

1 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Continuity Report]

2 **Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings**
3 **and recommendations contained in the 2021-2022 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled**
4 **“Shovel Ready: Best Practices and Collaboration to Improve San Francisco’s Capital**
5 **Construction Program;” and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted**
6 **findings and recommendations through her department heads and through the**
7 **development of the annual budget.**

8
9 WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of
10 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11 Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

12 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
13 recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
14 county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
15 and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
16 response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
17 which it has some decision making authority; and

18 WHEREAS, Under San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(a), the Board of
19 Supervisors must conduct a public hearing by a committee to consider a final report of the
20 findings and recommendations submitted, and notify the current foreperson and immediate
21 past foreperson of the civil grand jury when such hearing is scheduled; and

22 WHEREAS, In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(b),
23 the Controller must report to the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of
24
25

1 recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters that were considered at a public hearing held
2 by a Board of Supervisors Committee; and

3 WHEREAS, The 2021-2022 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled “Shovel Ready: Best
4 Practices and Collaboration to Improve San Francisco’s Capital Construction Program”
5 (“Report”) is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 220505, which is
6 hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

7 WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
8 to Finding Nos. F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, and F8, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1, R2, R3,
9 R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, and R9, contained in the subject Report; and

10 WHEREAS, Finding No. F1 states: “Without a clear project manager with full
11 responsibility and authority, the contractor performance evaluation database project lacked
12 sufficient momentum to be completed, fully adopted and used;” and

13 WHEREAS, Finding No. F2 states: “The existing project team and Chapter 6
14 departments failed to implement the database in a timely manner, delaying the benefits it
15 could provide in improving construction quality, meeting budgets and timelines, and improving
16 contractor relationships;” and

17 WHEREAS, Finding No. F3 states: “Chapter 6 departments failed to enter performance
18 evaluations into the database, thus negating its value;” and

19 WHEREAS, Finding No. F4 states: “Prior updates to Section 6.26 of the Administrative
20 Code excluded language that the database *must* be used to evaluate contractors going
21 forward;” and

22 WHEREAS, Finding No. F5 states: “In designing and developing the database, the
23 project team neglected to add the technical capability to see who consults the database,
24 making it difficult to hold departments accountable for using the database;” and
25

1 WHEREAS, Finding No. F6 states: “When evaluators omit ‘Lessons Learned’ entries in
2 that data field, the evaluations lack the most critical information to help inform future
3 contractor selections;” and

4 WHEREAS, Finding No. F8 states: “The database fails to provide a way for non-
5 Chapter 6 departments to provide feedback on both contractors and Chapter 6 department
6 performance, resulting in no accountability for either the contracting department or the
7 contractor;” and

8 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R1 states: “We recommend that by 6/15/22 the
9 Mayor specify which department shall manage and have responsibility and authority for the
10 contractor performance evaluation database to improve compliance, monitoring and
11 consistent use. We further recommend that the director of the specified department appoint
12 the project manager by 6/30/22;” and

13 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R2 states: “We recommend that by 9/30/22, the
14 database project manager specified in R1 complete implementation, training sessions and ‘go
15 live’ workshops with all Chapter 6 departments;” and

16 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R3 states: “We recommend that by 12/31/2022, the
17 Mayor require that all Chapter 6 departments to begin submitting evaluations into the
18 database;” and

19 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R4 states: “We recommend that by 12/31/2022, the
20 Mayor explicitly directs all Chapter 6 departments to consult the database when selecting
21 contractors;” and

22 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R5 states: “We recommend that by 6/30/2023 the
23 project manager update the database technology to include the capability to hold evaluators
24 accountable by observing who is using the database and when;” and

25

1 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R6 states: “We recommend that by 6/30/2023, the
2 project manager update the database technology to require the ‘Lessons Learned’ data field
3 be filled out before an evaluation can be marked ‘complete;” and

4 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R7 states: “We recommend that by 6/30/2023, the
5 project manager include sections in the database to cover contractor compliance with the SIP
6 program;” and

7 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R8 states: “We recommend that by 6/30/2023, the
8 project manager expand the database to include input from non-Chapter 6 departments
9 receiving construction services from Chapter 6 departments;” and

10 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R9 states: “We recommend that starting in FY
11 2022-2023, the City Services Auditor Department within the Controller’s Office conduct
12 performance audits of the City construction program every two years focusing on use of best
13 practices, collaboration, and other successes and challenges. The Controller’s report from
14 2014 can serve as a template;” and

15 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of
16 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
17 Court on Finding Nos. F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, and F8, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1,
18 R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, and R9 contained in the subject Report; now, therefore, be it

19 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
20 Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F1 for reason as follows:

21 _____; and, be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
23 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F2 for reason as follows:

24 _____; and, be it

25

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
2 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F3 for reason as follows:
3 _____; and, be it

4 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
5 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F4 for reason as follows:
6 _____; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
8 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F5 for reason as follows:
9 _____; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
11 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F6 for reason as follows:
12 _____; and, be it

13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
14 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F8 for reason as follows:
15 _____; and, be it

16 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
17 No. R1 _____; and, be it

18 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
19 No. R2 _____; and, be it

20 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
21 No. R3 _____; and, be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
23 No. R4 _____; and, be it

24 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
25 No. R5 _____; and, be it

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
2 No. R6 _____; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
4 No. R7 _____; and, be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
6 No. R8 _____; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
8 No. R9 _____; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
10 implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads
11 and through the development of the annual budget.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25