File No. <u>220358</u>

Committee Item No.\_\_\_\_\_ Board Item No.\_<u>32</u>\_\_\_\_\_

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee\_\_\_\_\_

Date\_\_\_\_\_

**Board of Supervisors Meeting** 

Date April 12, 2022\_\_\_\_

Cmte E	Board
--------	-------

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report
	Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU
	Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement
	Award Letter Application Public Correspondence
<b>OTHER</b> □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	(Use back side if additional space is needed) California State Assembly Bill No. 1608 CSAC and LCC Position Tracking CSAC Letter 033122 Supervisor Walton Support Memo 4/05/22

Completed by: <u>Brittney Harrell</u> Completed by:\_\_\_\_

Date <u>April 7, 2022</u> Date\_\_\_\_\_

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

1	[Supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 1608 (Gipson) - The Angelo Quinto Act of 2022: Independent Coroner's Offices]
2	
3	Resolution supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 1608, authored by Assembly
4	Member Mike A. Gipson, The Angelo Quinto Act of 2022: Independent Coroner's
5	Offices.
6	
7	WHEREAS, In December of 2020, Angelo Quinto was tragically killed by police; Angelo
8	had been suffering from a mental health episode when his family called the police for help
9	with de-escalating the situation; while Angelo was handcuffed, a responding officer knelt on
10	Angelo's neck for at least four and a half minutes while another officer restrained his legs;
11	Angelo lost and never regained consciousness -he died in a hospital three days later; and
12	WHEREAS, The Contra Costa County Coroner's Office ruled that Angelo's death was
13	the result of "excited delirium;" this term is often attributed to sudden unexplained deaths of
14	individuals while in police custody; and
15	WHEREAS, Angelo's death has garnered great attention and increased scrutiny on
16	diagnoses used to shield and absolve officers from accountability; and
17	WHEREAS, According to a 2020 study by the Oregon Health & Science University
18	School of Medicine, published cases of excited delirium rarely, if ever, happen independently
19	of police involvement; and additionally, in July of 2021, the American Medical Association
20	adopted a new policy opposing the "excited delirium" diagnosis; specifically, their position is
21	that the "current evidence does not support 'excited delirium' as an official diagnosis," and
22	other recognized organizations, like the National Institute of Health, note that much more
23	research must be discovered in this area; and
24	

WHEREAS, The blanket diagnosis has, in part, reinvigorated the need for greater
 transparency in the investigation medical examination process, and it has also led to a goal of
 eliminating potential conflicts of interest in law enforcement; and

- WHEREAS, This perception of bias should be remedied by ensuring that all local death
  investigations are conducted independently and objectively by an independent Medical
- 6 Examiner or Coroner's Office that is separate from the Sheriff's Office; and

7 WHEREAS, All 58 counties in California have a sheriff's department, but only ten
8 counties have a separate coroner or medical examiner's office; and

9 WHEREAS, Currently, state law does not require a sheriff to have any medical 10 background or certification to assume the duties of a coroner, and California is only one of 11 three states that allows counties to combine the offices of the coroner and sheriff, which 12 increases the potential for conflicts of interest in law enforcement handling the duties of the 13 coroner; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco has already separated the duties of the coroner from the
sheriff, as have Los Angeles and San Diego; these coroners are independent medical
examiners who are required to be licensed physicians and surgeons duly qualified as
specialists in pathology; and

WHEREAS, Section 24304.1. of the Government Code permits County Boards of
Supervisors to consolidate the duties of certain county offices, including, but not limited to the
sheriff and the coroner; and

WHEREAS, Currently, state law does not require a sheriff to have any medical
background or certification to assume the duties of a coroner, in contrast to independent
medical examiners who are required to be licensed physicians and surgeons duly qualified as
specialists in pathology; and

1 WHEREAS, A consistent statewide policy towards independent coroner's offices would 2 help to ensure that medical examinations and investigations of sudden, violent, or 3 unexplained/suspicious deaths are conducted objectively; and 4 WHEREAS, California State Assembly Bill No. 1608 would separate the duties of the 5 coroner from the duties of the sheriff, strengthening the medical examination process, thereby 6 eliminating perceived and actual conflicts of interest and increasing accountability and 7 transparency when determining the cause of death; now, therefore, be it 8 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors expresses its support for California State 9 Assembly Bill 1608 (Gipson), and respectfully requests the California Legislature pass and 10 Governor Gavin Newsom sign California State Assembly Bill No. 1608 into law; and, be it 11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That copies of this Resolution will be sent to the Office of 12 Assembly Member Mike A. Gipson, along with a copy of the support letter referenced below; 13 and, be it 14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall submit a copy 15 of the letter of support and copy of the enacted Resolution for California State Assembly Bill 16 No. 1608 to the Office of Assembly Member Mike A. Gipson. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

### ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1608

#### Introduced by Assembly Members Gipson and Akilah Weber (Coauthor: Senator Pan)

January 4, 2022

An act to amend Sections 24300, 24304, and 24304.1 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1608, as introduced, Gipson. County officers: consolidation of offices.

Existing law authorizes the board of supervisors of a county to consolidate the duties of various county offices in various combinations, including combining the duties of the sheriff and the coroner.

This bill would delete the authority to combine the duties of the sheriff with the duties of the coroner.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 24300 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:

3 24300. By ordinance the board of supervisors may consolidate

4 the duties of certain of the county offices in one or more of these

5 combinations:

6 (a) Sheriff and tax collector.

7 (b) Auditor and recorder.

8 (c) County clerk, auditor, and recorder.

#### AB 1608

- 1 (d) County clerk and public administrator.
- 2 (e) County clerk and recorder.
- 3 (f) County clerk and auditor.
- 4 (g) Treasurer and tax collector.
- 5 (h) Treasurer and recorder.
- 6 (i) Treasurer and assessor.
- 7 (j) Treasurer and public administrator.
- 8 (k) Public administrator and coroner.
- 9 (*l*) District attorney and public administrator.
- 10 (m) District attorney and coroner.
- 11 (n) Sheriff and coroner.
- 12 <del>(o)</del>
- 13 (*n*) Sheriff and public administrator.
- 14 <del>(p)</del>
- 15 (o) County agricultural commissioner and county sealer of
- 16 weights and measures.
- 17 <del>(q)</del>
- 18 (p) Road commissioner and surveyor. A county may create an
- 19 office entitled public works director, combining the duties of road
- 20 commissioner and surveyor and any other compatible duties not
- 21 legally required to be performed by another county officer.
- 22 <del>(r)</del>
- 23 (q) County surveyor and director of transportation.
- 24 By the ordinance that consolidates the duties of the appointive
- 25 county offices described in subdivision (p), (o), notwithstanding
- 26 Section 2122 and Sections 2181 to 2187, inclusive, of the Food
- and Agricultural Code, and Sections 12200 and 12214 of theBusiness and Professions Code, the board of supervisors may
- 29 provide that the first term only of the newly consolidated office
- 30 expires when the first of the remaining unexpired terms of the two
- 31 unconsolidated offices would have expired. Where a vacancy in
- 32 either of the unconsolidated offices exists the term of office of the
- 33 newly consolidated office shall be the longer of the remaining
- 34 unexpired terms.
- 35 SEC. 2. Section 24304 of the Government Code is amended 36 to read:
- 37 24304. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 24300, in
- 38 counties of the 13th to 58th classes, inclusive, the board of
- 39 supervisors by ordinance may consolidate the duties of certain of
- 40 the county offices in one or more of these combinations:
- 99

- 1 (a) Sheriff and tax collector.
- 2 (b) Auditor and recorder.
- 3 (c) County clerk, auditor, and recorder.
- 4 (d) County clerk and public administrator.
- 5 (e) County clerk and recorder.
- 6 (f) County clerk and auditor.
- 7 (g) Treasurer and tax collector.
- 8 (h) Treasurer and recorder.
- 9 (i) Treasurer and assessor.
- 10 (j) Treasurer and public administrator.
- 11 (k) Public administrator and coroner.
- 12 (*l*) District attorney and public administrator.
- 13 (m) District attorney and coroner.
- 14 (n) Sheriff and coroner.
- 15 <del>(o)</del>
- 16 (*n*) Sheriff and public administrator.
- 17 <del>(p)</del>
- 18 (o) County agricultural commissioner and county sealer of
- 19 weights and measures.
- 20 <del>(q)</del>
- 21 (p) County clerk and tax collector.
- 22 <del>(r)</del>
- 23 (q) Treasurer, tax collector, and recorder.
- 24 (s) Sheriff, tax collector,
- 25 (*r*) Tax collector and coroner.
- 26 <del>(t)</del>
- 27 (s) Coroner and health officer.
- 28 <del>(u)</del>
- 29 (t) Road commissioner and surveyor. A county may create an
- 30 office entitled public works director, combining the duties of road
- 31 commissioner and surveyor and any other compatible duties not
- 32 legally required to be performed by another county officer.
- 33 (v) Sheriff, coroner,
- 34 *(u) Coroner* and public administrator.
- 35 <del>(w)</del>
- 36 (v) Treasurer, tax collector, and public administrator.
- 37 <del>(x)</del>
- 38 (*w*) County clerk, assessor, and recorder.
- 39 <del>(y)</del>
- 40 (x) Assessor and recorder.

#### **AB 1608**

- 1 <del>(z)</del>
- (y) Tax collector/county clerk and treasurer. 2
- 3 SEC. 3. Section 24304.1 of the Government Code is amended 4 to read:
- 5 24304.1. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 24300, in
- counties of the 11th class, the board of supervisors by ordinance 6
- may consolidate the duties of certain of the county offices, in one 7
- 8 or both of these combinations: as follows:
- 9 (a) County clerk, assessor, and recorder.
- (b) Sheriff, coroner, and public administrator. 10
- 11 (b) Either of the following:
- (1) Sheriff and public administrator. 12
- 13 (2) Coroner and public administrator.

0

## RE: Walton - Introduction - Resolution: In Support of AB 1608

Evans, Abe (BOS) <abe.evans@sfgov.org>

Tue 4/5/2022 5:04 PM

To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>;Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org> Cc: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>

1 attachments (300 KB)AB 1608 text.pdf;

Hi Lisa,

Here's the file for AB 1608: <u>https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=202120220AB1608</u>

Attaching the text.

California State Association of Counties opposes this bill.

File here: <u>https://ctweb.capitoltrack.com/public/search.aspx?</u> t=bill&s=AB1608&session=21&go=Search&id=a21cc1dd-911e-48f1-a3c9-8d96959fe4c5 Letter to Assemblymember Gibson here: <u>https://ct3.blob.core.windows.net/21blobs/7d5c9126-2130-43c7-a5be-40ed4507b92e</u>

The League of California Cities has a "watch" position on this bill.

Link here: <u>https://ctweb.capitoltrack.com/public/search.aspx?id=ad485199-37cd-42cd-8217-</u> <u>d19b4d257119&session=21&s=ab1608&t=bill</u>

Best, Abe

Abe Evans, Legislative Aide Office of Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton, District 10 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett PI, San Francisco | Room 282 Direct: 415.554.4550 | Office: 415.554.7670 Cell: 415.212.8404 Pronouns: he, him, his Sign up for Supervisor Walton's monthly newsletter! Follow Supervisor Walton on Facebook.

### COVID-19 RESOURCE GUIDE HERE: [bit.ly/covid19d10]bit.ly/covid19d10

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2022 4:55 PM
To: Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Cc: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <abe.evans@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Walton - Introduction - Resolution: In Support of AB 1608

Thanks Natalie,

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.8.2, please provide the following to complete this submission:

- a copy of AB 1608
- confirm that organizations such as the <u>California State Association of Counties</u> and <u>League of</u> <u>California Cities</u> have <u>not</u> taken a position on these bills. If they have, please provide a copy of their statement for completeness of the file

Thank you.

#### Lisa Lew

San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 T 415-554-7718 | F 415-554-5163 <u>lisa.lew@sfgov.org</u> | <u>www.sfbos.org</u>

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Gee, Natalie (BOS) <<u>natalie.gee@sfgov.org</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:32 PM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <<u>bos.legislation@sfgov.org</u>>
Cc: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <<u>shamann.walton@sfgov.org</u>>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <<u>abe.evans@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: Walton - Introduction - Resolution: In Support of AB 1608

Good afternoon Clerk Team,

Attached is President Walton's Introduction Form and resolution in support of AB 1608. We can confirm that this matter is routine and not contentious. We are requesting this item for the For Adoption Without Committee Reference agenda for the next meeting.

Thank you, Natalie

Natalie Gee 朱凱勤, Chief of Staff Supervisor Shamann Walton, District 10 President, Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco | Room 282 Direct: 415.554.7672 | Office: 415.554.7670



#### OFFICERS

**President** Ed Valenzuela Siskiyou County

**1st Vice President** Chuck Washington Riverside County

**2nd Vice President** Bruce Gibson San Luis Obispo County

> Past President James Gore Sonoma County

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Graham Knaus March 31, 2022

Re:

The Honorable Mike Gipson California State Assembly 1021 O Street, Room 8110 Sacramento, CA 95814

### Assembly Bill 1608 (Gipson) – County officers: consolidation of offices. As introduced January 4, 2022 – OPPOSE

Dear Assembly Member Gipson,

The California State Association of Counties (CSAC) respectfully writes in opposition of your measure, Assembly Bill 1608, which would create unavoidable, significant costs to counties, while removing the existing authority of our 58 elected county boards of supervisors.

As introduced, AB 1608 strikes the authority of all county boards of supervisors to combine the duties of the county office of the Sheriff and coroner by way of ordinance. Currently, 48 of the 58 counties in our state consolidate the duties of the county-elected Sheriff and the coroner into a single office. The remaining counties have established an independent Office of the Medical Examiner or a coroner's office that is separate from the Sheriff's. Although AB 1608 does not require counties to create an independent Office of the Medical Examiner, many counties may be forced to do so given the high volume of cases they receive. If this takes place, projected costs to create and sustain operations of a medical examiner's office would likely exceed \$1 million annually. Another option could be for a county to contract with neighboring counties and independent forensic pathologists, but as noted, it will not make sense for most medium and large sized counties with large caseloads. As currently drafted, a county is also permitted to consolidate the functions and duties of the coroner with other county offices, such as the public administrator. However, not only is it unclear how the administrative, medical, and investigative functions of the coroner could be consolidated with other offices, more notably it would require widespread changes with regards to staffing, resources, security, and physical space.

While prohibiting the consolidation of the Sheriff and coroner would be a substantial undertaking, impacting the budget of most counties across California, it would strip county boards of supervisors of their fundamental duty to make sound fiscal decisions on behalf of their constituents. Additionally, supervisors can exercise their existing authority to combine the duties of the coroner with other county offices if that is the will of the voters.

Ultimately, CSAC genuinely appreciates the intent of this legislation and is deeply sensitive to the concerns from the community. Thus, while we regretfully oppose AB 1608 as introduced, we look forward to continuing conversations.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 916.650.8129 or <u>rmorimune@counties.org</u>.

Sincerely,

FET • 7

Ryan Morimune Legislative Representative

City and County of San Francisco

President, Board of Supervisors District 10



SHAMANN WALTON

# MEMORANDUM

DATE:	April 5, 2022
TO:	California State Assembly Member Mike A. Gipson
FROM:	President Shamann Walton
SUBJECT:	California State Assembly Bill No. 1608, authored by Assembly Member Mike A. Gipson, The Angelo Quinto Act of 2022: Independent Coroner's Offices

Dear Assembly Member Gipson,

I am writing in strong support of your recently introduced Assembly Bill No. 1608, The Angelo Quinto Act of 2022: Independent Coroner's Offices.

California is only one of three states that allows counties to combine the offices of the coroner and sheriff, which increases the potential for conflicts of interest in law enforcement handling the duties of the coroner.

San Francisco has already separated the duties of the coroner from the sheriff, employing coroners who are independent medical examiners and are required to be licensed physicians and surgeons duly qualified as specialists in pathology.

I believe that a consistent statewide policy towards independent coroner's offices would help to ensure that medical examinations and investigations of sudden, violent, or suspicious deaths are conducted objectively. This strengthening of the medical examination process will help eliminate perceived and actual conflicts of interest and increase accountability and transparency when determining cause of death.

Thank you for your leadership on this important issue.

Sincerely,

Shamann Walton President of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

# **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinan	ce, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).	
<ul><li>✓ 2. Request for next printed agenda Without H</li></ul>	Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at	Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor		inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.		-
6. Call File No.	from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written r	motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.		
9. Reactivate File No.		
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance	e before the BOS on	
	L	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The propos	sed legislation should be forwarded to the following:	
Small Business Commission	Youth Commission Ethics Commission	sion
Planning Commission	Building Inspection Commission	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution	ı not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative F	'orm.
Sponsor(s):		
Walton		
Subject:		
Resolution in Support of Assembly Bill No. 160	8 (Gipson)	
The text is listed:		
	authored by Assemblymember Mike A. Gipson, The	Angelo Quin
Signature of Sr	oonsoring Supervisor: /s/ Shamann Walton	

For Clerk's Use Only