File No. <u>220680</u>

Committee Item No. <u>3</u> Board Item No. <u>21</u>

### COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:Budget and Appropriations CommitteeDateJune 17, 2022Board of Supervisors MeetingDateJuly 26, 2022

#### **Cmte Board**

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)
	Bay Area Counties Resolution State Assembly Bill No. 767 9/6/2016 10-Day Fee Ad Presidential Action Memo – 30-Day Waiver 6/6/2022

Completed by:_	Brent Jalipa	Date_	June 10, 2022
Completed by:	Brent Jalipa	Date	June 27, 2022

FILE NO. 220680

1	[Administrative Code - Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge]				
2	Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles				
3	registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law.				
4 5	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.				
6	Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> .				
7	Board amendment deletions are in <u>actual-undenmed Anal Iont</u> . Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code				
8	subsections or parts of tables.				
9					
10	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:				
11 12	Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 10.100- 185, to read as follows:				
13	SEC. 10.100-185. POLICE VEHICLE THEFT CRIMES FUND.				
14	(a) Imposition of Fee. Pursuant to California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14, the City and				
15 16	County of San Francisco authorizes the imposition of a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration				
16 17	or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within the City except those				
18	expressly exempted under State law.				
19	(b) Establishment of Fund. The Police Vehicle Theft Crimes Fund is established as a				
20	category six fund to receive money which reflects collected under the California Department of				
20	Motor Vehicles' \$42 surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address pursuant to				
22	California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14.				
23	(bc) Use of Fund. The <u>Chief of Police shall use monies in the</u> fund shall be used				
23 24 25	exclusively for deterring, investigating or prosecuting vehicle theft crimes, and consistent with				

1 any state guidelines for their use. No costs which may be incurred by any City department in 2 administering this fund shall be recovered therefrom.

3 (ed) **Exceptions to Fund Category.** The Chief of Police may only authorize expenditures from the fund following acceptance of monies by the Police Commission. The 4 5 balance remaining in this fund after January 1, 2000, December 31, 1995, shall be returned to the 6 State Controller for deposit in the Motor Vehicle account in the State Transportation Fund.

7

8 Section 2. Effective Date and Operative Date. This ordinance shall become effective 9 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor 10 returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance. This ordinance shall 11 12 not become operative until six months from the date that the Chief of Police certifies in writing 13 to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors that the Department has complied with the submission requirement set forth in California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14. 14

15

16 Section 3. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors 17 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, 18 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment 19 20 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under 21 /// /// 22 23 /// 24 ///

/// 25

1	the official title of the ordinance.			
2				
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DAVID CHIU, City Attorney			
4	DAVID CHIO, City Attomey			
5	By: /s/ ALICIA CABRERA			
6	Deputy City Attorney			
7	n:\legana\as2022\2200406\01608811.docx			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Office of the District Attorney Alameda County Nancy E. O'Malley, District Attorney



Rene C. Davidson Courthouse 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612

AGENDA \_\_\_\_\_ February 9, 2016

February 4, 2016

Honorable Board of Supervisors County Administration Building 1221 Oak Street, Room 536 Oakland, CA 94612

#### SUBJECT: ADOPT A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA VEHICLE CODE SECTION 9250.14 INCREASING THE COLLECTION OF VEHICLE LICENSE FEES

Dear Board Members:

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Adopt a resolution directing the California Department of Motor Vehicles to collect the vehicle license fee increase authorized by AB 767, (additional \$1 per vehicle licensed in the county) to be effective six months from submission of the authorizing resolution.

#### **DISCUSSION/SUMMARY:**

On December 3, 1991, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors passed resolution R-91-987, requesting that the California Department of Motor Vehicles implement Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code and commence collection of a vehicle license fee of one dollar (\$1) for every vehicle registered to an address within Alameda County, except those expressly exempted from such fees. Collection of these vehicle license fees has continued, uninterrupted since that time. Use of these fees is restricted to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. In Alameda County, this funding currently supports the operations of the Alameda County Regional Auto Theft Task Force ("ACRATT"), for which the District Attorney serves as fiscal agent.

Effective January 1, 2014, AB 767 amended Vehicle Code section 9250.14. AB 767 authorized every county to increase its vehicle license fees from \$1 to \$2 per vehicle upon adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors and submission of the resolution to the Department of Motor Vehicles. The funds are continuously appropriated to fund

local programs with the same restricted use: to enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. AB 767 also eliminated the original January 1, 2018 repeal (sunset) date for this legislation and makes the provisions operative indefinitely.

The District Attorney is requesting a resolution directing the Department of Motor Vehicles to increase its collection of the current vehicle license fee for Alameda County from one dollar (\$1) to two dollars (\$2) as authorized by the amended Vehicle Code section 9250.14 and AB 767.

A copy of the approved resolution must be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles. The operative date of the fee increase will be six months after the Department's receipt of the resolution.

#### **FINANCING:**

This request will not impact the net County cost in FY 2015-16 or in future years.

Respectfully submitted,

ancif E. O'Malley **District** Attorney

#### THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **RESOLUTION NO:** 2016-45

#### RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ELECTING TO RECEIVE FUNDS UNDER VEHICLE CODE SECTION 9250.14

WHEREAS, on December 3, 1991, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. R-91-987 pursuant to Vehicle Code Section (VC §) 9250.14, authorizing a fee of one dollar (\$1.00) to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within the County except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2012, the Governor signed Assembly Bill 1404 amending VC § 9250.14 authorizing an increase of the one dollar (\$1.00) fee to two dollars (\$2.00) for the counties of Los Angeles, San Diego and San Bernardino, with a sunset clause of January 1, 2018 that was subsequently amended by Assembly Bill 767, approved by the Governor on September 6, 2013, authorizing the fee increase to apply to all participating counties and eliminating the sunset clause; and

WHEREAS, a resolution to increase the service fees shall be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase; and

WHEREAS, funds allocated to a county under VC § 9250.14 shall be expended to fund programs which enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crimes and shall not be used to offset a reduction in any other funding source, nor for any other purpose not authorized under this section; and

WHEREAS, current revenues generated by this funding source are insufficient to effectively combat vehicle theft crime given there are over 12,000 vehicle thefts reported annually with an estimated loss to the County's residents of over \$64 million; and

**WHEREAS**, the County of Alameda desires to receive the additional funding authorized under VC § 9250.14 to be expended for the purposes set forth within said section;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California, does hereby find and determine that a fee of two dollars (\$2.00) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within the County except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that any funds allocated to the County of Alameda under VC § 9250.14 shall be expended exclusively to fund programs which enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crimes and will not be used to offset a reduction in any other funding source, nor for any other purpose not authorized by this section.

THE FOREGOING WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED by a majority of the Alameda County Board of Supervisors this <u>9</u> day of day of to wit:

AYES: SUPERVISORS: Carson, Chan, Miley, Valle & President Hagggerty

NOES: SUPERVISORS: None

EXCUSED: SUPERVISORS: None

Scott Haggerty, President Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors APPROVED AS TO FORM: Donna Ziegler, County Counsel

By: KBaily

By:

Deputy Co.



JIM MCDONNELL, SHERIFF

County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Headquarters 4700 Ramona Boulevard Monterey Park, California 91754–2169



A Tradition of Service

May 05, 2015

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

#### RESOLUTION TO INCREASE VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEES TO FUND LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S TASKFORCE FOR REGIONAL AUTOTHEFT PREVENTION (ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)

#### **SUBJECT**

The Los Angeles County (County) Sheriff's Department (Department) requests that the Board adopt the attached Resolution authorizing an increase in vehicle registration fees pursuant to Assembly Bill 1404, to allow for an increase in funding for the County's Taskforce For Regional Autotheft Prevention (TRAP).

#### IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

Adopt the attached Resolution authorizing an increase in the vehicle registration fees paid at the time of registration or renewal of a vehicle registered to an address within the County from one dollar to two dollars, and from two dollars to four dollars on commercial vehicles, as authorized by Assembly Bill 1404, which amended California Vehicle Code (C.V.C.) Section 9250.14.

#### PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

On July 28, 1992, the Board adopted a resolution to impose a one dollar vehicle registration fee on vehicles, as authorized by Senate Bill 2139, codified in C.V.C. Section 9250.14. On September 29, 2000, the Legislature authorized the collection of an additional two dollar registration fee on commercial vehicles, effective January 1, 2001. These funds are used for the deterrence, investigation, and prosecution of vehicle theft in the County. Assembly Bill 1404 amended C.V.C. Section 9250.14, effective January 1, 2013, to authorize the Board, by Resolution, to increase the vehicle registration fees from one dollar to two dollars on vehicles, and from two dollars to four dollars on commercial vehicles.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors 5/5/2015 Page 2

The purpose of the increased funding is to raise the level of effectiveness TRAP has against the crime of auto theft. TRAP has been the County's vehicle theft program since 1993. TRAP was established under the direction of the Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee (CCJCC) with the Sheriff designated as the regional coordinator. In 1993, TRAP consisted of more than 50 investigators from law enforcement agencies throughout the County. TRAP's budget, derived from the vehicle registration fees, funds Salaries and Employee Benefits, and other costs associated with TRAP.

During the last 21 years, TRAP has been reduced in size to stay within its operating budget. The number of registered vehicles in the County has risen slightly over time, and the rising personnel costs caused by simple inflation have far outpaced the growth of TRAP's budget. With the increased funding, TRAP will be able to assign more investigators and prosecutors to the taskforce, thereby having greater effectiveness in combating vehicle theft.

As of November 2014, six counties in California have approved similar resolutions and are now receiving increased vehicle registration fees. The counties include Sacramento, Marin, Santa Cruz, Sonoma, San Mateo, and Solano.

#### **Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals**

This request conforms to the County's Strategic Plan Goal 1, Operational Effectiveness/Fiscal Sustainability; and Goal 3, Integrated Services Delivery, by maximizing opportunities to measurably improve client and community outcomes, and leverage resources through the continuous integration of health, community, and public safety services.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING**

There will be no fiscal impact to the County's budget. The additional revenue generated from the vehicle registration fees will increase the effectiveness of TRAP. If approved, the additional revenues for TRAP will be approximately \$7.4 million annually and will be used to fund Salaries and Employee Benefits, and other costs associated with TRAP.

#### FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The Legislature found this legislation necessary due to the operating revenue deficits that counties are facing in their vehicle theft programs.

Vehicle registration fees are collected by the California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) and paid quarterly to the State Controller for disbursement to the County. Vehicle registration fees collected and allocated to the County shall be expended exclusively to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. The revenue collected shall not be expended to offset a reduction in any other source of funds, nor for any purpose not authorized under C.V.C. Section 9250.14.

The attached Resolution authorizes the increase in the vehicle registration fees and requests the DMV commence collection of the increased vehicle registration fees as soon as reasonably practicable. Pursuant to C.V.C. Section 9250.14, the Resolution shall be submitted to the DMV at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

The attached Resolution has been approved as to form by County Counsel.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors 5/5/2015 Page 3

Proposition 26

Proposition 26 defines "tax" as a "levy, charge, or exaction of any kinds" paid by taxpayers subject to certain conditions. Local governments must show that a charge is reasonable and falls under a permissible exception. Such exceptions include, but are not limited to, charges imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for performing investigations and the related administrative enforcement thereof. The vehicle registration fees allocated to the TRAP program qualifies as a regulatory fee for the deterrence, investigation, and prosecution of vehicle theft crimes. The increased fees do not exceed the reasonable costs to the County for TRAP.

#### **IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)**

Approval of the recommended action will allow for TRAP to increase its effectiveness in deterring, investigating, and prosecuting vehicle theft. No other County departments are impacted by this request.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Upon Board approval, please return a certified copy of the adopted Board letter and two original signed Resolutions to the Department's Administrative and Training Division.

Sincerely,

JIM McDONNELL Sheriff

JM:RJS:jde

Enclosures

#### RESOLUTION OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO INCREASE VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEES TO FUND VEHICLE THEFT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, on July 28, 1992, the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution imposing a one dollar (\$1) vehicle registration fee, as authorized by Senate Bill 2139, codified at California Vehicle Code section 9250.14, to fund vehicle theft programs in the County of Los Angeles (County); and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff of Los Angeles County is the regional coordinator for the deterrence, investigation, and prosecution of vehicle theft in the County, and the Taskforce for Regional Autotheft Prevention (TRAP) has been the County vehicle theft program since 1992; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2000, the Legislature enacted the Commercial Vehicle Registration Act of 2001, which amended California Vehicle Code section 9250.14, effective January 1, 2001, to impose the collection of an additional two dollar (\$2) vehicle registration fee on commercial vehicles subject to California Vehicle Code section 9400.1; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 1404, chaptered September 29, 2012, amended California Vehicle Code section 9250.14, effective January 1, 2013, authorizing the Board of Supervisors to increase the vehicle registration fees, which are payable at the time of registration or renewal of registration of a vehicle registered to an address within the County, due to the operating revenue deficits facing the County vehicle theft program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors authorizes the increase in vehicle registration fees from one dollar (\$1) to two dollars (\$2) on vehicles and from two dollars (\$2) to four dollars (\$4) on commercial vehicles, as authorized by California Vehicle Code section 9250.14.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors formally requests that the California Department of Motor Vehicles implement and commence collection of the increased vehicle registration fees authorized hereunder, as soon as reasonably practicable, in accordance with California Vehicle Code section 9250.14.

#### RESOLUTION OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO INCREASE VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEES TO FUND VEHICLE THEFT PROGRAM

The foregoing Resolution was on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2015, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of Los Angeles County and ex efficio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts, agencies, and authorities for which said Board so acts.

PATRICK OGAWA Acting Executive Officer-Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM: MARK J. SALADINO County Counsel

B١ Principal Deputy County Counsel

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2016-127**

#### RESOLUTION OF THE NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR INCREASING THE VEHICLE REGISTRATION ASSESSMENT FOR ENHANCED EFFORTS TO PROSECUTE CRIMES INVOLVING VEHICLE THEFT, DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND/OR DRUGS, OR VEHICULAR MANSLAUGHTER.

WHEREAS, Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code previously authorized Napa County to collect one dollar (\$1.00) for every motor vehicle, and two dollars (\$2.00) for every commercial vehicle, registered to an address within Napa County and for registration renewals, except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees, upon adoption of a resolution by the Board of Supervisors;

WHEREAS, on May 1, 2001, the Napa County Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution Number 01-57, which authorized the collection of fees authorized by California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14;

WHEREAS, in 2013, AB 767 revised Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code to authorize counties that previously adopted a resolution imposing said fees to, upon adoption of another resolution by its board of supervisors, increase the previous one dollar (\$1.00) motor vehicle fee to two dollars (\$2.00), and increase the two dollar (\$2.00) commercial vehicle fee to four dollars (\$4.00), and that such fees would be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within that county, except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees;

WHEREAS, revenues generated from said collection are to be expended for programs which enhance the capacity of Napa County prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes, or crimes involving driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or vehicular manslaughter;

**WHEREAS**, the District Attorney's Office currently devotes a considerable amount of time to investigating and prosecuting the above-referenced crimes, which represents a cost to the County general fund;

WHEREAS, the average annual revenues from the fees set at the current amounts are significantly lower than the projected costs that the District Attorney's Office expects to incur for the investigation and prosecution of vehicular crimes;

**WHEREAS**, Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code requires counties to submit a resolution increasing the above-referenced fees to the Department of Motor Vehicles at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase;

WHEREAS, at least ten days prior to the date this resolution is to be considered, data was made available to the public indicating the amount of cost, or estimated cost, required to provide the service for which the fee or service charge is levied and the revenue sources anticipated to provide the service, including general fund revenues; and

WHEREAS, at least fourteen days prior to the date this resolution is to be considered, notice was mailed to those persons who had requested same.

WHEREAS, notice of the hearing of the proposed fee increase was published twice in the manner set forth in Section 6062a of the Government Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Napa County Board of Supervisors that pursuant to law:

- 1. Request is hereby made to the California Department of Motor Vehicles to implement the provisions of Section 9250.14 and commence collection of two dollars (\$2.00) for motor vehicles and four dollars (\$4.00) for commercial vehicles to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within Napa County, except for those vehicles expressly exempted from payment of registration fees:
- 2. The Board finds this Resolution is statutorily exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, section 15273(a)(1) and (2);
- 3. The increase of fees authorized by this Resolution shall become effective on July 1, 2017.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION WAS DULY AND REGULARLY ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of Napa County, State of California, at a regular meeting of the Board held on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2016, by the following vote:

AYES:	SUPERVISORS	CALDWELL, WAGENKNECHT, DILLON, LUCE and PEDROZA
NOES:	SUPERVISORS	NONE
ABSENT:	SUPERVISORS	NONE

NAPA COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of California

By: ALFREDO PEDROZA, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors				
APPROVED AS TO FORM	APPROVED BY THE NAPA COUNTY	ATTEST: GLADYS I. COIL		
Office of County Counsel	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors		
By: <u>Tivonna Stern</u> Deputy	Date: September 13, 2016 Processed By:	Ву:		
Date: September 2, 2016	Deputy Clerk of the Board			



3.20 (ID # 8036)

**MEETING DATE:** Tuesday, October 30, 2018

FROM : SHERIFF-CORONER-PA:

SUBJECT: SHERIFF-CORONER-PA: Adopt Resolution No. 2018-201, as Required by the State, to Implement an Increase in the Current Registration Assessment on Motor Vehicles Registered in Riverside County; All Districts; No County Cost [\$0].

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2018-201 implementing an increase in vehicle registration fees from one dollar (\$1.00) to two dollars (\$2.00) for all motor vehicles registered in Riverside County, with the funds allocated to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crime as authorized by California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14.

ACTION: Policy

Ginika Ezinwa 10/23/2018 Will Tay Administration or, Director of

#### MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Y	ear:	Next Fiscal Y	'ear:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost	
COST	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	
NET COUNTY COST	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	
SOURCE OF FUNDS:				Budget Adj	ustment: No		
			For Fiscal	<b>'ear:</b> FY18-19			

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

#### BR# 19-027

#### BACKGROUND:

#### <u>Summary</u>

On May 14, 1991, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution 91-265 to collect a one-dollar fee added to all vehicle registrations in the County and a two-dollar fee added to all commercial vehicles weighing 10,001 lbs. or more, pursuant to California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14. Per Section 9250.14, the fees collected were earmarked for programs designed to enhance the deterrence, investigation and prosecution of vehicle theft crimes. Based on the recommendation of the Riverside County Law Enforcement Administrators Association (RCLEAA), these funds were directed in equal amounts to the Sheriff's Department and the District Attorney. The Sheriff's Department created Trust Fund 5097 (now sub-fund 321147) to account for its share. In August 9 1993, the Sheriff's Department received Board approval (3.58) to use the trust funds to create the Riverside Auto-Theft Interdiction Detail (RAID), a multi-jurisdictional vehicle theft task force. Per its most recent Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), RAID interfaces with the California Highway Patrol (CHP), the Department of Insurance, the National Insurance Crime Bureau, and all agencies in Riverside County for the sharing of information related to vehicle theft.

The RAID MOU specifies that the Sheriff, or his designee, shall serve as Director and Chairperson of the Task Force Executive Committee. A full-time CHP Lieutenant is specified as the Task Force Coordinator. The Sheriff's Department contributes a Sergeant, who serves as the Task Force Supervisor. The Task Force has Investigators from the following agencies: Riverside County Sheriff's Department, California Highway Patrol, Department of Insurance, National Insurance Crime Bureau, District Attorney's Office, Murrieta Police Department, Riverside Police Department and Cathedral City Police Department. Currently, the Sheriff's Department and the Murrieta, Riverside and Cathedral City Police Departments sworn personnel costs of salaries and benefits are reimbursed from the sub-fund at 70%.

In February of 2009, Assembly Bill 286 was approved by the Legislature, which amended California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14 to ensure continued funding for RAID until January 1, 2018. On September 6, 2013, Governor Brown approved Assembly Bill 767, which amended Vehicle Code Section 9250.14. The amended Vehicle Code Section authorizes every county,

upon adoption of a resolution by its County Board of Supervisors, to increase its motor vehicle registration fee from one dollar to two dollars. Additionally, it authorizes every county to increase its commercial motor vehicle registration fee from two dollars to four dollars. The passage of AB 767 removed the January 1, 2018, date of repeal, thereby, making these provisions operative indefinitely. The operative date of the fee changes must be at least six months after the date of submission of the resolution to the Department of Motor Vehicles.

The source of revenue and the distribution of funds to both RAID and the District Attorney's Office has not changed over the 25-year history of the Task Force. The number of registered vehicles in the County has risen slightly over time, however, rising personnel costs caused by salary and benefit increases have far outpaced the growth of RAID's budget. The current revenue received through vehicle registration fees is not adequate to meet the workload generated by the criminal activity of vehicle theft suspects. Additionally, due to budgetary constraints affecting municipalities throughout the County, participation in RAID by allied law enforcement agencies has slightly decreased in recent years. In an effort to stay within its operational budget, RAID has reduced overtime hours allotted to its investigators, and has decreased salary and benefits reimbursement rates to the parent agencies of RAID investigators. Further reductions, if necessary, will likely result in the loss of additional personnel currently assigned to RAID.

Recently, Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties have approved similar resolutions and are now receiving increased vehicle registration fees and have increased the size of their vehicle theft task forces. As of July 2018, a total of twelve (12) counties have approved resolutions to receive additional vehicle registration fees used to combat vehicle theft. The counties include: Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Sacramento, Marin, Santa Cruz, Sonoma, San Mateo, Solano, Kings, Alameda, Napa, and Santa Clara.

Due to the rising number of vehicle thefts occurring throughout Riverside County, numerous city councils, Chiefs of Police, and Law Enforcement Executive Associations have provided written support in favor of the additional one-dollar fee as outlined in AB 767 for the RAID Task Force. Additionally, several regional auto insurance companies have provided written support for an expansion of the RAID Task Force.

The additional revenue generated from vehicle registration fees will increase the effectiveness of RAID and would allow for an expansion of Task Force personnel.

One additional sergeant position and four additional investigator positions would be added to the Task Force. Each added position, along with current positions from the Sheriff's Department, and Murrieta, Riverside and Cathedral City Police Departments, would be reimbursed from the sub-fund at 80%. The purchase of additional equipment would also be necessary to support the additional positons.

Additional personnel assigned to the RAID Task Force would foreseeably result in an increase in apprehension rates of vehicle theft suspects, an increase in successful recoveries of stolen vehicles, and conceivably a reduction to insurance premiums currently paid by County residents. Task Force members would also provide training to allied law enforcement agencies and much needed vehicle theft awareness and preventative measure education to the residents of Riverside County, in an effort to further reduce the incidence of vehicle theft.

Previously, neither the Sheriff nor the District Attorney has requested that the Board resolve to impose an increase in vehicle registration fees as outlined in AB 767. However, pursuant to AB 767, both the Sheriff and the District Attorney recommends the imposition of the one-dollar increase of registration fees to address the rising rate of vehicle theft throughout Riverside County.

#### Impact on Residents and Businesses

Since 1993, the RAID Task Force has provided an essential crime fighting service to the county residents and businesses. As stated in the RAID MOU: "Vehicle theft continues to be widespread throughout the county and requires our continuing efforts to curtail this criminal activity. It is recognized that the continued existence of the RAID Task Force is a valuable resource to county law enforcement agencies in their collective efforts to deter vehicle theft activity, increase the apprehension and identification of the professional vehicle thief, increase the recovery of stolen vehicles, and educate the citizens of Riverside County in vehicle theft prevention."

If approved, the additional revenue generated will be approximately \$2 million, with funds being divided equally between the Sheriff's Department and the District Attorney's Office used to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. There will be no fiscal impact to the County's budget. At the time of vehicle registration, vehicles registered in Riverside County will be assessed an additional \$1.00 fee.

#### Additional Information

#### **Current Vehicle Theft Trends**

In 2017, 175,351 vehicles were stolen statewide. The estimated total value of the vehicles is approximately \$1.3 billion. In 2017, Riverside County experienced 10,414 vehicle thefts. One vehicle is stolen every 50 minutes in Riverside County.

Riverside County Vehicle Thefts	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Total # of Vehicles Stolen	10,070	9,521	11,265	11,920	10,414

# of Vehicles Stolen					
Daily	27.59	26.08	30.86	32.65	28.53
# of Vehicles Stolen					
Hourly	1.15	1.09	1.3	1.36	1.18
One Vehicle Stolen					
Every	52 minutes	55minutes	47	44 minutes	50
			minutes		minutes

In 2016, the metropolitan statistical area of Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario ranked #5 nationally in the number of vehicle thefts per 100,000 residents, up from the #9 position in 2015.

201	6 National Ranking	Vehicle Thefts per 100,000 residents	
1.	Albuquerque, N.M.	(10,011)	1,114
2.	Pueblo, Colo.	(1,325)	899.43
3.	Bakersfield, Calif.	(7,176)	854.66
4.	Modesto, Calif.	(3,820)	767.69
5.	Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, Calif.	(25,708)	679.05
6.	Anchorage, Alaska	(2,273)	669.38
7.	Merced, Calif.	(1,605)	660.65
8.	San Francisco-Oakland-Hayward, Calif.	(29,414)	640.26
9.	Fresno, Calif.	(5,682)	631.79
10.	Billings, Mont.	(877)	625.38

Source: National Crime Information Center (NCIC).

#### Success of the RAID Task Force

The RAID Task Force maintains an outstanding reputation for excellent service and for the quality of its investigative work. Since its inception in 1993, the RAID Task Force has been the recipient of numerous vehicle theft awards. Most recently in October 2017, the RAID Task Force was awarded the prestigious "Gary Gray Award" for *Excellence In Vehicle Theft Investigations*." The RAID Task Force continues its availability as a resource to all communities within Riverside County, by providing the highest level of service to local law enforcement agencies, in an effort to further reduce the incidence of vehicle theft countywide.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Resolution No. 2018-201
- 31 Letters in Support

Principel Management Analyst

. Prianos, Director County Counsel 10/24/2018 Gregory )

10/16/2018



COUNTY OF SAN MATEO Inter-Departmental Correspondence Sheriff's Office



Date: July 2, 2014 Board Meeting Date: September 9, 2014 Special Notice / Hearing: None Vote Required: Majority

To: Honorable Board of Supervisors

From: Greg Munks, Sheriff

Subject: Vehicle Registration Fee Increase

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Adopt a Resolution authorizing an increase in the vehicle registration fee by one dollar (\$1) for each vehicle registered within the County of San Mateo for the exclusive purpose of enhancing local law enforcement's capacity to deter and investigate vehicle theft crimes.

#### BACKGROUND:

On February 15, 1994, the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted Resolution 58024 authorizing the Department of Motor Vehicles for the State of California to impose a one dollar vehicle registration fee on all vehicles registered in San Mateo County under the provisions of SB 2139 (Vehicle Code Section 9250.14). The vehicle registration fee of one dollar, per vehicle registered in San Mateo County, has been used to fund the San Mateo County Vehicle Theft Task Force (VTTF) for the past twenty years.

The level of funding provided by the current DMV surcharge fee has failed to match the level of inflation and the increasing rate of operational costs for the VTTF. When the 1994 Resolution was adopted, the amount of revenue generated from the one dollar fee was able to fully fund the various staff costs associated with the auto theft initiatives. However, the revenue generated by the vehicle registration fee has remained largely flat for the past 20 years, while personnel costs have increased as has the demand for the regulatory activities undertaken by the VTTF.

In addition to the cost of providing staff to the County's Vehicle Theft Task Force, the Sheriff's Office also incurs the expense of supplying necessary services and supplies to that Unit, such as undercover vehicles, bait cars, and miscellaneous office equipment. For FY 2012-13, the revenue from the DMV surcharge was \$693,063 and for FY 2013-14, the revenue was \$703,791.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Assembly Bill 767, which was enacted in September 2013, authorizes each California county, upon adoption of a resolution by its elected Board of Supervisors, to increase the amount collected in support of its auto theft prevention and investigation efforts by one dollar, to a new total of two dollars per vehicle registered within that county.

Adoption of this Resolution will effectively double the amount of money being allocated to San Mateo County and allow the San Mateo County Vehicle Theft Task Force to continue with this valuable program, both now, and into the foreseeable future.

For fiscal years 2012/2013 and 2013/2014, the revenue from the DMV \$1 surcharge was \$677,918. Upon approval from the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors to increase the vehicle registration fee to \$2.00, the estimate revenue would increase to \$1,433,360 (approximate and based on the number of vehicles registered in San Mateo County).

Vehicle Code Section 9250.14 mandates that all revenue raised "be expended exclusively to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes." The Sheriff's Office has complied with this mandate and will continue to comply with the mandate if the fee is increased, by expending the \$1,433,360 on regulatory/investigatory activities related to vehicle theft prevention. The Sheriff's Office anticipates that the VTTF budget for the Fiscal Year 2015-16 budget will be approximately \$1,400,000.

County Counsel has reviewed and approved the Resolution as to form.

Approval of this Resolution contributes to the Shared Vision 2025 outcome of a Collaborative Community by giving to the County, the quality of life necessary for prosperity and the ability to work in partnerships, to take a greater responsibility for the future quality of life.

#### Performance Measures:

Measure	FY 2013-14 Actual	FY 2014-15 Projected
Number of recovered stolen vehicles	144	200
Value of vehicles recovered	\$1,539,009	\$2 million

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

The increase in the vehicle registration fee from one dollar to two dollars will support Countywide vehicle theft prevention and investigations. There is no Net County Cost.

County of Sonoma Agenda Item Summary Report	Agenda Item Number: 8 (This Section for use by Clerk of the Board Only.)			
Clerk of the Board				
575 Administration Drive				
Santa Rosa, CA 95403				
To: County of Sonoma Board of Supervisors				
Board Agenda Date: January 7, 2014	Vote Requirement: Majority			
Department or Agency Name(s): District Attorney	's Office			
Staff Name and Phone Number:	Supervisorial District(s):			
Cindy Williams, x2818	1, 2, 3, 4, and 5			
Title:Sonoma County Auto Theft Task Force Ve Commercial Vehicle License Fee	hicle License Registration Fee Increase and New			
Recommended Actions:				
Resolution approving the State of California Depart from \$1 to \$2 and approving a \$4 fee on all comme Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code to re prevention and prosecution services.	_			
Executive Summary:				
On June 23, 1992, the Board of Supervisors approved the collection of a \$1.00 fee from the State of California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) per Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code. This \$1.00 fee has provided funding since July 1998 for the Sonoma County Auto Theft Task Force (Auto Theft Task Force) to address vehicle theft-related crimes in Sonoma County through a collaborative effort between the District Attorney's office, the California Highway Patrol, the Santa Rosa Police Department, and the Petaluma Police Department. Since 2010, Sonoma County has experienced an increasing trend of vehicle theft. Statistics from the CHP Vehicle Theft information show the following rates:				
<u>Year Number Change</u>				
2010 615 -				
2011 632 2.76%				
2012 669 5.85%				
As you can see from the table below, the current FY 13/14 allocated budget for the Auto Theft Task Force Program does not currently cover the total actual program costs. The vehicle registration license fee cost increase is necessary to come closer to recovering the actual program costs:				

	FY 13	3/14 Allocated Budget	Act	cual Program Costs	<mark>(Deficit)</mark> / Surplus
Staff:					
1.0 DDA	\$	100,000	\$	197,555	(\$97,555)
1.0 Support Staff	\$	95,177	\$	95,177	\$0
1.0 SRPD	\$	50,000	\$	176,887	(\$126,887)
0.50 SRPD	\$	37,500	\$	94,719	(\$57,219)
1.0 Petaluma PD	\$	50,000	\$	145,050	(\$95,050)
3.0 CHP Staff (1 SGT 2					
Detectives)	\$	-	\$	496,506	(\$496,506)
Staff Total:	\$	332,677	\$	1,205,894	(\$873,217)
Total Operation Costs	\$	111,926	\$	111,926	\$0
Total Program Costs	\$	444,603	\$	1,317,820	(\$873,217)

The Auto Theft Task Force is governed by a Task Force Council, consisting of the District Attorney, the Sheriff, the Santa Rosa Police Chief, the Petaluma Police Chief, and the Chief of the Golden Gate Division of the California Highway Patrol. The CHP is the lead law enforcement agency, with the District Attorney's Office assuming the responsibility for coordinating and managing the Task Force budget and purchases.

The adopted budget for the Auto Theft Task Force project is \$445,000 for FY 2013-2014. The FY 2013-2014 MOU provides \$100,000 towards the salary and benefits of a staff Deputy District Attorney (approximately 50% of an FTE) and \$95,000 towards the salary and benefits of a staff Secretary (approximately 100% of an FTE), both allocated to the Office of the District Attorney. District Attorney personnel costs in excess of the MOU limits are covered by General Fund dollars. The MOU also provides \$50,000 and \$37,500 to the Santa Rosa Police Department towards the salaries and benefits of a detective and field technician, respectively, and \$50,000 to the Petaluma Police Department towards personnel costs of a detective. Operating expenses are budgeted at \$112,500 for the current fiscal year. The current budget does not include salary and benefit expenses associated with CHP staff. The current fee does not provide sufficient resources to adequately address the growing vehicle theft problem affecting Sonoma County residents.

On September 6, 2013, Governor Brown approved AB 767 which amended Section 9250.14 and states, "This bill would authorize every county to increase its motor vehicle fee from \$1 to \$2, and its commercial vehicle service fee from \$2 to \$4, upon adoption of the resolution by its board of supervisors, and submission of the resolution to the department..." The resolution must be submitted to DMV six (6) months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

The Auto Theft Task Force is requesting the Board of Supervisors approve the fee increase from \$1.00 to \$2.00 and approve a new fee for commercial vehicle registration of \$4.00 to recover the reasonable cost of providing vehicle theft prevention and prosecution services to the registered vehicle owners. The

commercial vehicle fee has not previously been collected by the County; however, due to the increased incidence of commercial vehicle theft, the District Attorney's Office is requesting the approval of the new fee allowance as authorized by the new legislation.

With the additional revenue from these fees, the task force will enhance the program and will allocate funding for additional staff resources, overtime costs, and needed equipment. A new Memorandum of Understanding will be prepared and presented to the Board in the Spring of 2014 and budget appropriations will be requested in the FY 14/15 budget.

#### **Prior Board Actions:**

- 12/07/2010: Authorized the District Attorney to execute an MOU between the DA's Office, California Highway Patrol, Petaluma Police Dept., and Santa Rosa Dept. for a term of four years through Fiscal Year 2013-2014.
- Annual items authorizing the District Attorney's Office to execute Memoranda of Understanding for Sonoma Auto Theft Task Force from 1998-2009.
- 06/23/1992 BOS Resolution No. 92-1040: In accordance with State of California Department of Motor Vehicles Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code, approving the collection of a \$1.00 fee on each paid vehicle registration in Sonoma County.

#### **Strategic Plan Alignment** Goal 1: Safe, Healthy, and Caring Community

Funds from the vehicle registration fee and commercial vehicle registration fee will be utilized to support the Sonoma County Auto Theft Task Force to reduce the incidence of vehicle theft-related crimes in Sonoma County.

Fiscal Summary - FY 13-14						
Expenditures			Funding	Funding Source(s)		
Budgeted Amount	\$	0	County General Fund	\$	0	
Add Appropriations Reqd.	\$	0	State/Federal	\$	0	
	\$	0	Fees/Other	\$	0	
	\$	0	Use of Fund Balance	\$	0	
	\$	0	Contingencies	\$	0	
	\$	0		\$	0	
Total Expenditure	\$	0	Total Sources	\$	0	
Narrative Explanation of Fiscal Impacts (If Required):						

#### Fiscal Summary - FY 13-14

#### Narrative Explanation of Fiscal Impacts (If Required):

The fee increase will not go into effect until 7/7/2014. The California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) requires a Board Resolution be submitted six months prior to the fee increase implementation. The most recent DMV report estimates that there were 311,845 automobiles and 49,642 trucks registered in Sonoma County in calendar year 2012. Additionally, in 2012, approximately 8% of registered vehicles statewide were identified under Commercial Vehicle Registration Act designation. Based on these statistics, DA's Office forecasts that the Auto Theft Task Force budget will be \$732,000 in FY 14/15; funds will be appropriated in the FY 14/15 budget.

	Staffing Impacts				
<b>Position Title</b> (Payroll Classification)	Monthly Salary Range (A – I Step)	Additions (Number)	Deletions (Number)		
N/A					
Narrative Explanation of Staffing Impacts	(If Required):				
Not applicable at this time; staffing impact Spring 2014.	ts will be addressed with the	e new MOU to be s	submitted in		
Attachments:					
None					
Related Items "On File" with the Clerk of	the Board:				
None					

#### Assembly Bill No. 767

#### CHAPTER 241

An act to amend Section 9250.14 of the Vehicle Code, relating to vehicles, and making an appropriation therefor.

[Approved by Governor September 6, 2013. Filed with Secretary of State September 6, 2013.]

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 767, Levine. Vehicles: additional registration fees: vehicle-theft crimes.

Existing law, effective until January 1, 2018, authorizes a county, upon the adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors, to impose a fee of \$1 on all motor vehicles, in addition to other fees imposed for the registration of a vehicle, and an additional service fee of \$2 on commercial motor vehicles of 10,001 pounds or more, as specified. These funds are continuously appropriated to local programs relating to vehicle theft crimes. Existing law authorizes the County of Los Angeles, the County of San Diego, and the County of San Bernardino to increase the motor vehicle fee from \$1 to \$2, and provides that the service fee on commercial motor vehicles shall increase from \$2 to \$4, upon adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors, and requires the resolution to be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles at least 6 months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

This bill would authorize every county to increase its motor vehicle fee from \$1 to \$2, and its commercial vehicle service fee from \$2 to \$4, upon adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors, and submission of the resolution to the department, as described above. The bill would also authorize a county to adopt a fee of \$2 on all major vehicles if that county has not adopted a resolution to impose a \$1 fee, and by adopting that fee, imposing a \$4 fee on all commercial vehicle services. The bill would delete the January 1, 2018, date of repeal and thereby make these provisions operative indefinitely. The bill would make other technical and conforming changes.

This bill would add a new source of revenue to be deposited into a continuously appropriated fund, thereby making an appropriation.

Appropriation: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 9250.14 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

Corrected 9-11-13

9250.14. (a) (1) In addition to any other fees specified in this code and the Revenue and Taxation Code, upon the adoption of a resolution by any county board of supervisors, a fee of one dollar (\$1) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle, except vehicles described in subdivision (a) of Section 5014.1, registered to an address within that county except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees. The fees, after deduction of the administrative costs incurred by the department in carrying out this section, shall be paid quarterly to the Controller.

(2) (A) If a county has adopted a resolution to impose a one-dollar (\$1) fee pursuant to paragraph (1), the county may increase the fee specified in paragraph (1) to two dollars (\$2) in the same manner as the imposition of the initial fee pursuant to paragraph (1). The two dollars (\$2) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of a vehicle, and quarterly to the Controller, as provided in paragraph (1).

(B) If a county has not adopted a resolution to impose a one-dollar (\$1) fee pursuant to paragraph (1), the county may instead adopt a fee of two dollars (\$2) in the manner prescribed in paragraph (1).

(C) A resolution to impose a fee of two dollars (\$2) pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) shall be submitted to the department at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

(3) In addition to the service fee imposed pursuant to paragraph (1), and upon the implementation of the permanent trailer identification plate program, and as part of the Commercial Vehicle Registration Act of 2001 (Chapter 861 of the Statutes of 2000), all commercial motor vehicles subject to Section 9400.1 registered to an owner with an address in the county that established a service authority under this section, shall pay an additional service fee of two dollars (\$2).

(4) (A) If a county imposes a service fee of two dollars (\$2) by adopting a resolution pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (2), the fee specified in paragraph (3) shall be increased to four dollars (\$4). The four dollars (\$4) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of a vehicle, and quarterly to the Controller as provided in paragraph (1).

(B) A resolution to increase the additional service fee from two dollars (\$2) to four dollars (\$4) pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (2) shall be submitted to the department at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

(b) Notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, the moneys paid to the Controller are continuously appropriated, without regard to fiscal years, for the administrative costs of the Controller, and for disbursement by the Controller to each county that has adopted a resolution pursuant to subdivision (a), based upon the number of vehicles registered, or whose registration is renewed, to an address within that county.

(c) Except as otherwise provided in this subdivision, moneys allocated to a county pursuant to subdivision (b) shall be expended exclusively to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. In any county with a

population of 250,000 or less, the moneys shall be expended exclusively for those vehicle theft crime programs and for the prosecution of crimes involving driving while under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or both, in violation of Section 23152 or 23153, or vehicular manslaughter in violation of Section 191.5 of the Penal Code or subdivision (c) of Section 192 of the Penal Code, or any combination of those crimes.

(d) The moneys collected pursuant to this section shall not be expended to offset a reduction in any other source of funds, nor for any purpose not authorized under this section.

(e) Any funds received by a county prior to January 1, 2000, pursuant to this section, that are not expended to deter, investigate, or prosecute crimes pursuant to subdivision (c) shall be returned to the Controller, for deposit in the Motor Vehicle Account in the State Transportation Fund. Those funds received by a county shall be expended in accordance with this section.

(f) Each county that adopts a resolution under subdivision (a) shall submit, on or before the 13th day following the end of each quarter, a quarterly expenditure and activity report to the designated statewide Vehicle Theft Investigation and Apprehension Coordinator in the Department of the California Highway Patrol.

(g) A county that imposes a fee under subdivision (a) shall issue a fiscal yearend report to the Controller on or before August 31 of each year. The report shall include a detailed accounting of the funds received and expended in the immediately preceding fiscal year, including, at a minimum, all of the following:

(1) The amount of funds received and expended by the county under subdivision (b) for the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(2) The total expenditures by the county under subdivision (c) for the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(3) Details of expenditures made by the county under subdivision (c), including salaries and expenses, purchase of equipment and supplies, and any other expenditures made listed by type with an explanatory comment.

(4) A summary of vehicle theft abatement activities and other vehicle theft programs funded by the fees collected pursuant to this section.

(5) The total number of stolen vehicles recovered and the value of those vehicles during the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(6) The total number of vehicles stolen during the immediately preceding fiscal year as compared to the fiscal year prior to the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(7) Any additional, unexpended fee revenues received under subdivision (b) for the county for the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(h) Each county that fails to submit the report required pursuant to subdivision (g) by November 30 of each year shall have the fee suspended by the Controller for one year, commencing on July 1 following the Controller's determination that a county has failed to submit the report.

(i) (1) On or before January 1, 2013, and on or before January 1 of each year, the Controller shall provide to the Department of the California

Highway Patrol copies of the yearend reports submitted by the counties under subdivision (g) and, in consultation with the Department of the California Highway Patrol, shall review the fiscal yearend reports submitted by each county pursuant to subdivision (g) to determine if fee revenues are being utilized in a manner consistent with this section. If the Controller determines that the use of the fee revenues is not consistent with this section, the Controller shall consult with the participating counties' designated regional coordinators. If the Controller determines that use of the fee revenues is still not consistent with this section, the authority to collect the fee by that county shall be suspended for one year.

(2) If the Controller determines that a county has not submitted a fiscal yearend report as required in subdivision (g), the authorization to collect the service fee shall be suspended for one year pursuant to subdivision (h).

(3) When the Controller determines that a fee shall be suspended for a county, the Controller shall inform the Department of Motor Vehicles on or before January 1 of each year that the authority to collect a fee for that county is suspended.

(j) On or before January 1 of each year, the Controller shall prepare and submit to the Legislature a revenue and expenditure summary for each participating county that includes all of the following:

(1) The total revenues received by each county.

(2) The total expenditures by each county.

(3) The unexpended revenues for each county.

(k) For the purposes of this section, a county-designated regional coordinator is that agency designated by the participating county's board of supervisors as the agency in control of its countywide vehicle theft apprehension program.

CORRECTIONS: Date—Page 1.

0

**BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely:

Members of the public attending this hearing in-person may be required to wear masks and adhere to certain procedures, please visit <u>https://sfbos.org/in\_person\_meeting\_guidelines</u> for the current guidelines.

Date: June 17, 2022

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

> REMOTE ACCESS Watch: <u>www.sfgovtv.org</u> Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 *(depending on your provider)* once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call

Subject: File No. 220680. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law.

If this legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-185, will impose a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration for every vehicle registered to an address within the City, except those expressly exempted under State law.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7712)

**Please Note:** The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email.

Alisatomer

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

bjj:ams

#### CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

#### DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839 Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

**BRENT JALIPA** CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES) 1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

EXM# 3593346

EXIM# 3593346 NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUGGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen. Public Comment Call-In: https://sfbos.org/remotehttps://sfbos.org/remote

https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervi-sors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Commit tee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220680. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law. If this legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-185, will impose a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration for every vehicle registered to an address within the City, except those expressly exempted under State law. In accordance with Adminis-trative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this In accollarice with Adminis-trative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing obgins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via contained the conscience of the constru-tion of the Board of the constru-tion of the Board of Supervision of the Board of Supervisors. Written Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via contained the construction of the construction Francisco, CA, 94102 of sent via email (board.of.supervisors@stgov org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please via email

contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appro-priations Committee: Brent Jalipa

Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org -(415) 554-7712) Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco Fráncisco

EXM-3593346#

#### COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: **GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE** 

Ad Description BJJ - Fee Ad - File No. 220680

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

06/05/2022,06/10/2022

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication Total

\$675.00 \$675.00



## San Francisco Examiner PUBLIC NOTICES SAN MATEO COUNTY: 650-556-1556 • E-mail: smlegals@sfmediaco.com

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER • DALY CITY INDEPENDENT • SAN MATEO WEEKLY • REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE • ENQUIRER - BULLETIN • FOSTER CITY PROCRESS • MILLBRAE - SAN BRUNO SUN • BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER • EXAMINER - SO. SAN FRANCISCO • EXAMINER - SAN BRUNO

#### **GOVERNMENT**

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE THURSDAY, JUNE 16, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovty.org

ACCESS Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen. Public Comment Call-In: https://sthos.org/remotehttps://sfbos.org/remote

meeting-call NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely:

remotely: NOTICE NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220687 Ordinance amending the Park Code to impose a surcharge in addition to the license fees for berthing at the Marina West Harbor, to help fund the cost of dredging activities at the hearing to consider the of dredging activities at the West Harbor; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. If this legislation passes, amendments to the Park Code, Section 12.11, will Park Code, Section 12.11, will impose an additional monthly surcharge on licensees at the Marina West Small Craft Harbor to cover the estimated cost of dredging the entry channel to the West Harbor not to exceed the following: 25-foot berth at \$2.70 per foot/ per month; 30 and 35-foot berths at \$2.74 per foot/per month; 40 and 45-foot berths at \$3.32 per foot/per month; at \$3.32 per foot/per month; 50 and 60-foot berths at \$3.39 50 and 60-foot berths at \$3.39 per foot/per month; and 70, 80, and 90-foot berths at \$3.47 per foot/per month. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov. org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors" Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7712) Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of

Ambulance Service Providers will increase from \$18,596 to \$19,523 for Receiving Hospitals; STEMI Centers will increase from \$22,728 to \$23,861; and establishes a new fee of \$23,861 for Stroke Centers. In accordance with registered to an address within the City, except those expressly exempted under State law. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board of supervisors@stgov. org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://s/tbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@stgov.org) -(415) 554-7712) Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco. Centers: In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Pr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov. org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7712) Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco EAM-3593348 NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, June 8, 2022 -10:30 AM This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely.

EXM-3593361 NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE WEDNESDAY, JUNE 15, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www. sfgovtv.org

ACCESS watch: www. sfgovtv.org Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will Public Comment Call-In: https://sfbos.org/remote-

neeting-call NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following to proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220681. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the fees imposed by the Department of Administrative Services of Administrative Services for reproduction and notary services provided to the public. If the legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code, Section 8.44, will increase fees that the Department of Administrative Services charges for document reproduction and to the public at the City's Permit Center. The proposed Ordinance also differentiates between fees charged for standard size document services and large format document services; change the unit used to calculate fees the unit used to calculate tees for scanning services from "sheet" to "per sq. ft."; and add a provision allowing for future automatic adjustments to the fees based on changes to the Consumer Price Index. to the Consumer Price Index. Temporary parking restriction ("no parking") signs required under the Transportation Code increases from \$4.00 to \$5.00 per sign; black and white printing of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 1117) increases 8.5x14, 11x17) increases from \$0.50 to \$0.77 per sq.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME Case No. CNC-22-557133 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO Petition of: MARGARET CARLIN DIFFLEY for Change of Name

Petition of: MARGARET CARLIN DIFFLEY for Change of Name TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: MARGARET CARLIN BELLINGER TO MARGARET CARLIN DIFFLEY MARGARET CARLIN MAHONEY to MARGARET CARLIN DIFFLEY The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing. Notice of Hearing: grant hear

hearing. Notice of Hearing: Date: JUNE 30, 2022, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: N/A, Room: 102N

9:00 A.M., Dept.: N/A, Hoom: 103N The address of the court is 400 MCALLISTER STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER Date: MAY 16, 2022 SAMUEL K FENG Judge of the Superior Court 5/22, 5/29, 6/5, 6/12/22 CNS-368258# SAN FRANCISCO

and accessible remotely Public comment will be taken

in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2481 542 4313 # #. Visit www.

sfgovtv.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78,

on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit www.stbos. org/remote-meeting-call for more information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https://

center-Irc or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-3593216#

EXM-3593215#

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 JUNE 7, 2022 - 2:00 PM This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely

and accessible remotely. Public comment will be taken

in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID 2485 196 2818# #. Visit www.

sfgovtv.org to stream video

e-mee

EXM-3592510#

ra/remote

CHILD RELATIONSHIP The State of Washington to: Dmitry Boltenko, birthfather of Camilla Anna Herendon (DOB: 1/3/2018). You are hereby summoned to appear within thirty (30) days after the date of first publication of this Summons, and defend the above-entitled action in the above-entitled action in the above-entitled Court, and answer the Petition of the Petitioner, and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for Petitioner at the office below

child be terminated. The object of this action is to seek an

of this action is to seek an order relinquishing the child to the Petitioner for adoption and to terminate the parent-child relationship. The child Camilla Anna Herendon was born on 1/3/2018 in Tennessee. The child's biological mother is Ganna Herendon. The child's biological father is Dmitry biological father is Dmitry Boltenko.

of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, The Court hearing on this matter shall be on June 21, 2022, at 1 :30 p.m., in the or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit www.sfbos. Spokane County Superior Court, Room 202, 1116 West Broadway, Spokane, WA 99260

Mark Church, County Clerk BESZ DE LA VEGA, Deputy Clerk ORIGINAL 6/5, 6/12, 6/19, 6/26/22 NPEN-3593049# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. 2022-0397209 Fictitious Business Name(s): KICKBOX FITNESS, 1146 TAYLOR STREET APT. 1, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108, County of SAN FRANCISCO Registered Owner(s): DANTE L. JEAVON, 1146 TAYLOR STREET APT #1, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to

Ine business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 2/20/22 I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true any material matter pursuant to Section 17913 of the Business and Professions code that the registrant knows to be false is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000).) S/ DANTE L. JEAVON This statement was filed with

(\$1,000.) S/ DANTE L. JEAVON This statement was filed with the San Francisco County Clerk on MAY 24, 2022 NOTICE-In accordance with Subdivision (a) of Section 17920, a Fictitious Name Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which it was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided in studivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change in the residence address of a registered owner. A new Fictitious Business Name Statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a Fictitious Business Name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (See Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code). 5/29, 6/5, 6/12, 6/19/22 CNS-3590401# SAN FRANCISCO

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

FIGTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. 2022-0397180 Fictitious Business Name(s): TLR INSPIRATIONS, 1700 VAN NESS AVE #1239, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109, County of SAN FRANCISCO Registered Owner(s): TRANESS LAJEAN ROBINSON, 1700 VAN NESS AVE #1239, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109 The business is conducted by: AN INDIVIDUAL The registrant commenced to transact business name or names listed above on 5/17/2022 I declare that all information in this statement is true and

5/17/2022 I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true any material matter pursuant to Section 17913 of the Business and Professions code that the Professions code that the registrant knows to be false is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000.)

(\$1,000).) S/ TRANESS L ROBINSON

S/TRANESS L ROBINSON This statement was filed with the San Francisco County Clerk on MAY 20, 2022 NOTICE-In accordance with Subdivision (a) of Section 17920, a Fictitious Name Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which it was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided in Subdivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change

JJ NAILS, 53 E 4TH AVE, SAN MATEO, CA 94401, County of SAN MATEO JJ NAILS, INC., 53 E 4TH AVE., SAN MATEO, CA 94401 CALIFORNIA This business is conducted by A CORPORATION The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 05/06/2022 I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ MAI TRAN, PRESIDENT This statement was filed with the County on 05/06/2022 Mark Church, County Clerk of San Mateo County on 05/06/2022 Mark Church, County Clerk GLENN S. CHANGTIN, Deputy Clerk ORIGINAL S/15, 5/22, 5/29, 6/5/22 NPEN-3586093# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER\*10080

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. 2022-0397093 Fictitious Business Name(s): SABY TRADITIONAL THAI MASSAGE AND SPA, 3159 MISSION STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110 County of SAN FRANCISCO Registered Owner(s): CHATRI BOONNADEE, 1775 19TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94122 DAOSIRI KANCHANAPA, 1775 19TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94122 DAOSIRI KANCHANAPA, 1775 19TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94122 DAOSIRI KANCHANAPA, 1775 19TH AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94122 This business is conducted by: a Married Couple The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 5/9/2022.

I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true any material matter pursuant to Section 17913 of the Business and Professions code that the registrant knows to be false is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000,) S/ CHATRI BOONNADEE, This statement was filed declare that all information

with the County Clerk of San Francisco County on 05/09/2022

PRANCISCO NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING OF THE SAN FRANCISCO COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE SALE OF PUBLIC PARCELS IN TRANSBAY BLOCK 4 (ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 4 (ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 3739, LOT 010 AND LOT 011) NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Successor Agency Commission, as the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco, ("Successor Agency") will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, June 21, 2022 at 1:00 p.m., pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 33431, to consider the proposed sale of real property without public bidding. In previously approving an option agreement for the property, the Successor Agency had also compiled with the notice and public hearing requirements of Section 33431. The meeting will be held remotely and can be watched live on SFGOVTV: https:// sfgovtv.org/ccii. PUBLIC San Francisco County on 05/09/2022. NOTICE-In accordance with Subdivision (a) of Section 17920, a Fictitious Name Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which it was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided in Subdivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change in the residence address of a registered owner. A new Fictitious Business Name Statement must be filed before the expiration. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a Fictitious Business Name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (See Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code). 5/15, 5/22, 2/29, 6/5/22 CNS-3585966# sfgovtv.org/ccii. PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: 1-415-655-0001 ACCESS CODE: 2480 225 4262. Press #, then # again to enter the call. Press "3 to submit a request to speak. Please check the Successor Agency's website, https://sfocii.org by Monday, June 20, 2022 for updated and additional information about public participation in the hearing. The agenda for this public hearing will include the Commission's consideration of a resolution authorizing a Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA") between the Successor Agency and F4 Transbay Partners LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Developer"). 5/15, 5/22, 5/29 CNS-3585966# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER\*10080

### GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF HEARING NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning Commission of the City of South San Francisco, California, will hold a public hearing at a meeting on <u>June 16, 2022</u>, at 7:00 P.M. by virtual conference, on items including the following applications, at which time and place, any and all persons interested may appear and be heard thereon Please note that this meeting

is

or prior to, the public hearing. 1. Blake Griggs Properties/

1. Blake Griggs Properties/ Applicant Kahn Enterprises, LLC/Owner 40 Airport Boulevard Consideration of applications for a General Plan Amendment, Downtown Station Area Specific Plan Amendment, Rezoning Map to Create a Planned Development District, Design Review, Transportation Demand Management Plan, and Affordable Housing Agreement, to allow a multi-family residential development consisting of 292 units on 40 Airport Boulevard and associated California Environmental Quality Act Analysis, in accordance with Title 20 of the South San Francisco Municipal Code. 2. Flad Architects / Applicant Healthpeak Properties, Inc./ Owner Healthpeak Properties, Inc./ Owner 466 Forbes Boulevard P22-0008, DR22-0003 Consideration of a Design Review application to construct a new 40,000 square foot, two-story amenity building located at 466 Forbes Boulevard in the Business Technology Park zone district, and associated California Environmental Quality Act analysis, in accordance with Title 20 of the South San Francisco Municipal Code. If you have any questions regarding this matter, contact the Planning Division, 315 Maple Avenue, (650) 877-8535. (s/Iony Rozzi

<u>/s/Tony Rozzi</u> Tony Rozzi Secretary to the Planning

Commission City of South San Francisco Dated: June 5, 2022

NPEN-3592938# EXAMINER - SO. SAN FRANCISCO and all persons having any interest in or objections to the Plan Amendment may appear before the Successor Agency and show cause why the Plan and show cause why the Plan Amendment should not be

approved. The Plan Amendment affects the property identified as "Block 4" in the Redevelopment Plan, Assessor's Block 3739 Lot 010, generally located at 200 Main Street, bounded by Howard, Main and Beale Streets and extending approximately 165 feet southeast from Howard Street. The Plan Amendment would increase the maximum height limit for development on Block 4 from 450 feet to 513 feet and increase the maximum building floor plate sizes applicable to Block 4: (a) from 7,500 square feet to 13,500 square feet for buildings 85 feet to 250 feet in height, and (b) from 13,000 square feet to 15,200 square feet for buildings over 500 feet in height but limited to that portion of the building that is between 85 feet and 122 feet in height. Following the close of the Plan Amendment. If the Commission approves the Plan Amendment, the Planning Commission will consider a deveral Plan Amendment and approved. The Plan Amendment affects

Commission will consider a General Plan Amendment and determination that the Plan Amendment is in conformity with the General Plan, and subsequently the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco (the "Board") will consider, together with the Successor Agency's Report to the Board of Supervisors on the Plan Amendment, adoption of the Plan Amendment. Prior to any approval of the Plan Amendment, the Board will hold a public hearing, notice of which will be published prior to the date of the public hearing. The proposed Plan Amendment would not change the existing Transbay Redevelopment Project Area boundaries, which are described in Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Transbay Redevelopment Plan. The proposed Plan Amendment is within the scope of the Transbay Terminal/ Cattrain Downtown Extension/ Redevelopment Project Final Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report ("FEIS/EIR"), a program EIR, and is adequately described in the FEIS/EIR for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act. A copy of the existing Transbay Redevelopment Plan, proposed Plan Amendment are available at www.sfocii. org and at the Successor Agency's office at One South Van Ness Avenue, Fith Floor, San Francisco, California, 94103, between the hours for 00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. For more information, contact Benjamin Brandin, Transbay Project Shanager, at (415) 749-2533 or Block4.Amendment @

stgov.org. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO

THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Jaimie Cruz Commission Secretary 5/29, 6/5, 6/12/22 CNS-3587239# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

PROBATE

a Delaware limited liability company ("Developer"). The Successor Agency proposes to sell to the Developer the parcels located at Assessor's Block 3739 Lot 010 and Lot 011 in the Transbay Redevelopment Project Area, generally located at 200 Main Street, Main NOTICE OF AMENDED PETITION TO ADMINISTER located at 200 Main Street, bounded by Howard, Main, and Beale Streets and ESTATE OF FREDDY DAZA

# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SPOKANE In Re the Interest of: Camilla Anna Herendon (DOB: 1/3/2018) A person under the age of 18. NO. 22-5-00123-32 SUMMONS AND NOTICE BY PUBLICATION OF PETITION/HEARING RE: TERMINATION OF PARENT/ CHILD RELATIONSHIP The State of Washington to:

EXM-3593216# NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, June 8, 2022 -1:00 PM This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely. Public comment will be taken in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2481 542 4313 # #. Visit www. stgovtv.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit www.stlos. org/remote-meeting-call for more information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https:// stos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc or by calling (415) 554-5184. EXM-3593215#

Petitioner at the office below stated; if you fail to do so, judgment may be rendered against you according to the request of the Petition which has been filed with the Clerk of said Court. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that there has been filed in this Court, a Petition praying that the parent-child relationship between the father of the above-named child and the above-named child and the above-named

Supervisors City and County of San Francisco EXM-3593364#

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE THURSDAY, JUNE 16, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www. sfgovtv.org

Storts of the state of the stat In:https://sfbos.org/remote

In:https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220684. Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to update emergency medical services fees to reflect amounts authorized under annual adjustment provisions and require fees for stroke centers; and amending the Health Code to provisions and require fees for stroke centers; and amending the Health Code to include a definition of stroke center. If this legislation passes, amendments to the fees in Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.8, will reflect intervening administrative adjustments and show the actual amounts currently authorized and charged, payable to the Department of Emergency Management. The initial application fee for every person, firm, or corporation increases from \$12,193 to \$12,800 and the annual renewal fee increases from \$6,096 to \$6 400. The applied to \$12,800 and the annual renewal fee increases from \$6,096 to \$6,400. The annual ambulance permit fee for every person, firm, or corporation holding a Certificate of Operation increases from \$1,950 to \$2,048. The Initial Application fees for an EMS Training Program increases from \$2,163 to \$2,272; a Paramedic Program increases Paramedic Program increases from \$1,445 to \$1,517; an Emergency Medical Technician ("EMT") Program increases from \$1,445 co \$157; and increases Technician ("EMT") Program increases from \$1,445 to \$1,517; and increases \$725 \$762 for a Continuing Education Program Renewal will increase from \$1,085 to \$1,139 for a Paramedic Program; increase from \$792 to \$832 for an EMT Program; and increase from \$439 to \$461 for a Continuing Education Program. Applying for an initial EMT Certificate will increase form \$177 to 183; renewal of current and valid EMT-Paramedic, will increase from \$130 to \$135 due every EMT-Paramedic, will increase from \$130 to \$135 due every from \$130 to \$135 due evolutions and polication for initial EMT Paramedic Accreditation will increase from \$38 to \$40. Fees that are due and payable annually in advance to the Department of Emergency Management by the following facilities that receive patients through

ft. and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$1 per sq. ft.; color printing of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 11x17) decreases from \$3.00 to \$1.54 per sq. ft. and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$4 per sq. ft; scanning of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 11x17) increases from \$0.35 to \$2 per 11x17) sq. ft. and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$2 per sq. ft; and notary jurat or acknowledgement increases from \$13 to \$15 per document. In accordance with Administrative Code with Administrative coue, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email board of supervisors?@stoov (board.of.supervisors@sfgov org). Information relating to org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sbos.org/legislative-research-center-frc). Agenda information relating to this (https://stos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday. June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Burdart and Appropriations the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org ~ (415)\_554-7712) Please Note: The Department is open for

### business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco EXM-3593355#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE BACCESS Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Watch: SF Cable Channel) 26, 78, or 99 (depending) on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen. Public Comment Call-In: Intes://sfloor.org/remote-meeting-call NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220680. Ordinance amending the Administrative. Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a scordance with California state law. If this legislation increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a scordance with California state law. If this legislation increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a scordance with California state law. If this legislation increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a scordance with California state law. If this legislation increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a scordance with California state law. If this legislation of registration or revenvehicle

more information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https:// sfbos.org/legislative-research rč or by calling (415) center-lrc o 554-5184. EXM-3592961# NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PUBLIC SAFETY AND NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 JUNE 9, 2022 – 10:00 AM This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely. Public comment will be taken in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2486 270 4569 # #. Visit www. sfgovtv.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit www.sfbos. org/remote-meeting-call for more information. The agenda packet and legislative-research-center-Irc or by calling (415) 554-5184. CIVIL ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME Case No. CNC-22-557156 Superior Court of California County of SAN FRANCISCO Detition

Name

name should not be granted Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written

objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before

least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

hearing. Notice of Hearing: Date: JULY 21, 2022, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: 103N, Room:

103N The address of the court is 400 MCALLISTER STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to

successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on

the petition in the following newspaper of genera

newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

EXAMINER Date: MAY 20, 2022 SAMUEL K FENG Judge of the Superior Court 6/5, 6/12, 6/19, 6/26/22 CNS-3591457# SAN EPAPCIPSC

SAN FRANCISCO

EXAMINER

103N

YOUR FAILURE TO APPEAR YOUR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE HEARING MAY RESULT IN A DEFAULT ORDER PERMANENTLY TERMINATING ALL OF YOUR RIGHTS TO THE ABOVE-NAMED CHILD. You are further notified that any non-consention parent EXM-3592961#

any non-consenting parent or alleged father has a right to be represented by an attorney, and an attorney will be appointed for an indigent parent who requests an

You are further notified that You are further notified that your failure to file a claim of paternity within thirty (30) days of the first publication of this notice or to respond to the Petitioners within thirty (30) days of the first publication of this protection of this notice is grounds to terminate your parent-child relationship with respect to the child.

the child. One method of filing your response and serving a copy on the petitioner is to send your response by certified mail with return receipt requested. Dated this 18<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022. JIM FELICE LEGAL, PLLC /S/

<u>/S/</u>

JODI M. FELICE, WSBA #33017 Attorney for Petitioner SERVE A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE ON: Jodi M. Felice Jodi M. Felice Attorney at Law 207 W. Nora Ave. Spokane, WA 99205 (509) 309-3132 FILE ORIGINAL WITH CLERK OF THE COURT AT: Clerk of the Court Spokane Count Petition of: JEANIE CHURCHILL for Change of TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner JEANIE CHURCHILL filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JEANIE CHURCHILL to GLORIA SARGENTI The Courte enter that all JEANIE Spokane County Superior 1116 West Broadway, Room 300 Spokane, WA 99260-0350 (509) 477-2211 GLORIA SARGENTI The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name chould not be grapted 5/22, 5/29, 6/5/22 CNS-3588244# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

#### **FICTITIOUS** BUSINESS NAMES

### FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

File No. 291251 The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: SKY HIGH SMOKE SHOP, 675 SAN MATEO AVE, SAN BRUNO, CA 94066, County of SAN MATEO

SAN MATEO KHALED HUSSEIN ALAGI, 975 83RD AVE APT C, OAKLAND, CA 94621 This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL

The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 06/01/022 or names 06/01/2022 declare that all information I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ KHALED ALAGI

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 06/01/2022

the residence address o a registered owner. A new Fictitious Business Name Statement must be filed before the expiration. The filing of this statement does not of authorize the use in this state of a Fictitious Business Name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (See Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code). 5/29, 6/5, 6/12, 6/19/22 CNS-3590400# SAN FRANCISCO SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

#### FICTITIOUS BUSINESS

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. 290882 The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: HERNANDEZ TREE AND GARDEN SERVICE, 2718 WESTMORELAND AVE, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063, County of SAN MATEO DIANA BAUTISTA, 2718 WESTMORELAND AVE, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 MANFREDY HERNANDEZ CORTEZ, 2718 CORTEZ, WESTMORELAND 2718 AVE REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 This business is conducted by A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP The renistrant(e) community The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 02/01/022 03/01/2022 03/01/2022 1 declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ DIANA BAUTISTA This, etadement was filed S/ DIANA BAUTISTA This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 04/22/2022 Mark Church, County Clerk BESZ DE LA VEGA, Deputy Clerk ORIGINAL 5/22, 5/29, 6/5, 6/12/22 NPEN-3589091# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

> FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. 291006

The following person(s) is (are) doing berson(s) is BLACKBERRY CERAMICS, 368 IMPERIAL WAY APT. 335, DALY CITY, CA 94015, County of SAN MATEO IULIIA ALEKSANDROVNA KAIFER, 368 IMPERIAL WAY APT. 335, DALY CITY. CA 335, DALY CITY, CA

The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 05/09/2022 declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ IULIIA A KAIFER

Mark Church, County Clerk BESZ DE LA VEGA, Deputy 5/22, 5/29, 6/5/22

bv teleconference only and will be conducted pursuant to the authority and provisions under Governmen

Code section 54953(e). Remote Public Comments: Members of the public wishing to participate are encouraged submit public comments in writing in advance of the meeting. The email and phone line below will be monitored during the meeting and public during the meeting and public comments received will be read into the record. The City encourages the submission of comments by 6:00 p.m. on **Thursday, June 16, 2022**, to facilitate inclusion in the meeting record. A maximum of 3 minutes per individual comment will be read into the record Comments that

the record. Comments that are not in compliance with the Planning Commission's rules of decorum may be summarized for the record rather than read verbatim. Email: PCcomments@ssf.

Electronic Comments received Electronic Comments received by email will be monitored during the meeting and read into the record. We ask that you limit your electronic comments so that they will comply with the 3-minute time limitation for public comment. Planning Division Hotline: (650) 877-8535 Varice Amessanes will be Messages will be woirce intessages will be monitored during the meeting and read into the record. Your voicemail should be limited

so that it complies with the 3-minute time limitation for public comment

Observing the Meeting: This teleconference meeting may be observed via livestream at https://www.ssf. net/government/city-council video-streaming-city-andcouncil-meetings/planning commission Additional information about this meeting may be found online at the following link: https://ci-ssf-ca.legistar.com/ Calendar.aspx information

Additional information concerning the above matter may be obtained from, and written comments should be addressed to, Planning be addressed to, Planning Division, City Hall, 315 Maple Avenue.

Avenue. Any interested party will have 15 calendar days from the date of an action or decision taken by the Planning Commission to appeal that action or decision to the City Council by filing a written general with by filing a written appeal with the City Clerk as provided under Chapter 20.570 of the South San Francisco Municipal Code. In the event an appeal period ends on a Saturday, Sunday, or any other day the City is closed, the appeal period shall end at the close of business on the next consecutive business day. consecutive business day. If any interested party, other than the applicant, wishes to obtain a copy of a Notice of Action for any Planning Commission action or decision

at a hearing, the interested party must file a written request of such notification with the Planning Division in advance of that Planning Commission hearing.

If you challenge in court the action taken by the Planning Commission regarding the items described below, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Commission at,

feet southeast from Hov

Street. The sale of this property is within the scope of the Transbay Terminal/ Caltrain Downtown Extension/ Downtown Extension/ Redevelopment Project Final Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report ("FEIS/ EIR"), a program EIR, and is adequately described in the FEIS/EIR for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act. Quality Act. A draft of the DDA and a

A draft of the DDA and a map delineating the parcels to be conveyed are available for inspection and review by the general public at the OCII's office at One South Van Ness Avenue, Fifth Floor, San Francisco, California, 94103, between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. For more information, contact Benjamin Brandin, Transbay Project Manager, at (415) 749-2533 or Block4.Amendment@ sfgov.org.

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Jaimie Cruz Commission Secretarv 6/5. 6/12/22

CNS-3588242# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING OF THE SAN FRANCISCO COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT FOR THE TRANSBAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Successor Agency Commission, as GIVEN that the Successor Agency Commission, as the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco, ("Successor Agency") will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, June 21, 2022 at 1:00 p.m. to consider a proposed amendment ("Plan Amendment") to the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area ("the area of the area of the "Description") to the the second of the area of the Project Area ("the area of the area of

Project Area (the "Redevelopment Plan") and to consider all evidence and testimony for or against the approval of the proposed Plan Amondment approval of the proposed Plan Amendment. The meeting will be held remotely and can be watched live on SFGOVTV: https:// sfgovtv.org/ccii. PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: 1-415-655-0001 ACCESS CODE: 2480 225 4262. Press #, then # again to enter the call. Press \*3 to submit a request to speak. Please check the Successor Agency's website, https://sfoci.org by Monday, June 20, 2022 for updated and additional information about public participation in the hearing. At any time not later than the hour set forth above for the hearing on the Plan Amendment, any person may file in writing with the Corretary of the Supposed

Plan Americanent, any person may file in writing with the Secretary of the Successor Agency at One South Van Ness Avenue, Fifth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103 or send an email to Block4. Amendment@sfgov.org, a written statement of support or objections to the Plan or objections to the Plan Amendment. At the day, hour and place of the hearing, any

#### CASE NO 22PRO00190

To all heirs, beneficiaries, contingent creditors. creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of: FREDDY DAZA AN AMENDED PETITION FOR PROBATE has been filed by Harry Franz Daza in the Superior Court of California, County of San

Mateo. AMENDED THE PETITION FOR PROBATE requests that Dustin Leonardo Daza be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of

HIE AMENDED PETITION rection the d the decedent's WILL and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the AMENDED PETITION regulation

authority to administer estate under the Independent the Administration of Estates Act with full authority (This authority will allow the personal the personal allow representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A HEARING on petition will be held on 08/09/2022 at 9:00 am in Dept. 11 located at 400 COUNTY CENTER REDWOOD CITY CA 94063 HALL OF JUSTICE & RECORDS

IF YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. IF YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent creditor

### 94015 This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 05/09/2022 Clerk ORIGINAL

NPEN-3586280# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER\*10080

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. 290990 The following person(s) is (are) doing business as:

## San Francisco Examiner PUBLIC NOTICES SAN MATEO COUNTY: 650-556-1556 • E-mail: smlegals@sfmediaco.com

San Francisco Examiner • Daly City Independent • San Mateo Weekly • Redwood City Tribune • Enquirer - Bulletin • Foster City Progress • Millbrae - San Bruno Sun • Boutique & Villager • Examiner - So. San Francisco • Examiner - San Bruno

#### GOVERNMENT

### NOTICE OF REGULAR NOTICE OF HEGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS RULES COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 JUNE 13, 2022 10:00 AM

10:00 AM This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely. Public comment will be taken in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2491 326 8157 # #. Visit www. sfgortv.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit www.sfbos. provider). Visit www.sfbos. org/remote-meeting-call for more information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https:// sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc or by calling (415) 554-5184.

with

#### EXM-3595090# NOTICE OF REGULAR

# MOTICE OF REGULAR METING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 JUNE 13, 2022

- 1:30 PM This meeting will be held in-person at the location above accessible remotely Public comment will be taken in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2499 861 0736 # # Visit www sfgovtv.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit www.sfbos. org/remote-meeting-call for more information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https:// sfbos.org/legislative-research center-lrc or by calling (415) 554-5184.

#### EXM-3595077#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE THURSDAY, JUNE 16, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS

#### ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen Public Comment Call-In:https://sfbos.org/remote-

meeting-call NOTICE IS H HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person of remotely: File No. 220687 Ordinance amending the Park Code to impose a surcharge in addition to the license fees for berthing at the Marina West Harbor, to help fund the cost of dredging activities at the West Harbor; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental

Quality Act. If this legislation fees in Business and Tax passes, amendments to the Park Code, Section 12.11, will Regulations Code, Section 249.8, will reflect intervening impose an additional monthly administrative adjustments

surcharge on licensees at the Marina West Small Craft and show the actual amounts currently authorized and charged, payable to the Department of Emergency Management. The initial Harbor to cover the estimated cost of dredging the entry channel to the West Harbor Management. The initial application fee for every person, firm, or corporation not to exceed the following: 25-foot berth at \$2.70 per foot/ per month; 30 and 35-foot berths at \$2.74 per foot/per month; 40 and 45-foot berths at \$3.32 per foot/per month; 50 and 60-foot berths at \$3.39 engaged in operating a private ambulance that requires a Certificate of Operation increases from \$12,193 to \$12,800 and the annual per foot/per month; and 70, 80, and 90-foot berths at \$3.47 per renewal fee increases from \$6,096 to \$6,400. The annual foot/per month. In accordance ambulance permit fee for every person, firm, or corporation holding a Certificate of Operation increases from \$1,950 to \$2,048. The Initial Administrative Code Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may Application fees for an EMS Training Program increases from \$2,163 to \$2,272; a submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made Paramedic Program increases from \$1,445 to \$1,517; an Emergency Medical Technician ("EMT") Program increases from \$1,445 as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. increases from \$1,445 to \$1,517; and increases \$725 \$762 for a Continuing Education Program. EMS Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Training Program Renewal will increase from \$1,085 to \$1,139 for a Paramedic Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' \$792 to \$832 for a Final form \$792 to \$832 for an EMT Program; and increase from \$439 to \$461 for a Continuing Education Program. Applying for an initial EMT Certificate will increase from \$177 to 183; Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions renewal of current and valid EMT Certificate, not including EMT-Paramedic, will increase from \$130 to \$135 due every two years; and an application for initial EMT Paramedic Accreditation will increase from \$38 to \$40. Fees that about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7712) Please Note: The Department is open for from \$38 to \$40. Fees that are due and payable annually in advance to the Department of Emergency Management by the following facilities that receive patients through Ambulance Service Providers will increase from \$18,596 to \$19,523 for Receiving Heaptidue. STEMI Conter business, but employees are working from home Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Hospitals; STEMI Centers will increase from \$22,728 to \$23,861; and establishes a new fee of \$23,861 for Stroke Supervisors City and County of San Francisco EXM-3593364#

### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF

Centers. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section

67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit

written comments prior to

the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public

record in this matter and shall

be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be

addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1

Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco,

CA 94102 or sent via emai

(board.of.supervisors@sfgov. org). Information relating to

this matter is available in the

Office of the Clerk of the Board

or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center

(https://sfbos.org/legislative-

research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for

10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for

the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7712) Please Note:

The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return

your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County

EXM-3593361#

of San Francisco

SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE THURSDAY, JUNE 16, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.

ACCESS Watch: www. sfgovtv.org Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone pumper ord Mooting D will number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen Public Comment Call-In:https://sfbos.org/remote-

meeting-call NOTICE IS H NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public boaring to consider the HEREBY hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220684. Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to update emergency medical services fees to reflect emergency sutherized reflect amounts authorized under annual adjustment provisions and require fees for stroke centers; and amending the Health Code to include a definition of stroke center. If this legislation passes, amendments to the passes, amendments to the

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE WEDNESDAY, JUNE 15, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.

sfgovtv.org Watch: SF Cable Channel Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen. Public Comment Call-In: https://stroe.org/cambeta https://sfbos.org/remote-

https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220681. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the fees imposed by the Department of Administrative Services for reproduction and notary services provided to the public. If the legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code Section 8.44, will increase fees that the Department of Administrative Services charges for document reproduction and notary services available to the public at the City's Permit Center The proposed Ordinance also differentiates between fees charged for standard size document services and large format document services; change the unit used to calculate fees for scanning services from "sheet" to "per sq. ft."; and add a provision allowing for future automatic adjustments to the fees based on changes to the Consumer Price Index Temporary parking restriction ("no parking") signs required under the Transportation Code increases from \$4.00 to \$5.00 per sign; black and white printing of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 11x17) increases from \$0.50 to \$0.77 per sq. ft. and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$1 per sq. ft.; color printing of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 11x17) decreases from \$3.00 to \$1.54 per sq. ft. and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$4 per sq. ft; scanning of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 11x17) increases from \$0.35 to \$2 per Increases from \$0.35 to \$2 per sq. ft, and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$2 per sq. ft; and notary jurat or acknowledgement increases from \$13 to \$15 per document. In accordance with Administrative Code. Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Boom 244 San Francisco CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov. org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board

or the Board of Supervisors Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalina Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7712) Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco EXM-3593355# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF

SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS JUNE 17, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE

IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone worker and Moeting Lowill number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen Public Comment Call-In:

meeting-čall (NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend meetingand be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220680. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address ir accordance with California state law. If this legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-185, will impose a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration for every vehicle registered to an address within the City, except those expressly exempted under State law. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Catvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov. org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda

research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Amergericitors the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org -(415) 554-7712) Please Note:

The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return vour call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco EXM-3593346#

CIVIL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME Case No. 22-CIV-01248 Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO Petition of: JOSEPH YOUNG HOON YANG for Change of Name Name TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

PERSONS: Petitioner JOSEPH YOUNG HOON YANG filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JOSEPH YOUNG HOON YANG to ALPHONSE JOSEPH YOUNG The Court order that all

The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may

Is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing. Notice of Hearing: Date: JULY 28, 2022, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: MC, Room: N/A

The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the patters for hearing on the patters of general circulation, printed in this county: THE EXAMINER -REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE Date: JUNE 2, 2022 LELAND DAVIS 3 Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court 6/10, 6/17, 6/24, 7/1/22 SPEN-3594643# EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

#### AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 22-CIV-02042 Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO Petition of: PAUL BALL-YANE CHEN for Change of Name TO ALL INTERESTED TO ALL PERSONS: Petitioner PAUL BALL-YANE CHEN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: PAUL BALL-YANE CHEN to PAUL BALL-YEN CHEN The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the

reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing. Notice of Hearing: Date: 8/04/2022, Time: 9:00

A.M., Dept.: MC, Room: N/A The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: THE EXAMINER-REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE Date: MAY 24 2022 LELAND DAVIS 3 Judge of the Superior Court 6/10, 6/17, 6/24, 7/1/22 SPEN-3591823# EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL) CASE NUMBER (Número

CASE NUMBER (Numero del Caso): 21-CLJ-05957 NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): GUSTAVO A. FLORES YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL NAVY DEMANDANTE). FEDERAL CREDIT UNION NOTICE! You have been sued The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on

you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (*www.courtinfo. ca.gov/selfhelp*), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You

can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (*www.* lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self the Help Center (www.courtinfo ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. **NOTE:** The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien

must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro mas informacion en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte. ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hav otros requisitos legales Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos arupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www. sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso The name and address of the court is (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

The name, address, telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is (*El nombre*, *la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante*, o *del* demandante que no tiene abogado, es): MORANI STELMACH, ESQ. (SBN 296670), 11630 CHAYOTE ST, SUITE 3, LOS ANGELES, CA 90049; (212) 206 6922

CA 90049; (213) 226-6922 DATE (Fecha): 10/29/2021 NEAL I. TANIGUCHI, Clerk (Secretario), by ANTHONY BERINI, Deputy (Adjunto) (SEAL)

*(SEAL)* 5/20, 5/27, 6/3, 6/10/22 SPEN-3588026# EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO LONDON N. BREED

TO: President Shamann Walton, President of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor's Budget Director
DATE: June 1, 2022
RE: 30-Day Waiver Requests

President Walton,

The Mayor's Office respectfully requests 30-day hold waivers for the following pieces of trailing legislation:

11:2

1000

- Police Code Fixed Place Outdoor Amplified Sound Permit and License Fees
- Agreement Amendment Francisco Park Agreement
- Accept and Expend Grants Recurring State Grant Funds Department of Public Health FY 2022-2023
- Administrative Code Deputy Fire Chiefs
- Retroactive Accept and Expend Grant State of California #CaliforniansForAll Youth Jobs Corps Program Grant Up to \$11,790,072
- Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budget Bond Issuance Not to Exceed \$99,680,000
- Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2022-23 Interim Budget
- Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 Expenditure Plan
- Administrative Code Reproduction and Notary Fees Department of Administrative Services
- Administrative Code Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge
- Resolution Adjusting the Access Line Tax with the Consumer Price Index of 2022
- Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax Designation Ceiling
- Ordinance Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) Not to Exceed \$140,000,000
- Business and Tax Regulations, Health Codes Emergency Medical Services Fees
- Trial Courts Courthouse Construction Fund Rescission of Superior Court Surcharges for Court Construction
- Health Code Patient Rates for Fiscal Years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- Park Code Marina West Harbor Fees

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681 TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141 Should you have any questions, please contact Tom Paulino at 415-554-6153.

Sincerely,

Ashley Groffenberger Mayor's Budget Director

#### President, Board of Supervisors District 10



City and County of San Francisco

#### SHAMANN WALTON MEMORANDUM

DATE:	Juna 6 2022	
DATE.	June 6, 2022	

TO:	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
	Board of Supervisors Legislative Division
FROM:	President Shamann Walton
CC:	Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney Tom Paulino, Mayor's Office

### SUBJECT: **30-Day Waivers Granted for Budget Related Items**

Dear Madam Clerk and Legislative Division Staff,

I am hereby granting the 30-day waiver request for the following Budget related items introduced and requested by the Mayor on June 1, 2022:

- 220666 Proposed Interim Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220667 Proposed Interim Annual Salary Ordinance FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220669 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220670 Annual Salary Ordinance FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220678 Police Code Fixed Place Outdoor Amplified Sound Permit and License Fees
- 220679 Administrative Code Deputy Fire Chiefs
- 220680 Administrative Code Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge
- 220681 Administrative Code Reproduction and Notary Fees Department of Administrative Services
- 220682 Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax Designation Ceiling
- 220683 Ordinance Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) Not to Exceed \$140,000,000
- 220684 Business and Tax Regulations, Health Codes Emergency Medical Services Fees
- 220685 Trial Courts Courthouse Construction Fund Rescission of Superior Court Surcharges for Court Construction
- 220686 Health Code Patient Rates for Fiscal Years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220687 Park Code Marina West Harbor Fees

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



N N. BREED MAYOR PH 1:19

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor's Budget Director
Date: June 1, 2022
Re: Mayor's FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 Budget Submission

Madam Clerk,

In accordance with City and County of San Francisco Charter, Article IX, Section 9.100, the Mayor's Office hereby submits the Mayor's proposed budget by June 1<sup>st</sup>, corresponding legislation, and related materials for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-23 and FY 2023-24.

In addition to the Mayor's Proposed FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 Budget Book, the following items are included in the Mayor's submission:

- The Annual Appropriation Ordinance and Annual Salary Ordinance, along with Administrative Provisions
- The proposed budget for the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure for FY 2022-23
- 28 separate pieces of trailing legislation (see list attached)
- A Transfer of Function letter detailing the transfer of positions from one City department to another
- An Interim Exception letter
- A letter addressing funding levels for nonprofit corporations or public entities for the coming two fiscal years
- Memo to the Board President requesting for 30-day rule waivers on ordinances
- A list of technical adjustments to the budgets for select departments submitted on May 1

Please note the following:

• Technical adjustments to the June 1 budget are being prepared, but are not submitted with this set of materials.

Sincerely,

Ashley Groffenberger Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office Controller

> 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681 TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141

DEPT	Item	Description	Type of Legislation	File #
	Critical	Authorizes COPs to finance or		
ADM	Repair/Recovery	refinance the capital plan in the	Ordinance	
	Stimulus COPs	budget.		
	Entertainment	Fee decrease for fixed speakers due to		
ADM	Commission Fee	the Commission's "Just Add Music"	Ordinance	
	Changes	initiative.		
ADM	Reproduction and Notary Fee Changes	Fee increase for a variety of printing and scanning services at the Permit Center.	Ordinance	
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Moscone Convention Center.	Resolution	
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Fleet security services.	Resolution	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Real estate security services.	Resolution	······································
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Real estate custodial services.	Resolution	·
BOS	Prop J Continuation	Budget Legislative Analyst (BLA).	Resolution	
CAT	Courthouse Construction Fund	The Board adopted an ordinance last year terminating the Fund, which was established in 1992, because the courthouse construction project is complete and fully financed. This ordinance completes the process.	Ordinance	
CON	Access Line Tax	Sets Access Line Tax. Revenues assumed in budget.	Resolution	
CON	Neighborhood Beautification Fund	Neighborhood Beautification Fund contribution levels assumed in budget.	Ordinance	
DEM	EMSA Fee Changes	Changing the fee structure for EMSA fees that are charged to hospitals.	Ordinance	
DPH	Recurring State Grants	Accept and expend for annual, recurring state grant funds.	Resolution	
DPH	Patient Rates	Amending the Health Code to set patient rates and rates for other services provided by the Department of Public Health.	Ordinance	
DPH	Prop J Continuation	Clinic security services.	Resolution	
DPW	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	
FIR	Organizational Changes in the City's Administrative Code	Changing the City's Administrative Code to transition the Chief of EMS position up a Deputy Chief position.	Ordinance	
HRC	State Grant Acceptance	Acceptance of the state-funded California for All grant for the Opportunities for All program.	Resolution	
HSA	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	
HSH	CAAP Legislation	Annual legislation for CAAP housing with funding from HSA.	Resolution	
HSH	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	an
MYR	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	······

POL	Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge	Increasing the car registration surcharge. Funds will be used to deter, investigate, or prosecute vehicle theft crimes.	Ordinance
REC	Marina Dredging Surcharge	Imposing a surcharge to license fees at Marina West Harbor to pay for necessary dredging at the Harbor.	Ordinance
REC	Francisco Park Conservancy Grant Agreement	Credits the Francisco Park Conservancy to offset unanticipated project cost increases incurred due to PG&E requirements.	Resolution
REG	Prop J Continuation	Assembly of vote by mail envelope services.	Resolution
SHF	Prop J Continuation	Food services at county jails.	Resolution
TIS	Prop J Continuation	Mainframe system support.	Resolution



# TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors FROM: Tom Paulino RE: Administrative Code - Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge DATE: June 1, 2022

## Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law.

Should you have any questions, please contact Tom Paulino at 415-554-6153.