FILE NO. 220531

Petitions and Communications received from April 28, 2022, through May 5, 2022, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on May 10, 2022.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, pursuant to Administrative Code, Chapter 47, submitting a report on Priority for Veterans with an Affordable Housing Preference. File No. 211094. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the Mayor, making the following appointments to the Public Works Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

- Nominations pursuant to Charter, Section 4.141:
- Lynn Newhouse Segal term ending July 2, 2026
- Paul Woolford term ending July 2, 2026

From the Redistricting Task Force, submitting the Final Map of new supervisorial district boundaries. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From District 6 Supervisor Matt Haney, submitting a letter of resignation effective May 2, 2022. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From Steven Lee, submitting a letter of resignation from the Entertainment Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, submitting a report on use of Emergency Ordinance No. 61-19 in the calendar year 2021. File No. 190047. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Department of Elections, regarding in-person voting in the June 7, 2022, Consolidated Statewide Direct Primary Election. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Recreation and Park Department, regarding Stern Grove. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the California Fish and Game Commission, submitting a notice of proposed changes in regulations concerning allowed and prohibited uses for state marine recreational management areas; a notice of proposed changes in regulations concerning the issuance of permits for contests offering prizes for the taking of game fish; and a notice of proposed emergency regulations concerning incidental take of southern California steelhead. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Sophia De Anda, regarding various concerns. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Jill Toups, regarding reparations. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From John Crew, regarding a Hearing on Taxpayer-Funded Communications Regarding Police and Public Safety. File No. 220307. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Rosanne Liggett regarding John F. Kennedy Drive and City College of San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Mira Martin-Parker, regarding City College of San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Elia Meza, regarding the Sharp Park Golf Course redevelopment project. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Vaughn McGuire, regarding Rent Board Ordinances. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Jeff Jensen, regarding an Ordinance amending the Administrative Code and Police Code, Ban on Gas-Powered Landscaping Equipment. File No. 220199. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From a concerned citizen regarding conditions in the Tenderloin. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Barry Skolnick, regarding Debbie Raphael. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Steve Ward, regarding 2700 Sloat Blvd. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From concerned citizens, regarding an Ordinance amending the Administrative Code -Shelter Expansion Program. File No. 220281. 31 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From concerned citizens, regarding Valencia Street. 6 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding mask mandates. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed Charter Amendment on the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Brendan Kenneally, regarding the West Sunset Soccer Field. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From concerned citizens, regarding the United Nations Plaza Linkage Center. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Great Highway. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Motion appointing Liza Murawski to the Behavioral Health Commission. File No. 220425. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Hearing on Taxpayer-Funded Communications Regarding Police and Public Safety. File No. 220307. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From concerned citizens, regarding an Ordinance amending the Park Code - Golden Gate Park Access and Safety Program - Slow Street Road Closures. File No. 220261. 31 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)		
To:	BOS-Supervisors		
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)		
Subject:	FW: report required per file 211094		
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:25:00 PM		
Attachments:	UPDATE Veterans Memo 2022.pdf		

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development regarding File No. 211094.

File No. 211094 - Priority for Veterans with an Affordable Housing Preference under Administrative Code, Chapter 47 - Disparate Impact Analysis

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Nickolopoulos, Sheila (MYR) <sheila.nickolopoulos@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 11:18 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS)
<erica.major@sfgov.org>
Cc: Benjamin, Maria (MYR) <maria.benjamin@sfgov.org>; Shaw, Eric (MYR) <eric.shaw@sfgov.org>;
Paulino, Tom (MYR) <tom.paulino@sfgov.org>; NAGAYAMA, KEITH (CAT)
<Keith.Nagayama@sfcityatty.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong1@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: report required per file 211094

Erica,

Attached is a updated report for file 211094 that uses recently released census data.

Thank you, Sheila

Sheila Nickolopoulos Director of Policy and Legislative Affairs Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development 1 South Van Ness Avenue, Fifth Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Priority for Veterans with an Affordable Housing Preference under Administrative Code, Chapter 47 – Disparate Impact Analysis (File 211094)

April 26, 2022

Follow-Up to Initial Memo Submitted February 28, 2022

Prepared by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

Per File 211094, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) completed and presented a disparate impact analysis regarding a potential priority for Veterans eligible for a preference under Administrative Code Section 47.3 to occupy affordable housing over other applicants with the same preference ("Veterans Priority"). A memo was delivered to the Board Clerk on February 28, 2022.

Revised Analysis Findings: MOHCD reran the analysis in April 2022 using the recently published 2020 Census data. This revised analysis shows that the disparate impact of a Veteran preference is the same as the disparate impact for the Neighborhood Resident Housing Preference (NRHP). Overall, combined preferences produce a disparate impact, although the veteran impact is not a significant contributor to that impact. Primarily because the Veteran population is relatively small (Veterans comprise 2.8% of the City's population and only 55% of Veteran households are eligible for affordable housing), the disparate impact analysis finds that a lottery preference for Veterans would not contribute to a disproportionately negative impact on protected populations.

A disparate impact analysis determines the likelihood of a policy or program having a disproportionately adverse effect on members of a protected class. MOHCD's disparate impact analysis looked at whether any racial or ethnic group of veterans would disproportionately impact another racial or ethnic group of applicants under the proposed change to San Francisco's housing lottery regime. Veterans are defined as those who have served on active duty in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard, or who served in the U.S. Merchant Marine during World War II. Veterans does not include those who are still actively serving in the military.

MOHCD conducted this analysis based on the proposal that a Veteran preference would be prioritized in each the three existing lottery preference programs (Certificate of Preference; Displaced Tenant Housing Preference Program; Neighborhood Resident Housing Preference). The analysis did not include Veteran sub-populations, such as disabled Veterans or current National Guard and Reservists because data is not available at the level needed for the analysis, or is not available at all.

Considerations: If the policy objective is to improve access to affordable housing for Veterans, a housing lottery preference will have limited efficacy for the following reasons.

- 45% of Veteran households are at higher income levels—above 100% of the area median income (AMI)—and are not eligible for affordable units.
- Affordable units are produced for AMI brackets ranging from extremely low income (30% AMI) to middle income (100%). In San Francisco, 79% of our available affordable units in past year were low income households, those earning 30-60% AMI. But only

14% of Veteran households fall with within that AMI bracket. This means that few Veteran households will be eligible for available affordable units.

• 17% of Veteran households are extremely low income—their household income is less than 30% AMI—yet only 2% of available affordable units are for this AMI bracket. Given the limited supply of affordable units at this extremely low-income AMI, a lottery preference would not meaningfully increase access to affordable housing for extremely low-income Veteran households.

If the policy objective is to house those Veterans most vulnerable to homelessness, policies and programs, either new or building on existing efforts, should directly serve the most vulnerable Veteran population. In particular, Black Veterans are disproportionately extremely low-income (approximately 1,500 people). Focused policy and programs could better align the needs of this vulnerable population of extremely low income African American Veterans with viable affordable housing opportunities, whereas a lottery preference would provide limited opportunities.

For example, increasing funding for rental subsidies for Veterans could help extremely low income Veterans access higher AMI units. In addition, the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) and MOHCD both already provide funding to community partners that serve Veterans with rental assistance programs, eviction prevention, and housing search and placement assistance services.

Existing programs and services that can benefit Veterans include the following.

- For those with disabilities, MOHCD has a variety of programs: <u>https://sfmohcd.org/resources-people-disabilities</u>
- Veterans within the income thresholds also are eligible for <u>neighborhood preference</u>, and when applicable, <u>certificate of preference</u> programs in the affordable housing lottery.
- For those who may need mental health supports, the Coop Living for Mental Health Program is available. All program participants are referred by DPH to sponsors. The scale of this program is currently small and placement is not via the MOHCD preference regime.
- The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and VA Supportive Housing Program (HUD-VASH) provides housing vouchers to help Veterans who are homeless find and sustain permanent housing. For example, through this program, the Mission Housing Development Corporation operates 33 units at 2524 Mission Street for formerly homeless Veterans.
- A broader range of City and nonprofit supports and services are identified here: <u>https://sf311.org/information/veterans-resources</u>.

Conclusion: The disparate impact analysis finds that a lottery preference for Veterans would not contribute to a disproportionately negative impact on protected populations. A closer look at the Veteran population also highlights that 45% of households with Veterans are at higher income levels not eligible for affordable units. The notable exception is extremely low-income Black Veterans (approximately 1,500 people). Given the limited supply of affordable units at this AMI, a lottery preference may not be the most impactful tool for supporting this population. Investing in alternative policies and programs, either new or building on existing efforts, that

directly serve this population and that focus on their particular needs, could be a more fruitful avenue.

For your reference, below is the data from the report submitted on February 28, 2022.

Original Memo from February 28, 2022

Per File 211094, by no later than February 28, 2022, MOHCD shall complete an analysis of the disparate impact and any discriminatory effect under fair housing laws of granting a priority for Veterans eligible for a preference under Administrative Code Section 47.3 to occupy affordable housing over other applicants with the same preference ("Veterans Priority"), and shall deliver such disparate impact analysis to the Board of Supervisors for review and study of an amendment to Administrative Code Chapter 47 to add the Veterans Priority.

A disparate impact analysis determines the likelihood of a policy or program having a disproportionately adverse effect on members of a protected class. MOHCD's disparate impact analysis looked at whether any racial or ethnic group of veterans would disproportionately impact another racial or ethnic group of applicants under the prop osed change to San Francisco's housing lottery regime. Veterans are defined as those who have served on active duty in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard, or who served in the U.S. Merchant Marine during World War II. Veterans does not include those who are still actively serving in the military.

The analysis did not include Veteran sub-populations, such as disabled Veterans or current National Guard and Reservists because data is not available at the level needed for the analysis, or is not available at all.

Veteran Demographic Snapshot


Race/Ethnicity: In San Francisco, 58% (13,633) of Veterans are white, compared to the total SF population, which is 46% white. And 16% (3,800) of Veterans are Black, whereas 5% of the SF population is black.

	Race & Ethnicity	Veterans	% of Total Veterans	Total SF Population	% Total SF Population
	White	13,633	58%	406,056	46%
	Black	3,800	16%	45,556	5%
Races	Asian/Pacific Islander ¹	4,338	18%	304,206	35%
_	Other/Multiracial ²	1,848	8%	119,143	14%
	Total	23,619	100%	874,961	100%
Ethnicity	Hispanic/Latino	2,241	9%	133,314	15%

SF County Veterans: Race/Ethnicity Distribution

Notes: There are 4,253 Asian veterans and 85 Pacific Islander veterans

²Other/Multiracial category combines American Indian/Alaskan Native, 2+ races, and some other race. There are 89 AIAN veterans, 948 some other race veterans, and 811 2+ races veterans. Source: 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Averaged **Geographic Distribution of Veterans by Race/Ethnicity**: The Veteran population by race, by Supervisorial District is notably uneven. Veterans by race are concentrated in different Districts. In D10, 33% of the Veterans in that District are Black; in D2, 3% of Veterans are Black.




Veterans Income Eligibility for Affordable Units: The comparison of the percentage of available affordable units by AMI that were offered last fiscal year and the AMI of households that include at least one Veteran illustrates a mismatch between available affordable units (79% are units were for those at 31-60% AMI) and Veterans' income (only 14% of Veterans are in that AMI bracket). By AMI brackets, households with Veterans are either extremely low-income or higher income.



DAHLIA Listings vs. SF Households with 1+ Veterans, by AMI

Veteran Household Incomes by Race: Among five racial/ethnic Veteran populations (Asian Pacific Islander, Black, Hispanic/Latino, White, and Other/Multiracial), a disproportionate number of Black Veterans are at 30% AMI and lower. Given the small population of Black San Franciscans, this is approximately 1,500 people. Only 2% of available affordable units were reserved for this AMI.

Among the other racial and ethnic Veteran populations, 42% of Asian Pacific Islander households with a Veteran are above 100% AMI, 52% of Hispanic/Latino households with a Veteran are above 100% AMI, 52% of White households with a Veteran are above 100% AMI, 51% Other/Multiracial households with a Veteran are above 100% AMI.



From:	Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)			
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides			
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Adkins, Joe (BOS); PEARSON, ANNE (CAT); Fennell, Tyra (MYR); Paulino, Tom (MYR)			
Subject:	TIME SENSITITVE: Mayoral Nomination - Public Works Commission			
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 4:56:43 PM			
Attachments:	Clerk"s Memo- 5.5.22 - PWC.pdf Paul Woolford Appointent Letter.pdf Paul Woolford Form 700.pdf Paul Woolford CV.pdf Appointment Letter Public Works 2022.pdf Lynne Newhouse Form 700 2019.pdf Lynne Newhouse Resume.pdf			

Dear Supervisors,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete appointment packages. Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh Executive Assistant Office of the Clerk of the Board Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org Office of the Mayor san Francisco



LONDON N. BREED MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

April 29, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.141, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following nomination for appointment: Lynn Newhouse Segal to the Public Works Commission, Seat 4 shall (at-large position) for a four-year term ending July 2, 2026.

Attached are Mrs. Newhouse's qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696.

Sincerely,

London N. Breed Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date:May 5, 2022To:Members, Board of SupervisorsFrom:Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the BoardSubject:Mayoral Nominations - Public Works Commission

On April 29, 2022, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following complete nominations packages. Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.141, these renominations shall be subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors at a public hearing and vote within 60 days. (Tuesday, June 28, 2022)

Nominations to the Public Works Commission:

- Lynn Newhouse Segal term ending July 2, 2026
- Paul Woolford term ending July 2, 2026

c:

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.2, the Clerk of the Board shall refer the motions to the Rules Committee and work with the Rules Committee Chair to schedule a hearing.

Aaron Peskin - Rules Committee Chair Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy Victor Young - Rules Clerk Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney Tom Paulino - Mayor's Legislative Liaison Tyra Fennel - Director of Commissions and Community Relations

CALIFORNIA FORM 700	STATEMENT OF	ECONOMIC INTERESTS	Date Initial Filing Receive
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION	CC	OVER PAGE	
Please type or print in ink.	A PUB	LIC DOCUMENT	
NAME OF FILER (LAST)	(FIRST)		(MIDDLE)
Segal	Lynne	Newho	use
1. Office, Agency, or Court		an a	
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)			
San Francisco Film Commission			
Division, Board, Department, District, if applica	able	Your Position	
		Commissioner	
▶ If filing for multiple positions, list below or	on an attachment. (Do not use	acronyms)	
Agency:		_ Position:	
2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at lease	st one box)		
State		Judge or Court Commissioner (S	tatewide Jurisdiction)
Multi-County	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	County of San Francisco	en e
City of San Francisco		Other	
		and the second second second second	
3. Type of Statement (Check at least of	ne box)		
Annual: The period covered is January	1, 2018, through	Leaving Office: Date Left	
December 31, 2018.		(Check one	
The period covered is/_	, through	 The period covered is Janual or. 	ry 1, 2018, through the date of
December 31, 2018. Assuming Office: Date assumed04	01 2019		a e successiones
X Assuming Office: Date assumed]]	 The period covered is the date of leaving office. 	/, through
Candidate: Date of Election	and office sought	Entrational to a second to a second state	
. Schedule Summary (must comp	lete) ► Total number of	of pages including this cover pa	ige:
Schedules attached			
Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedu	le attached	Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Busines	s Positions – schedule attached
Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedu	le attached	Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule	attached
Schedule B - Real Property - schedu	le attached	Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Pa	ayments - schedule attached
-or- 🗵 None - No reportable interest	s on any schedule		
. Verification			
MAILING ADDRESS STREET (Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Docu	CITY (Internet)	STATE	ZIP CODE
SF City Hall, Room 473	San Francis	SCO CA	94102
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER		EMAIL ADDRESS	
(415) 554-6241		film@sfgov.org	
I have used all reasonable diligence in preparir herein and in any attached schedules is true a			owledge the information contained
I certify under penalty of perjury under the	laws of the State of California	a that the foregoing is true and correct	\sim
4/12/19		Y TAI	
Date Signed	Sig	inature	amont with your films afficial 1
(month, day, year)		(File the originally signed paper stat	emest with your ming onicial.)

FPPC Form 700 (2018/2019) FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov Page - 5

LYNNE NEWHOUSE SEGAL 2100 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, California 94115 Phone: 415 517 2099 lynnenewhousesegal@gmail.com

EDUCATION:

J.D., UC Hastings College of the Law Public Administration Institute Masters Program, Fairleigh Dickinson Univ. B.A., Social Sciences, American University.

PUBLIC BOARDS & COMMISSIONS (past): Human Rights Commission, Rockland County, NY., Commissioner. San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department, Commissioner. Golden Gate Bridge, Highway & Transportation District, Director and VP.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE (currently retired):

Staff Attorney for U.S. Congressman Tom Lantos.

General law practice: municipal and land use law, workers compensation, family and criminal law.

General Counsel for Metron Technology Corporaton.

Coordinator/Fundraiser: Projects and Clients included 1997 U.S. Conference of Mayors; 1990 Summit on Homelessness; University of California Hastings College of the Law; AID & COMFORT, The Restaurant Benefit for AIDS; S.F. Bay Area Book Festival; Art Against AIDS; Chemical Awareness & Treatment Services (CATS); and the Democratic National Committee 1984 Convention Kick-Off.

CHARITABLE/COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES (current):

San Francisco Beautiful, Board Member

Pacific Heights Residents Association, Board Member and Past President. Friends of Lafayette Park, Board Member and Past President.

PERSONAL:

Married to Ed Segal, retired tech CEO. Mother of Matt Segal, Assistant US Attorney; and Ned Segal, tech CFO. Proud grandma of five.

NY born, NJ raised. Bay Area Resident since 1976. SF Resident since 1984.

Hobbies: Iyengar Yoga, Jogging & walking, golf, watching movies!

Lynne Newhouse Segal

Bio

Lynne Newhouse Segal is an experienced public official, having served as a member of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway, and Transportation District; as a San Francisco Recreation and Parks Commissioner; and as a Human Rights Commissioner. Currently retired, she had a career as an attorney in public and private practice, and as a public affairs and fundraising professional for clients including the Democratic National Committee and University of California Hastings College of the Law. She served as Staff Attorney for U.S. Congressman Tom Lantos, General Counsel for Metron Technology Corporation, and in private practice specializing in municipal law.

Newhouse Segal is a life-long activist for social and political justice. From early adulthood she was a feminist leader and advocate for womens' and minority political, social, privacy, health, and economic rights; working with NWPC, NAACP, National Council of Jewish Women, NOW, and the Democratic Party. Early in the AIDs crisis she was an effective advocate and fundraiser for care, treatment, research, education, dignity, and equal rights.

As a result of her appointment to the SF Recreation and Parks Commission, Newhouse Segal became a dedicated Parks and Open Space activist, bringing Parks advocates and neighbors together with public officials and philanthropists to create beautiful and safe playgrounds and recreation areas throughout San Francisco. Long after her tenure as a Commissioner, she continues her neighborhood advocacy and activism as President of Friends of Lafayette Park, and an advisor to other park projects.

Newhouse Segal's love of San Francisco and commitment to "localism" is evidenced in her work on the boards of San Francisco Beautiful and Pacific Heights Residents Association to maintain traditional San Francisco character while adapting to a dynamic, growing and changing city.

Newhouse Segal is proud to serve on the San Francisco Film Commission, helping to spread the image of San Francisco and the artistry of its residents of through film.

Office of the Mayor san Francisco



LONDON N. BREED MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

May 2, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.141, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following nomination for the appointment of Paul Woolford to the Public Works Commission, Seat 2 (Registered Architect; Licensed in the State of California) for a four-year term ending July 2, 2026.

Attached are Mr. Woolford's qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how his appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696.

Sincerely,

London N. Breed Mayor, City and County of San Francisco





EDUCATION Bachelor of Architecture Cornell University, 1983

PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATIONS

Registered Architect: California, Nevada, Hawaii

LEED® Accredited Professional

MEMBERSHIPS

American Institute of Architects (AIA)

International Interior Design Association (IIDA)

Lambda Alpha, Land Economics Society

Society of College & University Planning (SCUP)

Urban Land Institute (ULI)

US Green Building Council (USGBC)

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors, 2005–2011

Instructor, "Client Communications," City and County of San Francisco DPW, May 2013

HOK Board of Directors, 2004–2010, 2012

Atlanta Urban Design Commission, 2003 - 2005

Atlanta/Fulton County Public Library System Board of Trustees, 1999–2003

Design Instructor, University of California, Berkeley; Cornell University; Savannah College of Art & Design; and the California College of the Arts

Chair, AIA Young Architects Forum and Emerging Professionals Taskforce

PAUL WOOLFORD, AIA, LEED® AP

Senior Vice President | Design Principal

Named "Outstanding Young Architect of the Year" in 2003 by the American Institute of Architects, Paul has been responsible for design leadership on several of HOK's most complex, innovative and sustainable buildings and environments.

Having joined HOK in 1997, Paul was promoted to Design Principal of the Atlanta office after only one year. In 2005, Paul was named as Design Principal for the San Francisco studio, where he has continued to focus on projects that are design catalysts for helping people rethink the relationship between themselves and their environments. As Design Principal, he is responsible for all aspects of the design process, leading interdisciplinary teams comprised of architects, engineers, planners, interior designers and landscape architects.

Paul's design work and civic involvement in San Francisco gives him a broad, yet deep understanding of the many aspects within the urban realm, particularly at multiple scales. This enables and prepares him for the responsibilities of the architectural position on the San Francisco Civic Arts Commission:

- His successful design leadership in San Francisco is exemplified by notable projects including the Moscone Convention Center renovation, AT&T Park (formerly PacBell Park), Levi's Plaza, the San Francisco Musuem at the Mint, and the Public Safety Building, targeted for LEED Gold certification.
- Paul's commitment to civic involvement, particularly in the Bay Area, has provided him a distinctive balance to his design work. He has served in board positions for the S.F. Chamber of Commerce, the Civic Center YMCA, the Opera Parallele, the S.F. Golden Gate Chapter of Lambda Alpha, as well as the UC Berkeley Dean's Council.
- Mentoring the next generation of designers plays a crucial role in Paul's professional practice. He has served as Design Instructor at UC Berkeley and frequently lectures for local chapters of the AIA, US Green Building Council, ULI, CoreNet and the National Trust for Historic Preservation.

His diverse portfolio includes civic and institutional buildings; corporate and commercial buildings; educational facilities; research facilities; hospitals; aviation/transportation facilities; and museums. Having practiced architecture in London, Atlanta and San Francisco, his work has been honored with numerous awards from various notable organizations including: the national, California and San Francisco chapters of the AIA, IIDA and SCUP; Dedalo Minosse; Venice Biennale; and R & D Magazine. He and his work have been featured in publications such as the San Francisco Chronicle, the SF Business Times, Architectural Record, Architecture, and Contract magazine.



PAUL WOOLFORD, AIA, LEED® AP

SELECTED AWARDS

ENR Best Projects Northern California/ Hawaii: Higher Education/Research category, Award of Merit, NOAA Inouye Regional Center, 2014

Historic Hawaii Foundation Preservation Honor Awards, Citation for New Construction, NOAA Inouye Regional Center, 2014

IIDA Northern California Chapter, "Heal" Merit Award, Mount Elizabeth Novena Hospital, 2013

AIA San Francisco Chapter, "Special Achievement Award", re:NEWS, 2012

IIDA Northern California Chapter, "Work Big" Merit Award, *Gunderson Dettmer Law Offices*, 2011

IIDA Northern California Chapter, "Serve" Merit Award, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, 2011

AIA San Francisco Chapter, "Energy + Sustainability" Citation Award, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, 2011

AIA San Francisco Chapter, Excellence in Architecture, Merit Award, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, 2011

Honorable Mention, Floor Focus, 2010 Visions Design Awards, California Teachers Retirement System (CalSTRS), Corporate Headquarters, 2010

AIA California Chapter Design Excellence Award, Wind Portal Project

2008 Honorable Mention, Dedalo Minosse International Prize (Georgia Archives)

National AIA Design Excellence Award, Library Building Award, *Georgia Archives*, 2005

LEED® 2.0 Silver Award, Emory University, Whitehead Biomedical Research Building

EXPERIENCE PUBLIC AND INSTITUTIONAL

The Mint Project: New Museum San Francisco, California 100,000 sq. ft.

Public Safety Building San Francisco, California 264,000 sq. ft. LEED Gold anticipated

Moscone Convention Center Interior Renovation San Francisco, California 1.2 million sq. ft. LEED Gold certified

Georgia State Archives and Conservation Laboratory Morrow, Georgia 175,000 sq. ft.

CORPORATE/COMMERCIAL

Confidential Client Headquarters Peer Review Pleasanton, California 430,000 sq. ft.

California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) Headquarters West Sacramento, California 600,000 sq. ft.

China Basin Landing, Bio-Science Addition San Francisco, California 145,000 sq. ft.

Bay Meadows Mixed Use Development San Mateo, California 1.4 million sq. ft.

535 Mission Street
 San Francisco, California
 354,000 sq. ft., 27 floors
 LEED Gold anticipated

LEED Certified
 *Experience prior to joining HOK

N Central+Wolfe

Silicon Valley, California 770,000 sq. ft. LEED Platinum/Net Zero potential

Tishman Speyer Properties, Mission Towers* Santa Clara, California 1,000,000 sq. ft.

US WEST/Advanced Technologies* Boulder, Colorado 400,000 sq. ft.

Pacific Bell Corporate Headquarters* Bishop's Ranch, California 1 million sq. ft.

Paternoster Square Development* London, England 1.5 million sq. ft.

Moor House* London, England 485,000 sq. ft.

Commonwealth Club* London, England 250,000 sq. ft.

EDUCATION

Emory University Faculty Building at Grady Atlanta, Georgia 74,780 sq. ft.

Kennesaw State University, English Classroom Building Kennesaw, Georgia 35,000 sq. ft.

HEALTHCARE

Naiser Permanente Redwood City Replacement Hospital Redwood City, California 272,000 sq. ft., 149 beds



PAUL WOOLFORD, AIA, LEED® AP

Lab of the Year Award, R&D Magazine

Georgia AIA Design Excellence Award, Georgia Archives, 2004

AIA National Young Architect of the Year Award, 2003

Genencor International Technology Center, 1997

Lab of the Year, Winning Entry in the Hong Kong Victoria Peak, R & D Magazine, Competition with Terry Farrell, London, UK. 1996

AIA Certificate of Merit Award, San Francisco International Airport BART Station, 1996

SELECTED PUBLICATIONS

"Bay Meadows Unveils 'Social Street' Concept, The Registry, February 2014

"Tech Startups Will Go Crazy for this Futuristic Campus in Silicon Valley", Business Insider, February 2014

"Biomimicry for an Innovative Built Environment", Wired blog, 2014

"First Look at Central & Wolfe's New Silicon Valley Campus", curbedSF, 2014

"How Reverse Engineering Nature Can Spur Design Innovation", Fast Co Exist, September 2013

"The San Francisco Mint: Preserving the Past, Sustaining the Future", Centerline Newsletter of the Center for the Built Environment at the University of California, Berkeley, Summer 2011

2007-2008 Exhibition Catalogue, Dedalo Minosse International Prize (Georgia Archives)

"Profile on HOK", Interiors Magazine, 2004

"Profile on Georgia Archives", Contract Magazine, September 2004

EXPERIENCE

 Highland Hospital, Acute Tower
 Replacement
 Oakland, California
 250 beds; 14 acres containing 8 buildings and 2 parking garages.

Gleneagles Shanghai International Hospital Design Competition Shanghai, China 83,824 sq. m.; 500 beds

HOSPITALITY / MIXED USE / RETAIL

Hotel Mulia, Gatot Subroto Jakarta, Indonesia 700,000 sq. ft.

South Bank Centre Master Plan* London, England

Bridgestreet Mixed-Use Center* Huntsville, Alabama 1.7 million sq. ft.

Santa Barbara Paseo Mixed-Use Complex* Santa Barbara, California 1.85 million sq. ft.

Stonestown Center* San Francisco, California 1.75 million sq. ft.

SCIENCE + TECHNOLOGY

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Inouye Regional Center Pearl Harbor, Hawaii 310,000 sq. ft. LEED Gold anticipated

SRI International Master Plan Menlo Park, California 1.55 million sq. ft. LEED Platinum/Net Zero potential

LEED Certified
 *Experience prior to joining HOK

University of Southern California Michelson Center for Convergent Bioscience Los Angeles, California 200,000 sq. ft. LEED Gold equivalent

University of California Merced, Medical Education Facility Master Plan Merced, California 150,000 sq. ft.

University of California, San Diego, Science and Engineering Research Facility* San Diego, California 100,000 sq. ft.

Emory University Whitehead Biomedical Research Building Atlanta, Georgia 325,000 sq. ft.

Georgia Tech, U. A. Whitaker Biomedical Engineering Building Atlanta, Georgia 93,000 sq. ft.

Genentech Building Five* South San Francisco, California 185,000 sq. ft.

Genencor International Technology Center* Palo Alto, California 250,000 sq. ft.

Florida State University, College of Medicine Tallahassee, Florida 277,000 sq. ft.

Auburn University, College of Engineering Campus Auburn, Alabama 400,000 sq. ft.



PAUL WOOLFORD, AIA, LEED® AP

SELECTED SPEAKING ENGAGEMENTS

USGBC Greenbuild, "Integrating Nature and Biophelia - from Theory to Practice", October 2014

Johnson Controls Business Leaders Forum, "NOAA and SF Mint", September 2014

CoreNet Northern California, "Panel on Tech Campuses", July 2014

Lambda Alpha International Land Economics Weekend, NOAA, June 2014

UC Berkeley Studio One Symposium, "The Nature of Programming Matter", February 2014

University of California, Berkeley -Studio 1 Lecture Series "Site Ecologies Multiscale Analysis (Extrinsic and Intrinsic)" October 28, 2013

RealShare Bay Area - "How Tech Tenants are Driving Demand for Commercial Real Estate", September 2013

AIA DesignRealized - "NOAA Pacific: Preserving the Past, Sustaining the Future", July 2013

AIA DesignRealized - "Back to the Future: An Approach to Green Preservation at the Old Mint in San Francisco", May 2013

"Steampunk'd Two - Regenerating the Past for Future Resilience", May 2013

"Biomimicry: Achieving Sustainable Solutions by Emulating Nature's Patterns and Principals", 1st Annual Hawaii Sustainability in Higher Education Summit, April 2013

Convergence: Creating High Performance Research and Learning Environments for Interdisciplinary Science", SCUP Pacific Regional Conference, April 2013

"In a Changing Light: the Return of Daylight to North American Museums," Mid-Atlantic Association of Museums (MAAM) Conference, 2011

"Preserving the Past/Sustaining the Future", USGBC Federal Summit, 2010

EXPERIENCE

University of Georgia Paul D. Coverdell Building for the Biomedical and Health Sciences Institute Athens, Georgia 145,000 sq. ft.

University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Shelby Hall Tuscaloosa, Alabama 224,000 sq. ft.

University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa Science and Engineering Complex Master Plan Phase II Implementation Tuscaloosa, Alabama

University of Alabama Transportation Applied Sciences Campus Huntsville, Alabama 300,000 sq. ft.

Major Information Technology Company, Western US Campus Master Plan San Francisco Bay Area

NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Laboratory, Dining and Office Complex, George C. Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, Alabama 360,000 sq. ft. LEED Gold

Syntex SYVA* San Jose, California 320,000 sq. ft.

Taisei Technology Research Park*

Yokahama, Japan 2 million sq. ft.

AVIATION / TRANSPORTATION

Orlando International Airport, South Terminal Complex Orlando, Florida 785,000 sq. ft.

San Francisco International Airport Concourse H* San Francisco, California 250,000 sq. ft.

Thameslink 2000* London, England

Transbay Terminal Master Plan San Francisco, California Cardiff Bay Development Master Plan* Cardiff, Wales 4.5 million sq. ft.

South Kensingston Development* London, England 400,000 sq. ft.

LEED Certified

*Experience prior to joining HOK

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION A Public Please type or print in ink. NAME OF FILER (LAST) Woolford, Paul 1. Office, Agency, or Court Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) City and County of San Francisco Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Arts Commission If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyma) Agency: 	CONOMIC INTERESTS TR PAGE Date Initial Filing Recei Filing Official Use Only E-Filed E-Filed
NAME OF FILER (LAST) Woolford, Paul I. Office, Agency, or Court Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) City and County of San Francisco Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Arts Commission ► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)	<i>c Document</i> 03/24/2022 14:10:25 Filing ID:
Woolford, Paul I. Office, Agency, or Court Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) City and County of San Francisco Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Arts Commission ► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acron	203014395
 Office, Agency, or Court Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) City and County of San Francisco Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Arts Commission If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyme) 	(FIRST) (MIDDLE)
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) City and County of San Francisco Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Arts Commission If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acron	
City and County of San Francisco Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Arts Commission If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acron	
 Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Arts Commission If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acron 	
Arts Commission ► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acron	
► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acron	Your Position
	Commissioner
Agency:	lyms)
	Position:
Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)	
State	Udge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
Multi-County	X County of San Francisco
X City of San Francisco	Other
Type of Statement (Check at least one box)	
Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021.	Leaving Office: Date Left// (Check one circle)
-or- The period covered is/, through December 31, 2021.	 The period covered is January 1, 2021 through the date of leaving office.
Assuming Office: Date assumed//	O The period covered is/, through the date of leaving office.
Candidate:Date of Election and office sought, if different	t than Part 1:
Schedule Summary (must complete) ► Total number of pages Schedules attached	ges including this cover page: <u>3</u>
Image: Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached Image: Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached	chedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached
Schedule A-2 - Investments – schedule attached	chedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached
Schedule B - Real Property – schedule attached	chedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached
pr-	
□ None - No reportable interests on any schedule	
Verification	
MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY (Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)	STATE ZIP CODE
San Franc	
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER E-MA	IL ADDRESS
() I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed the	
herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that	
	a public document.
Date Signed 03/24/2022 Signate (month, day, year)	a public document. at the foregoing is true and correct.

060600029-NFH-0029

SCHEDULE A-1 Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

CALIFORNIA FORM	70	
-----------------	----	--

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Vame	
------	--

Woolford, Paul

(Ownership	Interest is	Less	Than	10%)
Invest	ments must	be iter	nized.	

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

►	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
	Hellmuth Obata Kassabaum inc		
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
	Architectural design firm		
	FAIR MARKET VALUE		FAIR MARKET VALUE
	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000		\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
	X \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT IX Stock Other		NATURE OF INVESTMENT
	(Describe)		(Describe)
	Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499		Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499
	○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)		○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
	/ / 21 / / 21		/ / 21 / / 21
	ACQUIRED DISPOSED		ACQUIRED DISPOSED
•	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	† '	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
	FAIR MARKET VALUE		FAIR MARKET VALUE
	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000		\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
	S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT		NATURE OF INVESTMENT
	Stock Other		Stock Other
	(Describe) ☐ Partnership () Income Received of \$0 - \$499		(Describe)
	Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)		 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
	/ / / / / /		/ / _21 / / _21
	ACQUIRED DISPOSED		ACQUIRED DISPOSED
	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	+	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
	FAIR MARKET VALUE		FAIR MARKET VALUE
	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000		\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
	S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT		NATURE OF INVESTMENT
	Stock Other		Stock Other
	(Describe)		(Describe)
	□ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499 ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)		Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499 O Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
	/ / 21 / / 21		/ / 21 / / 21
	ACQUIRED DISPOSED		ACQUIRED DISPOSED
	I		

Comments: _____

060600029-NFH-0029

SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Woolford, Paul

► 1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Hellmuth, Obata + Kassabaum Inc	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94104	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Architecture	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
Senior Principal	
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position O
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
□ \$10,001 - \$100,000 X OVER \$100,000	S10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
X Salary Dysection Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
Sale of	Sale of
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)	(Real property, car, boat, etc.)
Loan repayment	Loan repayment
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more	Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more
(Describe)	(Describe)
Other (Describe)	Other
(Describe)	(Describe)

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE		TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	%	None None	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	SECURITY FOR LC	DAN	sidence
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	Real Property _		Street address
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000 \$40,001 - \$10,000	-		City
<pre>\$10,001 - \$100,000</pre> OVER \$100,000	Other		(Describe)

Comments: ___

From:	Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
To:	Breed, Mayor London (MYR); BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); PEARSON, ANNE (CAT);
	<u>Paulino, Tom (MYR); SHEN, ANDREW (CAT); Arntz, John (REG)</u>
Subject:	Announcement: Final Map
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 1:47:13 PM
Attachments:	Final Map Memo.pdf
	2022.04.28 - SF BOS Final Map.pdf

Hello,

Please see the attached memo from Chair Arnold Townsend regarding the Final Map adopted by the Redistricting Task Force on April 28, 2022.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Office of the Clerk of the Board

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 415-554-5184 Fax No. 415-554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 415-554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date:	May 2, 2022
То:	Mayor London N. Breed Members, Board of Supervisors
From:	Chair Arnold Townsend, Chair of the Redistricting Task Force
Subject:	Announcement: Final Map

On April 28, 2022, the 2021-22 Redistricting Task Force adopted a final map for new supervisorial district boundaries. As the City Attorney advised in a public memorandum, dated April 19, 2022, the new lines are immediately effective and are not subject to any further City approvals.

Please make sure that all references to the outdated district maps within your department are reviewed and revised to immediately point to the new final map, attached to the email.

To access a more detailed version of the final map, please visit the 2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force at <u>https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force</u>, and select the "RDTF Map Viewer."

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

c: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board John Arntz, Director of Elections Andrew Shen, Deputy City Attorney

District Statistics


District	Total Population	Deviation	% Deviation	%Latino CVAP	%Black CVAP	%Asian CVAP	%Indigenous CVAP	%White CVAP
1	75,727	-3,818	-4.80%	7.16%	2.89%	41.25%	0.44%	47.17%
2	75,950	-3,595	-4.52%	6.27%	2.45%	17.94%	0.33%	72.64%
3	79,301	-244	-0.31%	6.95%	3.85%	38.03%	0.78%	49.43%
4	75,998	-3,547	-4.46%	7.15%	1.72%	54.51%	0.43%	34.95%
5	83,506	3,961	4.98%	10.59%	12.60%	20.75%	0.87%	53.51%
6	76,009	-3,536	-4.45%	11.37%	8.52%	38.20%	0.61%	39.84%
7	78,689	-856	-1.08%	11.20%	4.01%	35.00%	0.40%	48.21%
8	83,420	3,875	4.87%	10.48%	3.59%	16.39%	0.57%	68.69%
9	81,563	2,018	2.54%	26.24%	4.91%	25.34%	0.49%	41.87%
10	82,146	2,601	3.27%	14.50%	19.55%	42.16%	0.23%	21.02%
11	82,684	3,139	3.95%	21.12%	5.39%	56.56%	0.19%	15.85%

CVAP = Citizen Voting Age Population

Estimates were rounded and therefore the detail may not exactly add to the total


Data Sources:

(1) 2020 Census Redistricting Data [P.L. 94-171] Data source: U.S. Census Bureau 2020 P.L. 94-171 Summary File adjusted for incarcerated populations by the Statewide Database (2) Total Citizen Voting Age Population - Statewide Database, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5 year estimates




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.


ard of Supervisors Redistrict



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Final Map; April 28, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.


Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Final Map; April 28, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Board of Supervisors Redistricting




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.



District 6 - Detailed


Board of Supervisors Redistricting



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Final Map; April 28, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Final Map; April 28, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Final Map; April 28, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Final Map; April 28, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Supervisor Haney"s Resignation Letter, Revised
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:30:00 PM
Attachments:	Haney Letter 5.2.22.pdf

Please find attached a resignation letter from Supervisor Haney dated May 2, 2022.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 11:05 AM
To: Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Wong, Jessica (BOS)
<jessica.j.wong@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Supervisor Haney's Resignation Letter, Revised

From: Barnett, Monica (BOS) <<u>monica.barnett@sfgov.org</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 10:28 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <<u>angela.calvillo@sfgov.org</u>>
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <<u>eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org</u>>; Haney, Matt (BOS)
<<u>matt.haney@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: Supervisor Haney's Resignation Letter, Revised

Good morning Madam Clerk,

Please find a revised resignation letter from Supervisor Haney attached. He has corrected the resignation date to yesterday, 5/2.

Thank you!

Best,

Monica

Monica Barnett

Legislative Aide Office of Supervisor Matt Haney, District 6 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco | Room 244 (415) 554-7970 (Office)

City and County of San Francisco



Board of Supervisors

MATT HANEY DISTRICT 6

May 2, 2022

Madam Clerk Calvillo,

This letter is to inform you of my official resignation as District 6 Supervisor effective today, May 2, 2022. I will be assuming office as Assemblymember of District 17 May 3, 2022.

It has been an honor and a privilege serving the people of District 6, and I want to extend my thanks to your staff for all your hard work and dedication to the people of San Francisco.

Best,

Mat An

Matt Haney Supervisor, District 6 San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: My resignation from the Entertainment Commission on May 15, 2022
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 3:02:00 PM
Attachments:	ECResignationLetter04292022.PDF

Please see below and attached for communication from Mr. Steven Lee regarding his position on the Entertainment Commission.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Board of Supervisors - Clerk's Office 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Direct line: (415) 554-7732 | Office line: (415) 554-5184 Pronouns: he / him / his

From: Steven Lee <steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 1, 2022 11:06 AM
To: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
<angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Weiland, Maggie (ADM) <maggie.weiland@sfgov.org>; Ben Bleiman
<benny.bleiman@gmail.com>
Subject: My resignation from the Entertainment Commission on May 15, 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 29, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Supervisor Shamann Walton Board President 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear President Walton and Esteemed Supervisors,

I hope you are all doing well. I would like to inform you that on May 15th 2022. I will be

officially retiring from the Entertainment Commission. Despite my love and passion for the music industry and the livelihood of San Francisco's nightlife small businesses, I have decided that it's time for me to step down from my position and pass the torch to a younger generation.

As my tenure on the Entertainment Commission is coming to an end, I can still remember the contention that existed in the entertainment industry among SFPD, SF residents, and venue owners when I was first appointed. Today, after years of work, San Francisco is enjoying a peaceful time where all parties could thrive in harmony.

I am very proud of our work developing and maintaining best practice policies for public safety around venues in San Francisco, both day and night. We created new policies and procedures to improve and optimize business performance within the entertainment sector. It gave me an opportunity to offer my expertise and experience in advising Chapter 116 sound mitigation review and recommendation of new residential projects going up near existing places of entertainment in the city, while also requiring an outdoor public lighting plan to mediate any future public safety issues. I will always continue to work hard to rebuild and enhance tourism incentives to buttress San Francisco's \$8 billion nightlife industry as it was prior to the Covid-19 pandemic.

It has been truly an honor and pride of mine to serve as your Entertainment "Industry" Commissioner for the last ten years. I leave this position with an experience and memory that I will cherish for the rest of my life.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to me if you have any questions.

All my best,

Steven B. Lee Entertainment Commissioner, San Francisco

Cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Maggie Weiland, Director of the Entertainment Commission

Have a great week.

Steven Lee 415.720.4208

STEVEN LEE VENTURES

DL: 415.720.4208 11am - 11pm www.stevenleeventures.com steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com http://facebook.com/glaskatbossman StevenLeeTopPicks@groups.facebook.com

Entertainment Commission of San Francisco

Commissioner - Industry Seat / www.sfgov.org/entertainment Sam Wo Ventures, LLC Co-Founder / Shareholder / www.samworestaurant.com Lion's Den Ventures, LLC Co-Founder / Shareholder / www.lionsdenbarandlounge.com/ Portsmouth Square Garage Corporation Board Member / www.sfmta.com/garages-lots/portsmouth-square-Chinese Railroad Workers Memorial Project Founder / Director / www.crrwmemorialproject.com California Music and Culture Association Board Member / www.cmacsf.com

This electronic mail message contains opinioned, privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader is not the intended addressee, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

STEVEN B. LEE

April 29, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Supervisor Shamann Walton Board President 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear President Walton and Esteemed Supervisors,

I hope you are all doing well. I would like to inform you that on May 15th 2022. I will be officially retiring from the Entertainment Commission. Despite my love and passion for the music industry and the livelihood of San Francisco's nightlife small businesses, I have decided that it's time for me to step down from my position and pass the torch to a younger generation.

As my tenure on the Entertainment Commission is coming to an end, I can still remember the contention that existed in entertainment industry among SFPD, SF residents, and venue owners when I was first appointed. Today, after years of work. San Francisco is enjoying a peaceful time where all parties could thrive in harmony.

I am very proud of our work developing and maintaining best practice policies for public safety around venues in San Francisco, both day and night. We created new policies and procedures to improve and optimize business performance within the entertainment sector. It gave me an opportunity to offer my expertise and experience in advising Chapter 116 sound mitigation review and recommendation of new residential projects going up near existing places of entertainment in the city, while also requiring an outdoor public lighting plan to mediate any future public safety issues. I will always continue to work hard to rebuild and enhance tourism incentives to buttress San Francisco's \$8 billion nightlife industry as it was prior to the Covid-19 pandemic.

It has been truly an honor and pride of mine to serve as your Entertainment "Industry" Commissioner for the last ten years. I leave this position with an experience and memory that I will cherish for the rest of my life.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to me if you have any questions.

All my best,

Steven B. Lee Entertainment Commissioner, San Francisco

Cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Maggie Weiland, Director of the Entertainment Commission

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Emergency Ordinance 61-19: HSH reporting
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:33:00 PM
Attachments:	2021 Emergency Ordinance 61-19 Report - HSH.pdf

Please find attached the 2021 Emergency Ordinance 61-19 report provided by the San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Miller, Bryn (HOM) <bryn.miller@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 4:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Emily (HOM)
<emily.cohen@sfgov.org>; Velasquez, Edilyn (HOM) <edilyn.velasquez@sfgov.org>
Subject: Emergency Ordinance 61-19: HSH reporting

Hi Clerk's Office –

Attached, please find HSH's report on our use of Emergency Ordinance 61-19 in calendar year 2021. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks!



Bryn Miller (she/her) Legislative Analyst San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing <u>bryn.miller@sfgov.org</u> | M: 978.460.2875

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH | Like: @SanFranciscoHSH_

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained

herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.



Shireen McSpadden, Executive Director

To: Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: Emily Cohen, Deputy Director of Communications and Legislative Affairs Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

Date: May 3, 2022

Subject: 2021 Emergency Ordinance 61-19 Annual Report

In April 2019, the Board of Supervisor unanimously passed an ordinance to streamline contracting for homeless services and siting for homeless shelters (Emergency Ordinance 61-19). This ordinance made several changes to the Administrative Code to expedite homeless services, including:

- Waiving competitive procurement rules for homeless service contracts. Eligible contracts include site-based services like congregate and non-congregate temporary shelter programs, coordinated entry access points and supportive housing as well as outreach, scattered site supportive housing and other homeless services that are not site-specific.
- Extending operations of Navigation Centers beyond the current two-year limit to support maintaining shelter capacity.
- Allowing shelters by right in areas where they were previously permitted as a conditional use, including PDR and SALI districts.

The ordinance requires the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) to submit an annual report on all contracts awarded under this expedited procedure. This memo serves as HSH's required annual report for calendar year 2021.

HSH entered into 72 agreements with start dates between January 1, 2021, and December 31, 2021, using the authority granted in Ordinance 61-19. These agreements fall into the following service areas:

- Outreach (1)
- Temporary Shelter (18)

- Coordinated Entry and Problem Solving (9)
- Housing (44)

E)

London Breed, Mayor

Utilization of the Ordinance 61-19 increased during this time period due to the continued impacts of the COVID-19 public health crisis and our need to move quickly to both expand and maintain essential services. These agreements have allowed HSH to bring online much needed housing, shelter, and other critical resources to more rapidly to serve individuals, families, and youth experiencing homelessness. Expansions in calendar year 2021 included:

- 14 agreements utilizing Prop C dollars to facilitate quick implementation of critical resources with this new funding.
- **5** new Coordinated Entry agreements: expansion focused on serving communities of color, veterans, domestic violence survivors, and the LGBTQ+ and TGNC community.
 - **4** new Access Points added with capacity to serve 2,900 clients annually.
 - **1** additional agreement to expand CE services to serve 36 survivors of domestic violence.
- **14 n**ew housing agreements with support for up to:
 - 649 Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool (FHSP) slots (subsidies and/or services). This category includes some support services for Emergency Housing Voucher holders.
 - 444 site-based permanent housing slots: services for site-based housing units (PSH or Housing Ladder).
 - 245 Rapid Rehousing Housing (subsidies and/or services).
 - **700** guests exiting SIP hotels provided with services to navigate the housing process.
- 5 new shelter agreements with 406 new shelter beds:
 - **211** units of non-congregate winter shelter in repurposed SIP hotels.
 - **70** non-congregate cabins at 33 Gough Street.
 - **125** beds at re-opened Navigation Centers, including 61 beds for transgender and gender non-conforming people and cis-gender women.

In addition to the contracts adding critical capacity, HSH used the authority within the ordinance to continue or extend 48 agreements for existing services during the pandemic. These agreements will be reprocured as part of the Department's procurement plan to support standardization of all contracts over the next three years. See the table below for specific information on the amount, length, and program objectives of each agreement.

While the ordinance waives the requirement for a competitive procurement process for homeless service contracts, HSH selected providers based on their previous experience, performance, and ability to start providing services quickly.



Service Type	Provider Name	Program	Not to Exceed	Term (Years)	Term Start Date	Term End Date	Program Objectives
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	Dolores Street Community Center	Adult Access Point	\$3,141,617	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Provide Problem Solving and other Coordinated Entry services to between 750 and 900 adults annually.
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	San Francisco Network Ministries Housing Corp	Domestic Violence Coordinated Entry	\$239,583	2.08	12/1/2021	12/31/2023	Provide access to Homelessness Response System resources for at least 36 survivors.
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	St. Vincent de Paul Society Of San Francisco	Adult Access Points	\$1,628,662	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Provide Access Point services to 750 to 900 people annually.
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	Swords to Plowshares- Veterans Rights Org	Veterans Access Points	\$3,493,651	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Provide Access Point services to 500 people annually.
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	Transgender, Gender Variant and Intersex Justice Project (TGIJP)	Adult Access Points	\$989,563	3.00	8/1/2021	6/30/2024	Provide Access Point services to between 500 and 600 people annually.
Housing	Abode Services	Rapid Rehousing & Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool (Prop C)	\$9,900,000	2.37	2/15/2021	6/30/2023	Housing locator, rental assistance, and support services (including EHV) for 40 people.
Housing	Five Keys Schools and Programs	Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool & Rapid Re-	\$6,000,000	2.37	2/15/2021	6/30/2023	Housing locator, rental assistance, and support services (including EHV) for 45 clients.

Table 1: HSH Agreements for New Programs Entered in 2021 Using Ordinance 61-19



		Housing (Prop C)					
Housing	Bayview Hunters Point Foundation for Community Improvement	Rapid Rehousing & Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool (Prop C)	\$7,368,925	2.37	2/15/2021	6/30/2023	Housing locator, rental assistance, and support services for 35 households with EHVs.
Housing	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool (Prop C)	\$9,900,000	2.37	2/15/2021	6/30/2023	Housing locator, rental assistance, and services for 60 households.
Housing	Brilliant Corners	Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool (Prop C)	\$9,900,000	1.37	2/15/2021	6/30/2022	Housing Locator, rental assistance, and services for 175 adults and 125 older adults.
Housing	Catholic Charities	Emergency Housing Voucher Program (Prop C)	\$1,289,213	1.54	12/15/202 1	6/30/2023	Housing locator, rental assistance, and support services for 31 family households with an EHV.
Housing	At the Crossroads	Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool Support Services	\$562,742	3.08	6/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support Services for 18 TAY in Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool units.
Housing	Felton Institute	Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool (Prop C)	\$3,086,749	2.37	2/15/2021	6/30/2023	Case Management services for 60 households.



628.652.7700 | <u>hsh.sfgov.org</u>

Housing	Five Keys Schools and Programs	Artmar	\$6,704,364	2.08	6/1/2021	6/30/2023	Master lease, property management, and support services for TAY and adult clients in 61 units.
Housing	Tenderloin Housing Clinic Inc (THC)	Abigail Hotel	\$7,045,244	3.16	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	Master lease, property management, and support services for 62 units of Housing Ladder.
Housing	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Hotel Diva	\$3,223,741	2.92	8/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support services for 176 units.
Housing	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Tahanan	\$3,074,403	2.92	8/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support services at 145 units. Selected as a provider through a competitive RFP process through the Housing Accelerator Fund and Mercy Housing.
Housing	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Rapid Rehousing (Prop C)	\$9,749,200	2.37	2/15/2021	6/30/2023	Rapid Rehousing housing locator, support services, and rental assistance for 125 tenants.
Housing	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Housing Navigation Expansion	\$900,000	1.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2022	As-needed Housing Navigation for up to 700 clients exiting SIPs.
Temporary Shelter	Urban Alchemy	Safe Sleep @ 33 Gough Cabins (Prop C)	\$5,022,514	1.41	11/1/2021	3/31/2023	Non-congregate cabin program and safe sleep operations and services for 70 cabins.
Temporary Shelter	Providence Foundation Of San Francisco	Central Waterfront	\$9,354,203	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Shelter operations and services for 64 adults.



		Navigation Center					
Temporary Shelter	St. James Infirmary	Taimon Booton Navigation Center (aka Bryant)	\$8,964,393	3.00	12/1/2021	6/30/2024	Shelter operations and services for 61 TGNC and cis-women adults
Temporary Shelter	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Cova Winter Shelter (formerly SIP Site 38)	\$2,525,244	0.53	12/18/202 1	6/30/2022	Non-congregate winter shelter for 95 people. Selected as SIP provider through competitive RFQ #130, extended through EO
Temporary Shelter	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Good Winter Shelter (formerly SIP Site 4)	\$4,323,281	0.50	12/18/202 1	6/30/2022	Non-congregate winter shelter for 108 people. Selected as SIP provider through competitive RFQ #130, extended through EO

Table 2: HSH Agreements Entered in 2021 to Extend/Continue Existing Programs Using Ordinance 61-19

Service Type	Provider Name	Program	Not to Exceed	Term (Years)	Term Start Date	Term End Date	Program Objectives
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Adult Access Points	\$9,816,708	2.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2023	Provide Access Point services to between 4,650 and 5,700 people annually.
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	Catholic Charities	Family Eviction Prevention Collaborative Homelessness Prevention (Prop C)	\$9,786,606	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Provide prevention and problem solving to 180 households annually.



6

Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	Eviction Defense Collaborative Inc (EDC)	Rental Assistance Disbursement Component (RADCO) (Prop C)	\$9,844,566	2.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2023	Provide prevention services to 200 households annually.
Coordinated Entry & Problem Solving	Hamilton Families	Homelessness Prevention (Prop C)	\$5,315,725	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Provide prevention services to 80 families annually.
Housing	Brilliant Corners	Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool (Prop C)	\$9,900,000	2.37	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Housing locator, rental assistance, and services for 150 Transitional Age Youth.
Housing	Brilliant Corners	Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool & Housing Ladder	\$9,000,000	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Housing locator, rental assistance, and housing ladder services for 338 adults and youth.
Housing	Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	Rent Payment/Money Management	\$4,027,558	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Third party rent payment or money management services for 1,200 - 1,300 clients per month.
Housing	Tenderloin Housing Clinic Inc (THC)	Money Management	\$3,124,249	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Modified payment plan support for 500 clients monthly.
Housing	Community Forward SF (Formerly CATS)	Coronado Hotel (Housing First Master Lease)	\$3,994,818	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Master lease, property management, and support services for 65 households
Housing	Conard House Inc	McAllister Hotel - Care Not Cash (CNC)	\$5,766,861	3.16	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	Master lease, property management, and support services for 80 units.
Housing	Conard House Inc	Aranda Hotel - Care Not Cash (CNC)	\$5,157,163	3.16	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	Master lease, property management and support services for 110 units.



Housing	Episcopal Community Services Of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Housing First Hotels-Alder, Crosby, Elm, Hillsdale, Mentone - Care Not Cash (CNC)	\$26,329,610	3.16	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	Master lease, property management, and support services for 463 SRO PSH units at 5 hotels.
Housing	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Auburn Hotel	\$4,550,063	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Master lease, property management, and support services for 70 units of PSH.
Housing	Swords to Plowshares- Veterans Rights Org	250 Kearny Support Services	\$1,309,706	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Property management and support services for 131 tenants.
Housing	Tenderloin Housing Clinic Inc (THC)	Crown, Winton, National Hotels	\$9,990,000	2.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2023	Property management and support services for 200 units of PSH.
Housing	Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corp (TNDC)	Prop 63 MHSA (West Hotel, Ambassador Hotel, Dalt Hotel, Ritz Hotel)	\$2,369,562	3.17	5/1/2021	6/30/2024	Property management for 21 units and support services for clients in 40 units.
Housing	Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corp (TNDC)	44 McAllister Associates - CCR Senior Housing	\$2,594,656	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Property management and support services in 75 units.
Housing	Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	Kinney Hotel	\$3,405,935	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Stabilization beds for 210 HIV- positive adults annually. Property management for 31 units of PSH.



Housing	Bridge Housing Corp	One Church Street Apartments	\$250,635	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Support services to 93 families.
Housing	Catholic Charities	10th & Mission LOSP	\$990,525	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Support services to at least 44 families.
Housing	Larkin Street Youth Services	Edward II LOSP	\$845,363	3.16	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	Support services for 24 TAY tenants.
Housing	Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	Bernal Gateway Apartments	\$572,157	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Support services for families in 54 units of supportive housing.
Housing	Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	Mosaica Family Apartments LOSP	\$1,036,773	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Support services for families in 20 units of supportive housing.
Housing	Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	990 Polk Senior Housing LOSP	\$913,731	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support services for 50 seniors in supportive housing.
Housing	Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	Folsom Dore Apartments LOSP	\$847,132	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support services for 40 people in supportive housing.
Housing	Lutheran Social Services of Northern California	Mosaica Senior Apartments	\$193,090	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support services for 11 older adults.
Housing	Mercy Housing California Dba Mission Creek Senior Community	Direct Access to Housing (DAH) at Mission Creek LOSP	\$551,988	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support services for 51 adults and older adults.



628.652.7700 | <u>hsh.sfgov.org</u>

Housing	Regents University of California San Francisco	Citywide Behavioral Health Roving Team	\$801,352	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Behavioral health case management to 165 tenants in supportive housing at any given time at 25 PSH sites.
Housing	Salvation Army	Railton Place LOSP	\$805,242	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Support services for 40 adults.
Housing	San Francisco Housing Development Corp	Bayview Commons (Prop C)	\$510,000	3.16	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	Support services for families with children in 30 units.
Housing	Tenants And Owners Development Corporation (TODCO)	Scattered Sites (Bayanihan House, Hotel Isabel, Knox Hotel)	\$900,663	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Support services for adults in 364 units.
Housing	Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corp (TNDC	Support Services at Kelly Cullen Community LOSP	\$2,207,845	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Support services for clients in 154 units.
Housing	Episcopal Community Services Of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Housing Navigation & Stabilization Services	\$6,186,227	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Rapid Rehousing housing locator and rental assistance for up to 600 clients.
Housing	Homeless Prenatal Program (HPP)	SHARE Needs based subsidies	\$8,911,828	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Rapid Rehousing and support services for 32 households annually.
Outreach	Homeless Youth Alliance, Inc (HYA)	Youth Outreach Services	\$805,090	3.16	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	Outreach services to 100 unduplicated Transitional Age Youth (TAY).
Temporary Shelter	Urban Alchemy	Safe Sleep @180 Jones	\$2,300,300	1.33	3/1/2021	6/30/2022	Safe Sleep operations and services for at least 15 households.



Temporary Shelter	Heluna Health	UNITED COUNCILOF HUMAN SERVICES (UCHS) Bayview Drop-In Resource Center (Mother Brown)	\$7,799,101	7.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Fiscal Intermediary services (fiscal management and HR management) to support people accessing UCHS drop-in center. Agreement transferred to Bayview Hunter s Point Foundation in early 2022.
Temporary Shelter	San Francisco Food Bank	Housing First Food Pantry (HSG 1st)	\$845,880	3.20	1/1/2021	2/29/2024	32,560 bags of food across 11 pantry distribution sites for tenants in supportive.
Temporary Shelter	Community Housing Partnership (CHP) Dba Homerise	Civic Center Navigation Center (AKA Civic Center Hotel)	\$9,591,282	2.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2023	Non-congregate shelter operations and services for 113 adults.
Temporary Shelter	Five Keys Schools and Programs	Bayshore Navigation Center (AKA 125 Bayshore)	\$9,915,220	2.49	1/1/2021	6/30/2023	Shelter and services for 128 adults (55 at COVID capacity).
Temporary Shelter	Catholic Charities	St. Joseph's Family Center	\$2,551,680	2.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2023	Shelter for 10 families every day (approx. 30 annually).
Temporary Shelter	Dolores Street Community Center	Emergency Shelter Services (Jazzie's Place & Santa Maria & Martha)	\$8,738,572	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Shelter for 53 guests under COVID capacity; 136 non- COVID capacity after shelter expansion.
Temporary Shelter	Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco Inc (ECS)	Sanctuary	\$6,110,917	1.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2022	Shelter for up to 124 individuals.
Temporary Shelter	Board of Trustees of the	Glide Walk-in Center	\$488,540	1.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2022	Resource center for adults to provide information to help



	Glide Foundation						guests find resources, assess safety and well-being, and increase quality of life and health.
Temporary Shelter	Providence Foundation of San Francisco	350 Jones Shelter Storage	\$590,936	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Shelter storage for 360 individuals.
Temporary Shelter	Heluna Health	SF HOT Stabilization Program (Hotel Rooms)	\$4,460,243	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Provide 86 stabilization rooms at any given time with services to support individuals stabilize.
Temporary Shelter	Larkin Street Youth Services	1020 Haight Street	\$1,938,406	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Transitional housing for 15 Transitional Age Youth (TAY).
Temporary Shelter	Larkin Street Youth Services	Castro Youth Housing Initiative (Belvedere)	\$4,997,984	3.00	7/1/2021	6/30/2024	Transitional housing for 38 Transitional Age Youth (TAY).

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Emergency Ordinance 61-19: HSH reporting
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:33:00 PM
Attachments:	2021 Emergency Ordinance 61-19 Report - HSH.pdf

Please find attached the 2021 Emergency Ordinance 61-19 report provided by the San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Miller, Bryn (HOM) <bryn.miller@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 4:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Emily (HOM)
<emily.cohen@sfgov.org>; Velasquez, Edilyn (HOM) <edilyn.velasquez@sfgov.org>
Subject: Emergency Ordinance 61-19: HSH reporting

Hi Clerk's Office –

Attached, please find HSH's report on our use of Emergency Ordinance 61-19 in calendar year 2021. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks!



Bryn Miller (she/her) Legislative Analyst San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing <u>bryn.miller@sfgov.org</u> | M: 978.460.2875

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH | Like: @SanFranciscoHSH_

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained

herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

Please see below for communication from the San Francisco Department of Elections regarding inperson voting in the June 7, 2022 Consolidated Statewide Direct Primary Election.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: SFVote, (REG) <sfvote@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 2:25 PM
Subject: Early Voting for the June 7, 2022 Election Begins on Monday, May 9

Department of Elections City and County of San Francisco John Arntz, Director

For Immediate Release SAN FRANCISCO, Tuesday, May 3, 2022 – In-person voting in the June 7, 2022 Consolidated Statewide Direct Primary Election begins on Monday, May 9 at the Voting Center in City Hall.

Between Monday, May 9 and Monday, June 6, the Voting Center on the ground floor of City Hall will be open weekdays, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. (except May 30th holiday). The Voting Center will also be open on the two weekends before the election, May 28-29 and June 4-5, from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m., and on Election Day, Tuesday, June 7, from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

"All eligible San Francisco residents may visit the Voting Center to register to vote, update their registration information, use accessible voting equipment, or return their mailed ballots," said Director John Arntz. "Later this week, the Department expects to deliver nearly 500,000 vote-by-mail ballot packets to the United States Postal Service for distribution to all currently registered voters in San Francisco. San Francisco will begin using the new State Assembly and U.S. Congressional District maps beginning with the June 7 Election; that means some voters will see different representatives running in those elected offices on the June 7 ballot."

To help voters check their current voting districts and learn if any of their voting districts have changed as a result of recent state and local redistricting, the Department has launched a new online tool, available from the Department's homepage and at: <u>sfelections.org/myvotingdistrict</u>.

The Department of Elections has begun providing Voter Information Pamphlets to all locally registered voters and expects to complete these mail and email deliveries by the end of the week. Inside the Voter Information Pamphlet, voters will find a sample ballot, information about candidates, local measures, new voting district lines, and voting options, and an invitation to serve their communities as a poll worker. On the back cover of the pamphlet, voters will find the address of their assigned polling place.

Voters may also access the pamphlet online by clicking "I WANT TO ... Read my voter pamphlet" on the homepage of <u>sfelections.org</u>. Currently the pamphlet is posted in PDF, HTML, and XML formats, with an audio MP3 format following shortly.

The Department of Elections also offers the pamphlet in accessible formats, including large print and audio on USB flash drive, National Library Service (NLC) cartridge, or compact disc (CD). Voters may request one of these formats by calling (415) 554-4375, TTY (415) 554-4386, or emailing <u>sfvote@sfelections.org</u>.

All voters receive a pamphlet in English. Those who have requested election materials in Chinese, Spanish, or Filipino will also receive a copy in their preferred language. Voters may request translated election materials when registering to vote or any time at <u>sfelections.org/language</u> or by calling (415) 554-4375.

Further information about the June 7 election is available at <u>sfelections.org</u> or by contacting the Department of Elections at (415) 554-4375.

###

Department of Elections City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 48 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4375 sfelections.org



Follow the San Francisco Department of Elections on Facebook and Twitter!

Your feedback is important to us! Please take our Customer Service Survey

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Stern Grove Concert Meadow to Re-open in Time for Summer Concerts
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:19:00 PM
Attachments:	STERN GROVE PRESS RELEASE (1).pdf

Please see attached for communication from the San Francisco Recreation and Park department regarding Stern Grove.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Montes, Daniel (REC) <daniel.montes@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 9:47 AM

To: maryann@sfstandard.com; Montes, Daniel (REC) <daniel.montes@sfgov.org>; KWART, JEN (CAT) <Jen.Kwart@sfcityatty.org>; tkpendergast@live.com; portia@windnewspaper.com; ida@sfstandard.com; Lim, Victor (DEM) <victor.lim@sfgov.org>; Belt, Madeline (REC) <madeline.belt@sfgov.org>; Laura Waxmann <lwaxmann@bizjournals.com>; jmcevoy@KQED.org; christine.lai@tinybeans.com; christine.lai@tinybeans.com; alex.lee@singtaousa.com; michael.lundin@foxnews.com; lazthedog@gmail.com; Griffin@sfbayview.com; sfartseditor@gmail.com; brontelavalliere@gmail.com; Emily.Fancher@sfchronicle.com; editorial@baynature.org; Press, DEM (DEM) <dempress@sfgov.org>; Hawkes, Alison (DPH) <alison.hawkes@sfdph.org>; elisabeth@sftravel.com; workywork@brittashoot.com; cgraf@sfmediaco.com; latinbayarea@gmail.com; bayareamoms.sf@gmail.com; laurie@sftravel.com; lindsjean@gmail.com; msggorelick@gmail.com; ktvudesk@foxtv.com; Jay.Martinez@FOXTV.COM; pfimrite@sfchronicle.com; terrysun@bayvoice.net; Grant.Marek@sfgate.com; nuala.bishari@gmail.com; Madeline.Wells@sfgate.com; illyanna@BrokeAssStuart.com; alex@BrokeAssStuart.com; sanfrancisco@thrillist.com; sstinson@kron4.com; amybsherman@me.com; Pixie.Clay@kcbsradio.com; suzie.liu@singtaousa.com; editor@richmondsunsetnews.com; katie.oreilly@sierraclub.org; keith.menconi@kcbsradio.com; teresa.hammerl@hoodline.com; editor@richmondsunsetnews.com; katia.savchuk@gmail.com; sravani@sfchronicle.com; imojadad@sfmediaco.com; christien.kafton@foxtv.com; lgarchik@sfchronicle.com; zusha.elinson@wsj.com; timredmondsf@gmail.com; srhodes@gmail.com; steve.yoder@wsj.com; sthanawala@ap.org; sources@hoodline.com; sana@48hills.org; riya.bhattacharjee@nbcuni.com; rob.roth@foxtv.com; roseaguilar@me.com; rshaw@beyondchron.org; pjelias@ap.org; news@kpfa.org;

dudnickl@sfusd.edu; news@kpoo.com; Brian Dinsmore <newsdesk@kpix.com>; newstips@foxtv.com; newstips@nbcbayarea.com; Press, DEM (DEM) <dempress@sfgov.org>; noticias14@univision.net; melanie.woodrow@abc.com; melissagriff@gmail.com; metro@sfchronicle.com; michael.lundin@foxnews.com; missiondispatch@sbcglobal.net; mkim@kqed.org; mark.matthews@nbcuni.com; laura.anthony@abc.com; lauren.smiley@gmail.com; kelly@kron4.com; kerman@kron4.com; kest1450@sbcglobal.net; kfagan@sfchronicle.com; jtucker@sfchronicle.com; julia.wong@theguardian.com; vic.lee@abc.com; julieta@kpfa.org; jwildermuth@sfchronicle.com; james.huntington@abc.com; janice.gin@foxtv.com; jcampbell@kqed.org; geoff.glaub@abc.com; editor@fogcityjournal.com; editor@noevalleyvoice.com; editor@potreroview.net; editor@sfbayview.com; editor@sfrichmondreview.com; editor@singtaousa.com; editor@thewesternedition.com; editor@westsideobserver.com; editors@newfillmore.com; emilamok@gmail.com; dinsmore@kpix.cbs.com; csamra@kpix.cbs.com; dan.simon@turner.com; dave.russo@abc.com; christie.smith@nbcuni.com; chase.cain@nbcuni.com; cduran@univision.net; Amber.lee@foxtv.com; carolyn.tyler@abc.com; aemslie@kged.org; akmakovec@cbs.com; HMadyun@kron4.com; TThadani@sfchronicle.com; Cretan, Jeff (MYR) <jeff.cretan@sfgov.org>; carrie.sisto@hoodline.com; johnny@funcheap.com; amyjeangraff@gmail.com; editor@newsforchinese.com; bill.zhen@bayvoice.net; karen.huang@bayvoice.net; kenji.lui@tvbusa.com; mingng119@gmail.com; haipingliu66@yahoo.com; assignment@singtaousa.com; cindyyip@chineseradio.com; han.li@chinesenews.com; amandachenhj@gmail.com; daniel@chinesenews.com; lku@ktsfnews.com; hleung@ktsfnews.com; sau@ktsfnews.com; angelina@ktsfnews.com; rshirinian@ktsftv.com; wli@ktsftv.com; kachan@ktsfnews.com; Betty Sullivan
<bettysnie@aol.com>; m.bajko@ebar.com; news@ebar.com; slslettieri@gmail.com; mlagos@kqed.org; tgoldberg@kqed.org; assignmentdesk@kqed.org; science@kqed.org; sshafer@kqed.org; producers@kgoradio.com; newstips@foxtv.com; Ellen.Ellery@foxtv.com; Cameron.Rogers@foxtv.com; Heather.Holmes@foxtv.com; Jana.Katsuyama@foxtv.com; Kellee.Roman@foxtv.com; berry@kron4.com; assignmentdesk@kron.com; jennifer.seelig@entercom.com; kgotv.desk@abc.com; Geoff.Glaub@abc.com; newstips@nbcbayarea.com; Pilar.Nino@nbcuni.com; Brian Dinsmore <newsdesk@kpix.com>; Dinsmore@kpix.cbs.com; Konczal@kpix.cbs.com; wwalker@kpix.cbs.com; newstips@nbc11.com; gonzo.rojas@nbcuni.com; joe.rosato@nbcuni.com; Jean.Elle@nbcuni.com; Noticias14@univision.net; DFracassa@sfchronicle.com; mlundgren@sfchronicle.com; jtucker@sfchronicle.com; xinhuasf01@gmail.com; JWildermuth@sfchronicle.com; MRobertson@sfchronicle.com; eve@evebatey.com; editor@sfappeal.com; john@bvhpradio.org; news@kalw.org; news@kpfa.org; Bay City News Service <newsroom@baycitynews.com>; djtennessee@gmail.com; anita.chabria@latimes.com; Rodriguez, Marisa (ADM) <marisa.rodriguez@sfgov.org>; Schwartz, Jeremy (ADM) <jeremy.schwartz@sfgov.org>; datebook@baycitynews.com; sanfrancisco@ap.org; Har, Janie <jhar@ap.org>; SThanawala@ap.org; PJElias@ap.org; jgecker@ap.org; jemcki@nytimes.com; tfuller@nytimes.com; alejandro.lazo@wsj.com; tim@48hills.org; randy@thclinic.org; lcha@berkeley.edu; missionlocal@gmail.com; tamlinearthly@gmail.com; castrocourier@gmail.com; editor@thewesternedition.com; marjorie@studycenter.org; publisher@inglesidelight.com; editor@marinatimes.com; trr@newfillmore.com; editor@potreroview.net; editor@sfrichmondreview.com; editor@sfbayview.com; editor@westsideobserver.com; info@richmondsfblog.com; newsservice@aol.com; editor@hoodline.com; nathan@hoodline.com;

chloe@7x7.com; edit@7x7.com; kate@redtri.com; info@sfbay.ca; events@sf.streetsblog.org; tips@sf.streetsblog.org; catherine@mommynearest.com; getbackjoejoe@gmail.com; Fitzthereporter@gmail.com; davidmhreporter@gmail.com; Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Hussey, Deirdre (PRT) <deirdre.hussey@sfport.com>; SFPDMediaRelations, (POL) <sfpdmediarelations@sfgov.org>; Baxter, Jonathan (FIR) <jonathan.baxter@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Talmadge, Mindy (FIR) <mindy.talmadge@sfgov.org>; DeBerry, Cristine (DAT) <cristine.deberry@sfgov.org>; Aparton, Tamara (REC) <tamara.aparton@sfgov.org>; Kate.Patterson@sfgov.org; Gordon, Rachel (DPW) <Rachel.Gordon@sfdpw.org>; Sheehan, Charles (ENV) <charles.sheehan@sfgov.org>; Strawn, William (DBI) <william.strawn@sfgov.org>; Chan, Gloria (ECN) <gloria.chan@sfgov.org>; Vinson, Ron (TIS) <rvinson@SFGOV1.onmicrosoft.com>; Simi, Gina (CPC) <gina.simi@sfgov.org>; Blythe, Gentle
<blytheg@sfusd.edu>; Zamora, Francis (DEM) <francis.zamora@sfgov.org>; Doug Yakel (AIR) <Doug.Yakel@flysfo.com>; Maimoni, Andy (ADM) <andy.maimoni@sfgov.org>; thallman@asianart.org; TGamble@sfwater.org; White, Staci (REC) <staci.white@sfgov.org>; Kern, Dennis (REC) <dennis.kern@sfgov.org>; Andersen, Eric (REC) <eric.andersen@sfgov.org>; Ketcham, Dana (REC) <dana.ketcham@sfgov.org>; Bransten, Lisa (REC) <lisa.bransten@sfgov.org>; Ruppert, Cara (REC) <cara.ruppert@sfgov.org>; Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>; Santiago, Marcus (REC) <marcus.santiago@sfgov.org>; Murphy, David (REC) <david.murphy@sfgov.org>; Madland, Sarah (REC) <sarah.madland@sfgov.org>; Kelly, Ryan (REC) <ryan.kelly@sfgov.org>; Pon, Elton (REC) <elton.pon@sfgov.org>; Sullivan, Melinda (REC) <melinda.sullivan@sfgov.org>; edit@7x7.com; Cretan, Jeff (MYR) <jeff.cretan@sfgov.org>; Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>; dford@kpix.cbs.com; Lisa.Fernandez@foxtv.com; Ng, Beverly (REC) <beverly.ng@sfgov.org>; sportsdesk@sfchronicle.com; akillion@sfchronicle.com; rkroichick@sfchronicle.com; skroner@sfchronicle.com; mlerseth@sfchronicle.com; sostler@sfchronicle.com; asaracevic@sfchronicle.com; jon.schultz@sfchronicle.com; Joseph.Fonzi@foxtv.com; Mark.Ibanez@foxtv.com; keith.menconi@kcbsradio.com; eve@evebatey.com; jay@sfist.com; Imperial, Megan (BOS) <megan.imperial@sfgov.org>; Wilson, Jordan (MYR) <jordan.wilson@sfgov.org>; Knight, Heather <hknight@sfchronicle.com>; swhiting@sfchronicle.com; nick@thesfminute.com; jwolfrom@sfmediaco.com Subject: Stern Grove Concert Meadow to Re-open in Time for Summer Concerts

Daniel Montes, SF Rec and Park communications Manager <u>daniel.montes@sfgov.org</u> FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE May 3, 2022

Contact: Daniel Montes San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

RPDcommunications@sfgov.org or daniel.montes@sfgov.org

Stern Grove Concert Meadow to Re-open in Time for Summer Concerts

SAN FRANCISCO—The San Francisco Recreation and Park Department along with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) announced today that repairs at Sigmund Stern Grove's Concert Meadow are nearing completion and will be ready just in time for the 85th annual Stern Grove Festival. Additional repair work in other areas of Stern Grove will continue through summer of 2023 but will not affect the concert series.

The wildly popular Stern Grove Festival—the Bay Area's longest running outdoor concert series—will return on June 12 to the historic park, located at 19th Avenue and Sloat Boulevard in the city's Sunset District.

At the tail end of last year's festival, a broken air valve on a water transmission pipeline resulted in flooding at the Concert Meadow and other nearby areas, prompting the postponement of the 2021 season's final concert.

The SFPUC has sequenced the repair work so that the Stern Grove Festival will be able to start on time this year. In addition to the much-anticipated Concert Meadow opening on June 12, adjacent areas such as the Trocadero Clubhouse and the East Meadow will also reopen.

As the restoration work pushes forward, SFPUC crews will continue work to restore the hillside and tennis court through summer 2023. The park's west side will also remain closed for the duration of the festival to visitors as the SFPUC continues its work there. Currently, Pine Lake Park, Pine Lake Park Dog Play Area, and the recreation area at Wawona Street and 19th Avenue remain open to the public.

"San Francisco Recreation and Park Department is thrilled to welcome back the Stern Grove Festival," San Francisco Recreation and Park General Manager Phil Ginsburg said. "A big thanks to the SFPUC for delivering much-needed repairs just in time for this iconic festival. The Stern Grove Festival is a part of the fabric of San Francisco and allows people to enjoy our parks in an interactive way through socializing and enjoying great music. I can't think of a better way to spend a summer day at the park."

"We're so glad that this summer's concert series will start on schedule," said SFPUC General Manager Dennis Herrera. "We're able to celebrate the start of this year's Stern Grove Concert season thanks to the tremendous work and cooperation of the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department, the Stern Grove Festival Association, and our crews on the ground. We have been working together non-stop since August to bring back this amazing San Francisco concert experience."

This year's festival features an exciting and diverse lineup consisting of a wide variety of artists for music lovers of all ages. For more details about concert dates, musical acts, and the free festival's online ticket reservation system, visit <u>sterngrove.org</u>.

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	3 Notices from the CA Fish and Game Commission
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:01:00 PM
Attachments:	Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations Game Fish Contests.pdf
	Notice of Proposed Emergency Regulations - 749.13 Incidental Take of Southern California Steelhead.pdf
	Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations State Marine Recreational Management Areas.pdf


Please find attached 3 notices from the California Fish and Game Commission:

- A notice of proposed changes in regulations concerning allowed and prohibited uses for state marine recreational management areas
- A notice of proposed changes in regulations concerning the issuance of permits for contests offering prizes for the taking of game fish
- A notice of proposed emergency regulations concerning incidental take of southern California steelhead

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

	California Fish and Game Commission Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870
Greetin	gs,
permits posted	e of proposed changes in regulations concerning the issuance of for contests offering prizes for the taking of game fish has been to the commission's website and is available ://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2022-New-and-Proposed#GFC.
Please	refer to the notice for additional information.
Sincere	ely,
Jenn G	reaves nia Fish and Game Commission
Califor	




California Fish and Game Commission | 715 P Street, 16th floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Unsubscribe board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org Update Profile | Constant Contact Data Notice Sent by fgc@fgc.ca.gov powered by



Try email marketing for free today!

From: To: Subject: Date:	California Fish and Game Commission Board of Supervisors, (BOS) Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations: State Marine Recreational Management Areas Friday, April 29, 2022 12:05:33 PM	
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.	
	Image: Second system California Fish and Game Commission Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870	
Greet	ings,	
prohit poste	ice of proposed changes in regulations concerning allowed and bited uses for state marine recreational management areas has been d to the commission's website and is available bs://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2022-New-and-Proposed#SMRMA.	
Please refer to the notice for additional information.		
Since	rely,	
	ie Fonbuena ornia Fish and Game Commission	




California Fish and Game Commission | 715 P Street, 16th floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Unsubscribe board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org Update Profile | Constant Contact Data Notice Sent by fgc@fgc.ca.gov powered by



Try email marketing for free today!

From: To: Subject: Date:	California Fish and Game Commission Board of Supervisors, (BOS) Notice of Proposed Emergency Regulations - 749.13 Incidental Take of Southern California Steelhead Thursday, April 28, 2022 2:08:32 PM
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	California Fish and Game Commission Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870
Greet	ings,
southe websi	ce of proposed emergency regulations concerning incidental take of ern California steelhead has been posted to the commission's te and is available at <u>https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2022-New-and- osed#SCS</u> .
Please	e refer to the notice for additional information.
Sincerely,	
	Greaves, CESA Analyst ornia Fish and Game Commission



California Fish and Game Commission | 715 P Street, 16th floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Unsubscribe board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org Update Profile | Constant Contact Data Notice Sent by fgc@fgc.ca.gov powered by



Try email marketing for free today!

Service Request Number: 15217755

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name:	Sophia De Anda
Phone:	415-407-8054
Address:	
Email:	deanda_sophia@comcast.net

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: <u>(help me</u> <u>choose)</u>	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division:*	Clerk of the Board
Department Service Levels:	The City's goal is to respond to these types of requests within 7-21 calendar days; 21 days for request for service; 7 days for all other categories.

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:	
Street Number:	100
Street Name:	LARKIN ST
Street Name 2:	
City:	SAN FRANCISCO
ZIP Code:	94102
X coordinate:	
Y coordinate:	
Latitude:	
Longitude:	
CNN:	
Unverified Address:	

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description: SFPL Main Library @ 100 Larkin St (e.g. 600-block of Market St. or in front of Main Library entrance)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request:* Complaint

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: The library is now using Urban Alchemy to monitor the library and * specifically the privacy of patrons when using the restroom invading privacy and they have zero training. They could be profiling innocent patrons such as myself who is diabetic, and I fear they will use that against me when I have to inject my medicine. I identify as transgender and Urban Alchemy will deny me the appropriate use of my gender specific restroom. Urban Alchemy does not have any written policies about gender identify, sexual orientation and they are free to do anything and that is my worry. They don't hire anyone from the LGBTQ community or train anyone properly to work with the LGBTQ community. I have received training in these fields and Urban Alchemy does not require that of their employees and that is my fear. SFPL has invited them in.

Provided recap of SR to caller?:*	Yes
BACK OFFICE USE ********* ONLY Source Agency Request Number: Responsible Agency Request Number:	******************
Service Request Work Status: Work Status Updated: Media URL:	

Submit Cancel

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name:	Sophia De Anda
Phone:	415-407-8054
Address:	
Email:	deanda_sophia@comcast.net

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: <u>(help me</u> <u>choose)</u>	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division:*	Clerk of the Board
Department Service Levels:	The City's goal is to respond to these types of requests within 7-21 calendar days; 21 days for request for service; 7 days for all other categories.

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:	
Street Number:	
Street Name:	
Street Name 2:	
City:	
ZIP Code:	
X coordinate:	
Y coordinate:	
Latitude:	
Longitude:	
CNN:	
Unverified Address:	

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description:	
	(e.g. 600-block of Market St. or in front of Main Library entrance)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request:* Customer Callback

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: This is my original complaint went to HRC SR #15209309. However I

BOS-11

want to add to that complaint and this now sent to the Clerk of the Board.

15209309:

Caller insisted on filing a complaint against the office.

"The city offices have reopened to the public. This particular office, it's the employees either leave early before 2pm or are non existent.

The front desk is not being properly managed. When I walked up to the 8th floor today there was already someone leaving for the day at 1:50pm.

I was trying to do a "intake" and was advised my Matt Haneys staff to do so in person. Multiple intake staff should be onsite to assist however they are leaving early. There is a problem with executive director Ms Davis who is not assisting properly and refuse to take the case.

They are suppose to be open at 9am-4pm. Mrs. Davis executive director is not being responsible. The public is being denied the ability for assistance and there is no accountability. There is no disability accommodation. The doors remain open. There is a sign on the counter that states call so a person in the back can finally come out. This poses an issue with anyone who is bilingual".

Yesterday 04/18/22 I stopped by upstairs at the human rights commission again, this time there was one person sitting at the front desk. They refused to do an intake. They are justifying saying because if Covid -19 they have their own rules. Prior going to HRC, I went to another city department, department of aging and adult services. I had a three hour appointment. We accomplished so much, we both had mask on. When I left that department and went up stairs to the HRC they are falsifying telling the public because of Covid-19 they can not help me. They were even lecturing me. But yet the Mayor already lifted the city departments to return to work duties. When I told her reception I just left another city department and received help she was surprised. There are three different intake people there, but yet they are no available. They are not fulfilling their duties to serve the public. They want to stick this out till the end of May and not return to work until the end of fall. Ultimately it is the failure of Sheryl Davis. I am requesting she comply with other city agencies and help the public.

caller?:*	Yes
BACK OFFICE USE ******** ONLY	*******

. . . .

. . .

OFFICE USE	*********************
ONLY	
Source	
Agency	
Request	
Number:	
Responsible	
Agency	
Request	
Number:	

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

BOS-11

Name: Phone: Address:	Sophia De Anda 415-407-8054
Email:	deanda_sophia@comcast.net
DEPARTMENTS:	
Department: <u>(help me</u> <u>choose)</u>	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division:*	Clerk of the Board
Department Service Levels:	The City's goal is to respond to these types of requests within 7-21 calendar days; 21 days for request for service; 7 days for all other categories.

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:	
Street Number:	
Street Name:	
Street Name 2:	
City:	
ZIP Code:	
X coordinate:	
Y coordinate:	
Latitude:	
Longitude:	
CNN:	
Unverified Address:	

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description:	
	(e.g. 600-block of Market St. or in front of Main Library entrance)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request:* Complaint

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: Constituent states that she wishes to file a complaint against the

Mayors Office on Disability. She states: "This week I had to go to the Dept of Aging and Adult Services. They were open and they processed my paperwork for 3 hours. Then I also went to the Human Rights Commission, and they were also open. Today, I had to go to the Mayor's Office on Disability, but they are refusing to conform to opening practices. I have tried to call them but their telephone number directed me to someone in the state of Utah. Unfortunately they are blaming the homelessness problem and the security guard is blocking anyone from going in. The doors are locked. I have emailed them as well, but they don't respond. The Mayor has stated that City business is open. They are not allowing us to make appointments, I need to have access to file complaints and to submit documentation substantiating the complaints. During Covid-19 we were not allowed access. The Mayor's Office on Disability is abusing the services that was a temporary accommodation during Covid-19 for employees. The Mayor is ordering everyone to go back and every City department has to be consistent. The Mayor's Office on Disability is not providing reasonable accommodation on their website and online intake portal to file complaints."

culiciti	
BACK OFFICE USE ³	******************
ONLY	
Source	
Agency	
Request	
Number:	
Responsible	
Agency	
Request	
Number:	
Service	
Request	
Work	
Status:	
Work	
Status	
Updated:	
Media URL:	

Submit Cancel

Provided recap of SR to

caller?.*

Yes

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

BOS-11

Name: Phone: Address:	Sophia De Anda 415-407-8054
Email:	deanda_sophia@comcast.net
DEPARTMENTS:	
Department: <u>(help me</u> <u>choose)</u>	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division:*	Clerk of the Board
Department Service Levels:	The City's goal is to respond to these types of requests within 7-21 calendar days; 21 days for request for service; 7 days for all other categories.

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:	
Street Number:	
Street Name:	
Street Name 2:	
City:	
ZIP Code:	
X coordinate:	
Y coordinate:	
Latitude:	
Longitude:	
CNN:	
Unverified Address:	

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description:	
	(e.g. 600-block of Market St. or in front of Main Library entrance)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request:* Customer Callback

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: This pertains to a complaint (SR 14939480) filed on 2/4/22 with the

Human Rights Commission. Constituent has not heard back from the Human Rights Commission, Complaint reads: "Caller has been working with the Office on the Status of Women regarding an inclusion complaint for nonprofits that receive funds through S of W office, but no complying. She opened complaint 14395222 in Sept, S of W acknowleded they would look into the issue but still has not had an official response. She wants HRC to be involved at this time. Per caller...I filed SR 14395222 with S of W. My last call with them was Dec 2021. When complaint was opened, there was all new mgmt. Lots of employees left. There are new employees. They have a new director. They are policy makers but not from SF and they are trying to get acclimated in their roles. They have never worked with SF gov. Domestic violence programs are funded through MOH. They fund Casa de Las Madres and other domestic agencies. They received my complaint I have not had a response. They are required to represent all women. Casa is not assisting trans women. They are housing heterosexual women, but not trans and lesbians. There is no language in the contracts regarding LGBTO plus. I got a call in December asking what would you like us to do; so we talked. I now want this to go to the HRC because they are not going to do follow through on this. They have to be inclusive. When I was in Casa, there was a lesbian couple, one of whom was an attorney. And a single mom. We came out but then on Casa site it makes no mention of services for LGBTO plus. The policies for Status of Women doesnt require these nonprofits to do so. The contracts should include all woman; its been left out. Status of Women are not collecting data on LGBTQ plus for decades regarding Casa. Casa has been around for 40 years. Zero recognition. Casa is turning people away and denying equal services. There are police reports, therapist reports...all the evidence I have provided as a victim. I'm not faulting Kathy Black at Casa, but the people advising her. Case workers do not have licensed degrees and have no background in this. They are paid less. They are cherry picking Latina immigrant women. My father is from Mexico, but Im advocating for all. Because S of W have had time to respond, I now want this sent to HRC. HRC will enforce and make Casa comply. On their website, the Casa mission statement says they service girls and women, very narrow. But there is a Defense against Womens Act; they know the Fed law recognizes that but the website is outdated. They need to broaden that. S of W office is hurting us. I receive services from other nonprofits such as SF Women Against Rape, but all of the referral orgs should be in compliance. When S of W makes referals to shelters for women of domestic violence, it has to include all. We shouldn't have to sell it to the service provider. If you are receiving funding, you will have to treat them equally. When in a crisis, LBGTQ plus fall through the cracks. Thats what happened to me. It removes fear and shame from us. It makes them have to say you are welcome to come here. If its physically written, it forces them to say its safe to come here." Please give constituent a callback.

Provided	recap of SR to	
caller?:*		

Yes

BACK OFFICE USE	****************
ONLY	
Source	
Agency Request Number:	

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Reparations suggestions
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 3:53:00 PM
Attachments:	Reparations Request.docx

Please see below and attached for communication from Jill A. Toups regarding reparations.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Jill Toups <jtoups@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Reparations suggestions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Jill A. Toups, retired SFUSD

April 28, 2022

(Black Americans) Reparations Advisory Council 25 Van Ness Ave Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94102

City Hall--Rm. 244 #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: suggestions for reparations

Thank you for taking time to review my suggestion, late. I waited in line at Third Baptist on the 13th (with no success to be heard); and again on the phone line on the 14th (with no success).

My suggestion involves taxes. I am a 73 year old Black American, fourth generation property owner. Maybe I'd be exaggerating to say my taxes are killing me, but certainly they are shortening my longevity!

I'm asking the Council to consider eliminating taxes for all Black Americans; no Federal taxes, no State taxes, no Property taxes, no business taxes, no local taxes (at least for the next 400 years). We are such a small percentage of the population that the U.S budget could be adjusted around the lost.

Black Americans that do not currently own property should be given the consideration of being able to shop at Safeway, Walmart, Lowe's or their favorite shopping places, except, when they checkout at the register (just like long ago) the clerk should look at their skin color and then press the NO BLACK TAX key before getting a total.

Thank you for lending your attention to my suggestion

Jill A. Toups, Retired SFUSD

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject:	FW: SFPD Propaganda Item #6, GAO Committee Meeting of May 5, 2022 (File #220307)
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 11:21:00 AM

Please see below for communication from John Crew regarding File No. 220307.

File 220307 - Hearing - Taxpayer-Funded Communications Regarding Police and Public

Safety

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: John Crew <johnmikecrew@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 5, 2022 9:06 AM

To: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>

Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL) <max.carter-oberstone@sfgov.org>; J.Gabriel Yanez <elxchui@gmail.com>; Benedicto, Kevin M. <kevin.benedicto@morganlewis.com>; James Byrne <jbyrne@byrne-law.net>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org>; SFPD, Chief (POL) <sfpdchief@sfgov.org>; Samuel Sinyangwe <samswey1@gmail.com>

Subject: SFPD Propaganda -- Item #6, GAO Committee Meeting of May 5, 2022 (File #220307)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors,

The SFPD is an agency that routinely claims to be committed to transparency. But transparency isn't a slogan. Transparency is a state defined by a maximum degree of candor and a minimum degree of spin and obfuscation.

Candor requires freely volunteering and releasing factual information even when -especially when -- that information might temporarily tend to put the agency in a less positive light. Candor requires avoiding unnecessarily selective releases of information in the hope of shaping public perceptions rather than trusting the public to form their own conclusions by providing **all** the relevant information. And, candor requires a deep and consistent commitment to factual accuracy and a willingness to promptly correct mistakes when they occur.

Law enforcement agencies that consistently require candor in their communications and media operations are agencies that recognize that the long-term need to develop and maintain the trust of the public, press and other parts of government is always more important than any short-term embarrassment that might result from the timely release of factual information perceived to be negative.

That's the degree of transparency that's required of law enforcement agencies to be effective in serving and being accountable to the public. And, unfortunately, it's this candor-based transparency that SFPD has, in recent times, far too frequently actively avoided in its communications efforts.

Official police communications consistently designed to prioritize an internally-preferred narrative about an event, controversy or issue over candor and full transparency with the press and public will be fairly and accurately understood to be **propaganda**. If the goal of being less than candid.. of being misleading or inaccurate.. of selectively releasing or withholding information.. is to influence coverage so that it might shape and skew public opinion in certain ways.. by definition, **that's propaganda**. And if certain misleading or inaccurate messages are repeated over and over, that's a well-recognized and often effective **propaganda** technique.

THE ILLEGITIMACY AND CORROSIVENESS OF POLICE PROPAGANDA

The SFPD has branded itself as a "safety with respect" agency. But it's fundamentally disrespectful for the SFPD to so frequently and actively mislead the public. The public mistrust that results makes safety far more difficult to achieve. The <u>SFPD's Statement of Values</u> includes an aspirational goal to "maintain the highest levels of integrity and professionalism in all actions." The SFPD's consistently less than candid and fully transparent communications efforts are falling well short of that standard.

For the last two years, the SFPD's Director of Strategic Communications has been someone with an extensive background in political and campaign communications and who touts on his Linkedin page that the *Examiner* called him a "powerhouse consultant" with deep political connections and a particular ideological reputation. I respect political consultants and have relied on their advice and help in the few issue-based electoral campaigns I've helped lead. But most of my 35 years of experience both locally and nationally has been as a police practices expert for the ACLU and now as a local activist retiree. I have never before come across a police department that has put a "powerhouse (political) consultant" or a political communications specialist in charge of all of its official communications. To the best of my recollection, whenever the SFPD has employed a civilian in this or any other media relations capacity in the past, they have always been former journalists trained and rooted in the primacy of factual accuracy -- former reporters who've been on the other side of the police-media relationship. They've not been former

"spin doctors" for politicians and campaigns.

Strategic *political* communications should be entirely different in nature and emphasis than strategic *law enforcement* communications. The former is inherently and legitimately political. The latter must be scrupulously *apolitical*. Rule-of-law policing in a democratic society must steer clear of any political agenda. Communications for a politician -- for an elected official or for their campaign -- are understood both by the media and by most consumers of the news to be at least partly about the political interests of that politician. Matters of public interest *are* being addressed and there is still a need to be reasonably accurate but a certain amount of political spin and occasional strategic lack of candor and full transparency is "baked in," generally recognized and accepted.

In California we do not elect municipal police chiefs and the very legitimacy of municipal police departments depends on them acting and being perceived as acting in a non-political fashion. The strategic communications for a police department should serve only *institutional* goals but those should *never* be political in nature. *Police departments have no legitimate political goals* beyond effectively serving the public and improving public safety. The *SFPOA* can and does have political goals it pursues through its various communications strategies and products. But, the *SFPD* must never pursue political goals.

In fact, the institutional goals of a police department in a democratic society do not exist independently of the public they serve and, at all times, must be established, overseen and periodically modified by the public's representatives. So-called "police powers" -- the power to detain, search and arrest, the power to use force, injure and kill -- are not powers that **belong** to the police. They are powers **delegated** to the police by the public to be used on its behalf only under the specific conditions set by the appointed and elected civilian bodies who oversee and control the police. In San Francisco, our charter has long-required a particularly strong form of civilian control of the SFPD with a civilian Police Commission appointed by our elected representatives with significant managerial responsibilities over the SFPD and the independent power to fire a chief of police for any reason. And, of course, the SFPD is also accountable to the elected Mayor and subject to the legislative, oversight and budgetary powers of the SFPD are those set or supported by the Police Commission and that respect the democratic primacy of executive and legislative branches.

That charter-mandated structure and context in which the SFPD and Chief of Police operate are very different than exists for city departments not directly controlled or overseen by commissions but that are instead run by independently-elected officials. The City Attorney's and District Attorney's Offices are independent agencies run by officials who must stand for election and re-election, with institutional goals set by those politicians. The communications strategies in support of their institutional goals will be aligned with the political interests and political visions of the elected office-holder. But the Chief of Police is not an elected official and the vision and institutional goals for the SFPD -- and any communications strategies that support them -- are always subject to the oversight and consent of the appointed Police Commission, a wholly non-political (in the electoral sense) body that the voters 20 years ago made even more independent and less beholden to any individual politician by giving the Board of Supervisors the power to approve or reject mayoral appointees and to directly appoint three of the commissioners.

KEY QUESTIONS

As you consider the various examples of the SFPD's lack of candor and full transparency obfuscations, inaccuracies and crass attempts at spin and image management detailed below and others that may be discussed during the hearing, ask yourselves two sets of questions about the SFPD's communications strategies --

1. Whose interests are they designed to further, promote or defend? What is the goal behind the messaging? Is it an illegitimate *political* goal rather than a legitimately institutional one?

2. If a communication strategy just or primarily serves the narrow interests and goals of the SFPD -- independent from or in conflict with the role of the Police Commission or the broader public interest -- why would *that* be considered to be an appropriate use of taxpayers funding? What happens to the credibility, effectiveness and perceived legitimacy of a law enforcement agency when its elaborate and expensive communications strategies and various products appear to be political and propagandistic?

In recent months, the Police Commission has begun to ask a few questions about the timing and content of some of the SFPD's press releases and about the SFPD's approach to media relations. But the SFPD has not consulted the Commission about its communications strategies to any significant degree. At times, the Police Commission itself has been targeted by SFPD messaging and communications approaches that seemed designed to undermine or minimize their role and to politically pressure them into not exercising their authority over the Chief and SFPD.

This was particularly apparent in the SFPD's messaging surrounding the <u>extraordinary</u> <u>controversy</u> sparked by the Chief's sudden, unilateral attempt to cancel the MOU requiring and facilitating independent investigations by the District Attorney's Office into the most severe and consequential police uses of force -- an MOU whose creation the Police Commission had overseen and that had taken years of discussion, negotiation and public consideration to finalize and ultimately approve. The nature and degree of the highly-political messaging by the SFPD -- labelling concerns articulated by all or nearly all of the Police Commissioners "unreasonable" and the product of "alarmist polemics" -- in support of their ultimately unsuccessful effort to win Commission and sufficient broader political support to bring an end to MOU-protected DA investigations into SFPD conduct was unprecedented in at least the last 40 years. In fact, I can think of no other commission-overseen City department whose public communications are so out of synch or in direct conflict with the role and goals of their commissions. Can you? With that in mind, here's a third set of questions to consider:

3. If those types of communications strategies are inappropriate and do not occur in any other City departments overseen by appointed commissions, why should they be tolerated when the SFPD engages in them and supported by significant public expenditures?

SELECTED EXAMPLES OF S.F.P.D. PROPAGANDA

<u>1. SFPD Claim</u> -- The SFPD has been "hailed by the New York Times as a police department as a major city department `where police reform has worked.'"

This is false.

The New York Times did no such thing. Yet, this falsehood continues to be: included as part of the "about the SFPD" blurb at the bottom of every Department press release; is featured prominently on the SFPD's website's "police reform" section touted on the homepage; Is promoted on SFPD-produced videos the department has used to encourage members of the public to lobby the Board of Supervisors (at. 1:11 mark) in support of their budget requests; and, routinely used to create a false impression (on homepage and at 3:53 mark of video) about the scope and impact of the reform process while positioning the SFPD as allegedly a nationally-recognized "role model on reform" generally rather than only on certain selected policies.

Yet, <u>no</u> New York Times editorial, column or reported story makes that claim about SFPD. It stems entirely from a headline placed on New York Times morning news summary for June 5, 2020 -- 11 days after the murder of George Floyd and with massive protests continuing across the country. That morning summary newsletter contained only an abbreviated, overview description of the state of police reform at that point. The actual subheadline for that Friday morning New York Times newsletter was "And what else you need to know today" but the screenshot or photograph routinely used by SFPD in videos and in various public presentations includes only the main headline. It has apparently been <u>altered</u> by SFPD communications staff to remove the subheadline that would betray the actual context of what's being shown and to make it appear as though it's an actual New York Times reported story rather than an emailed morning news summary which, in fact, briefly summarized many topics that day and was <u>not</u> about the SFPD's overall reform efforts at all!

That morning news summary relied entirely on linked stories from other publications to make the limited point that certain policy reforms belatedly enacted in a number of major cities -- usually after avoidable police killings and significant protests and public pressure not just in San Francisco -- had begun to help reduce the number of police shootings in those jurisdictions. Relying on and quoting an ABC News *Five Thirty Eight* story by nationally-recognized police reform data scientist and activist Sam Sinyangwe, founder of the Mapping Police Violence and Police Scorecard projects (and a key architect of Campaign Zero and the 8 Can't Wait / #8CantWait campaign), the summary mentions San Francisco along with Chicago, Philadelphia, Baltimore and Phoenix as examples of cities where these particular policy changes had been made. None were described as agencies "where police reform has worked." None were singled out as national models for police reform overall -- not San Francisco and certainly not other deeplyand historically-flawed police departments in Philadelphia, Chicago and Baltimore. In other words, if that New York Times morning news summary can be accurately cited for anything it's only for the very limited proposition that San Francisco was one of several major American cities whose police departments, under great public pressure, finally

enacted certain "best practices" deadly force policy reforms that predictably helped drive down shootings.

Neither the New York Times itself nor the linked primary source material authored by *Mr. Sinyangwe ever hailed SFPD or any other of the named agencies as places* "where police reform works" overall much less held them up as national models for anything other than the need to pressure agencies to finally enact certain best practices policy reforms long-promoted by groups like the Police Executives Research Forum that have long been known to help to reduce the frequency of police shootings. In fact, when Mr. Singyangwe **has** singled out the SFPD, it's been because the Department continues to produce very extreme, outlier levels of racial disparities in arrests, stops, searches, shootings and uses of force -- notwithstanding all their various claims of progress on police reform overall. If SFPD was candid in its public communications and wanted to accurately represent the actual content and source behind their *New York Times* claim, they would include images of the headline for Mr. Sinyangwe's subsequent February 2021 *Five Thirty Eight* piece, because, in fact , *SFPD remains among* "*The Police Departments with the Biggest Racial Disparities in Arrests and Killings*". In that piece, Mr. Sinyangwe singled out San Francisco as one of four major cities with --

".... some of the largest disparities in policing outcomes between Black and white residents. In these cities, Black residents were policed at high rates while white residents were policed at relatively low rates. Police *arrested* Black people at several times the rate of white people, even for offenses like drug possession which have been found to be <u>committed at similar rates by Black and white communities</u>. And police in these cities also killed Black people at substantially higher rates than white people, even after accounting for racial differences in arrest rates."

In San Francisco's case, these damning disparities have not eased <u>five years</u> after <i>the voluntary reform process started during the Obama administration. As Mr. Sinyangwe emphasizes in an updated statement prepared yesterday in advance today's GAO Committee hearing--

"According to the <u>most recent report</u> by SFPD, in Q3 2021 San Francisco police arrested Black people at 9x higher rate and used force against Black people at 12x higher rate than white people per population. Latino communities in San Francisco experienced 3-4x higher rates of arrest and police use of force than white people. *Despite attempts by SFPD to claim the limited reforms they've implemented to date are working, San Francisco continues to have among <u>the</u> worst policing <i>outcomes in the nation,* with more <u>extreme racial disparities</u> in policing and <u>higher</u> <u>use of force rates</u> than most other major cities. <u>The data demonstrates that these</u> <u>efforts have not been sufficient to end the longstanding practice of violent and</u> <u>discriminatory policing in San Francisco.</u>"

(Emphasis added.)

The SFPD has repeatedly been informed it is misrepresenting both the *New York Times* morning news summary and, in turn, Mr. Sinyangwe's actual conclusions about SFPD. They are aware of Mr. Sinyangwe's work as they regularly <u>tout</u> (at 04:45 mark of video) the fact that the SFPD has already enacted the policy reforms called for in the "8 Can't Wait" (#8CantWait) campaign Mr. Sinyangwe helped design and lead (even though some SFPD officers too frequently continue to openly violate or ignore those reformed policies without consequence). *Making claims that have been shown to be factually false is a form of propaganda*. <u>Repeating</u> those falsehoods - over and over to shape public opinion - is a tried and true propaganda <u>technique</u>. If there is a single Biggest Lie in the SFPD's communications strategy, it's that their overall reform efforts have been "hailed by the New York Times."

<u>2. SFPD Claim</u> -- "Uses of force by San Francisco police officers have declined significantly" and "have dropped steadily and substantially"

These are deeply misleading and wildly exaggerated claims carefully presented and depicted with graphs cynically designed to justify sweeping conclusions unsupported by the actual data and *directly inconsistent with the SFPD*'s <u>own</u> prior explanations of use of force data trends.

First, in its <u>videos</u> (at 04:05) and other public communications, the SFPD always presents graphic representations of use of force data trends that are careful to start with the 2016 data. Why? Because 2016 was a unique, complete outlier year with uses of force far higher than they'd ever been or ever will be again because of a critical and important change in what was logged and counted as a use of force. By starting with the data from 2016 -- and omitting the data from earlier years -- the SFPD is able to graphically depict what appears to be sharp, across the board drops in uses of force. That's not what's actually happened. SFPD knows it -- and used to properly acknowledge and explain it -- but not any longer, at least not in their public-facing communications materials.

The <u>USDOJ COPS report</u> confirms (at pg. 30) that reported uses of force were far lower in 2014 and 2015 and suddenly skyrocketed up in 2016. If the 2014 and 2015 data was included in the SFPD's public relations graphs it would depict, roughly-speaking, a bell-shaped curve where uses of force went up in 2016 and then started to come down in subsequent years to levels that are roughly comparable to 2014 and 2015 levels. Instead, by omitting the 2014 and 2015 data, the SFPD's graph depicts only a downward sloping decline starting from the year 2016 while hiding the longer term trend and failing to contextualize the 2016 data.

Why did SFPD's reported uses of force skyrocket in 2016? Because that's the year SFPD finally joined many other major city police departments in requiring officers to log the drawing and pointing of a firearm as a use of force -- because it most definitely *is* experienced ed as a serious use of force by members of the public who have an officer pointing a gun at them and because any reasonably-managed police department needs to track and understand how often and in what circumstances officers may be inappropriately and needlessly pointing their firearms at people. SFPD had never done that before. The first year of data after this reform showed and the press coverage reflected that SFPD had been drawing and pointing their guns at people with alarming frequency and in situations where it was clearly not justified. The reported use of force data suddenly skyrocketed. At the time, SFPD was very proactive and careful to always publicly explain that this did not represent an actual increase in uses of force but instead

was attributable only to this major change in how uses of force were reported and counted. Now that it serves their public relations purposes, they pretend 2016 is an appropriate base year to use for data comparisons and never explain that it was -- and always will be -- a uniquely high data point for SFPD uses of force.

Why did reported uses of force start to decline in 2017? First, because the revised SFPD Use of Force policy first went into effect in December 2016 and contained new, detailed and more restrictive standards on when officers could draw, exhibit and point their firearms. The press coverage over how frequently officers were pointing their guns created pressure on SFPD management to more carefully manage the problem. And, over time -- year by year -- and consistent with the data trends shown by other major police departments in the years after adopting this same reform, the a laudable "slow down and think" effect was triggered (no pun intended) by requiring officers to report the pointing their guns too frequently and in circumstances that were unnecessary when they may have had difficulty explaining what they did so in the required use of force reports. The deterrent effect of the reporting requirement began to kick in and within a few years guns were being pointed far less frequently.

This was an important reform that SFPD should take and get credit for finally adopting it -- (even though they were more of a follower among big city law enforcement agencies in doing so rather than a leader). Along with other things -- such as the new mandatory de-escalation requirement, a new state law narrowing the standard for when police officers can lawfully use deadly force, and eventually the election of a DA who had promised during his campaign he would hold SFPD officers to that law and file criminal charges against officers when the evidence demanded it (and who has kept that promise) -- that reform played a major role in sharply reducing the number of SFPD shootings in recent years. That's great but *it's no excuse for using the data wholly attributable to that "pointing a firearm" reform to mislead the public with claims that uses of force generally have declined. They have not.*

In a broader 50-page <u>report for the Police Commission covering data through</u> <u>2019</u> presented in 2020, *the SFPD candidly and clearly explained that* --

"In 2016, Pointing of a Firearm became a reportable Use of Force. This created a substantial increase in the total number of reportable Use of Force incidents. The chart and graph show that *non-firearm Use of Force incidents have <u>remained</u> <u>constant</u> over time. Incidents involving pointing of a firearm have steadily decreased "*

(At pg. 48, emphasis added.)

And, discussing the 2019 data specifically - "Remove the `Pointing of a Firearm' as a reportable UOF and there was only ... *a 2.7% decrease compared to 2016*" in reported uses of force.

(At pg. 49, emphasis added.)

The SFPD's use of force data graphs used in its public-facing communications products

now show further declines in 2020 and 2021 and, by implication, try to attribute these declines to the alleged success of the reform process. That's utter nonsense. It's common knowledge that nearly all criminal justice data has been deeply skewed by the effect of the pandemic. For significant parts of both of those years, there were far fewer people out in public, far fewer contacts reported by SFPD with members of the public and far fewer circumstances where uses of force might occur. Yet, *SFPD cynically fails to acknowledge the obvious effects of the pandemic in their various communications products addressing use of force data trends*. (SFPD did the same thing -- ignored the obvious pandemic effect -- in its slides presented to the Board of Supervisors on March 22nd of this year reviewing the progress of the reform Process by falsely attributing sharp declines in stops in 2020 and 2021 to "Bias Reform Outcomes" rather than to the pandemic, even though the racial disparities remained just as extreme regardless. See slide. #5.)

This crass manipulation and de-contextualization of use of force data for public relations purposes -- the failure to be as candid with the public now about non-firearms related use force data trends as they were with the Police Commission in 2020 ("remained constant" or, if there have been any declines at all they've been only by a few percentage points) -- is hardly "transparent" and falls far short of acting in accordance with the SFPD's values requiring "high levels of integrity and professionalism." It's rank propaganda designed and being spread to mislead and serves no legitimate institutional purpose.

<u>3. SFPD Claim</u> -- "In October 2016, the USDOJ COPS Office -- for Community Oriented Police Services -- released the most comprehensive assessment of the San Francisco Police Department in City history."

This is, at best, extremely misleading.

This claim made at the start of the SFPD's promotional video (at 00:46) about the current reform process tries to frame this now six-year long effort as the first time San Franciscans have been promised comprehensive reform of the SFPD. It is not. In fact, the 2016 USDOJ COPS review and report occurred just eight years after a strikingly similar process was used by the Police Executives Research Forum (PERF) to conduct a thorough assessment of the SFPD. Frustrated with various high-profile scandals involving SFPD, Mayor Newsom contracted with PERF to perform the review that led to a 353-page Organizational Assessment of the San Francisco Police Department report being released in December 2008 containing more than 200 recommendations addressing a wide variety of serious problems. Many of the problems that led Mayor Lee and others to request assistance from the USDOJ COPS office in 2016 were directly attributable to SFPD's failure to implement some of the most important recommendations in the 2008 PERF report.

For example, even though PERF called on SFPD in **2008** to ban shooting at moving vehicles as other big city police agencies had already done and yet, notwithstanding avoidable losses of life and injuries in the intervening years, the Police Commission did not issue that ban -- (over the SFPOA's strenuous objections and with subsequent years of expensive SFPOA litigation funded by San Francisco rank and file police officers unsuccessfully seeking to overturn this quite common "best practice" reform) -- until

December 2016, two months after the USDOJ COPS report was completed.

For example, PERF called on SFPD to actually.. finally... fully implement the criticallyimportant Early Intervention System (EIS) first created in 1994 (!) and comprehensively revised in a 2007 <u>Department General Order</u> (DGO). This basic, widely-implemented tool of modern police management allows agencies to track which officers are using force, are generating complaints or lawsuits or are engaged in other common markers of possibly problematic policing more frequently that their similarly-situated peers so that nondisciplinary interventions can be tried before small performance issues become much more serious. Yet, because of an internal SFPD culture that resists the very notion that outlier levels of uses of force or complaints might be indicative of problematic behavior deserving of management attention, EIS has *still* not been fully implemented.

Mayor Newsom promised in early 2006 to "run roughshod" over the SFPD to ensure the system was implemented by the end of that year. Almost three years later, the 2008 PERF report called on the SFPD to "take steps to promptly implement the EIS System" and track all the required factors (pages 270-277), including a key and widely-recognized marker of officers possibly engaging in racial profiling or acts of brutality -- arrests for Penal Code Section 148, resisting or obstructing police officers. Seven years later, with the SFPD still failing to identify officers possibly misusing this charge, the San Francisco Chronicle used public records to expose that the SFPD was targeting African Americans with PC 148 arrests at extremely high and disparate rates. In 2016, the USDOJ COPS report (at pages 121-130) bluntly concluded that, at long last, "EIS needs to be an organizational priority" and "(a)t present, SFPD does not have a cohesive organizational approach to EIS." Yet, by June 2020, Mayor Breed channelled her predecessor Mayor Newsom's promise from 12 years earlier by pledging to strengthen and finally, fully implement EIS in her "Roadmap for New (sic) Police Reforms" as part of her strategy to address bias and strengthen accountability. When asked about SFPD's persistent and extreme racial enforcement disparities during a full Board hearing on the status of the SFPD reform effort on March 22nd of this year, Chief Scott expressed hope that, once finally and fully implemented possibly before 2023, that EIS system would help address the SFPD's racially skewed enforcement practices. He did not explain why or how it's reasonable for the public to accept, at best, a *delay of 14 years* (and counting) in finally implementing this critical biasreducing, violence-reducing, misconduct-reducing reform emphasized in two separate comprehensive reviews of the SFPD, promised by two separate mayors, long-required by Police Commission policy and yet still not delivered.

The very long history of the SFPD failing to implement long-called for important reforms, like EIS, has been fully <u>documented</u> for the SFPD and Police Commission. It's not a secret that PERF called for EIS to be prioritized and implemented in 2008 only for USDOJ COPS to need to do the same in 2016. Nor is it a secret that Mayor Breed's 2020 promise simply echoes a promise unkept by SFPD that was made by her predecessor 14 years prior. *For the SFPD promotional video touting their alleged commitment to reform to imply this is the very first time reform this comprehensive has been tried for SFPD is simply not true.* Maybe that sort of "loose with the facts" spin is considered acceptable in political campaigns but it should be thoroughly *unacceptable* in the official communications produced and promoted by the SFPD.

4. SFPD Claim -- "SFPD won praise from the California Racial and Identity Profiling

Advisory Board (or RIPA) for being one the few agencies statewide to address bias by proxy in its policies."

This is true but also a very misleading, selective characterization of that RIPA Board report (at 04:30 of SFPD <u>video</u>).

The SFPD was willing to support the Police Commission enacting a policy designed to prevent its officers from being used as indirect agents -- proxies -- for the biases of members of the public but *SFPD has persistently refused to take the steps recommended by the RIPA Board in that very same report that would address the possible presence of expressions explicit biases on the part of SFPD officers themselves -- biases that may be playing a role in exacerbating the SFPD's extreme racial disparities..* That very same <u>RIPA report</u> released in January 2021 cited the repeated rounds of scandals involving overtly racist, homophobic and misogynistic texts being freely sent *by SFPD officers* to one another (suggesting this had beena fairly open and acceptable practice within the department) in calling on all agencies statewide to conduct audits of their members's use of social media for signs of explicit bias --

"These examples of explicit biases among law enforcement agencies – both nationwide and in this state – suggest that the problem is far more widespread than most people might believe. Critically, these examples trigger a deeper concern about affiliations with white supremacist and extremist groups.... These affiliations have a real world impact on the communities officers are tasked with serving and protecting.... While the exact scale of explicit racism in law enforcement agencies is difficult to measure, there are numerous examples to suggest a significant problem that could negatively impact officers' interactions with the public. Indeed, these examples raise concerns about "[w]ho might be sitting in jail because what looked like an objective stop, what looked like a clean interaction, may actually have been driven by bigotry."

(Page 26-27.) Notwithstanding its own documented problem of explicit bias within the ranks... the RIPA Board's status as the legally-mandated body within the California Department of Justice with significant designated representation from law enforcement management and labor organizations charged with helping the state's police agencies address racial disparities through carefully-considered and thoroughly-considered expert recommendations... the repeated calls from community members to conduct the RIPA Board's recommended social media explicit bias audit... and a Police Commission hearing that, in part, highlighted the RIPA Board recommendation, the SFPD has persistently and inexplicably refused to conduct this explicit bias social audit. Perhaps as a result of failing to send the message internally that rooting out expressions of explicit bias would be a priority, the SFPD was recently embarrassed by yet another, preventable explicit bias on social media scandal. Other agencies have acted on this important RIPA Board recommendation. Results were released just last week from an audit of selected California law enforcement agencies conducted by the state legislature's Joint Legislative Audit Committee that strongly suggested "bias, far-right sympathies among California law enforcement (was) going unchecked."

And yet still, 16-months after the RIPA Board report called on agencies to try to root out

explicit bias with this sort of audit -- in the same report featured in the SFPD's promotional video -- the SFPD has failed to conduct or to even publicly consider conducting that audit.. an audit other agencies that are far more proactive about dealing with explicit bias have already done. Trying to create an impression with slickly-produced video images and words that is inconsistent with the larger actions and inaction of an agency is a form of propaganda. Maybe it's an acceptable communications strategy for a campaign commercial on behalf of a candidate for office seeking to emphasize the positive while hiding the flaws but what legitimate institutional goal of the SFPD is served by trying to mislead the public in this manner?

<u>5. SFPD Claim</u> -- The allegedly lenient policies of District Attorney Boudin are responsible for sharp reductions in the average time-in-custody of individuals arrested by SFPD.

This is a lie.

In a remarkable memo prepared by the SFPD's Director of Strategic Communications and sent to seven local and national media outlets on December 30, 2021, the SFPD linked the reduced post-arrest time-in-custody averages for "Tenderloin drug dealing repeat offenders" to the tenure of DA Boudin (at pg. 5 and 6). The memo includes a stark graph showing the average time-in-custody for these arrestees being 18 days prior to Boudin taking office and 5.5 days after he took office. But, the memo and graph fail to mention -- at all -- that for the bulk of the time period reflected in these averages after DA Boudin took office the jail was operating under the emergency public health necessity created by the covid pandemic leading the entirety of the San Francisco's criminal justice system -- from the courts, to the Sheriff's Department to the DA's Office -- to agree that as few individuals could remain in custody at the jail as possible and was reasonably safe. To the best of my knowledge, the SFPD never publicly disagreed with the obvious public health necessity requiring the new limits on whether and how long SFPD's arrestees should and could remain in jail during the worst parts of the pandemic. Doubling-down on this cynical misinformation, the SFPD's Director of Strategic Communications tweeted out to the public the misleading memo almost three months later allowing supporters of the attempted recall of the DA to excerpt and circulate widely the misleading graphic depiction of "time-in-custody" averages pre- and post-Boudin.

There is <u>no</u> non-political, appropriate, legitimate, institutional purpose in a law enforcement agency so fundamentally misrepresenting the impact of an elected official's tenure. Not when they're running for re-election. Not when they're seeking higher office. Not when they are facing a recall vote **Not ever.** Maybe political "hits" against opposing candidates are considered acceptable practice by communications consultants during election campaigns. They should never be designed and carried out like this by the communications staff for a police agency.

CONCLUSION

A police department that repeatedly fails to be candid and fully transparent with the public and other parts of City government... that repeatedly creates and uses communications products that contain falsehoods, misrepresentations, an apparently altered image, selective disclosures and wild exaggerations... that promotes propaganda to create the false impression that its reform efforts have had greater scope and impact and won greater acclaim than they actually have...is a police department that is not serious about reforming itself and not truly committed to engaging in more just and effective public safety strategies.

In turn, a City government that is aware that its police department is engaging in these deeply misleading and trust-destroying communication strategies that serve no legitimate public interest and yet allow them to continue and, in fact, subsidize them with significant public expenditures cannot be considered to be truly committed to either comprehensive police reform or to more racially-equitable policing.

Thank you for this important hearing and for carefully considering the implications of what you learn -- and then for taking the steps necessary to at least reduce if not prevent the SFPD's creating and promotion of propaganda.

John Crew (415) 793-4146

cc. John Carroll, Assistant Clerk for the GAO Committee Members, San Francisco Police Commission William Scott, Chief of Police Mr. Sam Sinyangwe

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	FW: SF Has Issues!
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 11:24:00 AM

Please see below for communication from Rosanne Liggett regarding John F. Kennedy Drive and City College of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

-----Original Message-----From: rosanne liggett <rosanneadana@hotmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, May 4, 2022 10:51 PM To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org> Subject: SF Has Issues!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

#1. I think the BOS made a bad decision on JFK. A minority of young, able-bodied, and entitled people convinced the Board to throw the valued museums, disabled people, and seniors and families under the bus, so to speak. The Board would have done better to consider some more creative ideas, or even the compromises that were on the table: Connie Chan's proposal, going back to how JFK was closed/ opened before the pandemic. Something other than consigning the road to being under-utilized and only for a few! (I won't be surprised if Rec&Park proposes monetizing JFK by allowing businesses, like tacky food trucks, to operate on JFK. Then where will the beauty of the area be? More privatization of public spaces is a terrible way to run the City.)

#2. Can't the BOS do something to help support City College? The CC is a vital institution and it is being gutted! SF will be all the poorer and have even more social problems without a City College that can offer vibrant education to underserved young people who need skills and programs, and can offer continuing education to adults. City College at one time was a shining educational institution in SF and now is dying on the vine!

I will be appreciative of a thoughtful reply to my comments.

Rosanne Liggett District 9 rosanneadana@hotmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: San Francisco gets an F
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 11:26:00 AM

Please see below for communication from Mira Martin-Parker regarding City College of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Mira Martin-Parker <tartarthistle@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 4, 2022 5:32 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Cc: tim@48hills.org; info <info@48hills.org>
Subject: San Francisco gets an F

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors,

Why is it that with so many billionaires in this beautiful city our educators and students are always out begging for money? Why can't fully support city college, flood it with cash? Why are public school teachers' paychecks being screwed with?

I think I know why. I think so do you. And I think the truth involved isn't at all pretty. Truth and validity (seems true) are not the same. People in power *seem* respectable, *seem* like their experts. But is this true? A teacher would know how to tackle this problem. Teachers know what's up.

Thank god for teachers.

Mira Martin-Parker, District Five Forever (no one breaks the Unity)

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Remove Sharp Park Golf Course from the Natural Areas Plan!
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:08:00 PM

Please see below for communication from Elia Meza regarding the Sharp Park Golf Course redevelopment project.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Elia Meza <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 1, 2022 3:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Remove Sharp Park Golf Course from the Natural Areas Plan!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

I urge you to remove the Sharp Park Golf Course redevelopment project, originally known as proposal "A18", from the environmental review for San Francisco's Significant Natural Resource Area Management Plan ("SNRAMP"). If you do not, you must reject the entire SNRAMP environmental review.

The environmental review for SNRAMP is inadequate because it contains a golf course redevelopment project that was inserted into the SNRAMP environmental review years after the review of SNRAMP was initiated, and long after several mandatory CEQA steps were completed. Thus the Sharp Park golf course redevelopment project never completed formal environmental scoping, was never subjected to mandatory public hearings, and did not benefit from early, formal oversight by other public agencies with subject matter jurisdiction.

When A18 was originally released in 2009, the Planning Department seemed to understand this procedural concern. The Department explained in the SNRAMP environmental scoping report, also released in 2009, that the golf course redevelopment project could never be incorporated into the SNRAMP environmental review process.

Despite this promise, in 2011 the draft environmental review for SNRAMP included the golf course redevelopment project, and after the draft was rubber-stamped by the planning and recreation and park commissions, the final environmental review does as well.

You cannot complete your job of fully vetting the environmental issues presented by the golf course redevelopment project, because the document before you skipped key steps in the CEQA process for the golf course redevelopment project.

Only if that portion of SNRAMP is removed and put through a separate environmental review process can we all be assured that San Francisco is making the most informed environmental decisions possible. Unless and until that happens, you must reject the final environmental review document for SNRAMP, because the fiscal, environmental, and recreational consequences of the Sharp Park Golf Course redevelopment project are so grave that it will wipe out any and all environmental benefits proposed in all other areas affected by SNRAMP.

Board of Supervisors,

I'm a homeowner in San Francisco and I believe San Francisco ought to continue to be a leader in natural resource conservation and habitat restoration. Even if it is green, a golf course is not a natural area, is poor habitat for wildlife, and is a waste of water. The planet is fighting for its life, and we must stand on the side of correcting past mistakes, view these issues through a wider lens, and not ignore or deny our responsibilities. Finally, you do know that simply because an animal or plant has not been extirpated from a location does not mean the population or the species is thriving.

A Voting Citizen,

Elia Meza

Elia Meza <u>EliaMeza@gmail.com</u> 152 Wool St San Francisco, California 94110

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Potential legislative change
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 11:29:00 AM

Please see below for communication from Vaughn McGuire regarding Rent Board ordinances.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:20 PM
To: 'VAUGHN' <brokervaughn@msn.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Potential legislative change

Hello Vaughn... we are not the appropriate individuals to inquire with. I am looping in the Board of Supervisors email so that it can be forwarded to the Member of the Board of Supervisors. Please follow up with their offices if you wish to discuss future legislative possibilities. <u>https://sfbos.org/roster-members</u>

Operations... please forward to the Members. Thank you.

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax <u>alisa.somera@sfgov.org</u>

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is

working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click **<u>HERE</u>** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

 \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: VAUGHN <<u>brokervaughn@msn.com</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, May 4, 2022 12:40 PM
To: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <<u>alisa.somera@sfgov.org</u>>; Major, Erica (BOS) <<u>erica.major@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: Potential legislative change

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Alisa and Erica,

I'm not sure which one of you I should direct this to, so I've addressed it to both of you. I hope that's ok.

My concern is that the Rent Board ordinances have not been updated to reflect the changes that Prop 19 has brought up for small property landlords.

Many small property landlords are now facing full reassessments when their rental properties are passed from parent to child (after the parents death). Prop 58 used to allow rental properties to be passed from parent to child without reassessment, but Prop 19 did away with this.

I'll illustrate our situation which will highlight the issue I would like to raise:

-Our family has owned an operated a duplex in SF since 1986

-We have had one tenant for 27 years, and the other for roughly five years

-Despite us raising our tenants rent virtually every year, one of our tenants is paying roughly 40-50% under market rate rent. The other is about 20% under market. These lower market rate rents are due to the limited amount that the Rent Board allows us to raise rents each year

-We think it's great, we've literally been able to provide very affordable housing to our longterm

tenant because of the equalizing force of Prop 13, which has kept our tax base very reasonable. This stable tax basis has allowed us to operate in the face of under-market rate rents

-In 2021' Prop 19 became law. It reversed Prop 58 which had stated that our family could pass the our duplex from parent to child (after the parents death) without reassessment

-Now, the duplex will be fully reassessed after my parents death. In our case, the property taxes will double at an absolute minimum

-This will likely force us out of business due to an ordinance that was passed a few years ago that stated that rents could not be raised due to tax reassessment. I'm sorry but I could not find the title of the ordnance. I believe it was passed in 2018'

-From what I can tell, this ordinance has not been updated to reflect the changes that Prop 19 has brought about

The ordnance that was passed a few years ago that stated that rents could not be raised due to reassessments was brought about to protect tenants from new owners that **knowingly** bought buildings with low rent tenants. Apparently they were jacking the rents way up after the point of sale and using the new tax reassessment that they generated through their purchase as an excuse to raise tenants rents up. Most importantly, they knowingly did this.

My concern is that this ordnance has not been updated to accommodate heirs that find themselves facing large reassessments due to a parents death. Death isn't a decision that someone knowingly enters into, and yet it's being treated in the same way that it treats new owners that knowingly purchase rental properties with low rent tenants. No sane person would knowingly purchase a building and be taxed at 2022' property tax rates with rents that look more like 2005', and yet this is the situation that heirs are finding themselves in as a result of Prop 19. This imbalance will force us out of business almost immediately when the property transfers from parent to child. Legally speaking, it isn't allowing for our small business to offset our higher expenses by increasing our income for a situation that we <u>unknowingly</u> find ourselves in. The Rent Board ordinances acknowledge rental property owners must be able to offset higher expenses through "pass-throughs", but has not acknowledged this by letting heirs share in the burden that Prop 19 is creating by raising tenants rents.

As a side note, I'm a renter. I'm also very concerned that our tenants will not be able to afford their rent if it becomes normalized. I hate the situation I find myself in as a result of Prop 19. Apparently no hearing were even held by the legislators before putting Prop 19 on the ballot. The effects stand to be atrocious for cities like SF that have stringent rent control for pre 1986' buildings. As expensive as SF is, we really do have legitimate "affordable housing" for longterm tenants. Prop 19 is already unwinding this. Several realtors I've spoken with have told me that not only are the heirs of rental properties being taxed out of the buildings, but even the tenants are being displaced after the point of sale due to "owner move-in evictions". Prop 19 seems like a direct assault to renters in the same that it is owners.

Is this something that is in process of being addressed? If not, can somebody address it?

Sincerely, Vaughn McGuire (503) 977-6518 Property in question: 174-176 19th Ave, SF CA. 94121

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Opposition to File 22-0199, Admin., Police Codes - Ban on Gas-Powered Landscaping Eqpt. Budget Committee, May 4, 2022, Item No. 1
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:15:00 PM
Attachments:	City of SF 05.02.22-converted.pdf

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from Jeff Jensen regarding File No. 220199.

File 220199 - Administrative, Police Codes - Ban on Gas-Powered Landscaping Equipment

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Jeff Jensen <jjensen@gcsaa.org>

Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 8:28 PM

To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>

Cc: MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Haneystaff (BOS) <haneystaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Ginsburg, Phil (REC)

<phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>; Teahan, Kevin (REC) <kevin.teahan@sfgov.org>; Summers, Ashley (REC) <ashley.summers@sfgov.org>; Marc Connerly <MConnerly@connerlyandassociates.com>; Richard Harris Jr. <richard@sfpublicgolf.org>

Subject: Opposition to File 22-0199, Admin., Police Codes - Ban on Gas-Powered Landscaping Eqpt. Budget Committee, May 4, 2022, Item No. 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors, Budget Committee and Staff,

Please see the attached opposition to File 22-0199, Admin. Police Codes – Ban on Gas-Powered Landscaping Eqpt. from the Golf Course Superintendents Association of Northern California. Please include the comments in the public record for the hearing scheduled for May 4, 2022, and please

distribute comments to committee members in advance of the hearing. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this issue.

Sincerely,

Jeff Jensen | Field Staff, Southwest Region Golf Course Superintendents Association of America <u>1421 Research Park Drive | Lawrence, KS 66049</u> 800.472.7878, ext. 3603 | 785.840.7879 Direct www.gcsaa.org | GCSAA Foundation | GCM | Facebook | Twitter

GCSAA





May 2, 2022

City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget & Finance Committee 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA. 94102

Subject: Opposition to File 22-0199, Admin., Police Codes - Ban on Gas-Powered Landscaping Eqpt. Budget Committee, May 4, 2022, Item No. 1

Dear Supervisors and Budget and Finance Committee members:

On behalf of the Golf Course Superintendents Association of Northern California (GCSANC) and our member facilities in the City and County of San Francisco, please accept the following comments in strong opposition to Administrative, Police Codes – Ban on Gas-Powered Landscaping Equipment. This supplements our previously submitted letter to the Board dated March 29, 2022.¹

While we understand the need to develop an emission reduction strategy to reduce pollution and noise in the state, it was apparent at the April 27, 2022, Budget and Finance Committee hearing that the ordinance relies on unsupported and unproven data/assumptions/costs and lacks sufficient evidence of technical feasibility.

As stated by several committee members at the hearing, the cost of charging stations and batteries has not been addressed by the ordinance and it was noted that there is no expectation in the Mayor's current budget plans for next four years to address those expenses. Many variables are involved in purchase, design, and buildout of these requisite charging stations, but they can run in excess of a \$1 million and can require re-wiring the entire building. There can also be significant fire and air quality safety issues with charging stations that need to be addressed.

The ordinance was amended at the April 27 Budget Committee meeting to allow waivers if replacement technology does not exist or if a department is unable to purchase replacement equipment for an amount less than or equal to 300 percent of the cost of the equivalent. While this amendment does provide some flexibility, the costs to get to this determination should be stated and broken down into financial detail including the up-front costs to purchase, continual operating costs including batteries and particularly the increase in labor that will be required to efficiently use zero emission equipment.

¹ Letter, GCSAANC to SF Supervisors, Budget Cttee, Oppo.File22-0199, 3.29.22: <u>https://drive.google.com/file/d/1tW794DZv5UIZwcjKmwGAecEQSf-Zgqhh/view?usp=sharing</u>

Additionally, a buy-back program as well as a safe disposal program are discussed in the ordinance, but no financial disclosure on either program is available. A complete transition to zero emission equipment in less than two years on city owned property and less than four years on private property will be a significant financial impediment. It will be potentially devastating to privately owned landscaping entities.

Data from manufacturers shows that commercial ZEE can have an upfront cost of as much as 2 to 4 times that of their gas-powered counterparts:

- One popular manufacturer's commercial-grade electric leaf blower retails for \$350 \$400, similar to the same manufacturer's gas-powered unit. However, to use this electric leaf blower for an entire workday requires the purchase of extra batteries and chargers thus, driving the up-front cost to exceed \$2,000.
- One of the most popular commercial gas-powered riding mowers cost ranges from approximately \$10,000 to \$11,000 while its ZEE counterpart cost starts at approximately \$21,000 (all prices MSRP).
- A commercial grade gas-powered string trimmer from a leading manufacturer starts at \$329 but a commercial grade battery-powered unit from the same manufacturer (including the extra batteries and chargers needed to complete a day's work) exceeds \$1,000.
- According to a survey conducted by the California Landscape Contractors Association and the National Association of Landscape Professionals, an average crew size of 3 would need a total of 34 batteries to complete tasks for 20 lawns not including a riding lawnmower which would not have the charge to complete that task. Batteries also will need to be replaced every 300-500 charge cycles which means they would need to be replaced at least a minimum of one-time during the lifespan of the product. The battery estimate is based on lawns, not large commercial landscapes, so the above example would be conservative for large properties².

More problematic, the majority of these commercial ZEE products are currently not available due to issues with technology, manufacturing/supply chain and delivery infrastructure caused by the Covid 19 pandemic and current political strife and current zero emission equipment does not currently meet the "fit for intended use" standard for large landscapes such as parks, golf courses, cemeteries, and sports fields.

²NALP created an advisory group of larger national landscape companies. This group provided NALP with a range of data and technical guidance and the information in this table are conservative estimations. This scenario is based more off residential services as they are simpler to model based on quarter acre lots. The reality is that total batteries needed is likely higher in exclusive commercial and larger settings where landscape services are performed.

We have numerous concerns on certain pieces of equipment for which there are no or extremely limited zero emissions alternatives. Walking aerators (ex. Toro ProCore 648 – no alternatives to our knowledge), bunker rakes, walk behind mowers, hover mowers and numerous spray units will create hardships for large landscape users, including golf facilities. The wait time to acquire these pieces of zero emission equipment (if alternative is even manufactured) currently exceeds 14 months in most cases.

Other Issues include:

- The power is just not comparable yet
- Difficult to use exclusively on large scale commercial and governmental jobs like parks, golf courses, HOAs, resorts, business parks and other public and commercial green spaces
- Requires too many batteries to conduct their job function in an efficient manner
- Charging issues in the field and in the workshop
- Durability concerns
- Batteries are too heavy
- Cannot mow slopes on riding mowers because of the weight issue of currently available mowers makes them unstable.
- Mow times are longer, and batteries cannot last a full workday
- Leaf removal during seasonal changes is difficult
- Debris removal to mitigate fire spread is significantly more difficult
- Lack of dealers and maintenance shops to support transition
- Batteries are not interchangeable between brands

These issues are evident in the current eight percent adoption rate by professional landscape companies (CARB/CSUF Study).

We share the City and County of San Francisco's ultimate goal to reduce emissions, but it needs to be done in a practical and responsible manner while mitigating financial and safety concerns. It is not a one size fits all approach when it comes to zero emission equipment. The technology and ability to mass produce and deliver this equipment and completely replace gasoline-powered equipment 25 hp and under by Jan. 1, 2024, on city owned property and Jan. 1, 2026, on all property is not feasible for commercial and governmental department end users.

GCSANC requests that the City and County of San Francisco follow the rulemaking process introduced by CARB on Dec. 9, 2021, that bans the manufacturing and sale of most small off-road engines by Jan. 1, 2024 but continues to allow use for those products manufactured and sold (including used equipment purchases) before that date while conducting an in-depth analysis which will assist in establishing a more realistic timeframe for implementation of this ordinance.

This will allow commercial and governmental department end users, including public and private golf course superintendents, the opportunity to continue to use their current equipment while starting the process of integrating ZEE into their operations as it becomes more technologically feasible and available.

Sincerely,

Mark Connerly

Marc Connerly Executive Director Golf Course Superintendents Association of Northern California 2235 Park Towne Cir., 2nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95825 C: (916) 214-6495

cc:

Phil Ginsburg, Gen. Mgr., San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

From:	Board of Supervisors. (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Gun shots Hyde and Ellis
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 4:05:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from a concerned citizen regarding conditions in the Tenderloin.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Quintona67 <quintona67@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 30, 2022 2:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Gun shots Hyde and Ellis

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Quintona67** <<u>quintona67@gmail.com</u>> Date: Sat, Apr 30, 2022, 2:26 PM Subject: Gun shots Hyde and Ellis To: <<u>MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org</u>>

4 gun shots rang out a few minutes ago on a Saturday afternoon. On the corner of Hyde and Ellis, where all the drug dealers are plying their trade since they were moved away from the lower blocks of Hyde street in the TL. When is the drug dealing going to stop in our neighborhood? We see the same delears week in week out. They actually have a catering service come by in the afternoons so they don't have to go far from the corners for lunch. This is crazyness. Why can't we in the TL have the same police presence as around Union Square? I've lived at Hyde and Ellis for 26 years now. I thought things were bad under Chris Daley and Jane Kim, but the past two years have been a

disgrace on what has been allowed to go on in San Francisco's "containment zone".

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Former DOE Director Raphael's Improper Solicitation and Receipt of Substantial Donation from Recology
	During Negotiations for Lucrative Landfill Disposal and Transportation Contracts
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 3:18:00 PM
Attachments:	WMAC-SF Letter(4-29-2022).pdf
Importance:	High
Attachments:	Monday, May 2, 2022 3:18:00 PM <u>WMAC-SF Letter(4-29-2022).pdf</u>

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from Mr. Barry Skolnick regarding Department of the Environment Director Raphael.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Board of Supervisors - Clerk's Office 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Direct line: (415) 554-7732 | Office line: (415) 554-5184 Pronouns: he / him / his

From: Skolnick, Barry <Bskolnic@wm.com>

Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 7:03 AM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org> Ce: Bohn, Jason <jbohn@wm.com>; Stratton, David <dstratton@wm.com>; Cea, Bradley <bcea@wm.com>

Subject: Re: Former DOE Director Raphael's Improper Solicitation and Receipt of Substantial Donation from Recology During Negotiations for Lucrative Landfill Disposal and Transportation Contracts

Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor, Board of Supervisors, City Attorney:

Please see attached letter from Waste Management of Alameda County, Inc., regarding former DOE

Director Raphael's monetary solicitation from Recology during negotiations for the 2015 San Francisco disposal RFP and subsequent award.

Please advise when we can discuss this matter further.

Regards,

Barry Skolnick Area Vice President Cell 678.656.5595 bskolnic@wm.com

Waste Management Northern California-Nevada Area

California

172-98th Avenue Oakland, California 94603 Tel 510.613.2112

Nevada

100 Vassar Street Reno, Nevada 89502 Tel 775.326.2317

This email may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please contact the sender by reply email and delete all copies of this message.



WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ALAMEDA COUNTY, INC. 172 98TH Ave. Oakland, CA 94603

April 29, 2022

Office of the Mayor 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 200 San Francisco, CA, 94102 MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102 Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org Office of the City Attorney 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl. City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94102 cityattorney@sfcityatty.org

Re: Former DOE Director Raphael's Improper Solicitation and Receipt of Substantial Donation from Recology During Negotiations for Lucrative Landfill Disposal and Transportation Contracts

Dear Mayor, Board of Supervisors, City Attorney:

Now that it has been reported that Deborah Raphael, the former head of the City's Department of the Environment ("DOE"), improperly solicited a \$25,000 donation from Recology San Francisco ("Recology") while concurrently negotiating the lucrative landfill disposal and waste transportation services contracts with Recology, Waste Management of Alameda County, Inc. ("WMAC") asks that the City review the 2015 contract awards. WMAC submitted a bid that adhered to the competitive bid process, whereas Recology did not. Yet it appears that the DOE, at the direction of Ms. Raphael, tilted the process in favor of Recology and signed the contracts with the company just two months after the \$25,000 donation.

In its April 8, 2022 "Public Integrity Review," the City Controller's Office found that "Director Raphael solicited funds from Recology shortly before signing contracts with Recology in both 2015 (Landfill Disposal Agreement) and again in 2019 (Household Hazardous Waste Agreement)." It found that this and other ethical rules violations "undermine public trust in the department's decisions, create the appearance of a company making gifts to favorably influence department decisions that would directly benefit them, increase fraud risk, and reflect poor management decision-making."

These findings do not come as a surprise to WMAC. Throughout the bid procurement and contract award process, WMAC alleged that the DOE and Ms. Raphael had violated the City's rules and procedures and should not have awarded Recology the contracts to transport and dispose of San Francisco's waste. These improprieties included:



- The DOE allowed Recology, and only Recology, to bid for both the landfill disposal contract and a transportation contract, which was not even put out for bid, giving Recology a competitive advantage because it could bulk-price the services, i.e., use fees earned under the transportation contract to subsidize the landfill disposal contract services.
- The DOE recommended to the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") that it award the contracts to Recology even though the City should have disqualified the company.
- The DOE allowed Recology to abandon its original proposal for a contract to rail haul the City's waste to the Ostrom Road Landfill in Yuba County and, instead, approved a different contract to haul the City's waste by truck to its Hay Road Landfill in Vacaville without putting these new services out for bid.
- The DOE ignored a standing offer by WMAC to extend the City's 1987 contract for disposal at its Altamont Landfill in Alameda County at a *lower* price than Recology's flawed proposal to give the City time to conduct a fair and lawful competitive bid process.
- Ms. Raphael and the DOE misrepresented to the Board that it was necessary to immediately award Recology the contracts because the City "will exhaust the remaining landfill disposal capacity under [the Altamont agreement] sometime in the first half of 2016," which was not true. The DOE knew that the Altamont Landfill had the capacity for 40 more years of the City's waste, and that the cost would be lower than Recology's proposal.

To drive home the deal, Ms. Raphael informed the Board by letter dated July 22, 2015, that the DOE was changing the terms of the landfill disposal agreement from five million tons of waste to nine years or 3.4 million tons, whichever came first. She also misinformed the Board that the original contract with Recology for the Ostrom Road Landfill in Yuba County had no fixed time period but was set to expire upon the dumping of five million tons of waste. This was not true. There was a 10-year term *or* a five-million-ton cap. Ms. Raphael's switch to a nine-year term allowed the DOE to avoid Board approval before executing the contract. On July 22, 2015, the DOE and Recology signed the deal.

These facts support the City Controller's assessment that Deborah Raphael's "fundraising solicitations to Recology during contract finalization and signing created the appearance of pay-to-play." We think, and alleged as much, that there was more than just such an appearance. Ms. Raphael's actions, in our view, thwarted a fair and lawful competitive bid process. Had WMAC been aware Ms. Raphael was soliciting donations from Recology while titling the bid procurement process in its favor,



our 2015 lawsuit, which named Ms. Raphael and the DOE as defendants, might have played out differently.¹

The contract award would have played out differently as well. Under the RFP rules, the DOE should have disqualified Recology and negotiated the disposal contract with WMAC, the next highest ranked proposer. WMAC's proposal entailed hauling the City's municipal solid waste ("MSW") to the Altamont Landfill in nearby Alameda County where the City had been disposing of its waste since 1987. In contrast, Recology's proposal consisted of hauling five million tons of the City's MSW in hundreds of heavy-duty trucks driving thousands of miles each year along a 155-mile round-trip route from San Francisco to Solano County. And, had Recology not been given the unfair advantage of bulk-pricing its disposal costs, WMAC's pricing would have been as competitive.

But the DOE and Ms. Raphael plowed ahead and switched the term of the contract to nine years rather than 10 years as specified in the RFP, allowing Ms. Raphael to execute the contract without Board approval. Her execution of the contract coincided with her receipt of the \$25,000 donation she solicited from Recology. Her failure to disclose this solicitation may be grounds for a court to void the landfill disposal contract pursuant to Section 3.214 of the City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code. Under Section 3.214, a City officer must disclose in the public record any "professional or business relationship with any individual who is the subject of or has an ownership or financial interest in the subject of a governmental decision being made by the officer or employee whereas a result of the relationship, the ability of the officer or employee to act for the benefit of the public could be reasonably questioned." The City Controller has already so questioned Ms. Raphael's ability to act in the public's interest. Ms. Raphael's failure to disclose her relationship with Recology could void the landfill disposal contract if a court determines that the failure was willful, and Ms. Raphael did not render her decision "with disinterested skill, zeal, and diligence and primarily for the benefit of the City." The City Controller's April 8, 2022 "Public Integrity Review" supports both prongs of this test.

We ask that the City undertake an immediate review of the landfill disposal and transportation services contract awards and determine whether WMAC, and not Recology, should now be the legitimate service provider for the City's residents and businesses.

Please let us know if we can set up a meeting to discuss.

Sincerely,

Barry Skolnick, President Waste Management of Alameda County, Inc Area Vice President Northern California – Nevada

¹ The Court ruled in favor of Defendants primarily on technical grounds that the contracts were not "services" subject to the City's competitive bid requirements and that the City could deviate from its request for proposals. The Court did not address WMAC's allegations against Ms. Raphael.

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Environmental Wreckage @ Ocean Beach 2700 Sloat
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 3:57:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Steve Ward regarding 2700 Sloat Blvd.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Steve Ward <seaward94133@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 2:00 PM
To: CPC.2700SLOAT.ENV <CPC.2700SLOAT.ENV@sfgov.org>
Subject: Environmental Wreckage @ Ocean Beach 2700 Sloat

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

EMAIL: CPC.2700SLOAT.ENV@sfgov.org

Copy this to your neighbors & friends to defend San Francisco against developer interest driven neighborhood destruction.

Subject: EIR for 2700 Sloat Blvd., CASE No. 2021-012382ENV

Dear Mr. Zushi - In addition to the horrible precedent this project sets for the entire

Sunset and San Francisco in general

I am concerned with the current proposal for development at 2700 Sloat Blvd. The potential environmental effects are many and dire. Of concern, at a minimum, are the following:

1. The site was a former gas station. I request a full assessment and that the developers must / will document full remediation & prove the location will not expose residents, neighbors and the zoo animals to toxins and by products.

2. I request analysis and report of impact to nearby wetlands, regarding the interruption and depletion of the ground water and time it will take to recharge.

3. Protected Species on the Ocean Beach, Fort Funston, and the ZOO – I request a full assessment and report of the impact of construction of the hi-rise on all locally protected species.

4. Light pollution: How will the addition of exterior and interior lights shining at night affect the animals housed at the Zoo which has had dark skies since it opened? I request a full assessment and report of the impact of light pollution on the surrounding area.

5. The Alquist-Priolo Earthquake fault zoning maps places this hi-rise about 2 blocks from the San Andreas fault line and in the liquefaction and tidal wave zone. What earthquake safety measures are in place? From documents submitted to the planning department by the developers mat slab foundation has been identified as the foundation construction for the building. This is not an earthquake safe design.

6. A shadow will be cast east, west, and south of the hi-rise and depending on the season a shadow will be cast over the north. This will disrupt the ecology of the surrounding area. I request a full assessment and report of the impact of shadow casting on the surrounding area.

7. Traffic & parking impact: With the addition of significantly more cars and inadequate car parking, as is evidenced in the plans submitted to the city, increased traffic will potentially decrease safety in the surrounding area and increase environmental pollution. I request a full assessment and report of the impact of traffic in the surrounding area.

8. Noise pollution - what precautions will be made to ensure that activity in and around the complex is minimized?

9. Endangered species - The site is within protected zones for a number of endangered species. What provisions are in place to avoid damaging the fragile local ecosystems?

10. This area was historically home to the Ohlone Native Americans. I request a full assessment and report of the historical usage and significance of the land at 2700 Sloat for the Ohlone people, and whether the areas could become preservation sites.

11. From papers submitted to the planning department it has been disclosed that one or more Diesel engines will be placed onsite. Where will the fuel be stored and what precautions will be taken to ensure that there is no risk from leakage to residents and neighbors? I request a full assessment and report on the safety and security of diesel engines and fuel at the site.

I am requesting that I be kept informed, step by step, of your findings and be provided proof that this project will not hurt the environment.

Sincerely

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
То:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Perkinson, Jessica (BOS)
Subject:	37 Letters regarding File No. 220281
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:38:00 PM
Attachments:	37 Letters regarding File No. 220281.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 37 letters regarding File No. 220281.

File No. 220281 - Administrative Code - Shelter Expansion Program

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:	Jennifer Laska
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); RescueSF Coalition; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
•	
Cc:	<u>Smeallie, Kyle (BOS); "Jennifer Laska" via HVNA Board</u>
Subject:	HVNA Letter of Support for "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 8:21:08 PM
Attachments:	Microsoft Word - HVNA Place for All letter 5-1-22.docx.pdf

Dear Supervisors, Please consider the attached letter of support from the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association for "A Place for All". Thank you,

Jennifer Laska HVNA President 310-709-2230



May 1, 2022

Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Letter of Recommendation for A Place for All (File #220281)

Dear Supervisors,

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) wishes to express our support for A Place For All. This legislation sponsored by Supervisor Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar provides a path towards ending street sleeping by proposing a City policy to provide shelter to all who wish to accept it, and additionally requiring the City to create sufficient interim off-street shelter placements.

In Hayes Valley, we supported Safe Sleeping sites. We saw a rise in street sleeping, which grew exponentially during the early part of the pandemic, with large encampments blocking sidewalks and creating unsafe and unsanitary conditions both for the people in the encampments and immediate neighbors. We circulated a petition in support of the creation of Safe Sleeping Site at 33 Gough Street, as well as other potential locations, and found there was widespread support of this effort.

We understand that more than 70% of San Francisco voters have identified homelessness and street conditions as the top issue for the city to address. While the solution for homelessness is housing, the long waiting list leaves people with few options while awaiting a placement. A Place for All will help close the gap in shelter availability. We need this critical step from homelessness to housing.

The Board of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association wishes to add its voice in support of A Place for All.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Laska President, Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc: Kyle Smeallie, Rescue SF HVNA Board

From:	wjaeck@gmail.com
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]: Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 12:36:11 PM

Dear members of the committee,

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All". "A Place for All", sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Sincerely, William Jaeck Resident in District 8

From:	Deepika Phakke
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
Subject: Date:	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org</u> ; <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u> I urge you to support "A Place for All" Wednesday, May 4, 2022 11:59:10 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Deepika Phakke District 3 Founder @ Nama Water; ex-Google

From:	Aisling Ferguson
То:	Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); MelgarStaff
	(BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Chan,
	Connie (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); info@rescuesf.org; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Haney,
	Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 11:41:32 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

?

Email: <u>Aferguson@guaranteemortgage.com</u>

Website: www.guaranteemortgage.com

To begin your application: Apply with Aisling

See what my customers are saying about me online

?

Need to send me a file securely? Click Here

Confidential: This electronic message and all contents contain information from Guarantee Mortgage which may be privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. The information is intended to be for the addressee(s) only. If you are not an addressee, any disclosure, copy, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy the original message and all copies. Thank you

Alert: For your protection, we remind you that this is an unsecured email service that is not intended for sending confidential or sensitive information. Please do not include your social security number, account number, or any other personal or financial information in the content of the email.

From:	Lori Brooke
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 10:00:37 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. The Cow Hollow Association joins with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cow Hollow Association District 2

From:	bill_poppen@yahoo.com
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 6:59:57 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Bill Poppen Treasurer, Discover Polk Community Benefit District

Bill Poppen Mobile: 704-773-2051 Email: bill_poppen@yahoo.com

From:	johanna johannaspilman.com
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 12:57:54 PM

Dear All:

Many of us wonder about the City's inability to place people into temporary housing outside the tents on SF streets and neighborhoods.

I absolutely support proposal drafted by Sups. Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar and Mar. let's do it. There is money for it but it requires persistence and will. Do it!

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Johanna Spilman 415-305-0954 johanna@johannaspilman.com

From:	Val flood
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 8:45:28 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name]
[Your address or Supv District]
[OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jennifer Laska
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); RescueSF Coalition; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 8:09:43 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Jennifer Laska District 5

From:	Kathya hyda
To:	Chan, Comie (BOS): Stafani, Catherine (BOS): Stafani, Catherine (BOS): Basin, Aaron (BOS): Wanter, Marra (BOS): Wa
Subject:	Lurge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 7:46:59 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis.

Can we temporarily convert large vacant buildings into shelters, using individual tents inside? There are so many vacancies. We could put a team of professionals inside the with offices.

Thank you!

"A Place for ALL" sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney. Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Kathryn Hyde Froderis, Saret, SF Hydrox, Saret, SF Hydrox, Martin, Martin,

From:	Julie Paul
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 6:43:17 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Julie Paul D2

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jeff Cerf
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff.</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Saturday, April 30, 2022 12:42:49 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Rick St John
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 1:36:40 PM

Dear All:

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Richard St John 1 Daniel Burnham Court #703 San Francisco, CA 94109

From:	sarah young
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 12:16:53 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Sarah Young 516A Oak Street, District 5

james skolaut
Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Friday, April 29, 2022 10:30:53 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All." "A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you. James Skolaut 15 Prosper St San Francisco District 8

From:	Jerrold Scattini
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 7:16:10 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Jerrold ScattiniName] [Your address1250 Jones ST., SF 94109 or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPad

From:	DAVID SAFER
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 7:15:59 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

David Safer District 2 CHA

From:	Kathleen O"Hara
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 6:32:29 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan.

This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Kathleen O'Hara 1286 4th Avenue SF, CA 94122

From:	Karena
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 11:33:03 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Shu-Min Yang 1 Daniel Burnham Ct, San Francisco 94109

From:	Eddie and Wanda Ramos
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
Subject:	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org;</u> <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u> I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 7:57:10 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Wanda & Edward Ramos Opera Plaza 601 Van Ness Ave. # 1129 San Francisco, CA 94102

From:	Joe Laska
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 6:33:25 PM

Hello,

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Joe Laska District 5 resident San Francisco, CA 94102

From:	james skolaut
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 6:07:59 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All." "A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All." (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you. James Skolaut 15 Prosper St San Francisco (District 8)

From:	Karin Button
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 5:25:31 PM

We have owned our property since 1995. It has become so dangerous for us the walk the City. The homeless and the mentally I'll people are everywhere. I am in support of the letter below. Please help clean up these issues.

Thank you.

"The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you."

Karin Button 1Daniel Burnham Ct.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Donald Graves
To:	Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); info@rescuesf.org; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 4:44:58 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All." "A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All." (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you. Donald Graves in District 10.

Donald Graves Donaldsf@gmail.com

From:	Laura Hunt
To:	<u>Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);</u>
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 4:09:50 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Laura Hunt Design 2817 Buchanan Street San Francisco, CA 94123 laurahuntdesign.com 415-602-2808 follow me: instagram & houzz

From:	<u>Klevin Lo</u>
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 4:08:27 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Klevin Lo 1 Daniel Burnham Court, San Francisco, CA 94109

From:	Jim Connelly
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:58:01 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

From:	<u>C Tucker</u>
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:50:08 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you Christina Tucker 670 Eddy St #320 SF,CA 94109 Community Outreach Worker

From:	Pauline Kahney
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:44:55 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Freedman
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:55:19 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

John Fredman 631 O'Farrell St San Francisco, CA 94109

From:	Don Mariacher
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:32:24 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Don Mariacher Cathedral Hill Safety Committee, CHNA My home: 1200 Gough Street, 6C, SF 94109

From:	Samuel McCormick
To:	Haney, Matt (BOS)
Cc:	Haneystaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS);
	Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary;
	Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Saturday, April 30, 2022 10:18:17 AM

Dear Supervisor Haney,

I'm a resident of District 6. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you,

Sam

Prof. Dr. Samuel McCormick Communication Studies, San Francisco State University Clinical Psychology, Pacifica Graduate Institute http://faculty.sfsu.edu/~mccrmck

Author of The Chattering Mind: A Conceptual History of Everyday Talk (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2020)

Lectures on Anxiety continue May 4th

From: To:	<u>Daphne Alden</u> <u>Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);</u> <u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS)</u> ; Safal, Ahsha (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); StefaniStaff, (BOS); PeskinStaff (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); PrestonStaff (BOS); Haneystaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; RonenStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); SafaiStaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Date:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness Tuesday, May 3, 2022 11:41:55 AM

Hello,

I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

From:	<u>Milo Trauss</u>
То:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Cc:	MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 12:08:59 PM

Dear Supervisor Mandelman,

I'm a resident of District 8. I'm reaching out to express my support for your "A Place for All" legislation. Thank you for your leadership and vision in bringing this proposal forward!

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you. Milo Trauss Noe Valley

From:	Celeste Ridlen
To:	Mar, Gordon (BOS)
Cc:	Somera, Alisa (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Marstaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 11:18:58 AM

Dear Supervisor Mar,

I'm a resident of District 4. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

Celeste Ridlen 1296 36th Ave

From:	Jamie Chong
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 3:28:34 PM

Dear Supervisor Chan,

I'm a resident of District 1. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

I saw that you voted against making Car-Free JFK permanent last week during the final vote and I have to say I was disappointed as one of your constituents. Thankfully, it still got passed. I hope you will please take my comment into account for this important homelessness legislation, and do what is best for the city and all of its residents.

Thank you.

Charles Lowey-Ball
Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness Saturday, April 30, 2022 12:34:17 PM

Dear Supervisor Mandelman,

I'm a resident of District 8. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you. Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	6 Letters regarding Valencia Street
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 1:08:00 PM
Attachments:	6 Letters regarding Valencia Street.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 6 letters regarding Valencia Street.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Deter

Jian C RonenStaff (BOS): MandelmanStaff safestreetsforever@gmail.com Prioritizing Valencia Street Monday, May 2, 2022 6:07:29 PM taff (805): MandelmanStaff, 1805): Board of Supervisors, (805): MTABoard@stmta.com: Tumlin. Jeffrey (MTA): Breed, Mayor London (MYR): LyableStreets@stmta.com: Parks, Jamle (MTA): Magure, Tom (MTA): Lasky, Matt (MTA): yalenci@stmta.com: Leung, Kimberly (MTA)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Ronen and Mandelman, MTA Board, and MTA Staff,

On February 22, we held our second Just a Minute direct action (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://sf.streetsblog.org/2022/01/26/valencia-street-just-a-minute-pilot-protest/____YXAzOnNimZHQyOmE6bzo20Tg;YYJNiMGE5ZWYyZGE2NTtxZDVxOTRmZTMIYTgwNjo2OmJYYzYGYjdjNWYwMWhYjFimYWMSOGI0NjRkYjk0NGQ5ZTY3ZWVmYmYyYTFhZmNjYjFjODAyZDU5YjkzNGE0MzMxOGRINTpwOkY) to spotlight how inhospitable and dangerous much of Valencia Street is for people on bikes, gig workers, and everyone who enjoys this vibrant space.

While we're thrilled with the recent weekend Shared Spaces expansion, it's not enough and Valencia Street must receive complete and permanent design improvements immediately. The entire Valencia Street Corridor must receive:

Fully protected bikeways, including intersections
 Dedicated Loading Zones for passengers and gig delivery workers
 All remaining on-street parking converted to ADA spots
 Vehicle turn restrictions that prioritize Muni service and crosswalk safety

We are asking you to finish the work you started over three years ago (https://url.avanan.click/v2__https://www.sfmta.com/projects/valencia-bikeway-improvements__YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo20Tg5YjNiMGE5ZWYyZGE2NTxxZDYxOTRmZTM1YTgwNjo20jg50GM6N2MyNmMzZmM0ZmY3MzVmMDJiNDY40WI5NGE0ZmJiYWVkMGI3MzY1Y2YxOGEzZjQxNGUyYzViOGZjMjM0N2Y2MjpwOkY) and prioritize permanent Valencia Street improvements as soon as possible, ideally before July 2022. Please communicate publicly what is being done on the permanent improvement of Valencia Street, and when we can expect these improvements to be completed.

Thank you.

Warm Regards, Jian

From:	Diana Pray
То:	RonenStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Board of Supervisors, (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Tumlin, Jeffrey
	(MTA); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Parks, Jamie (MTA); Maguire, Tom (MTA); Lasky, Matt (MTA); valencia@sfmta.com; Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Cc:	safestreetsforever@gmail.com
Subject:	Prioritizing Valencia Street
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 2:23:37 PM

Dear Supervisors Mandelman and Ronen, MTA Board, and MTA Staff,

February 22's second Just a Minute direct action

(https://sf.streetsblog.org/2022/01/26/valencia-street-just-a-minute-pilot-protest/) showed how inhospitable and dangerous much of Valencia Street is for people on wheels, gig workers, pedestrians--really, anyone trying to enjoy this vibrant neighborhood and business corridor.

The recent weekend Shared Spaces expansion is blissfully welcome, but it is not enough. Valencia Street must receive complete and permanent design improvements immediately. The entire Valencia Street Corridor must receive:

- Fully protected bikeways, including intersections
- Dedicated Loading Zones for passengers and gig delivery workers
- All remaining on-street parking converted to ADA spots
- Vehicle turn restrictions that prioritize Muni service and crosswalk safety

San Francisco needs you to finish the work you started over three years ago (<u>https://www.sfmta.com/projects/valencia-bikeway-improvements</u>). Prioritize permanent Valencia Street improvements as soon as possible, ideally before July 2022. Please communicate publicly: what is being done on the permanent improvement of Valencia Street, and when we can expect these improvements to be completed. Thank you.

Sincerely, Diana Pray Member: Walk SF, SF Transit Riders, SF Bicycle Coalition

From: To: Cc: Subject: Date:	Proder Salary Renderative Renderative (IDS): Renderative (IDS): Internet Station (IDS): Internet Station (IDS): Renderative (ID
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Ronen and Mandelman, MTA Board, and MTA Staff,

On February 22, we held our second Just a Minute direct action (https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://streetsblog.org/2022.01/26/valencia-street-just-s-minute-pilot-protest/___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo1NTkyZDhhMzkwODQxOWQ1Y2YxYjVjNWI3ZDQ2ODI5NDe2OmExX2U6NDYwNjNjZjY0NDgw Y2RkYWExNTJjYzkzYjhjYzg3NTMxNmZINDUyNmU1YmM3ZTQyOTNjOGM5YTBmOTVIOThlODp00kY) to spotlight how inhospitable and dangerous much of Valencia Street is for people on bikes, gig workers, and everyone who enjoys this vibrant space.

While we're thrilled with the recent weekend Shared Spaces expansion, it's not enough and Valencia Street must receive complete and permanent design improvements immediately. The entire Valencia Street Corridor must receive:

Fully protected bikeways, including intersections
 Dedicated Loading Zones for passengers and gig delivery workers
 All remaining on-street parking converted to ADA spots
 Vehicle turn restrictions that prioritize Muni service and crosswalk safety

We are asking you to finish the work you started over three years ago (https://url.avanan.click/v2/__https://www.sfmta.com/projects/valencia-bikeway-improvements___YXAzOnNmzHQyOmE6bzo1NTkyZDhhMzkwODQxOWQ1Y2YxYJVJNWIZDQ2ODISNDc2OmZmZDc6KTciihTtI0M2JiZWYyMJQ3YTdhMTQ2YTAyYzcwNDM5YzRJYzg1NGZmMDljNmFiZmVJMDJjZTcyZWZmNGQ2NDFiMTp00kY) and prioritize permanent Valencia Street improvements as soon as possible, ideally before July 2022. Please communicate publicly what is being done on the permanent improvement of Valencia Street, and when we can expect these improvements to be completed.

Thank you.

From:	Chris Shaffer
To:	RonenStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Board of Supervisors, (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Tumlin, Jeffrey
	(MTA); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Parks, Jamie (MTA); Maguire, Tom (MTA);
	Lasky, Matt (MTA); valencia@sfmta.com; Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Cc:	safestreetsforever@gmail.com
Subject:	Prioritizing Valencia Street
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 2:06:05 PM

Dear Supervisors Ronen and Mandelman, MTA Board, and MTA Staff,

On February 22, we held our second Just a Minute direct action (<u>https://sf.streetsblog.org/2022/01/26/valencia-street-just-a-minute-pilot-protest/</u>) to spotlight how inhospitable and dangerous much of Valencia Street is for people on bikes, gig workers, and everyone who enjoys this vibrant space.

While we're thrilled with the recent weekend Shared Spaces expansion, it's not enough and Valencia Street must receive complete and permanent design improvements immediately. The entire Valencia Street Corridor must receive:

- Fully protected bikeways, including intersections
- Dedicated Loading Zones for passengers and gig delivery workers
- All remaining on-street parking converted to ADA spots
- Vehicle turn restrictions that prioritize Muni service and crosswalk safety

We are asking you to finish the work you started over three years ago (<u>https://www.sfmta.com/projects/valencia-bikeway-improvements</u>) and prioritize permanent Valencia Street improvements as soon as possible, ideally before July 2022. Please communicate publicly what is being done on the permanent improvement of Valencia Street, and when we can expect these improvements to be completed.

Thank you.

Chris

From: To:	Les Gorske Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Parks, Jamie (MTA); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; MTABoard@sfmta.com; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Lasky, Matt (MTA); RonenStaff (BOS); Maguire, Tom (MTA); Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); valencia@sfmta.com
Cc:	safestreetsforever@gmail.com
Subject:	Prioritizing Valencia Street
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 11:28:52 AM

Dear Supervisors Ronen and Mandelman, MTA Board, and MTA Staff,

On February 22, we held our second Just a Minute direct action

(<u>https://sf.streetsblog.org/2022/01/26/valencia-street-just-a-minute-pilot-protest/</u>) to spotlight how inhospitable and dangerous much of Valencia Street is for people on bikes, gig workers, and everyone who enjoys this vibrant space.

While we're thrilled with the recent weekend Shared Spaces expansion, it's not enough and Valencia Street must receive complete and permanent design improvements immediately. The entire Valencia Street Corridor must receive:

- Fully protected bikeways, including intersections
- Dedicated Loading Zones for passengers and gig delivery workers
- All remaining on-street parking converted to ADA spots
- Vehicle turn restrictions that prioritize Muni service and crosswalk safety

We are asking you to finish the work you started over three years ago

(https://www.sfmta.com/projects/valencia-bikeway-improvements) and prioritize permanent Valencia Street improvements as soon as possible, ideally before July 2022. Please communicate publicly what is being done on the permanent improvement of Valencia Street, and when we can expect these improvements to be completed.

Thank you.

From:	- W
To:	B
Cc:	Sa
Subject:	Pr

Dear Supervisors Ronen and Mandelman, MTA Board, and MTA Staff,

On February 22, we held our second Just a Minute direct action (https://url.avanan.click/v2/__https://sf.streetsblog.org/2022/01/26/valencia-street-just-a-minute-pilot-protest/__YXaZonNmZHQyOmE6bzowZTM3MWNiN2Y4ZTM5OWQxMTYxNjhYWIwMGU0ZDFkMjo2OmE5MzA6YTYwMDZiN2IhNGRIOTk1ODlkZTI3NzUzMTBmMzJjOTI4ODU3NGE0N2Q1YTM3MDE5N2EzMjlhYjVhZDZkYjQ0NjpwOkY) to spotlight how inhospitable and dangerous much of Valencia Street is for people on bikes, gig workers, and everyone who enjoys this vibrant space.

While we're thrilled with the recent weekend Shared Spaces expansion, it's not enough and Valencia Street must receive complete and permanent design improvements immediately. The entire Valencia Street Corridor must receive:

Fully protected bikeways, including intersections
Dedicated Loading Zones for passengers and gig delivery workers
Vehicle turn restrictions that prioritize Muni service and crosswalk safety

We are asking you to finish the work you started over three years ago (https://url.avanan.click/v2/__https://www.sfmta.com/projects/valencia-bikeway-improvements___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowZTM3MWNiN2Y4ZTM5OWQxMTYxNjlhYWIwMGU0ZDFkMjo2OmY5Mzg6ZDNiMThmZDkzOTkwNzdhMWI3Y2E3N2EzYWY1NWY2NTkzMzRmMDliMjkzMzU3OTE0YmExZThkZGQ0MDc3MmUzMjpw0kY) and prioritize permanent Valencia Street improvements as soon as possible, ideally before July 2022. Please communicate publicly what is being done on the permanent improvement of Valencia Street, and when we can expect these improvements to be completed.

Thank you, Wilton

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	3 Letters regarding Mask Mandates
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 1:01:00 PM
Attachments:	3 Letters regarding Mask Mandates.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters regarding mask mandates.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:	Emma Martin
To:	Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA); MTABoard@sfmta.com
Cc:	Breed, Mayor London (MYR); healthcommissiondph@sfdph.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	Act In Solidarity with Disability Communities! Reinstate Masks on SFMTA Now!
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 4:11:28 PM

My name is Emma, and I'm the Systems Change Advocate at The Center for Independent Living, an independent living center serving our cross-disability communities in northern Alameda County. I'm writing to ask you to act in solidarity with the health, safety, accessibility of people with disabilities in your community, and to please follow BART's lead in voting in support of reinstituting mask mandates on SFMTA. Lifting mask mandates is disproportionately dangerous and inaccessible to people with disabilities. The sudden and unilateral lifting of mask mandates further segregates people with disabilities away from public and community life, and makes it more difficult to remain safe and healthy during the **ongoing** (and currently surging!) COVID-19 pandemic.

To put it plainly, supporting the lifting of mask mandates indicates a disregard for disabled life; it shows that able-bodied people's comfort and convenience is more important than the protection of disabled communities, more than the life of our communities, our children, our parents. Consumers I work with have expressed feeling stuck, isolated, and terrified with the sudden lifting of mask mandates, without any community input or apparent regard for disabled life and thrivance.

Please, also act in support of encouraging a region-wide mask mandate via regional health officers, so that our communities do not have to fight each transit agency, agency by agency, and so there is security that disabled people can complete a trip on public transit across the Bay with safety and ease.

Our communities will be watching your vote and your actions on Tuesday's meeting carefully!

Best,

Emma Martin (she/her/hers) Systems Change Advocate Center for Independent Living, Inc. 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 100, Berkeley, CA 94703 510-841-4776 x 3166



[Image Description: 1st - "TheCIL" logo in pink, purple, and black. 2nd - "ADRC" logo in purple and yellow.]

From:	Judith Baker
То:	MTABoard@sfmta.com
Cc:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.gov; Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
Subject:	Continue mask policy
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 3:13:23 PM
Cc: Subject:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.gov; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) Continue mask policy

Dear Board members,

As a disabled senior I am writing to urge you to follow the lead of the BART board is continuing the mask policy at least through this latest COVID round. I now ride taxis and the bus. The muni bus is always so crowded that I sometimes cannot even get on. I face a second and then third surgery and my recovery will be difficult enough without having to face catching COVID due to the lack of a continued mask mandate. Maybe healthy young riders will be ok but I am afraid for those of us who are not healthy and young. Thank you for your consideration.

Judith Baker

Sent from my iPhone

Judith Baker 415-518-4052 judith_baker@att.net

From:	Hamilton Leong
To:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	Request to enact masking requirements back on Muni
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 2:52:08 PM

I'd like to ask what the Board of Supervisors can do to help bring back masking requirements to Muni. The CDC has recommended masking for flights, despite how *one* federal judge has ruled. I see that the BART Directors approved mask requirement by a vote of 7-0. In Los Angeles, the city/county requires masking for all forms of public transit. I have to take Muni as my alternative to driving, and I'm in frequent contact with elderly and vulnerable people. For their sake, I'm hoping that the Board of Supervisors can help bring back the mask requirement on Muni. Thank you.

Hamilton Leong 2868 25th St. SF CA 94110 415.550.6672 hleong2000@icloud.com

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject:	3 Letters regarding a proposed Charter Amendment regarding the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:47:00 PM
Attachments:	<u>3 Letters regarding a proposed Charter Amendment regarding the Department of Homelessness and Supportive</u> <u>Housing.pdf</u>

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters regarding a proposed Charter Amendment regarding the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:	Laksh Bhasin
To:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin,
	Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen,
	Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); StefaniStaff, (BOS); PeskinStaff (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); PrestonStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; RonenStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff
	(BOS); SafaiStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Berniecrats SF; Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
Subject:	SF Berniecrats / Mayoral HSH Commission (Safai) — Oppose Unless Amended
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 8:13:00 AM
Attachments:	Mayoral HSH Commission SF Berniecrats Position Letter 2022-05-05.pdf

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Laksh and I coordinate the San Francisco Berniecrats Housing Committee. I have attached a letter from the SF Berniecrats expressing our opposition to the proposed majority-Mayoral Commission (Safaí) over HSH, unless amended to no longer be majority-Mayoral, through (for instance) the inclusion of Board of Supervisors appointees and tenants chosen by tenants themselves.

We urge you to not place any such majority-Mayoral Charter Commission on the ballot unless amended to be more democratic as described in our letter. We are happy to meet and discuss this with you further, but primarily encourage you to **engage longtime supportive housing activists and people with lived experience of homelessness** on developing a trulydemocratic Charter Commission.

Thank you, Laksh Bhasin

CC: Mayor London Breed



May 5, 2022

Re: Mayoral HSH Commission (Safaí) — Oppose Unless Amended

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Berniecrats believe that everyone deserves an affordable and well-maintained home. As highlighted in a recent SF Chronicle investigation, our city is failing its most vulnerable tenants in permanent supportive housing, who are forced to suffer in substandard living conditions — in buildings where elevators regularly break, vermin run rampant, and ceilings literally fall apart. There is a clear need for more funding to care for residents in dilapidated SRO hotels, and a need for more oversight over the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH).

Despite the need for a Charter commission over HSH, we oppose any such commission if it has a majority of its members appointed by the Mayor. Instead, we urge you to amend Supervisor Safaí's proposed Charter amendment so that power is shared co-equally among Board of Supervisors appointees, tenants chosen by permanent supportive housing tenants themselves, and – if necessary – the Mayor.

A majority Mayoral-appointed commission would not offer real oversight, and would be indistinguishable from the current oversight structure over HSH, which reports directly to the Mayor. As highlighted by the Chronicle's investigation, the Mayor's office has repeatedly opposed attempts at oversight and accountability over HSH.

Furthermore, Supervisor Safai's proposal did not include community input from supportive housing activists or people with lived experience of homelessness. We believe decisions about SRO tenants must only be made with their express approval.

In general, our City's strong mayor system exacerbates corruption in government and is un-democratic, concentrating power in the hands of one official. As mentioned by one of the authors of San Jose's Charter, "Big California cities that have a strong mayor form of government such as Los Angeles, San Francisco and Oakland have suffered from institutional corruption for as long as I can remember. It hurts cities because it favors those in power and their close allies and neglects the public interest." Favoring well-connected individuals or contractors is the exact antithesis of what the Chronicle's investigation into HSH and supportive housing sought to accomplish.

We urge you to not place any such majority-Mayoral Charter Commission on the ballot unless amended to be more democratic as described above: through the co-equal inclusion of tenants chosen by tenants and Board of Supervisors appointees.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Berniecrats

From:	Harlo Pippenger
То:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS)
Subject:	Opposing Strong Mayor Commission for HSH Unless Amended
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 5:59:44 PM
_	

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose any Charter Amendment establishing a commission over the SF Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, if this commission has a majority of its members appointed by the Mayor. According to this <u>Chronicle article</u>, this appears to be what you are considering to improve oversight over HSH.

A majority Mayoral-appointed commission would not offer real oversight. Instead, you may consider amending this proposal such that power is shared co-equally among Board of Supervisors appointees, tenants chosen by permanent supportive housing tenants themselves, and the Mayor.

SF's strong mayor system exacerbates corruption in City government. It concentrates power in the hands of one person, which is definitionally un-democratic, unlike Board of Supervisors appointments that are less subject to the whims of one person. As mentioned in this <u>Mercury News article</u> by an author of San Jose's charter, "Big California cities that have a strong mayor form of government such as Los Angeles, San Francisco and Oakland have suffered from institutional corruption ... It hurts cities because it favors those in power and their close allies and neglects the public interest" (emphasis mine).

Favoring well-connected individuals is the exact antithesis of what the Chronicle's investigation into HSH and supportive housing sought to accomplish.

I urge you to not place any such Charter Amendment on the ballot unless amended to be more democratic as described above: through the co-equal inclusion of tenants and Board appointees.

Thank you, Harlo Pippenger

From: To:	Laksh Bhasin Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS)
Subject: Date:	Opposing Strong Mayor Commission for HSH Unless Amended Monday, May 2, 2022 6:12:40 PM

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose any Charter Amendment establishing a commission over the SF Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, if this commission has a majority of its members appointed by the Mayor. According to this <u>Chronicle article</u>, this appears to be what you are considering to improve oversight over HSH.

A majority Mayoral-appointed commission would not offer real oversight. Instead, you may consider amending this proposal such that power is shared co-equally among Board of Supervisors appointees, tenants chosen by permanent supportive housing tenants themselves, and the Mayor.

SF's strong mayor system exacerbates corruption in City government. It concentrates power in the hands of one person, which is definitionally un-democratic, unlike Board of Supervisors appointments that are less subject to the whims of one person. As mentioned in this <u>Mercury</u> <u>News article</u> by the author of San Jose's charter, "Big California cities that have a strong mayor form of government such as Los Angeles, San Francisco and Oakland have suffered from institutional corruption ... It hurts cities because it favors those in power and their close allies and neglects the public interest" (emphasis mine).

Favoring well-connected individuals is the exact antithesis of what the Chronicle's investigation into HSH and supportive housing sought to accomplish.

I urge you to not place any such Charter Amendment on the ballot unless amended to be more democratic as described above: through the co-equal inclusion of tenants and Board appointees.

Thank you, Laksh Bhasin

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	3 Letters from Brendan Kenneally regarding the West Sunset Soccer Field
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 1:12:00 PM
Attachments:	<u>3 Letters from Brendan Kenneally.pdf</u>

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters from Brendan Kenneally regarding the West Sunset Soccer Field.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: To:	Brendan Kenneally (BRENDAN) Mar. Gordon (BOS); SFPD Taraval Station, (POL); information@sfglenssc.org; office.sfvsc@gmail.com; RPDInfo, RPD (REC); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); McCormick, Shawn (MTA); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Brendan Kenneally
Subject:	Re: INFORM: West Sunset Soccer Field Parking and Safety issues
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 8:43:24 AM

Hello again. Here are additional examples of the parking issues.

The intersection is being used as a parking lot. And more blocking of the crosswalk.



Please note there is no one in the car and it's parked right in the middle of the intersection.





On Wed, May 4, 2022 at 8:35 AM Brendan Kenneally <<u>brendan@gene.com</u>> wrote: Hello to all parties on this email.

My name is Brendan Kenneally and I am resident of the sunset district @ 39th AVE and Rivera St., and I also happen to be a San Francisco native.

I am writing to you all to alert you to an issue that I experience on a daily basis while walking to and from Sunset elementary school to pick up my 6 year old from school.

The parents of children practicing in the various soccer leagues at West Sunset soccer fields are double parking, parking in red zones, crosswalks and in some instances on the sidewalk at the intersection of 39th AVE and Quintara. In some instances the car will be parked for the duration of soccer practice (60 min). This takes place daily during soccer season M-F from ~ 3:30 PM until 5:30 PM.

At first it was just a few cars, but it has now become commonplace for parents to park their cars in areas that make it unsafe. Not only for me and my child to cross the street but also for the other children, elderly and families that live in the neighborhood that utilize the field to get to/from school, the playground, library and even some kids on the soccer teams themselves.

Approximately 10 days ago, the 48 Muni line was blocked and there were 3 buses that could not pass due to cars parked in the red zones and the buses were unable to negotiate the turn due to obstructions. The bus was stuck there for ~15 minutes at the intersection of 39th AVE and Quintara.

I have spoken with the park ranger that patrols the area, I have spoken with the Taraval police station - only to be referred to dispatch to have them state 'We'll try and get a unit out there'. I have spoken with the coaches of the various teams to please ask the parents to find an actual parking spot, or at minimum to park out of the crosswalk.

All of this to no avail. All I ask is, at minimum, keep cars out of the crosswalk during hours where kids are coming home from school and neighborhood residents are out and using the neighborhood.

I would like to ask that there is communication from the club teams to the parents of the children to please don't block the crosswalk/intersection. *Drop your children*

off and move along. I would also like to ask that there be some actual enforcement of parking during this time of day at the intersection in question.

Please make it safe and accessible for everyone who uses the street and put the needs of the residents who live here first.

And keeping cars off the sidewalk and not blocking the handicapped accessible parking would be nice also! (this picture was taken on 5/3/2022 at 5:13)



I will be sending a couple of follow up emails to show that this is not a one time occurance and that I am not exaggerating. Attaching the photos in a single email will not work.

Parked in the crosswalk....



Thank you for listening and I look forward to hearing your response on this matter.

Brendan Kenneally

From:	Brendan Kenneally (BRENDAN)
То:	Mar, Gordon (BOS); SFPD Taraval Station, (POL); information@sfglenssc.org; office.sfvsc@gmail.com; RPDInfo, RPD (REC); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); McCormick, Shawn (MTA); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Brendan Kenneally
Subject: Date:	INFORM: West Sunset Soccer Field Parking and Safety issues Wednesday, May 4, 2022 8:36:35 AM

Hello to all parties on this email.

My name is Brendan Kenneally and I am resident of the sunset district @ 39th AVE and Rivera St., and I also happen to be a San Francisco native.

I am writing to you all to alert you to an issue that I experience on a daily basis while walking to and from Sunset elementary school to pick up my 6 year old from school.

The parents of children practicing in the various soccer leagues at West Sunset soccer fields are double parking, parking in red zones, crosswalks and in some instances on the sidewalk at the intersection of 39th AVE and Quintara. In some instances the car will be parked for the duration of soccer practice (60 min). This takes place daily during soccer season M-F from ~ 3:30 PM until 5:30 PM.

At first it was just a few cars, but it has now become commonplace for parents to park their cars in areas that make it unsafe. Not only for me and my child to cross the street but also for the other children, elderly and families that live in the neighborhood that utilize the field to get to/from school, the playground, library and even some kids on the soccer teams themselves.

Approximately 10 days ago, the 48 Muni line was blocked and there were 3 buses that could not pass due to cars parked in the red zones and the buses were unable to negotiate the turn due to obstructions. The bus was stuck there for ~15 minutes at the intersection of 39th AVE and Quintara.

I have spoken with the park ranger that patrols the area, I have spoken with the Taraval police station - only to be referred to dispatch to have them state 'We'll try and get a unit out there'. I have spoken with the coaches of the various teams to please ask the parents to find an actual parking spot, or at minimum to park out of the crosswalk.

All of this to no avail. All I ask is, at minimum, keep cars out of the crosswalk during hours where kids are coming home from school and neighborhood residents are out and using the neighborhood.

I would like to ask that there is communication from the club teams to the parents of the children to please don't block the crosswalk/intersection. *Drop your children off and move along.* I would also like to ask that there be some actual enforcement of

parking during this time of day at the intersection in question.

Please make it safe and accessible for everyone who uses the street and put the needs of the residents who live here first.

And keeping cars off the sidewalk and not blocking the handicapped accessible parking would be nice also! (this picture was taken on 5/3/2022 at 5:13)



I will be sending a couple of follow up emails to show that this is not a one time occurance and that I am not exaggerating. Attaching the photos in a single email will not work.

Parked in the crosswalk



Thank you for listening and I look forward to hearing your response on this matter.

Brendan Kenneally

From:	Brendan Kenneally (BRENDAN)
То:	Mar, Gordon (BOS); SFPD Taraval Station, (POL); office.sfvsc@gmail.com; McCormick, Shawn (MTA); RPDInfo, RPD (REC); Brendan Kenneally; MTABoard@sfmta.com; Board of Supervisors, (BOS); information@sfglenssc.org
Subject: Date:	INFORM: West Sunset Soccer Field Parking and Safety issues #2 Wednesday, May 4, 2022 9:01:54 AM

Here are the final examples to show how extensive the issue is.



Please note this vehicle is in the red zone, in the crosswalk and parked facing the wrong direction on the street.



Crosswalk



2 cars in the same crosswalk



Parked on the sidewalk, I politely asked her to move and was given some 'choice'

word.



Crosswalk



Intersection as parking lot

I implore you all on this email to please take some action to make the intersection usable for MUNI, for regular vehicle traffic and most importantly for pedestrians and the residents of the neighborhood.

Again, I look forward to hearing your thoughts and possible ways to mitigate this issue. Thank you.

Respectfully. Brendan Kenneally

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	2 Letters regarding the United Nations Plaza Linkage Center
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:55:00 PM
Attachments:	2 Letters regarding the United Nations Plaza Linkage Center.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding the United Nations Plaza Linkage Center.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: To:	Helene Sautou Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Cc: Subject: Date:	Carroll, Maryellen (DEM); Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM); Tracy Everwine CCCBD letter on Linkage Center Tuesday, May 3, 2022 4:18:16 PM
Attachments:	<u>CCCBD Linkage Center.pdf</u>

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached a letter from the Civic Center Community Benefit District expressing our concerns.

Thank you for your attention,

2

Helene Sautou Project Director Civic Center Community Benefit District (415) 658-7979 sautou@sfciviccenter.org



May 3, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Linkage Center lease extension

Honorable Supervisors,

We write this letter on behalf of the Civic Center Community Benefit District to express our concern about the potential lease extension of the Linkage Center in United Nations Plaza. **We ask that the Linkage Center be moved to a location which better serves clients and the surrounding community; and that the City acknowledge the need for strategic City agency oversight which balances service delivery and public safety.**

Our organization's mission is to improve public realm conditions in Civic Center, and over the past two years we have increasingly focused our efforts on combating public health and safety challenges in UN Plaza. This includes having worked tirelessly with the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) over the past few months to help ensure the success of the temporary Linkage Center for clients and the community.

Public health and safety conditions in UN Plaza were extremely poor before the addition of the Linkage Center and now they are worse. City-published data and the "eye test" clearly indicate the Linkage Center has yet to achieve substantive outcomes in the areas of housing, mental health and drug treatment linkages. Furthermore, Center hours have not expanded to 24/7 as intended, forcing clients back out onto the street at closing; and only a few of the seven floors available in the building are being used. These limitations on outcomes, hours of operation and indoor services have resulted in an outdoor environment which is unsafe for vulnerable people and very unwelcoming for residents, visitors and the local workforce.

Unstable individuals, many in crisis, are making their way to the Linkage Center, and just as many people come to prey upon them. Thus, the need to balance service delivery and a safe environment is paramount. With DEM ceasing its comprehensive oversight of the Linkage Center in June, we are further convinced UN Plaza is not the right place for such an intense program. Without DEM's holistic approach to managing the Center inside and out, as well as its ability to convene a multitude of stakeholders and direct City resources, public health and safety issues in UN Plaza will continue to deteriorate.

We urge our City leaders to identify an alternate location for the Linkage Center, one that supports positive outcomes for clients and the surrounding community. The City must also ensure the Linkage Center is managed closely by a City agency department willing and able to balance service providers and law enforcement agencies for the safety and well being of clients and the surrounding community.

Respectfully,

Civic Center Community Benefit District

From:	Helene Sautou
То:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Cc:	Tracy Everwine; Carroll, Maryellen (DEM); Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM)
Subject:	MMCBD letter on Linkage Center
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 3:16:54 PM
Attachments:	MMCBD Linkage Center letter.pdf MMCBD Linkage p2.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached a letter from the Mid Market Community Benefit District outlining our concerns.

Thank you for your attention,



Project Director Mid Market Community Benefit District

(415) 957-5985 hsautou@midmarketcbd.org midmarketcbd.org



Board of Directors

Philip Gerrie SF Friends Meeting House

David Harrison Patson Company

Jeannie Kim SAM'S & Fermentation Lab

Kristie Lober Related

Shelley Orlando Hudson Pacific Properties

Dipak Patel Property Owner

Miia Kautovaara Ingka Centers

Jim Sangiacomo Trinity Properties

Jane Weil Resident

Bill Whitfield Shorenstein Realty May 3, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: UN Plaza Linkage Center

Dear Supervisors:

On behalf of the Mid Market Community Benefit District (MMCBD) we write to express our deep concern about the continuation of the Linkage Center in United Nations Plaza. We urge the City to identify an alternate location for the Linkage Center which will improve client and community safety and success. The negative impacts of locating the Linkage Center in UN Plaza far outweigh the benefits.

- Street conditions around the Linkage Center in Mid Market have worsened significantly.
- Outdoor services take up too much public space and still leave clients vulnerable.
- Open air drug use and sales around the Center go unaddressed by outreach teams and law enforcement; drugs are being sold directly to clients through the Center's fence.
- Linkage Center hours of operation have not reached 24/7 as intended and clients are forced back onto the street at 8pm where they are met by drug dealers.
- Not all 7 floors leased for the Linkage Center are being used for client services.
- The Linkage Center has yet to achieve significant outcomes in the critical areas of linkages to housing, mental health and drug treatment, per the City's own data.
- Access to shelter has significantly slowed due to availability constraints.
- The Center is situated at the entrance to one of the City's busiest BART/MUNI stations creating unsafe conditions for employees returning to the office, visitors, and residents

 many of whom look down upon the Center from the upper floors of their homes on Market Street. See attached photos.

Now and until a new location is identified, we recommend:

- Use all 7 available floors for client services 24/7 moving more outdoor activity inside.
- Reconfigure and fortify outdoor walls to improve client safety and privacy, as well as comfort for residents, office workers and visitors - many of whom (such as out of town theater patrons) are unaccustomed to the dense concentrations of antisocial behavior.
- Outreach teams and law enforcement must address the antisocial and illegal activity around the Linkage Center.
- Continued management by the Department of Emergency management No other City agency will have the breadth of knowledge, respect, and ability to manage such a complex and nuanced operation.


Additionally, Linkage Center success requires an emphasis on treatment outcomes, in conjunction with proactive law enforcement in the public realm. Social outreach and harm reduction approaches on their own are insufficient and would be most effective if provided in collaboration with San Francisco's uniquely qualified law enforcement agencies.

While we acknowledge the availability and locational advantages of commercial real estate along Market Street in Mid Market, the negative impacts of locating a drop-in center here far outweigh the benefits to clients and the community.

Sincerely, Mid Market Community Benefit District

LINKAGE CENTER IMPACTS ON MARKET STREET IN MID MARKET











From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject:	2 Letters regarding the Great Highway
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:41:00 PM
Attachments:	2 Letters regarding the Great Highway.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding the Great Highway.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:	James Mazza
То:	Marstaff (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Rainsford, Nicholas (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors,
	(BOS)
Subject:	Overnight RV parking on Lower Great Hwy
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 1:55:53 PM

Dear Trusted Officials-

The neighborhood blight that can no longer be ignored continues to persist along Lower Great Hwy (LGH), particularly between Lincoln and Irving. There is one vehicle, which we shall call Not Ramona, that has been there unmoved (not even for the Marathon earlier this year!) for the better part of a year. Call it the broken window effect, but that one vehicle and the entitlement it has procured with its beach front/parkway adjacent spot has just resulted in a dozen or more others just camping for extended periods. The resulting waste, both litter and human types, creates a stench that even the 30 knot winds can't clean up.

I write today, Tuesday, 03 May 2022, because it's street sweeping day. A little more than a block away, at 1:04pm, there were 3 SFMTA parking officers queued up on my street with another just around the corner on Lincoln, ready to issue tickets to unmoved vehicles. I asked one of them if they were actually going to cite any of those vehicles along LGH between Lincoln and Irving. You know what the girl said "I'm just following these guys, this isn't my normal route…" oh please. Give me a break. Free pass again. So I walked over to LGH after I snapped the pic of officers queued up on my street… the RVs haven't moved. It's 1:07pm, like my block there are clear signs posted of No Parking 1-3pm 1st and 3rd Tuesday of each month. In the distance, south of Irving a long LGH, those 4 parking citation officers are frantically writing up as many tickets as they can and probably wrote 6 or 8 just between Irving and Judah alone while the Street Sweeper (or parking tax collector?) just blocks traffic.


Bottom line, it's a mess. Of any place that needs street sweeping it's where those RVs are parked pouring who knows what down the gutter. Go stand at the sewer in-take at LGH and Irving on the west side of the street and tell me what you smell. On top of it, there are also clearly posted signs that no vehicles over 7' in height or 22' in length are allowed to park between 12:01-6am. This also goes totally unchecked. And according to the occupants, those vehicles which they deliberately drove and parked there are not considered vehicles at all. I guess they're no dwellings? Tell me how this would fly if they took over the lot at China Beach or parked along Lake St?


As a homeowner, a parent, an actively involved member of outer sunset community and a public servant myself, I'm disgusted in the process and how we've had to come to accept this blight. A lot of people say in response to the argument about why do I get a parking ticket and the RVers don't is "because you can afford it." But the longer this lack of enforcement lingers, the trash collects in our parkways, the entitlements of the free riders prosper, the more I ask myself, is because I can afford "it" or is it because I'm being forced to afford "them."

A couple public recorded engagements with the campers and sending the "Street Crisis Team" periodically isn't going to cut it. Please return this portion of our neighborhood to a clean and safe place.

Respectfully,

James Mazza













Sent from my iPhone

From: To: Subject: Date:	Anthony Winogrocki Short, Carla (DPW); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); RPDInfo, RPD (REC); Commission, Recpark (REC); info@openthegreathighway.com Re: PROTEST and APPEAL of Issuance of Permit for Food Trucks on the Upper Great Highway from Anthony Winogrocki Tuesday, May 3, 2022 9:33:29 AM
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.	



My name is **Anthony Winogrocki** My email address is **sanfranciscotony@yahoo.com**

Carla Short, Director San Francisco Department of Public Works 49 S. Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1600 San Francisco, CA 94103

PROTEST AND APPEAL OF ISSUANCE OF PERMIT FOR FOOD TRUCKS ON THE UPPER GREAT HIGHWAY (Public Works Code Sections (Park Code sections 7.03, 7.20; Public Works Code, article 5.8, Sections 184.88, 184.82, and 184.94)

The November 23, 2021, press release and outrageous message by SF Recreation and Parks in the Richmond Review/Sunset Beacon announcing food trucks on the Great Highway while banning its use by other vehicles is highly objectionable. By sending this written objection within the 30-day period of the press release/initial notice of the 3-month pilot project, an appeal of the pilot project is hereby requested. Some of what was published was as follows:

"We will continue to consider feedback about this pilot and will not extend it without additional community outreach. We recently learned a local merchant just opened up a vegan restaurant on Judah, so we are adjusting the location of the vegan food truck to JFK Drive in Golden Gate Park starting 11/27/21. We will also work with local businesses to put up temporary signs on the Great Highway informing visitors to the Great Highway's car-free space about local restaurant and shopping options nearby."

Here are my comments in response to this solicitation:

Without any public process whatsoever under the direction of SF Recreation and Parks General Manager, Phil Ginsburg, the gates to the Great Highway were suddenly unlocked to allow food trucks to drive on, set up with tables and chairs, and sell on the highway while it is closed to all other vehicles. Vehicles are banned from driving in and out of San Francisco on this four-lane major artery from noon Fridays through Monday mornings pursuant to Mr. Ginsburg's Aug. 15, 2021, directive and supposed environmental concerns. How are food trucks parked on the closed highway with their generators blasting, as paper and plastic food and drink containers are dispensed, carried off and dropped onto the sand dunes and beach, good for the environment?

After telling our poor local restaurant and coffee shop merchants on Judah, Noriega, Taraval and Sloat (who are barely hanging on since the pandemic due to the City's permanent removal of parking spaces for their customers and years of street construction at their front doors) how wonderful the closed highway would be for their businesses, Mr. Ginsburg unilaterally decided to make it more convenient and equitable for the multitude of people invited to walk and bike here to NOT patronize their businesses, but to stay on the closed highway to spend their money eating and drinking there.

With absolutely no regard for the sand dunes, which include a wildlife sanctuary protected by the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and the California Coastal Commission, Mr. Ginsburg proposes to fix this problem of food trucks on the closed highway by defacing the sand dunes and landscaping to erect billboards and advertisements to let people on the closed highway know there are also restaurants and coffee shops in the nearby neighborhood.

This is a public issue of change of use and commercialization of the beach and JFK Drive. It is a gross degradation of the public's natural views, as well as affecting the private views of nearby homes, condos and apartments. This was all done without any input or approval by the impacted community.

This is not a pilot project for the good of anyone. It is deliberate, greedy

commercialization and destruction of San Francisco's Ocean Beach, its community, and protected wildlife. Mr. Ginsburg's pilot, in his capacity as General Manager of SF Recreation and Parks Department, is inflicting harm on our merchants and residents. This Protest and Appeal of Issuance of Permit asks for an immediate stop to the allowance of food trucks and vendors of any kind on the Upper Great Highway. Instead, protect and save our wildlife sanctuary, sand dunes, beach, struggling small businesses and beautiful little neighborhood by reopening the Great Highway as it was pre-pandemic and conduct an Environmental Impact Report before making any temporary or permanent changes to it.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony Winogrocki

SF RESIDENT

Open the Great Highway Petition

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
То:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject:	2 Letters regarding Liza Murawski
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:59:00 PM
Attachments:	<u>2 Letters regarding Liza Murawski.pdf</u>

Hello,

Please see attached two letters regarding Lisa Murawski.

File No. 220425 - Appointments, Behavioral Health Commission - Lisa Wynn and Liza

Murawski

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

)
<u>15</u>

I support Liza Murawski for Behavioral Health Commission. I met her in 2019 when the SF Examiner did an expose on the shit conditions in supportive housing, one month after I made the front page of the Examiner over the rental rates. Since then, Liza has been a senior partner in the ultimately successful #30RightNow campaign, and we are continuing to work together.

Even as a member of the public, Liza has been consistent in pushing for oversight and accountability of supportive housing at the Behavioral Health Commission. Whether it is concerning the few supportive housing sites under Behavioral Health Services, or the conditions in HSH housing, there is a real intersectionality between conditions in these sites and the mental health of their tenants.

But Liza's appointment serves a bigger purpose. As you may know, the Chronicle last week published a big expose on the horrible conditions of supportive housing. Me and Liza have been feeding Joaquin Palomino and Trisha Thadani for over a year now, and more will come out.

I know that we supportive housing tenants have been ignored for so long by the powers that be and carved out of rights, so it is really important that Liza be appointed to this body. We supportive housing tenants need a seat at the table, even when the inconvenient truths make you feel uncomfortable.

Thank you,

Jordan (she/her)

From:	Laksh Bhasin
To:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	Support - Liza Murawski for Behavioral Health Commission
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 12:04:32 AM

Dear Board of Supervisors Rules Committee,

I urge you to support the nomination of Liza Murawski, a former supportive housing tenant and community activist, to the Behavioral Health Commission. As mentioned in <u>this Examiner</u> <u>article</u>, Liza has been a strong advocate for improvements to permanent supportive housing's grievance process, and ending gender-based harassment.

Harassment and substandard living conditions in supportive housing exacerbate the mental health of tenants, especially women and people with disabilities. Liza has direct experience with both of these aspects of health and would make a fine appointee to the Behavioral Health Commission.

Thank you, Laksh Bhasin

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Perkinson, Jessica (BOS)
Subject:	2 Letters regarding File No. 220307
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 1:05:00 PM
Attachments:	2 Letters regarding File No. 220307.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding File No. 220307.

File No. 220307 - Hearing - Taxpayer-Funded Communications Regarding Police and Public

Safety

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:	Victor Emmanuel Villagomez
То:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject:	Support for Public Safety Resources hearing
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 9:57:56 PM

My name is Victor Villagomez and I have lived in San Francisco for 2 years. I live in the midmarket neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit new officers and increase staffing.

San Francisco is currently down 500 police officers. We need more officers to keep our residents, workers, and visitors safe. A fully staffed SFPD is also important for the recovery of our city's economy. Tourists will visit if they feel safe.

We need police officers on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. SFPD is a leader in police reform. If it is headed in the right direction, please give SFPD the funding it needs to hire a new generation of diverse officers from the community they will serve.

From:	Jim Bertana
То:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject:	Support for Public Safety Resources hearing
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 11:19:34 PM

My name is Jim Bertana and I have lived in San Francisco for 69 years. I live in the Mission Bay neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit new officers and increase staffing.

San Francisco is currently down 500 police officers. We need more officers to keep our residents, workers, and visitors safe. A fully staffed SFPD is also important for the recovery of our city's economy. Tourists will visit if they feel safe.

We need police officers on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. SFPD is a leader in police reform. If it is headed in the right direction, please give SFPD the funding it needs to hire a new generation of diverse officers from the community they will serve.

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS);</u> <u>Ng, Wilson (BOS);</u> <u>Laxamana, Junko (BOS);</u> <u>Mchugh, Eileen (BOS);</u> <u>BOS Legislation, (BOS)</u>
Subject:	2 Letters regarding File No. 220261
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:51:00 PM
Attachments:	2 Letters regarding File No. 220261.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding File No. 220261.

File No. 220261 - Park Code - Golden Gate Park Access and Safety Program - Slow Street Road Closures

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:	Mary Miles
To:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	PUBLIC COMMENT, AGENDA ITEM 7, GOLDEN GATE PARK CLOSURE; BOS FILE 220261
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 12:59:22 PM

FROM:

Mary Miles (SB #230395) Attorney at Law 364 Page St., #36 San Francisco, CA 94102

TO: Angela Calvillo, Board Secretary, and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

BY EMAIL TO: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

PUBLIC COMMENT BOS MEETING MAY 3, 2022 Agenda Item 7,

BOS FILE NO. 220261

This Public Comment opposes the proposed adoption of the proposed Ordinance amending the Park Code to adopt the Golden Gate Park Access and Safety Program ("Project"), which permanently closes public streets in and through Golden Gate Park preventing access to people who need to or prefer to drive vehicles and eliminates 972 parking spaces at or near the major cultural and historic attractions of Golden Gate Park. Please assure that this Comment is distributed to each Supervisor and is placed in all applicable Board files, including File No. 220261.

Golden Gate Park is a world-renowned cultural attraction that is in the National Register of Historic Places and California Register of Historic Places. (See federal listing OMB No. 1024-0018.) Golden Gate Park is not a neighborhood fitness area. Its many cultural and natural amenities rival those of the greatest parks in the world. Before the Project was illegally implemented the Park invited visitors from all over the region and the world, not just the very affluent, mostly white residents in neighboring areas.

The Project erroneously claims the Project closures are "Slow Street Road Closures." None of the public streets in Golden Gate Park are designated Slow Streets; nor do they qualify as "slow streets" under the MTA's definitions and legislation, which designated slow streets in four phases in 2020 to allegedly deal with bicyclists,' pedestrians' and other recreationists' "emergency" needs to walk in the middle of streets instead of on sidewalks during the Covid pandemic.

The newly claimed exemption (Planning No. 2021-010167ENV) from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under Pub. Res. Code section 21080.25 does not apply to this Project. For example, section 21080.25(a)(2) defines a "street" as "open to the use of the public for purposes of vehicular travel." The Project instead proposes to permanently *close* streets to vehicular travel. The Project therefore does not meet the definition of a project eligible for the section 21080.25 exemption.

The Project also fails to qualify for the section 21080.20(b)(1) exemption, which defines "bikeways" as "facilities that provide primarily for, and promote, bicycle travel." (Pub. Res. Code § 21080.25(b)(1); Sts. & Hwys. Code §890.4.) The purpose of the Project not to create new bicycle or pedestrian facilities, but to permanently close streets in Golden Gate Park "to restrict private vehicles" from access to the Park. The Project therefore does not qualify for the claimed CEQA exemption under Public Resources Code section 21080.25(b)(1) as claimed in the Planning Department's Exemption, or any other exemption from CEQA.

Further, because of its status as an historic resource listed on the National Register, the Project must be reviewed under federal statutes, including NEPA and the NHPA. Thus, even if the claimed CEQA exemption applied, which it does *not*, that would not exempt the Project under federal statutes.

Moreover, as stated by hundreds of commenters, the Project may have significant impacts on the environment and therefore requires an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR"). Among the Project's direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts are traffic congestion; historic resources; loss of access disabled, seniors, and others who are effectively excluded from Golden Gate Park; loss of access to diverse people who do not live in proximity to the Park; energy consumption; VMT; GHG; public safety impacts including blocking the ability to evacuate in an emergency, police, fire, and ambulance access.

The historic resources impacted by the Project include not only individual amenities such as the Conservatory of Flowers, and other cultural attractions, but the entire Park and the streets themselves. The National Register notes the streets were designed for traveling through the park in vehicles, first in carriages, and later in cars. (See Listing.) The Park's street design is a major contributor to is historic significance. (*Id.*)

Further, cultural amenities in the Park have already suffered the impacts of the street closures and lack of parking, with visitors declining to less than half of the pre-closure levels. The indirect impacts of that lack of revenue could lead to closure of the Park's DeYoung Museum and other attractions that signify its importance as a cultural destination for travelers.

Because the Project here does not include any designated Slow Streets, the proposed "Vehicle Code" "findings" do not apply. In fact, the Project is preempted by the California Constitution, article XI sec. 7, because closing public streets as implemented by the City with no public process conflicts with the Vehicle Code. (See, *e.g., Rumford v. City of Berkeley* (1982) 31 Cal.3d 545.) Further, the City has provided no evidence supporting agency claims that these public streets are "no longer needed."

As with thousands of other commenters, my previous comments to MTA, RPD, and this Board have been ignored, along with requests for records under the Public Records Act and Sunshine Ordinance. The failure to provide public process and the opportunity to be heard on this Project has been pointless and oppressive. The haste in not complying with the Board's 30-day rule, the failure to provide minimal public notice, and the total refusal to hear the groundswell of public opposition to this Project have deprived the public of any opportunity for meaningful participation in environmental review under CEQA. The Planning Department refused Public Records Act/Sunshine Requests for basic environmental documents for months on end, only producing them a few weeks before today's Board "hearing." After the adoption of resolutions adopting the Project by the MTA and Recreation and Parks, this Board refused to even allow public appeal of those actions and the claimed exemption. Therefore, and because of the lack of adequate notice and the opportunity to be heard, the public is not obligated to exhaust administrative remedies under CEQA.

The Project is not exempt under CEQA or federal statutes. Adoption of the proposed ordinance would therefore violate those laws.

Mary Miles

From:	Tomasita Medál
To:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Tomasita Medál
Subject:	Please VOTE NO on JFK Road Closure Item 7 File 220261
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 12:08:31 PM

Dear Clerk of the Board: Please include this letter as part of the public comments for this item 7. today May 3,2022 File 220261

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Please change your vote today to NO on Mayor Breed's draconian plan for closure of most of the streets in Golden Gate Park. The closure as proposed reverses the Golden Gate Master Plan from having the amenities and attractions such as the Conservatory of Flowers, the Dahlia Garden, the Rose Garden, the Academy of Sciences, and the deYoung Museum within easy access to everyone, including the millions of people who need to access the Park via vehicles on the East side of the Park, and having the more rustic areas be on the West side of the Park. Mayor Breed's proposal makes Middle Drive West a one-way traffic thoroughfare heading in the West direction, and changes MLK Drive from a quiet two way street into a one-way street heading East. This prevents Middle Drive West, which advocates have always promoted as a beautiful alternative, perfect site for a road closure for kids on bikes, from ever being used for a road closure, and ruins what had been a sylvan setting with a quiet pond with ducks and squirrels into a high traffic street.

Closing JFK Drive to cars is cruel, heartless, racist, ableist, classist. Please do not exclude the millions of elders, mobility challenged, and multigenerational families from access to JFK Drive. Supervisors Ronen, Melgar, Stefani and Mar, please vote as your constituents have asked you to vote, and that's NO on the JFK road closure.

The following organizations have taken formal positions in strong opposition to the road closure: The ARC San Francisco Calle 24 Cultural District Church Women United, SF Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Concerned Residents of the Sunset District 11 Council East Mission Improvement Association **Excelsior Action Group** Golden Gate Heights Neighborhood Association Gray Panthers of San Francisco Labor Council for Latin American Advancement Living Wage Coalition Latinx Democratic Club **OMI Cultural Participation Project OMI** Neighbors in Action Sunset Heights Association of Responsible People Planning Association of the Richmond San Francisco Tour Guide Guild Self Help for the Elderly Older Women's League

At your first vote hearing, Senior Disability Action stated that the mitigation promises are inadequate and that 65% of elders and those with mobility issues who answered their survey said that the road closure would prevent them from ever being able to visit the amenities along JFK Drive again. 65% said they would never use the shuttle service. The shuttles are not ADA compliant; the Rec and Park Department plan forces those with disabilities to park outside the park, then walk to a shuttle stop, wait, then board,

disboard, wait, board and disboard. All an insurmountable challenge.

Please immediately reopen JFK Drive to everyone, including those who need a car to bring their families from the outer neighborhoods to the Park.

Sincerely,

Tomasita Medál 415.629.5044

From:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
То:	BOS-Supervisors
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Perkinson, Jessica (BOS)
Subject:	37 Letters regarding File No. 220281
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2022 12:38:00 PM
Attachments:	37 Letters regarding File No. 220281.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 37 letters regarding File No. 220281.

File No. 220281 - Administrative Code - Shelter Expansion Program

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From:	Jennifer Laska
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); RescueSF Coalition; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
•	
Cc:	<u>Smeallie, Kyle (BOS); "Jennifer Laska" via HVNA Board</u>
Subject:	HVNA Letter of Support for "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 8:21:08 PM
Attachments:	Microsoft Word - HVNA Place for All letter 5-1-22.docx.pdf

Dear Supervisors, Please consider the attached letter of support from the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association for "A Place for All". Thank you,

Jennifer Laska HVNA President 310-709-2230



May 1, 2022

Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Letter of Recommendation for A Place for All (File #220281)

Dear Supervisors,

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) wishes to express our support for A Place For All. This legislation sponsored by Supervisor Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar provides a path towards ending street sleeping by proposing a City policy to provide shelter to all who wish to accept it, and additionally requiring the City to create sufficient interim off-street shelter placements.

In Hayes Valley, we supported Safe Sleeping sites. We saw a rise in street sleeping, which grew exponentially during the early part of the pandemic, with large encampments blocking sidewalks and creating unsafe and unsanitary conditions both for the people in the encampments and immediate neighbors. We circulated a petition in support of the creation of Safe Sleeping Site at 33 Gough Street, as well as other potential locations, and found there was widespread support of this effort.

We understand that more than 70% of San Francisco voters have identified homelessness and street conditions as the top issue for the city to address. While the solution for homelessness is housing, the long waiting list leaves people with few options while awaiting a placement. A Place for All will help close the gap in shelter availability. We need this critical step from homelessness to housing.

The Board of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association wishes to add its voice in support of A Place for All.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Laska President, Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc: Kyle Smeallie, Rescue SF HVNA Board

From:	wjaeck@gmail.com
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]: Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 12:36:11 PM

Dear members of the committee,

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All". "A Place for All", sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Sincerely, William Jaeck Resident in District 8

From:	Deepika Phakke
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
Subject: Date:	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org</u> ; <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u> I urge you to support "A Place for All" Wednesday, May 4, 2022 11:59:10 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Deepika Phakke District 3 Founder @ Nama Water; ex-Google

From:	Aisling Ferguson
То:	Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); MelgarStaff
	(BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Chan,
	Connie (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); info@rescuesf.org; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Haney,
	Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Wednesday, May 4, 2022 11:41:32 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

?

Email: <u>Aferguson@guaranteemortgage.com</u>

Website: www.guaranteemortgage.com

To begin your application: Apply with Aisling

See what my customers are saying about me online

?

Need to send me a file securely? Click Here

Confidential: This electronic message and all contents contain information from Guarantee Mortgage which may be privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. The information is intended to be for the addressee(s) only. If you are not an addressee, any disclosure, copy, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy the original message and all copies. Thank you

Alert: For your protection, we remind you that this is an unsecured email service that is not intended for sending confidential or sensitive information. Please do not include your social security number, account number, or any other personal or financial information in the content of the email.

From:	Lori Brooke
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 10:00:37 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. The Cow Hollow Association joins with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cow Hollow Association District 2

From:	bill_poppen@yahoo.com
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 6:59:57 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Bill Poppen Treasurer, Discover Polk Community Benefit District

Bill Poppen Mobile: 704-773-2051 Email: bill_poppen@yahoo.com

From:	johanna johannaspilman.com
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022 12:57:54 PM

Dear All:

Many of us wonder about the City's inability to place people into temporary housing outside the tents on SF streets and neighborhoods.

I absolutely support proposal drafted by Sups. Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar and Mar. let's do it. There is money for it but it requires persistence and will. Do it!

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Johanna Spilman 415-305-0954 johanna@johannaspilman.com

From:	Val flood
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 8:45:28 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name]
[Your address or Supv District]
[OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jennifer Laska
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); RescueSF Coalition; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 8:09:43 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Jennifer Laska District 5

From:	Kathya hyda
To:	Chan, Comie (BOS): Stafani, Catherine (BOS): Stafani, Catherine (BOS): Basin, Aaron (BOS): Wanter, Marra (BOS): Wa
Subject:	Lurge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 7:46:59 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis.

Can we temporarily convert large vacant buildings into shelters, using individual tents inside? There are so many vacancies. We could put a team of professionals inside the with offices.

Thank you!

"A Place for ALL" sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney. Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Kathryn Hyde Froderis, Saret, SF Hydrox, Saret, SF Hydrox, Martin, Martin,

From:	Julie Paul
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff.</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 6:43:17 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Julie Paul D2

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jeff Cerf
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff.</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Saturday, April 30, 2022 12:42:49 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Rick St John
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 1:36:40 PM

Dear All:

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Richard St John 1 Daniel Burnham Court #703 San Francisco, CA 94109

From:	sarah young
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 12:16:53 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Sarah Young 516A Oak Street, District 5

james skolaut
Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Friday, April 29, 2022 10:30:53 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All." "A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you. James Skolaut 15 Prosper St San Francisco District 8

From:	Jerrold Scattini
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 7:16:10 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Jerrold ScattiniName] [Your address1250 Jones ST., SF 94109 or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPad

From:	DAVID SAFER
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 7:15:59 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

David Safer District 2 CHA

From:	Kathleen O"Hara
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Friday, April 29, 2022 6:32:29 AM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan.

This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Kathleen O'Hara 1286 4th Avenue SF, CA 94122

From:	Karena
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 11:33:03 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Shu-Min Yang 1 Daniel Burnham Ct, San Francisco 94109

From:	Eddie and Wanda Ramos
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
Subject:	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org;</u> <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u> I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 7:57:10 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Wanda & Edward Ramos Opera Plaza 601 Van Ness Ave. # 1129 San Francisco, CA 94102

From:	Joe Laska
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 6:33:25 PM

Hello,

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Joe Laska District 5 resident San Francisco, CA 94102

From:	james skolaut
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 6:07:59 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All." "A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All." (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you. James Skolaut 15 Prosper St San Francisco (District 8)

From:	Karin Button
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 5:25:31 PM

We have owned our property since 1995. It has become so dangerous for us the walk the City. The homeless and the mentally I'll people are everywhere. I am in support of the letter below. Please help clean up these issues.

Thank you.

"The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you."

Karin Button 1Daniel Burnham Ct.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Donald Graves
To:	Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); info@rescuesf.org; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 4:44:58 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All." "A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach. Please pass "A Place for All." (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you. Donald Graves in District 10.

Donald Graves Donaldsf@gmail.com

From:	Laura Hunt
To:	<u>Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);</u>
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 4:09:50 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Laura Hunt Design 2817 Buchanan Street San Francisco, CA 94123 laurahuntdesign.com 415-602-2808 follow me: instagram & houzz

From:	<u>Klevin Lo</u>
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 4:08:27 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Klevin Lo 1 Daniel Burnham Court, San Francisco, CA 94109

From:	Jim Connelly
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:58:01 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

From:	<u>C Tucker</u>
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:50:08 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you Christina Tucker 670 Eddy St #320 SF,CA 94109 Community Outreach Worker

From:	Pauline Kahney
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:44:55 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Your Name] [Your address or Supv District] [OR your Position/Org Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Freedman
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:55:19 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

John Fredman 631 O'Farrell St San Francisco, CA 94109

From:	Don Mariacher
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);
	<u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff,</u>
	[BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Board of
	<u>Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); info@rescuesf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>
Subject:	I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date:	Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:32:24 PM

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Haney, Stefani, Melgar, and Mar, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Don Mariacher Cathedral Hill Safety Committee, CHNA My home: 1200 Gough Street, 6C, SF 94109

From:	Samuel McCormick
To:	Haney, Matt (BOS)
Cc:	Haneystaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS);
	Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary;
	Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of
	Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Saturday, April 30, 2022 10:18:17 AM

Dear Supervisor Haney,

I'm a resident of District 6. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you,

Sam

Prof. Dr. Samuel McCormick Communication Studies, San Francisco State University Clinical Psychology, Pacifica Graduate Institute http://faculty.sfsu.edu/~mccrmck

Author of The Chattering Mind: A Conceptual History of Everyday Talk (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2020)

Lectures on Anxiety continue May 4th

From: To:	<u>Daphne Alden</u> <u>Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);</u> <u>Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS)</u> ; Safal, Ahsha (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); StefaniStaff, (BOS); PeskinStaff (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); PrestonStaff (BOS); Haneystaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; RonenStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); SafaiStaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Date:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness Tuesday, May 3, 2022 11:41:55 AM

Hello,

I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

From:	<u>Milo Trauss</u>
То:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Cc:	MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 12:08:59 PM

Dear Supervisor Mandelman,

I'm a resident of District 8. I'm reaching out to express my support for your "A Place for All" legislation. Thank you for your leadership and vision in bringing this proposal forward!

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you. Milo Trauss Noe Valley

From:	Celeste Ridlen
To:	Mar, Gordon (BOS)
Cc:	Somera, Alisa (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Marstaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Monday, May 2, 2022 11:18:58 AM

Dear Supervisor Mar,

I'm a resident of District 4. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

Celeste Ridlen 1296 36th Ave

From:	Jamie Chong
То:	Chan, Connie (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date:	Sunday, May 1, 2022 3:28:34 PM

Dear Supervisor Chan,

I'm a resident of District 1. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

I saw that you voted against making Car-Free JFK permanent last week during the final vote and I have to say I was disappointed as one of your constituents. Thankfully, it still got passed. I hope you will please take my comment into account for this important homelessness legislation, and do what is best for the city and all of its residents.

Thank you.

From:	Charles Lowey-Ball
To:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Cc:	MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Chan, Connie (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); placeforall@growsf.org; Somera, Alisa (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Date:	I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness Saturday, April 30, 2022 12:34:17 PM

Dear Supervisor Mandelman,

I'm a resident of District 8. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you. Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows