1	[Disapproval of Mills Act Historical Property Contract - 714 Steiner Street]
2	
3	Resolution rescinding Resolution No. 225-22, which had approved a historical property
4	contract between Leah Culver Revocable Trust, the owner of 714 Steiner Street,
5	Assessor's Parcel Block No. 803, Lot No. 019, and the City and County of San
6	Francisco, under Administrative Code, Chapter 71, and disapproving said contract.
7	
8	WHEREAS, The California Mills Act (California Government Code, Sections 50280 et
9	seq.) authorizes local governments to enter into a contract with owners of qualified historical
10	properties who agree to rehabilitate, restore, preserve, and maintain the property in return for
11	property tax reductions under the California Revenue and Taxation Code; and
12	WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in
13	this Resolution comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public
14	Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.); and
15	WHEREAS, Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in
16	File No. 211088, is incorporated herein by reference, and the Board hereby affirms it; and
17	WHEREAS, San Francisco contains many historical buildings that add to its character
18	and international reputation and that have not been adequately maintained, may be
19	structurally deficient, or may need rehabilitation, and the costs of properly rehabilitating,
20	restoring, and preserving these historical buildings may be prohibitive for property owners;
21	and
22	WHEREAS, Administrative Code, Chapter 71 implements the provisions of the Mills
23	Act and is intended to preserve these historical buildings; and
24	
25	

1	WHEREAS, Administrative Code, Section 71.2(b) excludes properties with an
2	assessed valuation of over \$3,000,000 from eligibility for historical property contracts, unless
3	the Board grants an exemption from that limitation; and
4	WHEREAS, 714 Steiner Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 803, Lot No. 019, ("714
5	Steiner Street" or "the property") is a contributor to the Alamo Square Historic District, and
6	thus qualifies as a historical property as defined in Administrative Code, Section 71.2; and
7	WHEREAS, On April 30, 2021, a Mills Act application for an historical property contract
8	was submitted by Leah Culver Revocable Trust, the owner of 714 Steiner Street, detailing
9	rehabilitation work and proposing a maintenance plan for the property; and
10	WHEREAS, As required by Administrative Code, Section 71.4(a), the application for
11	the historical property contract for 714 Steiner Street was reviewed by the Office of the
12	Assessor-Recorder and the Historic Preservation Commission; and
13	WHEREAS, The Assessor-Recorder reviewed the historical property contract and
14	provided the Board of Supervisors with an estimate of the property tax calculations and the
15	difference in property tax assessments under the different valuation methods permitted by the
16	Mills Act, and assessed the value of the property at greater than \$3,000,000, in its report
17	transmitted to the Board of Supervisors on April 19, 2022, which report is on file with the Clerk
18	of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 211088 and is hereby declared to be a part of this
19	Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
20	WHEREAS, The Historic Preservation Commission recommended approval of the
21	historical property contract in its Resolution No. 1199, including approval of the exemption
22	from limitations on eligibility and the Rehabilitation Program and Maintenance Plan, attached
23	to said Resolution, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
24	211088 and is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

1	WHEREAS, On May 5, 2022, Leah Culver, trustee of the Leah Culver Revocable Trust
2	appeared before the Government Audit and Oversight Committee of the Board to speak in
3	support of the application, and did not give any indication she planned to sell the property; and
4	WHEREAS, On May 17, 2022, the Board passed Resolution No. 225-22 approving the
5	historical property contract; and
6	WHEREAS, On May 20, 2022, Ms. Culver posted on the Twitter account
7	@pinkpaintedlady that she would be selling the property, and that she had been considering
8	the sale for several months; said Twitter post is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
9	Supervisors in File No. 220738; and
10	WHEREAS, On May 20, 2022, the property was listed for sale at \$3,555,000 under
11	Multiple Listing Service #422657482; a copy of a recent real estate listing for the property, and
12	articles reporting that the property is for sale are on file with the Clerk of the Board of
13	Supervisors in File No. 220738; and
14	WHEREAS, On May 26, 2022, the Mayor returned Resolution No. 225-22 unsigned, at
15	which time said Resolution became effective; and
16	WHEREAS, As of June 14, 2022, the date of the introduction of this Resolution, the
17	property is listed for sale by Compass Realty, the listing which is on file with the Clerk of the
18	Board of Supervisors in File No. 220738 and
19	WHEREAS, The draft historical property contract between Leah Culver Revocable
20	Trust and the City and County of San Francisco is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
21	Supervisors in File No. 211088 and is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set
22	forth fully herein; and
23	WHEREAS, As of the introduction of this Resolution on June 14, 2022, no party has
24	signed that historical property contract; and

1	
2	the
3	the
4	Boa
5	
6	cor
7	und
8	
9	exe
10	enf
11	thre
12	
13	Adı
14	rec
15	reg
16	exe
17	and
18	
19	pul
20	Act
21	not

WHEREAS, The proposed sale of the property is contradictory to statements made by
the property owner indicating an intent to restore the property herself; a copy of articles where
the property owner stated her intent to restore the property are on file with the Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors in File No. 220738; and

WHEREAS, The proposed sale of the property creates uncertainty about the completion of the proposed rehabilitation and maintenance of the property by a future owner under the historical property contract; and

WHEREAS, Where a property owner declines to complete work required by an executed historical property contract, the City bears significant time and expense to either enforce or cancel the contract pursuant to California Government Code, Sections 50284 through 50287; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has conducted a public hearing pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 71.4(d), to review the Historic Preservation Commission's recommendation, the information provided by the Assessor's Office, and the new information regarding the proposed sale of the property, in order to reconsider whether the City should execute the historical property contract for 714 Steiner Street, in light of that new information; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has balanced the benefits of the Mills Act to the public with the cost to the City of providing the property tax reductions authorized by the Mills Act, and the potential cost of enforcing or cancelling the contract, and has determined that it is not in the public interest to enter into an historical property contract with the applicant and not in the public interest to grant an exception to the \$3,000,000 ceiling in assessed value for historical property contract eligibility; now, therefore, be it

24

22

23

1	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby rescinds Resolution No. 225-22,
2	approving the historical property contract for 714 Steiner Street, and instead disapproves the
3	contract; and, be it
4	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Planning
5	Director to send notice no later than 30 days after adoption of this Resolution to the owner of
6	714 Steiner Street informing it that the historical property contract has been disapproved; and,
7	be it
8	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall include in the
9	posting on its website indicating passage of Resolution No. 225-22, a notation that Resolution
10	No. 225-22 has been rescinded by this Resolution.
11	
12	n:\govern\as2022\9901439\01607447.docx
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	