Committee	Item	No. <u>(</u>
Board Item	No	6

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee CITY OPERATIONS AND	Date	10/25/10
NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICE	<u>S</u>	
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date	4/2/2010
Cmte Board		
Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Moution Form (for he Department/Agency Covernment/Agency Covernment Budget Subcontract Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	arings)	ort
OTHER (Use back side if addition	nal space is needed)	
Completed by: Gail Johnson Completed by: U0	Date	10/21/10
Completed by: <u>Us</u>	Date	10/28/10

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Accept and Expend Grant - Department of Public Works - Illegal Dumping Abatement Project - Not to Exceed \$350,000]

Resolution authorizing the Director of the Department of Public Works to apply for, accept and expend a grant in the amount of up to but not to exceed \$350,000 from the Solid Waste Disposal Clean-up Site Trust Fund for clean-up of illegal dumping sites in San Francisco.

WHEREAS, the people of the State of California have enacted Assembly Bill 2136 (Eastin, Stats. 1993, Ch. 655) that authorizes the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) to initiate and administer a program for cleanup of solid waste disposal sites and for cleanup of solid waste at codisposal sites where the responsible party either cannot be identified or is unable or unwilling to pay for timely remediation, and where cleanup is needed to protect public health and safety or the environment; and,

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works (DPW) has identified 25 chronic illegal dumping hotspots within the City & County of San Francisco; and,

WHEREAS, DPW wishes to eradicate these illegal dumping hot spots with the assistance of grant funds from CalRecycle; and,

WHEREAS, CalRecycle procedures require the applicant to certify by resolution the approval of the application before submission of said application; and,

WHEREAS, DPW will enter into an agreement with CalRecycle for the development of the projects as specified in the grant application; and,

WHEREAS, indirect costs are ineligible according to CalRecycle's Terms and Conditions; and,

WHEREAS, the City may choose to submit additional grant applications in future grant cycles; and,

WHEREAS, the grant does not require an amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby approves a grant application to be submitted to CalRecycle for a grant award of up to but not to exceed \$350,000 for a Solid Waste Disposal Site Cleanup Program to eradicate and monitor 25 illegal dumping hotspots; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize the Director of Public Works and his/her designee to enter into and execute a grant agreement with CalRecycle; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Director of Public Works and his/her designee is hereby authorized and empowered to execute in the name of the City, conduct all negotiations and to submit all necessary documents including but not limited to applications, contracts, payment requests, agreements and amendments hereto, for the purpose of securing grant funds and to implement and carry out the purposes specified in the grant application for the completion of the grant project; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Director of Public Works and his/her designee is hereby authorized to apply for the additional grant funds in future Fiscal Years for a period of up to five (5) years from the date of adoption of this resolution, as the funds become available, for the same purposes. Future grant applications would be subject to all conditions of this resolution; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City waives indirect costs as they are not allowed by the grantor.

1	Recommended:	
2		
3		
4	2/1/	
5	Department Head	
6		
7		
8	Approved:	
9		
10		
11	1 UWX)	_//a_
12 ,	Mayor	Controller
12 ,	Mayor	Controller
	Mayor	Controller
12 13	Mayor	Controller
12 13	Mayor	Controller
12 13 14 15	Mayor	Controller
12 13 14 15 16	Mayor	Controller
12 13 14 15 16 17	Mayor	Controller
12 13 14 15 16 17	Mayor	Controller
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mayor	Controller
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mayor	Controller

Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

23

24

25

Page 3 10/1/2010

City and County of San Francisco





Phone: (415) 554-6920 Fax: (415) 554-6944 TDD: (415) 554-9600 www.sfdpw.org

Department of Public Works Office of the Director City Hall, Room 348 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4645

October 1, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board **Board of Supervisors Room 244 City Hall** 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Attached please find:

- 1. An original and four copies of the resolution authorizing the Department of Public Works (DPW) to apply, accept and expend a State CalRecycle grant in the amount of \$350,000 for illegal dumping abatement.
- 2. Five copies of the Grant Information Forms, including the disability checklist.
- 3. A copy of the budget submitted to CalRecycle.

Approval of the CalRecycle grant would allow DPW to implement outreach, enforcement, and cleanup activities at 25 chronic illegal dumping hot spots in the Southeast portion of the City. DPW believes that these efforts would eliminate those sites as illegal dumping locations and improve the quality of life in the nearby neighborhoods.

The CalRecycle grant application requires a copy of the adopted resolution authorizing DPW's grant application by the end of October.

If you have any questions or need additional information please contact Maureen Singleton at 554.6912. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Reiskin.

Director of Public Works

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director: Rick Wilson, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Mayor's Office Monique Zmuda, Deputy Controller

Fi	le Number: (Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)
	Grant Information Form (Effective March 2005)
	urpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and pend grant funds.
Th	ne following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:
1.	Grant Title: Illegal Dumping Abatement Grant
2.	Department: Public Works
3.	Contact Person: Maureen Singleton Telephone: 554.6912
4.	Grant Approval Status (check one):
	[] Approved by funding agency [X] Not yet approved
5.	Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$350,000
	a. Matching Funds Required: \$0 b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): N/A
	a. Grant Source Agency: Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A
ිපි.	Proposed Grant Project Summary: Illegal Dumping-Abatement
	DPW will target 25 chronic illegal dumping hot spots in the Southeast portion of the City for cleanup, mitigation, public education and outreach, enforcement, and monitoring, to eliminate these sites as illegal dumping locations. The grant funds will supplement General Fund and Gas Tax appropriations currently used for illegal dumping abatement activities in the Bureau of Street Environmental Services
9.	Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:
	Start-Date: 1.1.11 End-Date: 12.31.12
10	a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$0
	b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N/A
	c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's MBE/WBE requirements? N/A
	d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? N/A
11	a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [X] No
	b1. If yes, how much? \$ b2. How was the amount calculated?

c. If no, why are indirect costs new [X] Not allowed by granting [] Other (please explain):		aximize use of grant funds on direct services	/
c2. If no indirect costs are inclu	uded, what would have be	en the indirect costs?	(
12. Any other significant grant req	uirements or comments:		
Disability Access Checklist*			
13. This Grant is intended for activ	ities at (check all that app	oly):	
[]Rehabilitated Site(s) []Re	cisting Structure(s) ehabilitated Structure(s) ew Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)	
and concluded that the project as pall other Federal, State and local a	proposed will be in compli ccess laws and regulation	Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal ance with the Americans with Disabilities Act ans and will allow the full inclusion of persons with a described in the comments section:	ınd
Comments:			/
Departmental or Mayor's Office of	Disability Reviewer:	(Name) DEPUTY TITLE	2000
Date Reviewed: 10.4.10	_		
Department Approval: Edward (Name	D. Reiskin	Director of Public Works (Title)	Ministra
ISMON	átuřa)		

Illegal Dumping Cleanup Project Budget

Expenses

Service	Scope	Cost	
Community	Ads, flyers, outreach,		
Involvement	PSA	\$	115,000
	Sign installation, sting		
Prevention Services	operations		30,000
Enforcement	Warnings, citations		110,000
Site Cleanup	Disposal by DPW		1,070,000
Total		•	1,325,000
Sources			
CalRecycle Grant		\$	350,000
DPW Budget			975,000
Total		\$	1,325,000

	350,000	\$38,058.00		\$63,750)	\$248,704.00					TWIOT
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550) S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S,	2	H	1	25 Fitzgerald X Hawes	26
.,	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550) S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	Ī		Sunnydale X Hahn	24
	18,920	\$1,522.32	た	\$2,550) S, O, ST	\$14,848.00 S, O,	2	H, C	10	23 Revere X Quesada	23
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550)s, o, st	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	エ	·	Selby X Galvez	22
	18,920	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550)S, O, ST	\$14,848.00 S, O, ST	. 2	2 HZ, H		21 Innes X Selby	21
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550) S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	I	1	20 Kirkwood X Rankin	20
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	. 2	н, нz, с	-	19 Kirkwood X Selby	19
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	н, нz, с.	1	Newcomb X Selby	18
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	Н, НZ		17∫Selby X Quesada	17
	26,344	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$22,272.00 S, O, ST	2	3 H, HZ	G)	16 Quint X Newcomb	16
	7,784	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$3,712.00 S, O, ST	2	0.5 HZ	0.5	15 Palou X Dunsell	15
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424,00 S, O,	2	H, HZ	1	4 Kirkwood X Mendell	۱¢.
	7,784	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$3,712.00 S, O, ST	2	H	0.5 H	13 Innes X Aur. Wlkr	13
	7,784	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$3,712.00 S, O,	2	Ī	0.5H	12 Aur. Wikr X India Bsn	12
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	I	4	11 Kiska	11
	26,344	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$22,272.00 S, O,	. 2	3 H	3	10∣Palou X Hawes	10
	11,496	\$1,522.32	77	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	A, H	1	Crispi X Quesada	9
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424,00 S, O,	20	A, C		8 Crispi X Palou	8
	18,920	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	s, 0, ST	\$14,848.00 S, O, ST	2	н, с	2	Aur. Wlkr X Hawes	7
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O,	2	A, C		6 Thomas X Griffith	6
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, 0, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O, ST	2	H,C, HZ	1	Shafer X Revere	ري د
	7,784	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$3,712.00 S,	2	Ι	. 0.5 H	4 Ingalls X Revere	4
	41,192	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$37,120.00 S, O, ST	2	А, Н, С	5	3 Van Dyke X Ingalls	3
	11,496	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	S, O, ST	\$7,424.00 S, O,	. 2	А, Н, С	-1	2 Yosemite X Hawes	22
	7,784	\$1,522.32	12	\$2,550	s, o, st	\$3,712.00 S, O, ST	2	А, Н	0.5 A,	Armstrong X Ingalls	1
	Total	Cost(9)	Hours (8)	Cost (7)	Strategies(6)	r cost (4)	collection (3)	(2)	site(1)	Site Location	site#
		PIO/PSA	PIO/PSA	Mitigation	Mitigation	Disposal/Labo Mitigation	Frequency of	Type of Garbage	Estimated garbage on		
	Section 20			on Project	Imping Eradication	ıcisco - Illegal Du	City and County of San Francisco - Illegal Dumping Eradication Project	City and C	4		

(1) In tons average per collection, (2) Type of Materials (noted during initial assessment) A=auto related; B=Bulky (matresses); C=Construction Related; H=household; HZ=Hazardous materials. (3) Number of collections (4) Disposal & Labor cost per clean up (6) Mitigation Strategies S=signs; O=outreach; ST=Stakeout (7) Mitigation Measures Cost = \$2550.00 (8) PIO/PSA hours 1 hour each day x 260 (9) Insector labor cost per hour (avg. 62.76/hour)