

File Number: 220496
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form
(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: Accept and Expend Grant – California State Lands Commission – Operating and Capital Expenses – \$73,492,632 in FY 2022-23 and \$27,279,000 in FY 2023-24
2. Department: Port
3. Contact Person: Katharine Petrucione Telephone: 415-274-0481
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
☒ Approved by funding agency ☐ Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$100,771,632
6. a. Matching Funds Required: None
b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):
7. a. Grant Source Agency: California State Lands Commission
b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): NA
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic total Port revenues fell by 40% of their prepandemic levels, for a loss of \$60,000,000 since the beginning of the pandemic, and \$80,000,000 over the next two years. In May 2021, Governor Gavin Newsom announced a one-time \$250 million allocation to California's ports to mitigate the negative economic impacts resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. On December 8, 2021 and April 26, 2022, the California State Lands Commission authorized the disbursement of \$114,800,000 to the Port of San Francisco to recover revenue losses and direct costs incurred from the COVID-19 pandemic in calendar 2020 and 2021.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:
Start-Date: Effective Date, Likely July 1, 2022 End-Date: June 30, 2024
10. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$4,400,000
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? Yes
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One time
11. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?
☐ Yes ☒ No
b. 1. If yes, how much?
b. 2. How was the amount calculated?
c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
☐ Not allowed by granting agency ☒ To maximize use of grant funds on direct services
☐ Other (please explain):
c. 2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? na
12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

****Disability Access Checklist** (Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)**

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Structure(s) | |

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:

1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.

If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:

Comments:

Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

Wendy Proctor
(Name)

Senior Architect, ADA Coordinator
(Title)

Date Reviewed: 4/26/2022
(Signature Required) Wendy Proctor

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:

Elaine Forbes
(Name)

Executive Director
(Title)

Date Reviewed: 04/26/2022
(Signature Required) [Signature]