From: Somera, Alisa (BOS)

To: Major, Erica (BOS)

Subject: FW: Item # 17 - FILE NO. 211301 - Permit Review, and Limits on Fines for Shared Spaces Violations

Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 3:52:21 PM

For File 211301

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click **HERE** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 3:35 PM

To: BOS-Supervisors

 sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

<eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS)

<wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Item # 17 - FILE NO. 211301 - Permit Review, and Limits on Fines for Shared Spaces

Violations

From: zrants < <u>zrants@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 2:11 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) < board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Chan, Connie (BOS) < connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS)

catherine.stefani@sfgov.org; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) aaron.peskin@sfgov.org; Mar, Gordon (BOS)

<gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS)

<matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]

<mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary < hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS)

<shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Subject: re: Item # 17 - FILE NO. 211301 - Permit Review, and Limits on Fines for Shared Spaces Violations

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 14, 2022

Supervisors:

re: Item # 17 - FILE NO. <u>211301</u> - Permit Review, and Limits on Fines for Shared Spaces Violations

Please explain to the public why there is no public notice requirement written into the new Shared Spaces ordinance that states:

"On 2/28/22, the ordinance was amended in the Land Use and Transportation Committee tourge departments to review permit applications within 30 days, and to allow for the use of

administrative fines to enforce accessibility and life safety requirements for people with disabilities or emergency responder personnel, ensure visibility (daylighting) at intersections

identified in the Vision Zero High-Injury Network, and require removal of any abandoned structures. The ordinance was also amended to move the furthest wind down date for permits

issued under the Mayor's emergency proclamation from July 1, 2022, to March 31, 2023, subject to earlier termination pursuant to the emergency proclamations authorizing the temporary program."

So far we see no clear path for citizens to be noticed during the shared space application process in the legislation proposed. We are somewhat alarmed by a new structure on our street that appeared without notice in our neighborhood. There are no visible permits on the street and there is no explanation of what we may anticipate happening at this site. See photo below.

One of our neighbors took it upon himself to investigate and was told that the proper permits were obtained the project is gong to proceed as planned. Copy of emails from our neighbors as they investigated the construction on the street, show a level of concerns that arenot being taken into account by this process:

"There was a guy from DPW taking photos of the new parklet being built on Alabama at Mariposa. I asked him if permits were required and he answered, "yes". He told me that "they" had applied and were granted the permit. I asked if they were finite and he said they had to reapply every year but had no expiration date. ...it is fully permitted. They originally applied for 40 feet and for some reason settled on 14...I just discovered that Front is planning to build stadium seating in their parklet. Why I have yet to find out, but it seems to be a lofty goal in my opinion. Will they have live music? Or some other type of entertainment like their coffee roaster explaining how he over roasts his coffee. Stay tuned..."

It is all very well and good for you to feel obligated to help struggling businesses but not at the expense of the neighbors. This is a PDR/residential neighborhood. We have a number of "legitimate theaters" in the area that are also struggling to survive who pay rents and old long time leases and have built reputations for being good community oriented entertainment spaces. How does the city plan to protect them from "unfair competition" if new sites include music or outside entrainment that conflicts with the operation of our established venues?

We are also concerned about the possibility of late night alcoholic sales on the street in takeout containers as this could also morph into disruptive behavior. This new parklette brings the total within a two block area to three in our immediate vicinity. Most have moved their operations inside at this point so the exterior buildings are not being used. Some are just taking up space and looking ugly on the streets.

We wish to associate ourselves with some of the comments presented by Telegraph Hill Dwellers referring to harmony in the neighborhood:

"In the long term, for shared spaces to be sustainable, they need to adapt so that they exist in harmony with the surrounding environment and local culture...any live music played outdoors must be kept at a volume low enough to allow people who live in mixed-use areas to sustain a sane, healthy existence."

We sincerely hope that our concerns will be taken into account if and when the ordinance re: shared spaces is passed. Give us notice and give us a path to handle problems that may come up with parklettes.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, President, East Mission Improvement Association

This is the construction outside project that we see that concerns us:

