File No	211194	Committee Item No1
		Board Item No. 41
(D OF SUPERVISORS T CONTENTS LIST
	Budget and Appropriation pervisors Meeting	ns Committee Date July 13, 2022 Date July 19, 2022
Cmte Boa	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative A Youth Commission Repol Introduction Form Department/Agency Cov MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Comm Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	ort ver Letter and/or Report
OTHER	(Use back side if additio	nal space is needed)
	FYI Hearing 5/2/2022	7/0004
	MEDA Presentation 11/1 Board Budget Plan Exce	
	Doard Dadget Flair Exce	101 0/21/2022
H		_
HH		

Date June 24, 2022 Date July 14, 2022

Completed by: Brent Jalipa
Completed by: Brent Jalipa

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 7/13/2022

FILE NO. 211194

ORDINANCE NO. RO#21026 SA#25-26

1		ue Fiscal Cliff Reserve\$112,000,000 of Certificate uisition, public housing repairs, educator housing,
2	elevators, and \$34,800,000 of Certific	ate of Participation proceeds for various financing ayor's Office of Housing and Community
3	David	000 for <u>-</u> rent relief and \$13,350,000 \$64,150,000
4	4	
5	5 Ordinance appropriating \$13,350,0	00 \$64,150,000 \$112,000,000 of Certificates of
6	6 Participation proceeds from Prope	rty Tax Revenue the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to the
7	7 Mayor's Office of Housing and Co	mmunity Development for <u>a notice of funding</u>
8	8 availability (NOFA) for land acqu	isition with priority for development of 100%
9	9 affordable projects in California	Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC)
10	10 <u>designated high-need/resources a</u>	reas and/or high need areas, repairs for Public
11	11 Housing or Federal Housing and	Urban Development (HUD) co-ops, affordable
12	12 <u>housing for educators, elevators</u>	in the City's Single Room Occupancy (SRO)
13	13 portfolio, and acquisition for non	-profit sites and \$34,800,000 of Certificate of
14	14 Participation Proceeds for various	associated financing costs rent relief under the
15	15 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund a	nd \$13,350,000 \$64,150,000 for the acquisition,
16	16 creation and operation of affordate	le, social housing under the Housing Stability
17	17 Fund in Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-202 1	2021-2022 2022-2023, and placing these funds
18	on reserve pending sale of Certific	ates of Participation.
19		
20	20 deletions a	are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman;</u> are strikethrough italics Times New Roman .
21	Board am 21 Board am	endment additions are <u>double underlined</u> . endment deletions are strikethrough normal .
22	22	
23	·	the City and County of San Francisco:
24	24	
25	25	

- 1 Section 1. The sources of funding outlined below are herein appropriated to
- 2 reflect the projected sources of funding for FY2020-2021 2021-2022 2022-2023.
- 3 Commercial Paper may be used as a funding source in the interim, prior to sale of the
- 4 Certificates of Participation.

5

6

SOURCES Appropriation

7

8	Fund /	Project &	Account	Description	Amount
9	Department ID	Activity /			
10		Authority			
11	10020 / 230018	10026733-0001 /	410999	Property Tax	\$26,700,000
12	GF Continuing	10000	Unallocated	Revenue	\$128,300,000
13	Authority Ctrl/ GEN	GE Administration	Gen Property	Fiscal Cliff	\$64,150,000
14	General City		Taxes	Reserve	
15	Responsibility				
16		10037791-0001	598036		
17		Fiscal Cliff Reserve	Fiscal Cliff		
18		/- Operating	Reserve		
19		21839			
20		Fiscal Cliff Reserve			
21	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX - XXXX/	<u>480141</u>	Proceeds from	\$146,800,000
22	XXXXXXXXXX/	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>Proceeds</u>	Certificates of	
23	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>from</u>	<u>Participation</u>	
24	Community Dev.		Certificates of		
25			<u>Participation</u>		

1	Fund /	Project &	Account	Description	Amount
2	Department ID	Activity /			
3		Authority			
4	Total SOURCES Appro	priation			\$26,700,000
5					\$ 128,300,000
6					\$64,150.000
7					<u>\$146,800,000</u>
8					
9					
10	Section 2. Th	e uses of fundi	ing outlined bel	ow are herein ap	propriated in the
11	Mayor's Office of Hou	ising and Comm	unity Developm	nent to provide rer	nt relief and social
12	housing for a notice of	funding availab	ility for land acq	uisition with priorit	y for development
13	of 100% affordable pro	ojects in CDLAC	-designated hig	<u>h-need/resources</u>	areas and/or high
14	need areas, repairs fo	or Public Housing	g or HUD co-op	s, affordable hous	ing for educators,
15	elevators in the City's	SRO portfolio, a	and acquisition t	for non-profit sites	<u>.</u>
16					
17					
18					
19	USES APPROPRIAT	ION			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Fund /	Project & Activity /	Account	Description	Amount
2	Department ID	Authority			
3	10020 GF	10037116 –0001,	535000	Rent relief	\$13,350,000
4	Continuing	Rent Resolution and	Other Current		\$64,150,000
5	Authority Ctrl/	Relief Fund/	expenses –		
6	232065	21622	Budget		
7	-Mayor's Office of	-Rent Resolution			
8	Housing and	and Relief Fund			
9	Community				
10	Development				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Fund /	Project & Activity /	Account	Description	Amount
2	Department ID	Authority			
3	10020 GF	10037117 –0001,	539200 Loans	Social Housing	\$13,350,000
4	Continuing	Housing Stability	Issued by the		\$64,150,000
5	Authority Ctrl /	Fund/	City		
6	232065	21633			
7	-Mayor's Office of	-Housing Stability			
8	Housing and	Fund			
9	Community				
10	Development				
11					
12	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	XXXXX	Acquisition NOFA	\$40,000,000
13	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	<u>XXXXXX</u>	with priority for	
14	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	XXXXXX	100% affordable	
15	Community Dev.	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	XXXXXX	projects in	
16				CDLAC-	
17				designated high-	
18				need/resource	
19				areas and/or high	
20				need areas	
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Fund /	Project & Activity /	Account	Description	Amount
2	Department ID	Authority			
3	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	XXXXX	Public Housing or	\$20,000,000
4	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	XXXXXX	HUD co-op repairs	
5	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	XXXXXX		
6	Community Dev.	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXX		
7					
8					
9	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	XXXXX	Affordable housing	<u>\$12,000,000</u>
10	XXXXXXXXXX/	<u>XXXX/</u>	XXXXXX	for educators	
11	MYR Housing and	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXX		
12	Community Dev.	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXX		
13					
14					
15	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	XXXXX	Elevators in the SRO	<u>\$10,000,000</u>
16	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	XXXXXX	<u>portfolio</u>	
17	MYR Housing and	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXX		
18	Community Dev.	XXXXXXXX.	XXXXXX		
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Fund /	Project & Activity /	Account	Account Description	
2	Department ID	Authority			
3	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	<u>XXXXX</u>	Acquisition for	\$30,000,000
4	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	XXXXXX	nonprofit sites	
5	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	XXXXXX		
6	Community Dev.	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	XXXXXX		
7					
8	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	<u>581130 GF-</u>	City Services Auditor	\$224,000
9	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	Con-Internal	0.2% Audit Fee	
10	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>Audits</u>		
11	Community Dev.	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>			
12					
13	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	<u>570000 GF-</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>\$12,555,582</u>
14	XXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	<u>Debt Service -</u>	Reserve Fund	
15	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>Budget</u>		
16	Community Dev.	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>			
17					
18	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	570000 GF-	Capitalized Interest	<u>\$12,982,084</u>
19	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	<u>Debt Service -</u>	<u>Fund</u>	
20	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>Budget</u>		
21	Community Dev.	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>			
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Fund /	Project & Activity /	Account	Description	Amount
2	Department ID	Authority			
3	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	<u>573610 COI –</u>	Costs of Issuance	<u>\$885,959</u>
4	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	Certificate of		
5	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>Participation</u>		
6	Community Dev.	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>			
7					
8					
9	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	<u>573610 COI –</u>	<u>Underwriter's</u>	<u>\$977,375</u>
10	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	Certificates of	<u>Discount</u>	
11	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>Participation</u>		
12	Community Dev.	XXXXXXXX.			
13					
14	XXXXX/ XXXXX	XXXXXXXX -	<u>573610 COI –</u>	Reserve for Market	<u>\$7,175,000</u>
15	XXXXXXXXXX/	XXXX/	Certificates of	<u>Uncertainty</u>	
16	MYR Housing and	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>	<u>Participation</u>		
17	Community Dev.	<u>XXXXXXXX</u>			
18					
19	Total USES				\$26,700,000
20					\$128,300,000
21					\$64,150,000
22					<u>\$146,800,000</u>
23				-	
24					

25

1	1 Section 3. The Mayor's Office of Housing	g and Com	nmunity Development shall	
2	provide a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the final implementation rules for			
3	3 the distribution of the direct allocation of federal re	ent relief fu	nding. The uses of funding	
4	4 for \$146,800,000 are herein placed on Controller's	s Reserve p	pending sale of Certificates	
5	5 of Participation, sale of commercial paper as inte	erim financ	ing, or the confirmation of	
6	6 sufficient commercial paper program capacity to ce	ertify availa	<u>ble funds.</u>	
7	7			
8	8 Section 4. The Controller is authorized to	record tra	insfers between funds and	
9	9 adjust the accounting treatment of sources and u	ses approp	oriated in this ordinance as	
10	0 necessary to conform with Generally Accepted Acc	ounting Pri	nciples and other laws , and	
11	1 adjust sources and uses amounts to reflect local b	aseline fun	ding mandates.	
12	2			
13	DENNIS I HERRERA City Attorney		S AVAILABLE: OSENFIELD, Controller	
14	4	BENT	OCENTICES, CONTROLLOR	
15				
16	JON GIVNER	By:	/s/ BEN ROSENFIELD	
17	Deputy Oily Attorney		Controller	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
2324				
24 25				
20	.			

Item 1	Department:
File 21-1194	Controller
(Continued from June 27, 2022)	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objectives

 The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$146.8 million in certificates of participation proceeds for land acquisition, affordable housing development, renovation of public housing cooperatives, educator housing, and single-room occupancy elevators.

Key Points

The proposed ordinance does not authorize new debt. The Board of Supervisors will have
to consider separate legislation to do so once the projects have been reviewed by the
Capital Planning Committee and the Office of Public Finance, as required by state and local
law.

Fiscal Impact

 Preliminary estimates suggest debt service will be \$12.6 million per year or \$251.1 million over 20 years and paid for by the General Fund.

Policy Considerations

- Administrative Code Section 10.62 limits debt service of COPs and other lease financing to 3.25 percent of discretionary General Fund revenues. The City is below that cap but will hit it in FY 2027-28 if it issues debt as scheduled in the 10 Year Capital Plan. The Capital Plan assumed \$110 million in COPs would be authorized in FY 2021-22 and \$225 million would be authorized in FY 2022-23. Certain projects have been instead funded by cash or postponed, leaving \$80 \$120 million of potential COP project funds available from this unused capacity, depending on the level of General Fund revenues.
- The City may exceed the policy limit for COP debt service by a 2/3 vote of the Board of Supervisors, which would be required for future debt issuances that push the City's debt service above 3.25 percent of General Fund revenues. According to the Office of Public Finance, credit rating agencies consider compliance with established debt policies when evaluating the credit worthiness of debt issuers and departing from the City's debt policy may jeopardize the City's credit rating, resulting in higher interest on future debt issuances.
- Alternatively, the City may re-order projects in the capital plan to change the timing or funding source or both in order to remain below the COP debt service limit.

Recommendation

MANDATE STATEMENT

City Charter Section 9.105 states that amendments to the Annual Appropriation Ordinance are subject to Board of Supervisors approval by ordinance after the Controller certifies the availability of funds.

BACKGROUND

Administrative Code Section 3.21 states that the Board of Supervisors may authorize long-term financing of capital projects that have been reviewed by the Capital Planning Committee. Administrative Code Section 10.62 allows the Board of Supervisors to authorize certificates of participation, a form of long-term debt.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$146.8 million in certificates of participation proceeds, as shown below.

Exhibit 1: Uses of COPs Proceeds

Acquisition in CDLAC High-Need/Resource Areas	40,000,000
Acquisition for non-profit sites	30,000,000
HUD / Public Housing Coop. Repairs	20,000,000
Educator Housing	12,000,000
SRO Elevators	10,000,000
Subtotal, Projects	112,000,000
Other Costs	
City Services Audit Fee (2% project costs)	224,000
Capitalized Interest ^a	12,982,084
Debt Service Reserve Fund ^b	12,555,582
Costs of Issuance ^c	885,959
Underwriter's Discount ^d	977,375
Subtotal Other Costs	27,625,000
Total Proposed COPs Issuance	139,625,000
Reserve for Market Uncertainty	7,175,000
Total Authorization	146,800,000

Source: Proposed ordinance

^a Capitalized interest accrues during the project construction and prior to completion and placement of the asset in service.

^b The debt service reserve fund provides for one year of debt service payments.

^cCosts of issuance include bond counsel, financial advisors, and other costs associated with the issuance of the COPs.

^d The underwriters discount is the difference between the price paid to the issuer for the COPs issuance and the prices at which the certificates are initially offered to the investing public; this is the fee an underwriter charges when purchasing certificates of participation (COPs) for resale to the public.

As shown above, proposed uses include \$40 million for land acquisition for affordable housing in California Debt Limit Allocation Committee-defined "high-resource" areas (which includes economic, environmental, and education factors), \$30 million for site acquisition to provide stability for community-based organizations, \$20 million for public housing renovations, \$12 million for educator housing, and \$10 million for elevator repairs at single room occupancy sites.

Additional Authorization Required

The proposed ordinance does not authorize new debt. The Board of Supervisors will have to consider separate legislation to do so once the projects have been reviewed by the Capital Planning Committee and the Office of Public Finance, as required by state and local law.

FISCAL IMPACT

Debt Service

As of this writing, the Office of Public Finance is still finalizing the estimate the debt service of the \$146.8 million in COP debt, though preliminary estimates suggest \$12.6 million per year or \$251.1 million over 20 years. Debt service will be paid from the City's General Fund.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

City Debt Policy and 10-Year Capital Plan

Administrative Code Section 10.62 limits debt service of COPs and other lease financing to 3.25 percent of discretionary General Fund revenues. According to the City's Capital Plan for FY 2021-22 to 2030-31 shown below in Exhibit 2, the City is below that cap but will hit it in FY 2027-28 if it issues debt as scheduled in the 10 Year Capital Plan.

The City's ten-year capital plan is updated every two years. The Capital Plan for FY 2022-2031 provided for \$765 million of project funding from Certificates of Participation debt (COP) over the ten-year term. The Capital Plan assumed \$110.8 million in COPs-funded Critical Repairs/Recovery Stimulus projects would be authorized in FY 2021-22 and \$125 million would be authorized in FY 2022-23. Additionally, the Capital Plan assumed the issuance of COPs to fund \$70 million of project costs for the 170 Otis Exit and \$30 million for Streets projects.

Last year, the City determined to dedicate a portion of current year General Fund monies to certain capital projects, which reduced the Capital Plan assumption for COP project funding in FY 2021-22 from \$110.8 million to \$56.73 million. File 22-0683, pending at the Budget & Appropriations Committee as of this writing, authorizes \$79.2 million of COP project funding for the Critical Repairs/Recovery Stimulus COP projects for FY 2022-23 rather than the \$125 million originally assumed in the Capital Plan for FY 2022-23 (as well as an additional \$30 million of Streets project funding which had already been assumed), leaving \$99.9 million of potential COP project funds available from this unused capacity. Given the uncertainty of future General Fund

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

revenues, the Controller's Office suggests this translates into a range of \$80 million to \$120 million in COP debt capacity relative to the policy limit.

3.50% 3.00% 2.50% % of Discretionary Revenue 2.00% 1.50% 1.00% 0.50% 0.00% 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 Past Authorizations & Issuances Authorized & Unissued Lease Payments Critical Repairs FY22 - \$60.8M Critical Repairs FY23 - \$50M Recovery Stimulus (\$50M FY22 / \$75M FY23) 170 Otis Exit - \$70M Street Repaying (\$30M FY23 / \$30M FY24) Hall of Justice Replacement - \$367M Public Works Yard Consolidation - \$32M % of GF Dedicated to DS All GF Debt + HOJ Exit Leases (Est. \$15M/year esc. @ 3%)

Exhibit 2: Projected Debt Service FY 2021-22 through FY 2030-31

Source: Capital Plan FY 2022-2031

The City may exceed the policy limit for COP debt service by a 2/3 vote of the Board of Supervisors, which would be required for future debt issuances that push the City's debt service above 3.25% of General Fund revenues. According to the Office of Public Finance, credit rating agencies consider compliance with established debt policies when evaluating the credit worthiness of debt issuers and departing from the City's debt policy may jeopardize the City's credit rating, resulting in higher interest on future debt issuances.

Alternatively, the City may re-order projects in the capital plan to change the timing or funding source or both in order to remain below the COP debt service limit.

RECOMMENDATION

Item 2	Department: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
File 21-1194	Development (MOHCD)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objectives

• The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$64,150,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund. Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords, tenants, and community organizations to prevent evictions of low-income tenants related to COVID-19.

Key Points

- The Fiscal Cliff Reserve was created to manage potential budget shortfalls resulting from
 the spenddown of state and federal emergency COVID financial support. According to the
 Controller's FY 2022-23 FY 2023-24 Revenue Letter, the proposed budget for FY 2022-23
 FY 2023-24 assumes a FY 2022-23 ending balance of \$220.4 million (after \$9.3 million of
 withdrawals) and a FY 2023-24 ending balance of \$130.3 million following \$90.2 million
 withdrawals from the reserve.
- MOCHD has grant agreements with the organizations to disburse local rent relief funding. In April 2022, MOHCD extended the agreements from September 2022 through June 2023. The amended agreements increased funding by \$51 million, from \$25 million to \$76 million. Of the additional \$51 million added in April 2022, approximately \$3 million has been spent as of June 1, 2022. We estimate that the existing \$76 million in local funding provides rent relief for 4,256 households.

Fiscal Impact

 The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$64,150,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund. Based on the existing costs of providers to disburse funds (16 percent, on average) and assuming \$15,000 of rental assistance per household in the current MOHCD rent relief agreements, we estimate the proposed appropriation would provide an additional 3,592 households with rental assistance.

Policy Consideration

• The proposed ordinance appropriates \$64.15 million of the \$229.8 million currently assigned to the Fiscal Cliff Reserve. Appropriation from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve could result in future budget shortfalls, but whether such shortfalls will occur or the extent of such shortfalls is not yet known. The proposed ordinance is consistent with prior Board policy actions, but because the appropriation could require future budget adjustments, the Budget and Legislative Analyst considers approval to be a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

Recommendation

MANDATE STATEMENT

City Charter Section 9.105 states that amendments to the Annual Appropriations Ordinance, after the Controller certifies the availability of funds, are subject to Board of Supervisors approval by ordinance.

BACKGROUND

Fiscal Cliff Reserve

Administrative Provision 32.1 of the FY 2021-22 Annual Appropriation Ordinance created a Fiscal Cliff Reserve to manage potential budget shortfalls resulting from the spenddown of state and federal emergency COVID financial support. According to the Controller's FY 2022-23 – FY 2023-24 Revenue Letter, the proposed budget for FY 2022-23 – FY 2023-24 assumes a FY 2022-23 ending balance of \$220.4 million (after \$9.3 million of withdrawals) and a FY 2023-24 ending balance of \$130.3 million following \$90.2 million withdrawals from the reserve.

Rent Relief in San Francisco

The state Housing & Community Development Agency administers a rent relief program funded by federal rent relief funds. According to the California COVID-19 Rent Relief Dashboard maintained by the State Housing & Community Development Agency, as of June 16, 2022, \$157,524,298 had been paid to 14,467 participants in the State rent relief program for San Francisco.

In addition, MOCHD has grant agreements with the organizations listed below in Exhibit 1 to disburse local rent relief funding. The agreements are funded by federal and local funds.

Exhibit 1: MOHCD Rent Relief Grants

Organization	Amount
Eviction Defense Collaborative	\$22,600,000
Catholic Charities	20,100,000
Mission Neighborhood Centers	13,850,000
Young Community Developers	6,600,000
HOMEY	6,100,000
La Raza CRC	4,000,000
Native American Health Center	<u>2,750,000</u>
Total City Funding	\$76,000,000

Source: MOHCD as of March 2022

The original MOHCD agreements totaled \$25 million and were funded by federal rent relief funds. In April 2022, MOHCD extended the agreements from September 2022 through June 2023, of which three (with Catholic Charities, Eviction Defense Collaborative, and Mission Neighborhood

Centers) required Board of Supervisors approval (File 22-0211). The amended agreements increased funding by \$51 million, from \$25 million to \$76 million. Of the additional \$51 million added in April 2022, approximately \$3 million has been spent as of June 1, 2022. We estimate that the existing \$76 million in local funding provides rent relief for 4,256 households.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$64,150,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in FY 2021-22. Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords, tenants, and community organizations to prevent evictions of low-income tenants related to COVID-19.

FISCAL IMPACT

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$64,150,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund. Based on the existing costs of providers to disburse funds (16 percent, on average) and assuming \$15,000 of rental assistance per household in the current MOHCD rent relief agreements, we estimate the proposed appropriation would provide an additional 3,592 households with rental assistance, as shown in Exhibit 2 below.

Exhibit 2: BLA Estimate of Additional Households Served by Proposed \$64.15 million Appropriation

New funding	\$64,150,000
Disbursement Costs (16%)	\$10,264,000
Net Financial Assistance	\$53,886,000
Rental Assistance per Household	\$15,000
New Households	3,592

Source: BLA Analysis of MOHCD rental assistance grants

The above represents our initial estimate of the fiscal impact of the proposed legislation, which is subject to change once MOCHD develops a plan to disburse these funds.

POLICY CONSIDERATION

Fiscal Cliff Reserve

The proposed ordinance appropriates \$64.15 million of the \$229.8 million assigned to the Fiscal Cliff Reserve, leaving a reserve balance of \$156.25 million at the end of FY 2022-23 after planned uses of \$9.3 million that year and then a new end balance of \$66.05 million at the end of FY 2023-24 after planned withdrawals of \$90.2 million that year.

Appropriation from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve could result in future budget shortfalls, but whether such shortfalls will occur or the extent of such shortfalls (including whether such shortfalls exceed the remaining balance of \$66.05 million) is not yet known. The proposed ordinance is consistent with prior Board policy actions, but because the appropriation could require future budget

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

adjustments, the Budget and Legislative Analyst considers approval to be a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION

Item 2	Department: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
File 21-0538	Development (MOHCD)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objectives

• The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, of which (a) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2021-22.

Key Points

- Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords to cover up to 50 percent of unpaid rent (and up to 65 percent for landlords with 10 or fewer units) related to COVID-19. Funds in the Housing Stability Fund would be used for the acquisition, preservation, and development of affordable social housing. MOHCD has not determined which projects would be funded by the Housing Stability Fund.
- In April 2021, the Board of Supervisors approved an ordinance appropriating \$20,100,000 from property tax revenues to MOHCD, of which \$10,050,000 was appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and \$10,050,000 was appropriated for the acquisition, creation, and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund (File 20-1364). According to Benjamin McCloskey, MOHCD Deputy Director of Finance and Administration, none of this appropriation has been spent to date.

Fiscal Impact

 The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, of which \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund.

Policy Consideration

• The proposed ordinance appropriates \$128.3 million of the \$293.9 million assigned to the Fiscal Cliff Reserve, leaving a reserve balance of \$165.6 million. Appropriation from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve could result in future budget shortfalls, but whether such shortfalls will occur or the extent of such shortfalls is not yet known. The proposed ordinance is consistent with prior Board policy actions, but because the appropriation could require future budget adjustments, the Budget and Legislative Analyst considers approval to be a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

Recommendation

MANDATE STATEMENT

City Charter Section 9.105 states that amendments to the Annual Appropriations Ordinance, after the Controller certifies the availability of funds, are subject to Board of Supervisors approval by ordinance.

BACKGROUND

Proposition I

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I in November 2020, increasing the real estate transfer tax to 5.5 percent on transactions of \$10 million to \$25 million and to 6 percent on transactions of \$25 million or more. The tax is a General Fund revenue. According to the Controller's FY 2021-22 – FY 2022-23 Revenue Letter, Proposition I is projected to generate \$101.6 million of General Fund revenues net of baseline transfers in FY 2021-22 and \$108.5 million of General Fund revenues net of baseline transfers in FY 2022-23.

In August 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution stating the Board's intention to appropriate revenue generated by Proposition I to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and a Social Housing Program Fund (File 20-0708). In October 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved two ordinances, amending the Administrative Code to establish two funds, the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund (File 20-0611) and the Housing Stability Fund (File 20-1183), with the intent to deposit a portion of the revenues generated by the increase in the real estate transfer tax to each fund. According to File 20-0611, 50 percent of the increased real property transfer tax revenues in calendar year (CY) 2021 and CY 2022 would be appropriated to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund for the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) to award grants until March 2023, and according to File 20-1183, increased real property transfer tax revenues would be appropriated to the Housing Stability Fund. Neither ordinance required the appropriation of increased real estate transfer tax revenues to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund or the Housing Stability Fund.

Fiscal Cliff Reserve

Administrative Provision 32.1 of the FY 2021-22 – FY 2022-23 Appropriation Ordinance created a \$293.9 million Fiscal Cliff Reserve. According to the Controller's Office, the reserve has not been used as of the writing of this report. The purpose of the Fiscal Cliff Reserve is to provide funding for potential shortfalls in budgeted spending in FY 2022-23 and beyond after the exhaustion of federal and state stimulus funding.

¹ In File 20-1183, the Housing Stability Fund was originally titled the Social Housing Program Fund.

Prior Appropriation

In April 2021, the Board of Supervisors approved an ordinance appropriating \$20,100,000 from property tax revenues to MOHCD, of which \$10,050,000 was appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and \$10,050,000 was appropriated for the acquisition, creation, and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund (File 20-1364). According to Benjamin McCloskey, MOHCD Deputy Director of Finance and Administration, none of this appropriation has been spent to date.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, of which (a) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation, and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund.

Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords who agree to waive unpaid rent related to COVID-19. Such grants may cover up to 50 percent of unpaid rent (and up to 65 percent for landlords with 10 or fewer units) up to \$3,000 per unit per month. Landlords must waive all unpaid rent for the period covered by the grant. As stated above, the previous \$10,500,000 appropriation to the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund has not been expended yet. Additionally, federal funding has provided \$120.1 million for rent relief programs administered by the City and by the State for San Francisco residents, of which \$68.1 million has been disbursed.²

Funds in the Housing Stability Fund would be used for the acquisition, preservation, and development of affordable social housing. Administrative Code Section 10.100-78 defines social housing developments as restricted for households up to 80 percent of the median income in a zip code where the project is located, with the restriction lasting for the useful life of the property or at least 99 years. MOHCD has not determined which projects would be funded by the Housing Stability Fund.

FISCAL IMPACT

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, of which (a) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2021-22. The specific uses of funds have not been determined.

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

² According to MOHCD, \$14.3 million of rent relief funds have been disbursed from the City program funded by federal funds. According to State Department of Housing & Community Development, \$53.8 million of State rent relief funds have been disbursed in San Francisco. Both spending amounts are as of November 9, 2021.

POLICY CONSIDERATION

Fiscal Cliff Reserve

The proposed ordinance appropriates \$128.3 million of the \$293.9 million assigned to the Fiscal Cliff Reserve, leaving a reserve balance of \$165.6 million. According to the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, this budget contingency reserve is for the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of federal and state stimulus funds and other one-time sources used to balance the FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 budget. According to the March 2021 Update to the Five-Year Financial Plan, prepared jointly by the Controller, Mayor's Budget Office, and Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office, the projected budget deficit will increase in FY 2023-24, for a total projected budget deficit of \$499.3 million through FY 2025-26. According to the Controller's Revenue Letter for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, "while these projected future year shortfalls are modestly mitigated by actions proposed in the Mayor's proposed budget, significant gaps are likely to remain in fiscal years beyond the two-year budget period."

Appropriation of \$128.3 million from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve could result in future budget shortfalls, but whether such shortfalls will occur or the extent of such shortfalls (including whether such shortfalls exceed the remaining balance of \$165.6 million) is not yet known. The proposed ordinance is consistent with prior Board policy actions, but because the appropriation could require future budget adjustments, the Budget and Legislative Analyst considers approval to be a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION

Item 3	Department: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
File 21-0538	Development (MOHCD)
(Continued from June 16, 2021)	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objectives

• The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, of which (a) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2021-22.

Key Points

- In October 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved ordinances establishing two funds, the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and the Housing Stability Fund, with the intent to deposit a portion of the revenues generated by the increase in the real estate transfer tax to each fund. Section 32.1 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO) establishes the Fiscal Cliff Reserve with a proposed amount of \$293,900,000 from FY 2020-21 unassigned fund balance for the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls.
- Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords to cover up to 50 percent of unpaid rent (and up to 65 percent for landlords with 10 or fewer units) related to COVID-19. Funds in the Housing Stability Fund would be used for the acquisition, preservation, and development of affordable social housing. MOHCD has not determined which projects would be funded by the Housing Stability Fund.

Fiscal Impact

• The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, of which \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund.

Policy Consideration

- The total funds accumulated to date for rent relief are approximately \$101,687,829. This amount includes approximately \$91,637,829 in federal funding (provided both directly to the City and allocated by the State) and \$10,050,000 appropriated from the City's General Fund in April 2021. Additional federal funding from the American Rescue Plan Act, via the State, is anticipated to be allocated to San Francisco. MOHCD has not expended any of this funding and does not plan to expend any local funds until the federal funding is exhausted, which is estimated in approximately Summer 2022.
- The existence of the State and City rental assistance programs, which cover up to 80 percent and 100 percent, respectively, of unpaid rent for households making up to 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI), may disincentivize landlords to accept grants funded by the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, which only covers 50-65 percent of unpaid rent.

Recommendation

MANDATE STATEMENT

City Charter Section 9.105 states that amendments to the Annual Appropriations Ordinance, after the Controller certifies the availability of funds, are subject to Board of Supervisors approval by ordinance.

BACKGROUND

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I in November 2020, increasing the real estate transfer tax to 5.5 percent on transactions of \$10 million to \$25 million and to 6 percent on transactions of \$25 million or more, which according to the November 2020 Voter Guide, was estimated to increase real estate transfer tax revenues by \$196 million. The tax is a General Fund revenue.

In August 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution stating the Board's intention to appropriate revenue generated by Proposition I to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and a Social Housing Program Fund (File 20-0708). In October 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved two ordinances, amending the Administrative Code to establish two funds, the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund (File 20-0611) and the Housing Stability Fund (File 20-1183), with the intent to deposit a portion of the revenues generated by the increase in the real estate transfer tax to each fund. According to File 20-0611, 50 percent of the increased real property transfer tax revenues in calendar year (CY) 2021 and CY 2022 would be appropriated to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund for the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) to award grants until March 2023, and according to File 20-1183, increased real property transfer tax revenues would be appropriated to the Housing Stability Fund. Neither ordinance required the appropriation of increased real estate transfer tax revenues to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund or the Housing Stability Fund.

In April 2021, the Board of Supervisors approved an ordinance appropriating \$20,100,000 from property tax revenues to MOHCD, of which \$10,050,000 was appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and \$10,050,000 was appropriated for the acquisition, creation, and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund (File 20-1364). According to Mr. Benjamin McCloskey, MOHCD Deputy Director of Finance and Administration, none of this appropriation has been spent to date.

The proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO) contains administrative provisions governing the appropriation ordinance. Section 32.1 establishes the Fiscal Cliff Reserve with a proposed amount of \$293,900,000 from FY 2020-21 unassigned fund balance for the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of federal and state stimulus funds and other one-time sources used to balance the FY 2021-23 two-year budget (File 21-0671). The AAO is still pending Board of Supervisors approval.

¹ In File 20-1183, the Housing Stability Fund was originally titled the Social Housing Program Fund.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, of which (a) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation, and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2021-22.

Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords who agree to waive unpaid rent related to COVID-19. Such grants may cover up to 50 percent of unpaid rent (and up to 65 percent for landlords with 10 or fewer units) up to \$3,000 per unit per month. Landlords must waive all unpaid rent for the period covered by the grant. As stated above, the previous \$10,500,000 appropriation to the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund has not been expended yet. Additionally, the City has received \$91,637,829 in federal funding for rent relief and will likely receive other funding from the March 2021 American Rescue Plan Act that was provided to the State of California but has not yet been allocated to county governments. According to Mr. McCloskey, MOHCD will not expend any local funds towards rent relief until all federal funding is exhausted, which he estimates will be in Summer 2022.

Funds in the Housing Stability Fund would be used for the acquisition, preservation, and development of affordable social housing. Administrative Code Section 10.100-78 defines social housing developments as restricted for households up to 80 percent of the median income in a zip code where the project is located, with the restriction lasting for the useful life of the property or at least 99 years. According to Mr. McCloskey, MOHCD has not determined which projects would be funded by the Housing Stability Fund.

FISCAL IMPACT

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to MOHCD, of which (a) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$64,150,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2021-22. If the Board of Supervisors approves the appropriation of \$128,300,000 from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve, the remaining Fiscal Cliff Reserve balance would be \$165,600,000.

POLICY CONSIDERATION

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I in November 2020, increasing the real estate transfer tax to 5.5 percent on transactions of \$10 million to \$25 million and to 6 percent on transactions of \$25 million or more. According to the Controller's Revenue Letter, revenues attributable to Proposition I are \$127.0 million in FY 2021-22 and \$135.7 million in FY 2022-23, or \$101.6 million and \$108.5 million, respectively, net of baseline funding requirements.

As noted above, MOHCD has not expended any of the federal or local funds for rent relief. The total funds accumulated to date for rent relief are approximately \$101,687,829, as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: MOHCD Rent Relief Funding Available

Funding Source	Amount	
December 2020 Federal COVID Relief – Direct to City	\$26,209,983	
December 2020 Federal COVID Relief – via State	28,216,657	
March 2021 Federal COVID Relief – Direct to City	37,211,189	
Supplemental Appropriation (File 20-1364)	10,050,000	
Total Funding Available	\$101,687,289	

Approval of the proposed ordinance would increase the available funding for rent relief to approximately \$165,837,289. San Francisco will likely also benefit from additional funding from the March 2021 American Rescue Plan Act that has not yet been administered by the State of California. As stated above, MOHCD will not expend any local funds towards rent relief until all federal funding is exhausted, which Mr. McCloskey estimates will be in Summer 2022.

Grants from the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund would cover 50 to 65 percent of unpaid rent but landlords receiving grants must waive all unpaid rent. However, grants funded by federal funding, through the State Program, would cover up to 80 percent of unpaid rent incurred between April 2020 and March 2021. Furthermore, grants funded by the City Program, using direct federal funding, would cover up to 100 percent of rent for six months starting in April 2021.

The existence of the State and City rental assistance programs, which cover up to 80 percent and 100 percent, respectively, of unpaid rent for households making up to 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI), may disincentivize landlords to accept grants funded by the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund.

RECOMMENDATION

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

1390 Market Street, Suite 1150, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 552-9292 FAX (415) 252-0461

June 11, 2021

TO: Budget and Appropriations Committee

FROM: Budget and Legislative Analyst

SUBJECT: June 16, 2021 Rescheduled Budget and Appropriations Committee Meeting

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	File	Page
10	21-0538 Appropriation - Property Tax Revenue - Mayor's Office of Housing and	
	Community Development - Rent Relief - \$13,350,000 - Social Housing -	
	\$13.350.000 - FY2020-2021	1

Item 10	Department: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community	
File 21-0538	Development (MOHCD)	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objectives

• The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$26,700,000 from property tax revenues to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, of which (a) \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2020-21.

Key Points

- In October 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved ordinances establishing two funds, the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and the Housing Stability Fund, with the intent to deposit a portion of the revenues generated by the increase in the real estate transfer tax to each fund. According to the Controller's Nine-Month Budget Status Report, the Controller is projecting a \$157.3 million General Fund surplus in FY 2020-21.
- Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords to cover up to 50 percent of unpaid rent (and up to 65 percent for landlords with 10 or fewer units) related to COVID-19. Funds in the Housing Stability Fund would be used for the acquisition, preservation, and development of affordable social housing. MOHCD has not determined which projects would be funded by the Housing Stability Fund.

Fiscal Impact

• The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$26,700,000 from property tax revenues to MOHCD, of which \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund.

Policy Consideration

- The total funds accumulated to date for rent relief are approximately \$101,687,829. This amount includes approximately \$91,637,829 in federal funding (provided both directly to the City and allocated by the State) and \$10,050,000 appropriated from the City's General Fund in April 2021. Additional federal funding from the American Rescue Plan Act is anticipated that has not been administered from the State. MOHCD has not expended any of this funding and does not plan to expend any local funds until the federal funding is exhausted, which is estimated in approximately Summer 2022.
- The existence of the State and City rental assistance programs, which cover up to 80 percent and 100 percent, respectively, of unpaid rent for households making up to 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI), may disincentivize landlords to accept grants funded by the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, which only covers 50-65 percent of unpaid rent.

Recommendation

MANDATE STATEMENT

City Charter Section 9.105 states that amendments to the Annual Appropriations Ordinance, after the Controller certifies the availability of funds, are subject to Board of Supervisors approval by ordinance.

BACKGROUND

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I in November 2020, increasing the real estate transfer tax to 5.5 percent on transactions of \$10 million to \$25 million and to 6 percent on transactions of \$25 million or more, which according to the November 2020 Voter Guide, was estimated to increase real estate transfer tax revenues by \$196 million. The tax is a General Fund revenue.

In August 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution stating the Board's intention to appropriate revenue generated by Proposition I to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and a Social Housing Program Fund (File 20-0708). In October 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved two ordinances, amending the Administrative Code to establish two funds, the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund (File 20-0611) and the Housing Stability Fund (File 20-1183), with the intent to deposit a portion of the revenues generated by the increase in the real estate transfer tax to each fund. According to File 20-0611, 50 percent of the increased real property transfer tax revenues in calendar year (CY) 2021 and CY 2022 would be appropriated to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund for the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) to award grants until March 2023, and according to File 20-1183, increased real property transfer tax revenues would be appropriated to the Housing Stability Fund. Neither ordinance required the appropriation of increased real estate transfer tax revenues to the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund or the Housing Stability Fund.

According to the Controller's Nine-Month Budget Status Report, the Controller is projecting a \$157.3 million General Fund surplus in FY 2020-21. Although certain General Fund Revenues, such as business tax, sales tax, and hotel tax are projected to be lower than originally budgeted, these are more than offset by higher than budgeted property tax, excess Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) receipts, and transfer taxes. According to that report, Proposition I is projected to generate \$46.8 million of General Fund revenues net of baseline transfers in FY 2020-21.

In April 2021, the Board of Supervisors approved an ordinance appropriating \$20,100,000 from property tax revenues to MOHCD, of which \$10,050,000 was appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and \$10,050,000 was appropriated for the acquisition, creation, and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund (File 20-1364). According to Mr. Benjamin McCloskey, MOHCD Deputy Director of Finance and Administration, none of this appropriation has been spent to date. Net of the supplemental

¹ In File 20-1183, the Housing Stability Fund was originally titled the Social Housing Program Fund.

appropriation already approved by the Board of Supervisors, \$26.7 million of unbudgeted General Fund revenues are attributable to Proposition I in FY 2020-21.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$26,700,000 from FY 2020-21 property tax revenues to MOHCD, of which (a) \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation, and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2020-21.

Funds in the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund may be used to provide grants to landlords who agree to waive unpaid rent related to COVID-19. Such grants may cover up to 50 percent of unpaid rent (and up to 65 percent for landlords with 10 or fewer units) up to \$3,000 per unit per month. Landlords must waive all unpaid rent for the period covered by the grant. As stated above, the previous \$10,500,000 appropriation to the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund has not been expended yet. Additionally, the City has received \$91,637,829 in federal funding for rent relief and will likely receive other funding from the March 2021 American Rescue Plan Act that was provided to the State of California but has not yet been allocated to county governments. According to Mr. McCloskey, MOHCD will not expend any local funds towards rent relief until all federal funding is exhausted, which he estimates will be in Summer 2022.

Funds in the Housing Stability Fund would be used for the acquisition, preservation, and development of affordable social housing. Administrative Code Section 10.100-78 defines social housing developments as restricted for households up to 80 percent of the median income in a zip code where the project is located, with the restriction lasting for the useful life of the property or at least 99 years. According to Mr. McCloskey, MOHCD has not determined which projects would be funded by the Housing Stability Fund.

FISCAL IMPACT

The proposed ordinance would appropriate \$26,700,000 from property tax revenues to MOHCD, of which (a) \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund; and (b) \$13,350,000 would be appropriated for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in FY 2020-21. The specific uses of funds have not been determined.

POLICY CONSIDERATION

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I in November 2020, increasing the real estate transfer tax to 5.5 percent on transactions of \$10 million to \$25 million and to 6 percent on transactions of \$25 million or more. The Controller's FY 2020-21 Nine Month Budget Status Report estimates total real estate transfer tax revenues of \$326.3 million, an increase of \$188.3 million over the FY 2020-21 budget of \$138.0 million. According to the Nine Month Budget Status Report, these

increases are offset by reductions in other revenues, for projected General Fund revenues in FY 2020-21 of \$3.871 billion, which is approximately \$14.6 million less than the FY 2020-21 revised budget of \$3.885 billion.

As noted above, MOHCD has not expended any of the federal or local funds for rent relief. The total funds accumulated to date for rent relief are approximately \$101,687,829, as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: MOHCD Rent Relief Funding Available

Funding Source	Amount	
December 2020 Federal COVID Relief – Direct to City	\$26,209,983	
December 2020 Federal COVID Relief – via State	28,216,657	
March 2021 Federal COVID Relief – Direct to City	37,211,189	
Supplemental Appropriation (File 20-1364)	10,050,000	
Total Funding Available	\$101,687,289	

Approval of the proposed ordinance would increase the available funding for rent relief to approximately \$115,037,829. MOHCD will likely also receive additional funding from the March 2021 American Rescue Plan Act that has not yet been administered by the State of California. As stated above, MOHCD will not expend any local funds towards rent relief until all federal funding is exhausted, which Mr. McCloskey estimates will be in Summer 2022.

Grants from the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund would cover 50 to 65 percent of unpaid rent but landlords receiving grants must waive all unpaid rent. However, grants funded by federal funding, through the State Program, would cover up to 80 percent of unpaid rent incurred between April 2020 and March 2021. Furthermore, grants funded by the City Program, using direct federal funding, would cover up to 100 percent of rent for six months starting in April 2021.

The existence of the State and City rental assistance programs, which cover up to 80 percent and 100 percent, respectively, of unpaid rent for households making up to 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI), may disincentivize landlords to accept grants funded by the COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund.

RECOMMENDATION

Board of Supervisors Budget Spending Plan - Housing COPs

The Amendment before the committee makes 4 changes:

- 1. Changes the source from the Fiscal Cliff Reserve to instead issuing debt through Certificates of Participation.
- 2. Changes the project total portion from \$128.3m to \$112.0 million
- 3. Adjusts the types of expenditures to new categories
- 4. Adjusts the source to the use of Certificates of Participation (COPs) and includes associated financing costs

Curren	at Agendized Item		
	The second secon		
	Sources		
	Fiscal Cliff Reserve		128,300,000
	Uses		
	Rent Relief	\$	64,150,000
	Social Housing	\$	64,150,000
	Total Uses	\$	128,300,000
6/27/2	22 Amendments		
•	Sources		
	Certificate of Participation Proceeds	\$	146,800,000
	Uses		
٠,			
	Acquisition NOFA with priority for development of 100% affordable projects in CDLAC designated high-need/resource areas	\$	40,000,000
	Public housing or HUD co-op repairs	\$	20,000,000
		•	
	Affordable housing for educators	\$	12,000,000
	Elevators in SRO portfolio	\$	10,000,000
			20.000.000
	Acquisition for non-profit sites	\$	30,000,000
	Project Total	\$	112,000,000
	Financing Costs	\$	34,800,000
	Total Uses	\$	146,800,000

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS);

Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: FW: Letter of Support from SF Berniecrats for the Emergency Housing Acquisition Program

Date: Friday, November 12, 2021 1:25:20 PM

From: Laksh Bhasin < lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 10:09 AM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Cc: Berniecrats SF <sfberniecrats@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Letter of Support from SF Berniecrats for the Emergency Housing Acquisition Program

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just re-sending this with a fix in the formatting

On Fri, Nov 12, 2021 at 8:48 AM Laksh Bhasin < lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com > wrote:



November 12, 2021

TO: Mayor London Breed, Board of Supervisors

RE: Letter of Support from San Francisco Berniecrats for the Emergency Housing Acquisition Program

Dear Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to urge your support for Supervisor Preston's ordinance to fund the Emergency Housing Acquisition Program (File No. 210538). These funds will save hundreds of San Franciscans from pandemic-related displacement and are crucial for

beginning to build an infrastructure for **Public Housing for All**. On behalf of the San Francisco Berniecrats, I strongly urge you to move this effort forward without delay.

The SF Berniecrats wrote and supported November 2020's Proposition K to authorize 10,000 units of municipal social housing. We also campaigned for Proposition I to tax large real-estate transactions and fund rent relief and social housing. We were disappointed to see that **not a single dollar** of Proposition I's revenue was dedicated to social housing this fiscal year.

The pandemic has put extreme financial hardship on tens of thousands of working families, seniors, and other vulnerable households. While COVID initially depressed rents and rental property sales, rents and property prices are once again trending upwards.

Unless the City significantly increases its capacity to acquire and preserve rental properties NOW, thousands of existing tenants will be put at greater risk of displacement. The City will lose a time-limited opportunity to remove housing from the speculative market and permanently preserve units at affordable rents.

That's why I am urging you to support Supervisor Preston's proposal to allocate \$64 million to social housing acquisition. This ordinance would deliver on the promise of Proposition I, and the unanimous resolution passed last year by the Board of Supervisors to use Proposition I's revenue for social housing.

We can protect hundreds of San Francisco residents and guarantee long-term stability if we act now. Please fund the Emergency Housing Acquisition Program and save our residents from pandemic-fueled displacement.

Sincerely, Laksh Bhasin Coordinator, SF Berniecrats Housing Committee From: <u>Heidi Chiao</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 12:46:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Heidi Chiao heidichiao@yahoo.com

Oakland, California 94605

From: <u>Patricia Koren</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors, (BOS)</u>

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:48:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I worked many hours to help pass Prop I and K in the last election, and was very disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

The Board of Supervisors unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,
Patricia Koren, District 8 Resident

Patricia Koren pj.koren@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: Somera, Alisa (BOS)
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

Date: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:08:55 AM

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click **HERE** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

 $\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim$

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:50 PM

To: BOS-Supervisors

 dos-supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS)

<junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson

(BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

From: Carletta Jackson-Lane < <u>info@email.actionnetwork.org</u>>

Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:43 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) < board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org >

Subject: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District Alma Jackson____ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Carletta Jackson-Lane
cjacksonalne@yahoo.com
401 Yerba Buena Avenue
San Francisco, California 94127

From: <u>Dominick Yu</u>

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

Date: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 5:03:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Dominick Yu dominickyu8@gmail.com 70 Parnell Ave Daly City, California 94015 From:tolgakesler1@gmail.comTo:Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

Date: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 3:04:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District ____ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

tolgakesler1@gmail.com 1050 N Mills Ave Claremont, California 91711 From: <u>Maria Hernández Pinto</u>
To: <u>Board of Supervisors, (BOS)</u>

Subject: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

Date: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:56:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District ____ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Maria Hernández Pinto mhernan@students.pitzer.edu 2599 Huntington dr Claremont, California 91711 From: Bisma Farzansyed

To: <u>Board of Supervisors, (BOS)</u>

Subject: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

Date: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:53:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District ____ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Bisma Farzansyed bisma@sdaction.org 2599 Huntington Dr Upland, California 91786 From: Somera, Alisa (BOS)
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

Date: Monday, June 21, 2021 11:27:26 AM

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click **HERE** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Sent: Friday, June 18, 2021 10:14 AM

To: BOS-Supervisors

supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides

legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-Administrative Aides

sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)

<alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS)

<wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

From: Jessica Lehman < jessica@sdaction.org>

Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2021 3:59 PM

To: BOS-Legislative Aides < bos-legislative aides@sfgov.org>

Subject: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Jessica Lehman
jessica@sdaction.org
1360 Mission Street #400
San Francisco, California 94103

From: Shaelyn Watson

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Fund Prop I for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 8:34:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Shaelyn Watson and I live and work in District 1. I voted yes on Prop I to fund rent relief and social housing in San Francisco. Outside my window I can see several empty apartments and some people sleeping in their cars. These unhoused neighbors need support and housing - definitely not more policing.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Thank you,

Shaelyn Watson
District 1 San Francisco
Voted YES on Prop I for social housing funding and rent relief

Shaelyn Watson shaelynjoy@gmail.com

From: Brett Wilkins

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 9:59:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brett Wilkins

Brett Wilkins

brettsworld2002@yahoo.com

From: Enrique Vallejo

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 9:58:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Enrique Vallejo etv_2003@yahoo.com

From: <u>Gabriel Goffman</u>

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:53:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Gabriel Goffman gfgoffman@gmail.com

From: <u>Harlo Pippenger</u>

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:48:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Harlo Pippenger harlo.p.pippenger@gmail.com

From: <u>Maria Schulman</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:44:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Maria Schulman @gmail.com

From: <u>Brandon Harami</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:40:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brandon Harami btravisharami@gmail.com

From: <u>Eleanor Cox</u>

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:08:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eleanor Cox eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com

From: <u>Jackie Barshak</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Me! Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 7:48:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I voted for Prop I because I thought it was going to be used for rent relief and social housing! Was I duped? I live in District 7 and support any way the money comes into the budget for these purposes.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you, Your constituent and voter, Jackie Barshak

Jackie Barshak jackiebarshak@gmail.com

From: <u>Jason Kruta</u>

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 5:24:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,
Jason Kruta
District 1 resident

Jason Kruta jpkruta@gmail.com

From: <u>Jennifer Feng</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 5:14:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Jennifer Feng jenniferfeng97@gmail.com

From: <u>Mullane Ahern</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 10:04:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Mullane Ahern mullane.ahern@gmail.com

San Francisco, Ca 94117

From: Eva Arce

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 9:14:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eva Arce eva.arce@att.net

From: Annie Koruga

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 8:55:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Annie Koruga @gmail.com

Fremont, California 94538

From: <u>christina Beach</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors</u>, (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:19:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

christina Beach christina_beach@yahoo.com

oakland, California 94612

From: Eva Arce
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 9:14:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eva Arce eva.arce@att.net

 From:
 Annie Koruga

 To:
 Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 8:55:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Annie Koruga @gmail.com

Fremont, California 94538

From: <u>christina Beach</u>
To: <u>Wong, Linda (BOS)</u>

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:19:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

christina Beach christina_beach@yahoo.com

oakland, California 94612

From: Somera, Alisa (BOS)
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: FW: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:16:12 PM

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click **HERE** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

 $\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim$

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Sent: Monday, June 14, 2021 4:56 PM

To: BOS-Supervisors

 dos-supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)

<alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS)

<junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

From: Laksh Bhasin < info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Monday, June 14, 2021 4:29 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) < board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org >

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Laksh and I was a coauthor of Prop K last year and a supporter of Prop I.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Laksh Bhasin

lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com

299 Franklin St

Redwood City, California 94063

From: Brett Wilkins
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 9:59:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brett Wilkins

Brett Wilkins

brettsworld2002@yahoo.com

From: Enrique Vallejo
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 9:58:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Enrique Vallejo etv_2003@yahoo.com

From: Gabriel Goffman

To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:53:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Gabriel Goffman gfgoffman@gmail.com

From: <u>Harlo Pippenger</u>
To: <u>Wong, Linda (BOS)</u>

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:48:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Harlo Pippenger harlo.p.pippenger@gmail.com

From: <u>Maria Schulman</u>
To: <u>Wong, Linda (BOS)</u>

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:44:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Maria Schulman @gmail.com

From: Brandon Harami
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:40:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brandon Harami btravisharami@gmail.com

 From:
 <u>Eleanor Cox</u>

 To:
 <u>Wong, Linda (BOS)</u>

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:08:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eleanor Cox eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com

From: <u>Jackie Barshak</u>
To: <u>Wong, Linda (BOS)</u>

Subject: Listen to Me! Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 7:48:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I voted for Prop I because I thought it was going to be used for rent relief and social housing! Was I duped? I live in District 7 and support any way the money comes into the budget for these purposes.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you, Your constituent and voter, Jackie Barshak

Jackie Barshak jackiebarshak@gmail.com

 From:
 Jason Kruta

 To:
 Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 5:24:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,
Jason Kruta
District 1 resident

Jason Kruta jpkruta@gmail.com

From: Jennifer Feng
To: Wong, Linda (BOS)

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 5:14:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Jennifer Feng jenniferfeng97@gmail.com

From: <u>Laksh Bhasin</u>
To: <u>Wong, Linda (BOS)</u>

Subject: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 4:28:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Laksh and I was a coauthor of Prop K last year and a supporter of Prop I.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Laksh Bhasin lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com 299 Franklin St Redwood City, California 94063

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment). 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee. 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee. 4. Request for letter beginning: "Supervisor inquiries" 5. City Attorney Request. 6. Call File No. from Committee. 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion). 8. Substitute Legislation File No. 210538 9. Reactivate File No. 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission Ethics Commission **Building Inspection Commission** Planning Commission Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Supervisor Preston; Haney, Ronen Subject: Appropriation – Property Tax Revenue \$26,700,000 – Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development – \$13,350,000 for rent relief and \$13,350,000 for social housing - FY2020-2021 The text is listed: Ordinance appropriating \$13,350,000 from Property Tax Revenue to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development for rent relief under the Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and \$13,350,000 for the acquisition, creation and operation of affordable, social housing under the Housing Stability Fund in Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-2021. Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

For Clerk's Use Only