10 the harm to the defendant's case and to the integrity of the judicial system and it is the intent 11 of the Act to ensure that race plays no role at all in seeking or obtaining convictions or in 12 sentencing; and

WHEREAS, California State Assembly Bill No. 256 – the Racial Justice Act for All –
 authored by Assemblymember McCarty and Senators Bradford and Gonzalez and introduced
 by Assemblymember Ash Kalra would apply the protections of the California Racial Justice
 Act to everyone, regardless of when discrimination in the court occurred; now, therefore, be it
 RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors urges the California State
 Legislature to pass and Governor Gavin Newsom to sign into law California Assembly Bill No.
 256; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk
 of the Board to transmit a copy of this resolution to the California State Legislature and
 Governor Gavin Newsom.

23

24

#### AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 24, 2021

#### AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 16, 2021

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE-2021-22 REGULAR SESSION

## **ASSEMBLY BILL**

### No. 256

Introduced by Assembly Members Kalra, Kamlager, Robert Rivas, and Santiago (Principal coauthor: Assembly Member McCarty) (Principal coauthors: Senators Bradford and Gonzalez) (Coauthors: Assembly Members Bonta, Carrillo, Friedman, Lee, Levine, Stone, and Ting) (Coauthors: Senators Durazo, Laird, Skinner, and Wiener)

January 14, 2021

An act to amend Sections 745 and 1473 of the Penal Code, relating to criminal procedure.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 256, as amended, Kalra. Criminal procedure: discrimination.

Existing law prohibits the state from seeking a criminal conviction or sentence on the basis of race, ethnicity, or national origin, as specified, and, in a case in which judgment has not been entered prior to January 1, 2021, allows a petition to be filed alleging a violation of that prohibition. Existing law authorizes a court that finds a violation of that prohibition to impose specified remedies, including, among other things, modifying the judgment and resentencing the defendant. vacating the conviction or sentence and ordering new proceedings.

This bill would authorize that petition to be filed for cases in which a judgment was entered prior to January 1, -2021. 2021, as specified. The bill would, if a motion under these provisions is based on the

conduct or statements by the judge, require the judge to disqualify themselves from those proceedings. The bill would additionally make other technical changes.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. (a) It is the intent of the Legislature to apply the 2 California Racial Justice Act of 2020 retroactively, to ensure equal 3 access to justice for all.

4 (b) It is the intent of the Legislature that, except as described
5 in subdivision (a), all other amendments made by this act are to

6 *clarify existing law.* 

7 SECTION 1.

8 *SEC. 2.* Section 745 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

9 745. (a) The state shall not seek or obtain a criminal conviction

10 or seek, obtain, or impose a sentence on the basis of race, ethnicity,

or national origin. A violation is established if the defendant proves,by a preponderance of the evidence, any of the following:

(1) The judge, an attorney in the case, a law enforcement officer
involved in the case, an expert witness, or juror exhibited bias or
animus towards the defendant because of the defendant's race,
ethnicity, or national origin.

17 During the defendant's trial, in court and during the (2)proceedings, the judge, an attorney in the case, a law enforcement 18 19 officer involved in the case, an expert witness, or juror, used 20 racially discriminatory language about the defendant's race, 21 ethnicity, or national origin, or otherwise exhibited bias or animus 22 towards the defendant because of the defendant's race, ethnicity, 23 or national origin, whether or not purposeful. This paragraph does 24 not apply if the person speaking is describing language used by 25 another that is relevant to the case or if the person speaking is 26 giving a racially neutral and unbiased physical description of the 27 suspect.

(3) The defendant was charged or convicted of a more serious
offense than defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national
origins who commit similar offenses and are similarly situated,
and the evidence establishes that the prosecution more frequently
sought or obtained convictions for more serious offenses against

people who share the defendant's race, ethnicity, or national origin
 in the county where the convictions were sought or obtained.

(4) (A) A longer or more severe sentence was imposed on the
defendant than was imposed on other similarly situated individuals
convicted of the same offense, and longer or more severe sentences
were more frequently imposed for that offense on people that share
the defendant's race, ethnicity, or national origin than on
defendants of other races, ethnicities, or national origins in the
county where the sentence was imposed.

10 (B) A longer or more severe sentence was imposed on the 11 defendant than was imposed on other similarly situated individuals 12 convicted of the same offense, and longer or more severe sentences 13 were more frequently imposed for the same offense on defendants 14 in cases with victims of one race, ethnicity, or national origin than 15 in cases with victims of other races, ethnicities, or national origins, 16 in the county where the sentence was imposed.

17 (b) A defendant may file a motion in the trial court or, if 18 judgment has been imposed, may file a petition for writ of habeas 19 corpus or a motion under Section 1473.7 in a court of competent 20 jurisdiction, alleging a violation of subdivision (a). *If the motion* 21 *is based in whole or in part on conduct or statements by the judge,* 22 *the judge shall disqualify themselves from any further proceedings* 23 *under this section.* 

(c) If a motion is filed in the trial court and the defendant makes
a prima facie showing of a violation of subdivision (a), the trial
court shall hold a hearing.

(1) At the hearing, evidence may be presented by either party,
including, but not limited to, statistical evidence, aggregate data,
expert testimony, and the sworn testimony of witnesses. The court
may also appoint an independent expert.

31 (2) The defendant shall have the burden of proving a violation32 of subdivision (a) by a preponderance of the evidence.

33 (3) At the conclusion of the hearing, the court shall make34 findings on the record.

(d) A defendant may file a motion requesting disclosure to the defense of all evidence relevant to a potential violation of subdivision (a) in the possession or control of the state. A motion filed under this section shall describe the type of records or information the defendant seeks. Upon a showing of good cause, and if the records are not privileged, the court shall order the

1 records to be released. Upon a showing of good cause, the court

2 may permit the prosecution to redact information prior to 3 disclosure.

4 (e) Notwithstanding any other law, except for an initiative 5 approved by the voters, if the court finds, by a preponderance of 6 evidence, a violation of subdivision (a), the court shall impose a

7 remedy specific to the violation found from the following list:

8 (1) Before a judgment has been entered, the court may impose 9 any of the following remedies:

10 (A) Declare a mistrial, if requested by the defendant.

11 (B) Discharge the jury panel and empanel a new jury.

12 (C) If the court determines that it would be in the interest of 13 justice, dismiss enhancements, special circumstances, or special 14 allegations, or reduce one or more charges.

(2) (A) When After a judgment has been entered, if the court
finds that a conviction was sought or obtained in violation of
subdivision (a), the court shall vacate the conviction and sentence,

18 find that it is legally invalid, and order new proceedings consistent

19 with subdivision (a). If the court finds that the only violation of

20 subdivision (a) that occurred is based on paragraph (3) of

subdivision (a) and the court has the ability to rectify the violation by modifying the judgment, the court shall modify the judgment

22 by mourying the judgment, the court shart moury the judgment 23 to impose an appropriate remedy for the violation that occurred.

24 On resentencing, the court shall not impose a new sentence greater

25 than that previously imposed.

(B) When After a judgment has been entered, if the court finds
that only the sentence was sought, obtained, or imposed in violation
of subdivision (a), the court shall vacate the sentence, find that it
is legally invalid, and impose a new sentence. On resentencing,
the court shall not impose a new sentence greater than that
previously imposed.

32 (3) When the court finds there has been a violation of33 subdivision (a), the defendant shall not be eligible for the death34 penalty.

(4) The remedies available under this section do not foreclose
any other remedies available under the United States Constitution,
the California Constitution, or any other law.

(f) This section also applies to adjudications and dispositionsin the juvenile delinquency system.

1 (g) This section shall not prevent the prosecution of hate crimes 2 pursuant to Sections 422.6 to 422.865, inclusive.

3 (h) As used in this section, the following definitions apply:

4 (1) "Juror" means a prospective or sworn juror, including 5 alternate jurors.

6 (1)

7 (2) "More frequently sought or obtained" or "more frequently 8 imposed" means that statistical evidence or aggregate data 9 demonstrate a significant difference in seeking or obtaining 10 convictions or in imposing sentences comparing individuals who 11 have committed similar offenses and are similarly situated, and 12 the prosecution cannot establish race-neutral reasons for the 13 disparity.

 $13 \quad \text{cnspa} \\ 14 \quad (2)$ 

(3) "Prima facie showing" means that the defendant produces
facts that, if true, establish that there is a substantial likelihood that
a violation of subdivision (a) occurred. For purposes of this section,
a "substantial likelihood" requires more than a mere possibility,

19 but less than a standard of more likely than not.

20 (3)

21 (4) "Racially discriminatory language" means language that, to 22 an objective observer, explicitly or implicitly appeals to racial bias, 23 including, but not limited to, racially charged or racially coded 24 language, language that compares the defendant to an animal, or 25 language that references the defendant's physical appearance, 26 culture, ethnicity, or national origin. Evidence that particular words 27 or images are used exclusively or disproportionately in cases where 28 the defendant is of a specific race, ethnicity, or national origin is 29 relevant to determining whether language is discriminatory. 30 (4)

31 (5) "State" includes the Attorney General, a district attorney,32 or a city prosecutor.

(i) A defendant may share a race, ethnicity, or national originwith more than one group. A defendant may aggregate data among

35 groups to demonstrate a violation of subdivision (a).

36 (j) This section applies to all cases, regardless of when judgment

37 was entered. in cases in which judgment has not been entered prior

38 to January 1, 2021, and shall also apply retroactively as follows:

39 (1) Beginning January 1, 2022, in cases in which judgment was

40 entered prior to January 1, 2021, if the petitioner is sentenced to

1 death or currently serving a sentence in state prison or in a county

2 jail pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170, or committed to

3 *the Division of Juvenile Justice for a juvenile disposition, or if the* 

4 motion is filed pursuant to Section 1473.7 because of actual or

5 potential immigration consequences related to the conviction or6 sentence.

7 (2) Beginning January 1, 2023, in cases in which judgment was
8 entered for a felony conviction or juvenile disposition after January
9 1, 2013.

(3) Beginning January 1, 2025, in cases in which judgment was
entered for a felony conviction or juvenile disposition regardless
of the date of judgment.

13 SEC. 2.

14 SEC. 3. Section 1473 of the Penal Code is amended to read:

1473. (a) A person unlawfully imprisoned or restrained of
their liberty, under any pretense, may prosecute a writ of habeas
corpus to inquire into the cause of the imprisonment or restraint.

(b) A writ of habeas corpus may be prosecuted for, but notlimited to, the following reasons:

(1) False evidence that is substantially material or probative on
the issue of guilt or punishment was introduced against a person
at a hearing or trial relating to the person's incarceration.

(2) False physical evidence, believed by a person to be factual,
probative, or material on the issue of guilt, which was known by
the person at the time of entering a plea of guilty, which was a
material factor directly related to the plea of guilty by the person.
(3) (A) New evidence exists that is credible, material, presented
without substantial delay, and of such decisive force and value
that it would have more likely than not changed the outcome at

30 trial.

(B) For purposes of this section, "new evidence" means evidence
that has been discovered after trial, that could not have been
discovered prior to trial by the exercise of due diligence, and is
admissible and not merely cumulative, corroborative, collateral,
or impeaching.

36 (c) Any allegation that the prosecution knew or should have 37 known of the false nature of the evidence referred to in paragraphs 38 (1) and (2) of subdivision (b) is immaterial to the prosecution of 39 a writ of habeas corpus brought pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) 40 of subdivision (b).

1 (d) This section does not limit the grounds for which a writ of 2 habeas corpus may be prosecuted or preclude the use of any other 3 remedies.

4 (e) (1) For purposes of this section, "false evidence" includes 5 opinions of experts that have either been repudiated by the expert 6 who originally provided the opinion at a hearing or trial or that 7 have been undermined by later scientific research or technological 8 advances.

9 (2) This section does not create additional liabilities, beyond 10 those already recognized, for an expert who repudiates the original 11 opinion provided at a hearing or trial or whose opinion has been 12 undermined by later scientific research or technological 13 advancements.

14 (f) Notwithstanding any other law, a writ of habeas corpus may 15 also be prosecuted after judgment has been entered based on 16 evidence that a criminal conviction or sentence was sought, 17 obtained, or imposed in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 18 745, regardless of when judgment was entered. if that section 19 applies based on the date of judgement as provided in subdivision 20 (*j*) of Section 745. A petition raising a claim of this nature for the 21 first time, or on the basis of new discovery provided by the state 22 or other new evidence that could not have been previously known 23 by the petitioner with due diligence, shall not be deemed a 24 successive or abusive petition. If the petitioner has a habeas corpus 25 petition pending in state court, but it has not yet been decided, the 26 petitioner may amend the existing petition with a claim that the 27 petitioner's conviction or sentence was sought, obtained, or 28 imposed in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 745. The petition 29 shall state if the petitioner requests appointment of counsel and 30 the court shall appoint counsel if the petitioner cannot afford 31 counsel and either the petition alleges facts that would establish a 32 violation of subdivision (a) of Section 745 or the State Public 33 Defender requests counsel be appointed. Newly appointed counsel 34 may amend a petition filed before their appointment. The court shall review a petition raising a claim pursuant to Section 745 and 35 36 shall determine if the petitioner has made a prima facie showing 37 of entitlement to relief. If the petitioner makes a prima facie 38 showing that the petitioner is entitled to relief, the court shall issue an order to show cause why relief shall not be granted and hold 39 40 an evidentiary hearing, unless the state declines to show cause.

- 1 The defendant shall appear at the hearing by video unless counsel
- 2 indicates that their presence in court is needed. If the court3 determines that the petitioner has not established a prima facie
- 4 showing of entitlement to relief, the court shall state the factual
- 5 and legal basis for its conclusion on the record or issue a written
- 6 order detailing the factual and legal basis for its conclusion.

0

From:	Gee, Natalie (BOS)
To:	BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Cc:	Walton, Shamann (BOS); Prager, Jackie (BOS); Kilgore, Preston (BOS)
Subject:	Walton - Introduction - Support of AB 256
Date:	Tuesday, January 25, 2022 5:14:48 PM
Attachments:	Walton - Support of AB 256.doc
	Walton - Introduction Form - Support AB 256.pdf
	<u>20210AB256_97.pdf</u>

Good afternoon Clerk Team,

Attached is President Walton's introduction in support of AB 256 and supporting documents. Confirm that these matters ore routine, not contentious in nature, and of no special interest and confirmed that CSAC and LCC have not taken a position on these bills.

Thank you, Natalie

Natalie Gee 朱凱勤, Chief of Staff Supervisor Shamann Walton, District 10 President, Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco | Room 282 Direct: 415.554.7672 | Office: 415.554.7670

# **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter An	mendment).
✓ 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
	Ethics Commission
Planning Commission   Building Inspection	Commission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use th	e Imperative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Walton, Mandelman, Preston	
Subject:	
Supporting State Assembly Bill No. 256 – The California Racial Justice Act for All (	Kalra)
The text is listed:	
Resolution in support of Assembly Bill No. 256 – The California Racial Justice Act f Assembly Member Ash Kalra, Sydney Kamlager, Robert Rivas, and Miguel Santiago	
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: /s/ Shamann W	Valton

For Clerk's Use Only