

**From:** [jim keith](#)  
**To:** [Glenbrook Ave](#)  
**Cc:** [Blackwell, William \(DPW\)](#); [Short, Carla \(DPW\)](#); [Mendoza, Jessica \(DPW\)](#); [Sean Keighran](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Barry Pirece](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Lot split BOS appeal Project #10991 Block 2724 Lot 002  
**Date:** Thursday, March 17, 2022 10:21:01 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Katherine,

Thank you for your email.

Yes I agree to that 100%. I will instruct my site surveyor to change the name on the street in front of my property on the map to read La Avanzada Street. It may require action from the BOS to officially name the street La Avanzada (if that has not been done already). Even if it has not been done, I do not believe that would be a problem given the obvious will of the neighborhood.

The only reason for calling it Palo Alto Ave in the first place was that was what the official city records, that we found, indicated. We found no official record that the street name was La Avanzada st (that doesn't mean it does not exist we just could not locate it.)

I will send instructions to my site surveyor and CC you and Mr. Blackwell who is processing the lot split.

Thank you very much and I am sorry for all the confusion.

Regards,  
Jim Keith

On Thursday, March 17, 2022, 09:31:33 AM PDT, Glenbrook Ave <glenbrook150@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Jim, all,

The main concern of the neighbors is with regard to the address. Both the number "0" and street name are confusing to us.

If you can resolve the problem by renaming the address to La Avenzada or Dellbrook, the appeal will be dropped/withdrawn. Please see attached photo from Google maps to explain our understanding of address.

Kind regards,  
Katherine

On Mar 15, 2022, at 10:49, jim keith <jimkeith132@yahoo.com> wrote:

William,

I have shared emails with the appellant, Katherine Bleich. She filed the appeal based on her, and the surrounding neighbor's concern, that the lot split would open up access from their portion of Palo Alto Ave and on out to Dellbrook and Clarendon Ave. Currently there is a traffic barricade that prevents traffic from connecting on through to these streets.

I shared with Katherine a letter (see attached) that informed her that the proposed lot split does not change the existing conditions for Palo Alto Ave. **My lot will have the same access that it has now with or without a lot split. Nothing changes.**

Katherine indicated she and the neighborhood might be willing to withdraw their appeal if made clear by city officials **that there will be no change to the traffic barricade that currently exists based on my lot split.**

Since this is clearly the case, could you please clarify this issue for the surrounding neighborhood? It might avoid an unnecessary hearing before the BOS saving the city the time and effort of a full board hearing as the issue of concern is misplaced.

Please let me know. Thanks

Regards,  
Jim Keith  
415-317-2039