

File No. 220776

Committee Item No. 2

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date July 18, 2022

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 - Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Form 700
- Information/Vacancies (Boards/Commissions)
- Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- Charter Amendment _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Completed by: Victor Young

Date July 14, 2022

Completed by: _____

Date _____

1 [Advanced Written Determination Regarding Statement of Incompatible Activities - Small
2 Business Commission - William Ortiz Cartagena]

3 **Motion finding that William Ortiz Cartagena’s proposal to apply for grants administered**
4 **by the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (“OEWD”) and to seek**
5 **assistance from OEWD relating to the non-profit on whose Board he serves on are not**
6 **incompatible with the Statement of Incompatible Activities of the Small Business**
7 **Commission, of which he is a member, subject to conditions.**

8
9 WHEREAS, William Ortiz Cartagena is a member of the Small Business Commission
10 (“SBC”), appointed by the Board of Supervisors, and the founder and treasurer of a non-profit
11 supporting Latino entrepreneurs and small businesses, CLECHA; and

12 WHEREAS, Members of the Small Business Commission are subject to a Statement of
13 Incompatible Activities (“SIA”) that guides employees of the Office of Economic and Workforce
14 Development (“OEWD”) and members of the SBC about the kinds of activities that are
15 incompatible with their public duties and therefore prohibited; and

16 WHEREAS, Section 3.218 of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code
17 authorizes City employees and officers to seek an Advance Written Determination (“AWD”)
18 from their employer or appointing officer that a proposed activity is or is not incompatible with
19 the governing SIA; and

20 WHEREAS, An AWD that a proposed activity is not incompatible with the applicable
21 SIA provides the requestor with immunity from any subsequent enforcement action for
22 violation of the SIA if the material facts are as presented in the requestor’s written submission;
23 and

24 WHEREAS, Ortiz-Cartagena submitted a request for an AWD confirming that the
25 following activities are not incompatible under the SBC’s SIA: 1) applying for loans or grants

1 administered by OEWD to support CLECHA; Ortiz Cartagena's request is on file with the
2 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in Board File No. _____; and

3 WHEREAS, The SBC's SIA provides that no officer may be employed by or provide
4 services in exchange for compensation from an entity that the officer knows has applied for in
5 the last 12 months or receives loans or grants from OEWD; and

6 WHEREAS, Notwithstanding this prohibition, Ortiz Cartagena's proposed activities are
7 not incompatible with the SBC's SIA because the City and County aspires to a diversity of
8 representation on its Boards and Commissions, particularly encouraging members of the
9 BIPOC, Immigrant, LGBTQ+, Reentry and other underrepresented communities to serve on
10 their own time; and

11 WHEREAS, BIPOC, Immigrant, LGBTQ+, Reentry and other underrepresented
12 communities also experience significant barriers to accessing small business financial and
13 technical support, as well as pre-development, lease, capital tenant improvement and
14 acquisition funding, and having to choose between equitable access to small business grants,
15 loans or other forms of public assistance can be a disincentive to authentic and diverse
16 representation on the Small Business Commission; and

17 WHEREAS, Ortiz-Cartagena does not receive compensation for his volunteer service
18 on the board of CLECHA, which is a non-profit that seeks to provide financial support to
19 struggling Latino and immigrant small business entrepreneurs; now, therefore, be it

20 MOVED, That based on the information submitted, the Board of Supervisors finds that
21 the Proposed Activities are not incompatible with the SIA of the SBC, subject to the following
22 conditions:

- 23 • William Ortiz-Cartagena will submit another AWD if CLECHA applies for
24 additional grants or loans after two years of the adoption of this motion; and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- William Ortiz-Cartagena will not receive direct grant relief from OEWD or CLECHA or be compensated by CLECHA for his role as a Board Member.

n:\govern\as2022\9690021\01606207.docx

REQUEST FOR ADVANCE WRITTEN DETERMINATION

Under section 3.218 of the San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, each Department, Board, or Commission has adopted a Statement of Incompatible Activities (“SIA”) that lists those outside activities that are inconsistent or incompatible with the duties of the officers and employees of the Department, Board, or Commission. Section III.C of the SIA permits an officer or employee to seek an Advance Written Determination whether a proposed outside activity is prohibited because it is inconsistent or otherwise in conflict with the officer’s or employee’s duties. A written Determination by the Decision-Maker that an activity is not incompatible with the SIA provides the Requestor immunity from any subsequent enforcement action for a violation of the SIA, if the material facts are as presented in the Requestor’s written submission. A written Determination does not provide immunity from any other laws that prohibit the proposed activity. An officer or employee may also seek a written opinion from the Ethics Commission to determine whether the person’s proposed activities violate the SIA or any other local law relating to conflicts of interest and governmental ethics.

To obtain a written Determination, please fill out Sections A-E legibly and completely, and submit this form to the Decision-Maker identified in Section C. Please note that the Decision-Maker may require you to provide additional information in order to make a Determination. At any time, the Decision-Maker may revoke the Determination, by providing written notice to you specifying the changed facts, circumstances or other good cause that warrants the revocation.

A. I am a:

- City employee (Submit completed form to your Department head or his or her designee, or as directed in your SIA.)
- Department head (Submit completed form to your appointing officer.)
- Appointed member of a City board or commission (Submit completed form to your appointing officer, your Board or Commission, or the Ethics Commission, as directed in section III.C of the SIA. If the form is to be submitted to the Ethics Commission, please send the completed form to the Ethics Commission office at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by faxing it to (415) 252-3112.)
- Elected official (Submit completed form to the Ethics Commission.)

<p>B. Requestor Information (Please Print Clearly)</p> <hr/> <p>Name (Last, First)</p> <hr/> <p>Title</p> <hr/> <p>Office Phone</p> <hr/> <p>Email</p> <hr/> <p>Name of Department, Board, or Commission</p> <hr/> <p>Office Address Zip Code</p>	<p>C. Decision-Maker Information (Please Print Clearly)</p> <hr/> <p>Name (Last, First)</p> <hr/> <p>Title Name of Department, Board, or Commission</p> <hr/> <p>Office Phone Email</p> <hr/> <p>Office Address Zip Code</p> <p>Decision-Maker is (check applicable):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Department head <input type="checkbox"/> Department head’s designee <input type="checkbox"/> Board or Commission <input type="checkbox"/> Appointing officer <input type="checkbox"/> Ethics Commission
---	--

Please turn page over.

The Requester and Decision-Maker should retain a copy of this document for their records.

The Written Request

Provide a description of the proposed activity and an explanation of why the activity is not incompatible under the SIA of your Department, Board, or Commission SIA. Describe the proposed activity in sufficient detail for the Decision-Maker to make a fully informed determination. If necessary, the Decision-Maker may require additional information from you. Attach additional documents as appropriate.

For Department Use Only—Date Written Request Received

D. Description of Proposed Activity:

E. Verification

I certify under penalty of perjury that the information provided on this request for Advance Written Determination is true, complete, and correct. I understand that if the Decision-Maker determines that the proposed activity is incompatible, I will not be immune from prosecution from any subsequent enforcement action brought for a violation of the SIA. I further understand that if the Decision-Maker makes a determination that the proposed activity is not incompatible, the Decision-Maker may revoke that Determination at any time based on the changed facts, circumstances, or other good cause, by providing written notice to me.

Name of Requestor (Last, First)

Signature

William Ortiz-Cartagena

Date Request Submitted

F. Written Determination by the Decision-Maker

Based on the information submitted, I, the undersigned, find that the proposed activity:

- is incompatible with the SIA of the Department, Board, or Commission.
- is not incompatible with the SIA of the Department, Board, or Commission.

For Department Use Only—Date notice provided to Requestor.

Print Name of the Decision-Maker (Last, First)

Department, Board, or Commission

Signature

Date

G. Comments: