San Francisco Department of Public Health Behavioral Health Services

Non-Profit Wages & Recruitment

Budget & Appropriations Committee June 8, 2022

Hillary Kunins, MD, MPH, MS Director of Behavioral Health Services and Mental Health SF San Francisco Department of Public Health



Outline

- BHS background
- How we contract & workforce issues
- Priorities
- Work to address CBO challenges
- Controller's Office: Mental Health SF Staffing & Wage Analysis – Heather Littleton

Behavioral Health Services

San Francisco Department of Public Health

- Total BHS budget: ~\$600M
- Contract with more than 80 community-based organizations
- Approximately 21,000 people treated in specialty behavioral health services



Behavioral Health: Contracting & Workforce

- CBO providers deliver essential care and services for the City's behavioral care health system
- Contracts are primarily "Fee For Service," which provides a rate for a unit of service provided
 - Rates updated annually through CODB adjustments and contract negotiations with providers to align and adjust rates to meet CBO proposed costs
 - Does not set individual rates of pay for staff
- Systematic workforce constraints across the behavioral field
 - Labor shortage of skilled workers across the Bay Area and state for civil service and nonprofit providers
 - The work can be very challenging and result in burnout

Behavioral Health: Priorities

- CBOs are vital to the delivery of high-quality, equitable, and culturally congruent behavioral health services
 - A vibrant CBO work force is paramount to achieve this goal
- CBOs face workforce challenges in: recruitment, retention, training, adequate compensation, and appropriate case loads
- Need a systemic and equitable approach to support CBO providers
- Supporting a citywide analytical approach to meeting CBO needs

Behavioral Health: Approach & Next Steps

- Medi-Cal reform under CalAIM will establish reimbursement rates to counties to standardize and better align with the cost of services for behavioral health services
 - Will inform a comprehensive analysis and strategy
 - Expected to start FY 23-24
- Collaborating with the Controller's Office on its nonprofit wage analysis
 - Collecting data is critical to better understand this complex problem
 - Developing consistent strategies across the city, as some CBOs hold contracts with multiple departments
 - Bolstering with other strategies to support nonprofit sustainability
- Working with the Controller's Office to conduct a dedicated staffing and wage analysis for behavioral health services through MHSF
 - Expect the results of this analysis to inform future decision making and strategy

Mental Health SF Staffing & Wage Analysis

BOS Budget Committee: Non-Profit Wages



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Office of the Controller City Performance Unit Heather Littleton | Glynis Startz

The Implementation Working Group shall work with the Controller and the Department of Human Resources to conduct a staffing analysis of both City and nonprofit mental health services providers to determine whether there are staffing shortages that impact the providers' ability to provide effective and timely mental health services. If the staffing analysis concludes that there are staffing shortages that impact timely and effective service delivery, the staffing analysis shall also include recommendations regarding appropriate salary ranges that should be established, and other working conditions that should be changed, to attract and retain qualified staff for the positions where there are staffing shortages.

Project Objectives – Phase 1 Analysis

Targeted staffing gap analysis based on current MHSF implementation and service demand

- ✓ Identify worst service bottlenecks in current MHSF and BHS system based on existing analysis or data, assess the drivers of gaps, and recommend short to medium run solutions.
- ✓ Provide deeper analysis on root causes of a known staffing and retention challenges.
- ✓ In addition to longer-term recommendations, target short recommendations to bridge the worst gaps affecting immediate implementation and service delivery.



Identify MHSF Staffing Gaps

- Which CC and CBO positions are hard to fill and retain? (vacancy rates, turnover rates, time to hire)
- Which of those have equity considerations?
- Which positions are tied to lagging KPIs (units of service, client outcomes, long wait times, high case loads)
- What's an appropriate position redundancy for this job market?
- Which and how many positions are involved in MHSF (Civil Service, CBOs)? Which involve equity?
- What are the Bay Area benchmarks?



Analyze Staffing Gap Root Causes

Hiring

- Where in the process do we lose staff and why?
- Why did candidates fall out of the 2022 hiring push?
- Where do our new hires come from?

Retention

- Where are they going? Why do they leave? How often?
- How similar are wages for like positions and conditions (across CBOs and Civil Svc)?

Working Conditions

- Skills mismatch, other resources needed
- What are the Bay Area benchmarks?



Develop Wage & Conditions Recommendations

Hiring

- Appropriate pay increases?
 Hardship pay?
- Other incentives? (hiring step increases)
- Process improvements?Improve recruitment pipelines?
- What's an appropriate position redundancy for this job market?

Working Conditions

- Other ways to not burnout staff? Appropriate case loads? Training?
- Appropriate stepdown services (do they have a place to go next?)

Thank you.

Any questions?

You can reach us at:

Glynis Startz: glynis.l.startz@sfgov.org

Heather Littleton: heather.littleton@sfgov.org