

FILE NO. 220847

Petitions and Communications received from July 14, 2022, through July 21, 2022, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on July 26, 2022.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting a Mayoral Veto for File No. 220446, regarding Planning, Administrative, Subdivision Codes; Zoning Map - Density Exception in Residential Districts. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the Mayor, making the following appointment to the Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

Appointment pursuant to Charter, Section 16.108-1(c), and Administrative Code, Section 2A.333.

- Lesly Simmons - term ending July 1, 2023.

From the Office of the Mayor, making the following appointment to the Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

Appointment pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 2A.233.

- Jon Henry - term ending July 1, 2023.

From the following departments, submitting approvals of requests to waive San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 12b, requirements. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

- From the Department of Public Health for Samuel Hoffman on the basis that no vendors comply.
- From the Department of Public Works for Brigitte Castillo on the basis that no vendors comply.
- From the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission for Vicky Griffith on the basis that no vendors comply.
- From the San Francisco Public Library for Feng Ling Jiang on the basis that no vendors comply.
- From the Office of the City Administrator for Justine Eileen Alberto on the basis that no vendors comply.
- From the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency for Cristina Alderman on the basis that no vendors comply.

From the Department of Elections, submitting Certification for the initiative measure, entitled "Uses of the Great Highway and JFK Drive." Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Department of Parks and Recreation, pursuant to Public Resources Code, Section 4851(a)(2), submitting a notice of the St. Francis Wood Historic District Listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH), pursuant to Administrative Code Section 10.10.305, submitting the Annual Report on Gifts Received by HSH in Fiscal Year 2021-22. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the San Francisco Public Library, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-305, submitting the Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector, pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the Pooled Investment Report for the month of June 2022. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the Department of Elections, submitting a Notice of a Ballot Simplification Meeting for the November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From the Department of Elections, regarding filing deadlines for the November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to Ordinance No. 101-20, submitting a Quarterly Report on the status of the Green Infrastructure Grant Program, April to June 2022. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to Ordinance Nos. 173-20 and 128-21, submitting a Refunding Savings Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From the Public Defender's Office, regarding a Resolution urging support of the District Attorney's Innocence Commission. File No. 220830. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Resolution urging support of the District Attorney's Innocence Commission. File No. 220830. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From the Office of Assemblymember Al Muratuschi, regarding California Assembly Bill 557. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From concerned citizens, regarding an Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to create a graffiti abatement pilot program. File No. 220538. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Joseph Hancock, regarding funding for the Office of the District Attorney. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Chris Kline, regarding the Gay softball and Pool League.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Austin Caldwell, regarding 33 Tehama Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Justin Lippi, regarding a Hearing on Monkeypox. File No. 220780.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding the Great Highway. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Allen Jones, regarding a Charter Amendment providing for the forfeiture of City-funded pension benefits upon a finding of bribery, embezzlement, extortion, wire fraud, or perjury. File No. 220633. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From the San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition, regarding a Charter Amendment related to Additional Density and Height; Rent-Control. File No. 220636.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From the San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Committee, regarding a proposal concerning bike parking modules. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Jabez Wesly, regarding traffic fatalities on South Van Ness Avenue.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Sarah Boudreau, regarding Slow Streets and Lake Street.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From Anastasia Glikshtern, regarding public comment protocols and procedures.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Dennis Hong, regarding various topics. File Nos. 211232, 220631, 220636, and 220690. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Alex Stokes, regarding composting and waste management.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Dorreyah Schahin-Reed, regarding The Good Hotel at 112 7th Street.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From Marsha Heimbecker, regarding San Francisco Housing Code, Section 701, Heating and Ventilation. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Charter Amendment to change the election cycle of certain offices to even-numbered years. File No. 220638. 4 Letters.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From concerned citizens, regarding Resolutions to rename certain blocks of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way." File Nos. 220771 and 210744. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From Eileen Boken, regarding an Ordinance authorizing tax-exempt and/or taxable certificates of participation, File No. 220683, and an Ordinance appropriating funding of Certificate of Participation Proceeds, File No. 211194. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From Local 261, regarding a Charter Amendment to eliminate the Department of Sanitation and Streets and transfer its responsibilities to the Department of Public Works. File No. 220635. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From Jane Segal, regarding Bayview Hunters Point. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From Gabriel Desjardins, regarding COVID-19 and mask mandates. Copy: Each Supervisor. (38)

From the Housing Action Coalition, regarding a Charter Amendment to provide for accelerated review and approval of various eligible affordable housing projects. File Nos. 220631 and 220835. Copy: Each Supervisor. (39)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed Ordinance approving Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City entity surveillance cameras. File No. 220606. 122 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (40)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Hearing on the findings, strategies, and supporting actions of the Building Operations component of the City's 2022 Climate Action Plan. File No. 220249. 7 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (41)

From concerned citizens, regarding an Ordinance authorizing the Human Services Agency (HSA) to amend certain hotel booking agreements. 5 Letters. File No. 220703. Copy: Each Supervisor. (42)

From the California Realtors Association, regarding a proposed Ordinance approving Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City entity surveillance cameras. File No. 220606. Copy: Each Supervisor. (43)

From: [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Mayor Veto File No. 220446
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:09:24 PM
Attachments: [Clerk's Memo 07-21-22.pdf](#)
[Mayor's Veto.pdf](#)
[File No. 220446.pdf](#)

From: Hickey, Jacqueline (BOS)
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:08 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; De Asis, Edward (BOS) <edward.deasis@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Services <bos-legislative_services@sfgov.org>; Paulino, Tom (MYR) <tom.paulino@sfgov.org>
Subject: Mayor Veto File No. 220446

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Mayoral Veto of File No. 220446, regarding Planning, Administrative, Subdivision Codes; Zoning Map - Density Exception in Residential Districts.

Please see the attached memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



July 21, 2022

The Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors
Attn: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: File 220446

President Walton and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

It is with great disappointment that I submit my veto of File Number 220446, the "fourplex" ordinance that was passed by a majority of the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday, June 28, 2022 and Tuesday, July 12, 2022. While I support the original intent of the ordinance to add new desperately needed housing options for San Franciscans struggling with the high cost of housing, especially for our middle-income families, after many amendments, this ordinance no longer achieves the goal intended to actually produce more housing. Instead, it is fair to say that this ordinance, as amended, will set back housing production.

As Mayor, from day one I have been a strong supporter of policies that create new housing opportunities for all San Franciscans and directly address our city's housing shortage and lack of affordability. Unfortunately, if enacted, this ordinance will accomplish neither goal. As I understand it, Board members received an independent report finding that the small-scale housing projects, as envisioned by this ordinance, are in many cases infeasible today because of high construction costs. Instead of cutting bureaucracy and reducing project costs, the Board added many new requirements and imposed new financial barriers that will make it even less likely for new housing to be built under the ordinance's provisions.

Even more importantly, this ordinance shirks the city's obligation to comply with state housing laws and do our part to address the state-wide housing shortage. Last year, the state legislature passed Senate Bill 9 (SB 9) to require the streamlined, ministerial approval of duplexes and lot splits in single-family residential zones. This ordinance eliminates single-family zoning to deliberately sidestep SB 9 and its streamlining provisions without offering any local process improvements in its place. Instead, we should be working closely with our partners at the state to use every available tool – including state housing laws – to support housing production in our city. Right now, certain jurisdictions across the state are finding creative ways to rid themselves of this obligation. San Francisco must not join them in this anti-housing effort of trying to circumvent our collective obligation to build more housing for our residents.

For the future of San Francisco, the Bay Area, and California, we must make serious changes to how we approve and build housing. This duty lies with local, state, and federal leaders. There are too many people being forced to live on our street. There are too many families who can't afford to stay in this city. There are too many workers commuting long distances, or simply leaving the Bay Area. We cannot keep putting forward the same restrictive policies, and we certainly cannot put forward local policies that block progressive state streamlining solutions. We need dramatic change if we are going to meet the obligations of our upcoming Housing Element, which is going to require over 80,000 new homes to be built over the next eight years.

I remain committed to supporting effective proposals that will result in new homes while abiding by state law, and I welcome the opportunity to work with the Board on another ordinance that addresses the concerns raised in this letter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "London N. Breed". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "London" and last name "Breed" clearly distinguishable.


London N. Breed
Mayor

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 21, 2022
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From:  Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayor's Veto – File No. 220446

Today, July 21, 2022, the Mayor communicated her veto of the following matter:

File No. 220446 – Planning, Administrative, Subdivision Codes; Zoning Map - Density Exception in Residential Districts

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to rezone all Residential, One Family (RH-1) zoning districts, except for Residential, One Family, Detached (RH-1(D)) districts, to Residential, Two Family (RH-2) zoning districts; to rezone the RH-1(D) districts to a new class of residential district called Residential, Two Family, Detached (RH-2(D)) districts; and to provide a density limit exception to permit up to four dwelling units per lot, and up to six dwelling units per lot in Corner Lots, in all RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, subject to certain requirements, including among others the replacement of protected units; amending the Administrative Code to require new dwelling units constructed pursuant to the density limit exception to be subject to the rent increase limitations of the Rent Ordinance; amending the Subdivision Code to authorize a subdivider that is constructing new dwelling units pursuant to the density exception to submit an application for condominium conversion or a condominium map that includes the existing dwelling units and the new dwelling units that constitute the project; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

Pursuant to Charter Section 2.106, the Board of Supervisors may override said veto if, within 30 days after such veto, not less than two-thirds of the Board of Supervisors shall vote in favor of such measure.

Due to the Summer Recess, please let me know in writing by end of day today, July 21, 2022, for placement on next week's July 26, 2022, Regular Meeting Agenda (the last meeting before the Summer Recess). Otherwise, in 30 days the veto stands.

I will communicate the Mayor's veto letter at the July 26, 2022, Board Meeting.

c: Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy
Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino, Mayor's Legislative Liaison

[Planning, Administrative, Subdivision Codes; Zoning Map - Density Exception in Residential Districts]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to rezone all Residential, One Family (RH-1) zoning districts, except for Residential, One Family, Detached (RH-1(D)) districts, to Residential, Two Family (RH-2) zoning districts; to rezone the RH-1(D) districts to a new class of residential district called Residential, Two Family, Detached (RH-2(D)) districts; and to provide a density limit exception to permit up to four dwelling units per lot, and up to six dwelling units per lot in Corner Lots, in all RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, subject to certain requirements, including among others the replacement of protected units; amending the Administrative Code to require new dwelling units constructed pursuant to the density limit exception to be subject to the rent increase limitations of the Rent Ordinance; amending the Subdivision Code to authorize a subdivider that is constructing new dwelling units pursuant to the density exception to submit an application for condominium conversion or a condominium map that includes the existing dwelling units and the new dwelling units that constitute the project; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.
Deletions to Codes are in ~~strikethrough italics Times New Roman font~~.
Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
Board amendment deletions are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

1 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

2 Section 1. CEQA and Land Use Findings.

3 (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
4 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
5 Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
6 Supervisors in File No. 220446 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms
7 this determination.

8 (b) On November 18, 2021, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21031,
9 adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
10 with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
11 Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
12 the Board of Supervisors in File No. 220446, and is incorporated herein by reference.

13 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code
14 amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set
15 forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21031, and the Board adopts such reasons as
16 its own. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File
17 No. 220446 and is incorporated herein by reference.

18
19 Section 2. Background and Findings.

20 (a) California faces a severe crisis of housing affordability and availability, prompting
21 the Legislature to declare, in Section 65589.5 of the Government Code, that the state has "a
22 housing supply and affordability crisis of historic proportions. The consequences of failing to
23 effectively and aggressively confront this crisis are hurting millions of Californians, robbing
24 future generations of a chance to call California home, stifling economic opportunities for
25

1 workers and businesses, worsening poverty and homelessness, and undermining the state's
2 environmental and climate objectives.”

3 (b) This crisis of housing affordability and availability is particularly severe in San
4 Francisco. It is characterized by dramatic increases in rent and home sale prices over recent
5 years and historic rates of underproduction of new housing units across income levels,
6 particularly in the City's western neighborhoods and RH (Residential, House) zoning districts.

7 (c) According to the Planning Department's 2020 Housing Inventory, the cost of
8 housing in San Francisco has increased dramatically since the Great Recession of 2008-
9 2009, with the median sale price for a two-bedroom house more than tripling from 2011 to
10 2021, from \$493,000 to \$1,580,000. This includes a 9% increase from 2019 to 2020 alone,
11 even in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. The median rental price for a two-bedroom
12 apartment saw similar although slightly smaller increases, nearly doubling from \$2,570 to
13 \$4,500 per month, from 2011 to 2019, before declining in 2020 due to the pandemic.

14 (d) These housing cost trends come after decades of underproduction of housing in
15 San Francisco, with only 600 net new units on average added per year from 1960 to 1990,
16 compared with 37,000 per year in the Bay Area as a whole, and fewer than 1,000 net new
17 units on average per year in San Francisco in the 1990s, before increasing to an average of
18 roughly 2,500 per year from 2000 to 2019, according to the Planning Department's 2019
19 Housing Affordability Strategies Report.

20 (e) The City's Chief Economist has estimated that approximately 5,000 new market-
21 rate housing units per year would be required to keep housing prices in San Francisco
22 constant with inflation generally, rather than greatly exceeding general rates of inflation.

23 (f) Moreover, San Francisco will be challenged to meet increased Regional Housing
24 Needs Allocation (“RHNA”) goals in the upcoming 2023-2031 Housing Element cycle, which
25 total 72,000 units over eight years, more than 2.5 times the goal of the previous eight-year

1 cycle. At the same time, relatively new State laws like Senate Bill 35 (2017) would limit San
2 Francisco's local zoning control and discretion if the City does not meet these RHNA housing
3 production goals.

4 (g) San Francisco's new housing production in recent years has been heavily
5 concentrated in the eastern and southeastern parts of the City, with 90% of all new housing
6 produced in just ten eastside and central neighborhoods, according to the Housing
7 Affordability Strategies Report. These neighborhoods are home to many of the City's most
8 established communities of color and communities most vulnerable to displacement
9 pressures.

10 (h) Roughly 60% of San Francisco's developable land area is in the RH (Residential,
11 House) zoning districts, concentrated primarily on the City's west side, with 38% of the City's
12 developable land area zoned exclusively for single-family homes in RH-1 (Residential, House,
13 One Family) and RH-1(D) (Residential, House, One Family, Detached Dwellings) zoning
14 districts. In spite of the expansive geographic coverage of RH zoning districts throughout the
15 City, only 10% of the total new housing units in 2020 were built in these districts.

16 (i) Neighborhoods zoned for RH encompass a wide variety of housing and building
17 typologies, with a distinct historic pattern of taller, higher-density buildings often located on
18 corner lots throughout residential neighborhoods in the City, which predate the advent of RH
19 zoning, in the 1970s.

20 (j) The City's COVID-19 Economic Recovery Task Force included a recommendation
21 in its October 2020 report to support construction of small multifamily buildings in low density
22 areas to support "missing middle" housing opportunities.

23 (k) This ordinance allows the development of up to four units, and up to six units in
24 Corner Lots, in all RH districts throughout the City (as shown on the Zoning Maps ZN 01
25 through ZN 14), at the heights currently specified in the City's Zoning Maps (Height Maps HT

1 01 through HT 14). All parcels affected by this ordinance are considered urban infill sites
2 under California Government Code Section 65913.5(e)(3). This Board therefore declares that
3 this ordinance is enacted pursuant to California Government Code Section 65913.5.

4 (l) This Board acknowledges that new housing developments approved under this
5 ordinance will be subject to the requirements of California Government Code Section
6 66300(d), such as the obligation to replace all existing or demolished protected units and
7 protections for existing occupants, including, for lower income occupants of protected units,
8 relocation benefits and a right of first refusal for a comparable unit available in the new
9 housing development at an affordable rent or cost, as provided by state law.

10 (m) This Board finds that this ordinance is consistent with San Francisco's obligation to
11 affirmatively further fair housing pursuant to California Government Code Section 8899.50, by
12 increasing density in a manner that meaningfully addresses significant disparities in housing
13 needs and access to opportunity. The ordinance achieves the increase in density by
14 increasing the principally permitted residential density in areas subject to historically
15 exclusionary density limits, by providing an additional density exception for projects that
16 comply with the requirements of Section 66300(d) of the California Government Code and
17 enter into regulatory agreements with the City acknowledging that, in consideration for the
18 density exception, the new units shall be subject to local rent control notwithstanding the
19 Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act (California Civil Code Sections 1954.50 et seq.).

20 (n) This Board finds that it is in the public interest to encourage the production of a
21 variety of unit types and sizes to accommodate people in different types of living conditions,
22 including a mix of smaller units that can help young adults secure housing or seniors to
23 downsize, and larger units that can help growing or multi-generational families stay
24 adequately housed.

1 (o) This Board finds that it is in the public interest to support San Francisco
2 homeowners in developing their properties while continuing to reside on the property as a key
3 means of building intergenerational wealth, particularly for first-generation or historically
4 marginalized homeowners. Because the regulatory and development process, combined with
5 escalating home prices and construction costs, presents specific challenges to homeowners
6 distinct from those faced by development and construction professionals, this ordinance
7 applies certain provisions to property owners who intend to continue residing on the property
8 after construction.

9 (p) This Board recognizes that additional development opportunities may lead to
10 speculative real estate investments that may seek to maximize profits by displacing current
11 residents, demolishing existing housing stock, building new units, and quickly selling those
12 units. To discourage prevent such speculation, demolition of existing units, and displacement
13 of current residents, this ordinance makes the benefit of the density exception available only
14 to persons who have owned their properties for five years prior to the date of their application
15 to obtain the exception, including the ownership duration of their Eligible Predecessor, as
16 defined herein person or entity from whom they may have inherited the lot.

17 (q) This Board recognizes the importance of facilitating opportunities for
18 intergenerational transfer of wealth among members of a family through property ownership.
19 Therefore, property owners who have inherited their property may be deemed eligible to seek
20 a density exception by applying the duration of ownership of their Eligible Predecessor person
21 or entity from whom they inherited the lot to their own duration of ownership, for the purposes
22 of satisfying this ordinance's ownership requirement.

23 (pqr) This ordinance allows for a density exception where the project does not cause a
24 substantial adverse change in the significance of an historic resource, as defined. This Board
25 recognizes that prior to submitting a development application, property owners may apply to

1 the Planning Department for a pre-application Historic Resource Assessment to determine
2 whether a historic resource is present on the lot. To support homeowners in using this density
3 exception to develop their properties, this ordinance waives permit fees for the Historic
4 Resource Assessment under certain conditions.

5
6 Section 3. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 207
7 and 209.1, to read as follows:

8
9 **SEC. 207. DWELLING UNIT DENSITY LIMITS.**

10 * * * *

11 **(c) Exceptions to Dwelling Unit Density Limits.** An exception to the calculations
12 under this Section 207 shall be made in the following circumstances:

13 * * * *

14 **(8) Residential Density Exception in RH Districts.**

15 **(A) Density Exception.** *Projects located in RH Districts that are not seeking or*
16 *receiving a density bonus under the provisions of Planning Code Sections 206.5 or 206.6 shall receive*
17 *an exception from residential density limits for up to four dwelling units per lot, excluding Corner Lots,*
18 *or up to six dwelling units per lot in Corner Lots, not inclusive of any Accessory Dwelling Units as*
19 *permitted under this Section 207, provided that the dwelling units meet the requirements set forth in*
20 *this subsection (c)(8).*

21 **(B) Eligibility.** To receive the density exception authorized under this
22 subsection (c)(8), property owners must demonstrate that they have owned the lot for which
23 they are seeking the density exception for a minimum of five years prior to the time of the
24 submittal of their application. For the purposes of establishing eligibility to receive a density
25 exception according to this subsection (8)(B), a property owner who has inherited the subject

1 lot, including any inheritance in or through a trust, from a blood, adoptive, or step family
2 relationship, specifically from a grandparent, parent, sibling, child, or grandchild, or the spouse
3 or registered domestic partner of such relations, or the property owner's spouse or registered
4 domestic partner (each an "Eligible Predecessor"), may add an Eligible Predecessor's
5 duration of ownership of the subject lot to the property owner's duration of ownership of the
6 same lot. ~~may add to their duration of ownership the duration of ownership of the person or~~
7 ~~entity from whom they inherited the lot.~~

8 *(BC) Eligibility of Historic Resources. To receive the density exception*
9 *authorized under this subsection (c)(8), a project must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the*
10 *Environmental Review Officer that it does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of*
11 *an historic resource as defined by California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15064.5, as may be*
12 *amended from time to time. Permit fees for pre-application Historic Resource Assessments shall*
13 *be waived for property owners who apply to obtain a density exception under this subsection*
14 *(c)(8), if they sign an affidavit stating their intent to reside on the property for a period of three*
15 *years after the issuance of the Certificate of Final Completion and Occupancy for the new*
16 *dwelling units. Permit fees for Historic Resource Determinations shall not be waived.*

17 *(GD) Applicable Standards. Projects utilizing the density exception of this*
18 *subsection (c)(8) and that provide at least four dwelling units shall be subject to a minimum Rear Yard*
19 *requirement of the greater of 30% of lot depth or 15 feet. All other building standards shall apply in*
20 *accordance with the applicable zoning district as set forth in Section 209.1.*

21 *(DE) Unit Replacement Requirements. Projects utilizing the density exception*
22 *of this subsection (c)(8) shall comply with the requirements of Section 66300(d) of the California*
23 *Government Code, as may be amended from time to time, including but not limited to requirements to*
24 *produce at least as many dwelling units as the projects would demolish; to replace all protected units;*
25

1 and to offer existing occupants of any protected units that are lower income households relocation
2 benefits and a right of first refusal for a comparable unit, as those terms are defined therein.

3 **(FF) Applicability of Rent Ordinance; Regulatory Agreements.**

4 Project sponsors of projects utilizing the density exception of this subsection (c)(8) shall enter
5 into a regulatory agreement with the City, subjecting the new units created pursuant to the
6 exception to the San Francisco Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance
7 (Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code), as a condition of approval of the density exception
8 ("Regulatory Agreement"). At a minimum, the Regulatory Agreement shall contain the
9 following: (i) a statement that the new units created pursuant to the density exception are not
10 subject to the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act (California Civil Code Sections 1954.50 et
11 seq.) because, under Section 1954.52(b), the property owner has entered into and agreed to
12 the terms of this agreement with the City in consideration of an exception from residential
13 density limits of up to four dwelling units per lot, or up to six units per lot in Corner Lots, or
14 other direct financial contribution or other form of assistance specified in California
15 Government Code Sections 65915 et seq.; (ii) a description of the exception of residential
16 density or other direct financial contribution or form of assistance provided to the property
17 owner; and (iii) a description of the remedies for breach of the agreement and other provisions
18 to ensure implementation and compliance with the agreement. The property owner and the
19 Planning Director (or the Director's designee), on behalf of the City, will execute the
20 Regulatory Agreement, which shall be reviewed and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
21 The Regulatory Agreement shall be executed prior to the City's issuance of the First
22 Construction Document for the project, as defined in Section 107A.13.1 of the San Francisco
23 Building Code. Following execution of the Regulatory Agreement by all parties and approval
24 by the City Attorney, the Regulatory Agreement or a memorandum thereof shall be recorded

1 to the title records in the Office of the Assessor-Recorder against the property and shall be
2 binding on all future owners and successors in interest.

3 (FG) Unit Sizes. At least one of the dwelling units resulting from the
4 density exception shall have two or more bedrooms or shall have a square footage equal to
5 no less than 1/3 of the floor area of the largest unit on the lot.

6 (H) Annual Report on Housing Affordability, Racial Equity, and
7 Language Access Goals. To help the City evaluate whether the implementation of Section
8 207(c)(8) comports with the City's housing affordability, racial equity, and language access
9 goals, each year the Planning Department, in consultation with other City departments
10 including the Department of Building Inspection, the Rent Board, and the Office of the
11 Assessor-Recorder, shall prepare a report addressing the characteristics and demographics
12 of the applicants to and participants in this program; the number of units permitted and
13 constructed through this program; the geographic distribution, affordability, and construction
14 costs of those units; and the number of tenants that vacated or were evicted from properties
15 as a result of the permitting or construction of units through this program ("Affordability and
16 Equity Report"). The Affordability and Equity Report shall be included and identified in the
17 annual Housing Inventory Report. The Planning Department shall prepare the report utilizing
18 applicant data that has been provided by program applicants voluntarily and anonymously,
19 and separate from the submittal of an application for a density exception. An applicant's
20 decision to provide or decline to provide the information requested by the Planning
21 Department in order to prepare the report shall have no bearing on the applicant's receipt of a
22 density exception.

23
24 **SEC. 209.1. RH (RESIDENTIAL, HOUSE) DISTRICTS.**
25

1 These Districts are intended to recognize, protect, conserve, and enhance areas
2 characterized by dwellings in the form of houses and small multi-family buildings, usually with
3 one, two, or three units with separate entrances, and limited scale in terms of building width
4 and height, and characterized by rear yards and a pattern of mid-block open spaces. Such areas
5 tend to have similarity of building styles and predominantly contain large units suitable for
6 family occupancy, considerable open space, and limited nonresidential uses. The RH
7 Districts are composed of ~~five separate~~ two three classes of districts, as follows:

8 ~~*RH-1(D) Districts: One-Family (Detached Dwellings). These Districts are characterized by lots*~~
9 ~~*of greater width and area than in other parts of the City, and by single family houses with side yards.*~~
10 ~~*The structures are relatively large, but rarely exceed 35 feet in height. Ground level open space and*~~
11 ~~*landscaping at the front and rear are usually abundant. Much of the development has been in sizable*~~
12 ~~*tracts with similarities of building style and narrow streets following the contours of hills. In some*~~
13 ~~*cases private covenants have controlled the nature of development and helped to maintain the street*~~
14 ~~*areas.*~~

15 ~~*RH-1 Districts: One-Family. These Districts are occupied almost entirely by single family*~~
16 ~~*houses on lots 25 feet in width, without side yards. Floor sizes and building styles vary, but tend to be*~~
17 ~~*uniform within tracts developed in distinct time periods. Though built on separate lots, the structures*~~
18 ~~*have the appearance of small-scale row housing, rarely exceeding 35 feet in height. Front setbacks are*~~
19 ~~*common, and ground level open space is generous. In most cases the single family character of these*~~
20 ~~*Districts has been maintained for a considerable time.*~~

21 ~~*RH-1(S) Districts: One-Family with Minor Second Unit. These Districts are similar in*~~
22 ~~*character to RH-1 Districts, except that a small second dwelling unit has been installed in many*~~
23 ~~*structures, usually by conversion of a ground-story space formerly part of the main unit or devoted to*~~
24 ~~*storage. The second unit remains subordinate to the owner's unit, and may house one or two persons*~~
25

1 ~~related to the owner or be rented to others. Despite these conversions, the structures retain the~~
2 ~~appearance of single family dwellings.~~

3 **RH-2 (D) Districts: Two-Family (Detached Dwellings).** These Districts are
4 characterized by lots of greater width and area than in other parts of the City, and by one or
5 two houses with side yards. The structures are relatively large, but rarely exceed 35 feet in
6 height. Ground level open space and landscaping at the front and rear are usually abundant.
7 Much of the development has been in sizable tracts with similarities of building style and
8 narrow streets following the contours of hills. In some cases, private covenants have
9 controlled the nature of development and helped to maintain the street areas.

10 **RH-2 Districts: Two-Family.** These Districts are devoted to one-family and two-family
11 houses, with the latter commonly consisting of two large flats, one occupied by the owner and
12 the other available for rental. Structures are finely scaled and usually do not exceed 25 feet in
13 width or 40 feet in height. Building styles are often more varied than in historically single-family
14 areas, but certain streets and tracts are quite uniform. Considerable ground-level open space
15 is available, and it frequently is private for each unit. The Districts may have easy access to
16 shopping facilities and transit lines. In some cases, Group Housing and institutions are found
17 in these areas, although nonresidential uses tend to be quite limited.

18 **RH-3 Districts: Three-Family.** These Districts have many similarities to RH-2
19 Districts, but structures with three units are common in addition to one-family and two-family
20 houses. The predominant form is large flats rather than apartments, with lots 25 feet wide, a
21 fine or moderate scale, and separate entrances for each unit. Building styles tend to be varied
22 but complementary to one another. Outdoor space is available at ground level, and also on
23 decks and balconies for individual units. Nonresidential uses are more common in these areas
24 than in RH-2 Districts.

Table 209.1
ZONING CONTROL TABLE FOR RH DISTRICTS

Zoning Category	§ Referen ces	RH-1(D)	RH-1	RH-1(S)	<u>RH-2(D)</u>	RH-2	RH-3
BUILDING STANDARDS							
Massing and Setbacks							
Height and Bulk Limits	§§ 102, 105, 106, 250-252, 253, 260, 261, 261.1, 270, 271. See also Height and Bulk District Maps.	No portion of a Dwelling may be taller than 35 feet. Structures with uses other than Dwellings may be constructed to the prescribed height limit, which is generally 40 feet. Per § 261 the height limit may be decreased or increased based on the slope of the lot.			<u>No portion of a Dwelling may be taller than 35 feet. Structures with uses other than Dwellings may be constructed to the prescribed height limit, which is generally 40 feet. Per § 261 the height limit may be decreased or increased based on the slope of the lot.</u>	No portion of a Dwelling may be taller than 40 feet. Structures with uses other than Dwellings may be constructed to the prescribed height limit. Per § 261 the height limit may be decreased based on the slope of the lot.	Varies, but generally 40 feet. Height sculpting on Alleys per § 261.1.
Front Setback	§§ 130, 131, 132	Required. Based on average of adjacent properties or if subject property has a Legislated Setback. When front setback is based on adjacent properties, in no case shall the required setback be greater than 15 feet.					
Rear Yard <u>(10)</u>	§§ 130, 134	30% of lot depth, but in no case less than 15 feet.			<u>30% of lot depth, but in no case less than 15 feet.</u>	45% of lot depth or average of adjacent neighbors. If averaged, no less than 25% or 15 feet, whichever is greater.	
Side Yard	§§ 130, 133	Required for lots 28 feet and wider. Width of side setback depends on width of lot.			<u>Required for lots 28 feet and wider. Width of side setback depends on width of lot.</u>	Not Required.	

Residential Design Guidelines	§ 311	Subject to the Residential Design Guidelines. Other design guidelines that have been approved by the Planning Commission may also apply.					
Street Frontage and Public Realm							
Front Setback Landscaping and Permeability Requirements	§ 132	Required. At least 50% of Front Setback shall be permeable so as to increase storm water infiltration and 20% of Front Setback shall be unpaved and devoted to plant material.					
Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements (Street Trees)	§ 138.1	Required.					
Street Frontage Requirements	§ 144	§ 144 applies generally. Additional requirements apply to Limited Commercial Uses, as specified in § 186.					
Street Frontage, Parking and Loading Access Restrictions	§ 155(r)	As specified in § 155(r)					
Miscellaneous							
Large Project Review	§ 253	C required for projects over 40 feet in height.					
Planned Unit Development	§ 304	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
Awning	§ 136.1	<i>P (1)</i>	<i>P (1)</i>	<i>P (1)</i>	<u>P (1)</u>	P (1)	P (1)
Canopy or Marquee	§ 136.1	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u>NP</u>	NP	NP
Signs	§ 606	As permitted by Section § 606					
RESIDENTIAL STANDARDS AND USES							
Development Standards							
Usable Open Space [Per Dwelling Unit]	§§ 135, 136	At least 300 square feet if private, and 400 square feet if common.	At least 300 square feet if private, and 400 square feet if common	At least 300 square feet for the first unit and 100 square feet for the minor second unit if private,	At least 300 square feet if private, and 400 square feet if common.	At least 125 square feet if private, and 166 square feet if common.	At least 100 square feet if private, and 133 square feet if common.

				and 400 square feet for the first unit and 133 square feet for the second unit if common.				
Parking Requirements	§§ 151, 161	None required. Maximum permitted per § 151.						
Residential Conversion, Demolition, or Merger	§ 317	C for Removal of one or more Residential Units or Unauthorized Units.						
Use Characteristics								
Intermediate Length Occupancy	§§ 102, 202.10	P(9)	P(9)	P(9)	<u>P(9)</u>	P(9)	P(9)	
Single Room Occupancy	§ 102	P	P	P	<u>P</u>	P	P	
Student Housing	§ 102	P	P	P	<u>P</u>	P	P	
Residential Uses								
Residential Density, Dwelling Units (6)(11)	§§ 102, 207	One unit per lot.	P up to one unit per lot. C up to one unit per 3,000 square feet of lot area, with no more than three	P up to two units per lot, if the second unit is 600 sq. ft. or less. C up to one unit per 3,000 square	<u>P up to two units per lot.</u>	P up to two units per lot. C up to one unit per 1,500 square feet of lot area.	P up to three units per lot. C up to one unit per 1,000 square feet of lot area.	

			units per lot.	feet of lot area, with no more than three units per lot.			
Senior Housing	§§ 102, 202.2(f)	P up to twice the number of dwelling units otherwise permitted as a principal use in the district and meeting all the requirements of § 202.2(f)(1). C up to twice the number of dwelling units otherwise permitted as a principal use in the district and meeting all requirements of Section § 202.2(f)(1) except for § 202.2(f)(1)(D)(iv), related to location.					
Residential Density, Group Housing	§ 208	NP	NP	NP	NP	C, up to one bedroom for every 415 square feet of lot area.	C, up to one bedroom for every 275 square feet of lot area.
Homeless Shelter	§§ 102, 208	NP	NP	NP	NP	C	C
NON-RESIDENTIAL STANDARDS AND USES							
Development Standards							
Floor Area Ratio	§§ 102, 123, 124	1.8 to 1	1.8 to 1	1.8 to 1	<u>1.8 to 1</u>	1.8 to 1	1.8 to 1
Off-Street Parking	§§ 150, 151, 161	None required. Maximum permitted per § 151.					
Limited Commercial Uses	§§ 186, 186.3	Continuing nonconforming uses are permitted, subject to the requirements of § 186. Limited Commercial Uses may be conditionally permitted in historic buildings subject to § 186.3.					
Agricultural Use Category							
Agricultural Uses*	§§ 102, 202.2(c)	€	€	€	C	C	C
Agriculture, Industrial	§§ 102, 202.2(c)	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

1	Agriculture, Neighborhood	§§ 102, 202.2(c)	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<u><i>P</i></u>	P	P
2	Automotive Use Category							
3	Automotive Uses*	§ 102	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u><i>NP</i></u>	NP	NP
4	Parking Garage, Private	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
5	Parking Lot, Private	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
6	Parking Lot, Public	§§ 102, 142, 156	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u><i>NP</i></u>	NP (8)	NP
7	Entertainment, Arts and Recreation Use Category							
8	Entertainment, Arts and Recreation Uses*	§ 102	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u><i>NP</i></u>	NP	NP
9	Open Recreation Area	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
10	Passive Outdoor Recreation	§ 102	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<u><i>P</i></u>	P	P
11	Industrial Use Category							
12	Industrial Uses*	§ 102	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u><i>NP</i></u>	NP	NP
13	Institutional Use Category							
14	Institutional Uses*	§ 102	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u><i>NP</i></u>	NP	NP
15	Child Care Facility	§ 102	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<u><i>P</i></u>	P	P
16	Community Facility	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
17	Hospital	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
18	Post-Secondary Ed. Institution	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
19	Public Facilities	§ 102	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<u><i>P</i></u>	P	P
20	Religious Institution	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
21	Residential Care Facility	§ 102	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>P</i>	<u><i>P</i></u>	P	P
22	School	§ 102	€	€	€	<u>C</u>	C	C
23	Sales and Service Category							
24	Retail Sales and Service Uses*	§ 102	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u><i>NP</i></u>	NP	NP
25	Hotel	§ 102	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<i>NP</i>	<u><i>NP</i></u>	C (4)	C (4)

Mortuary	§ 102	C (5)	C (5)	C (5)	<u>C (5)</u>	C (5)	C (5)
Non-Retail Sales and Service*	§ 102	NP	NP	NP	<u>NP</u>	NP	NP
Utility and Infrastructure Use Category							
Utility and Infrastructure*	§ 102	NP	NP	NP	<u>NP</u>	NP	NP
Internet Service Exchange	§ 102	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C
Utility Installation	§ 102	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C
Wireless Telecommunications Services Facility	§ 102	C or P (7)	C or P (7)	C or P (7)	<u>C or P (7)</u>	C or P (7)	C or P (7)

* Not listed below.

(10) Projects utilizing the density exception of Section 207(c)(8) and that provide at least four dwelling units shall be subject to a minimum Rear Yard requirement of 30% of lot depth, but in no case less than 15 feet.

(11) P for up to four dwelling units per lot, excluding Corner Lots, and P for up to six dwelling units in Corner Lots, pursuant to Section 207(c)(8).

Section 4. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sheets ZN01, ZN02, ZN03, ZN04, ZN05, ZN06, ZN07, ZN08, ZN09, ZN10, ZN11, ZN12, and ZN13 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

<u>Zoning Districts to be Superseded</u>	<u>Zoning Districts Hereby Approved</u>
RH-1(D); RH-1; RH-1(S)	RH-2
<u>RH-1(D)</u>	<u>RH-2(D)</u>

1 Section 5. Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising
2 Sections 10E.4, 37.2, and 37.3, to read as follows:

3
4 **SEC. 10E.4. HOUSING ELEMENT PRODUCTION REPORTS AND HEARINGS.**

5 * * * *

6 **(b) Planning Department Reports.**

7 * * * *

8
9 (3) Annual Housing Inventory Reports. The Planning Department shall
10 publish an annual Housing Inventory on April 1st of each year that contains at a minimum:

11 (A) an evaluation of how residential projects entitled or at any
12 other stage of the housing production process during the preceding calendar year contribute
13 to the City's quantified regional housing needs allocation for different household income levels
14 as determined in the General Plan's Housing Element, and including data on households
15 earning approximately 120% to 150% of area median income based on sponsors' disclosure
16 of unit pricing for market rate housing proposals and other available data; senior housing
17 units; and the number of efficiency, studio, one bedroom, two bedroom and three bedroom
18 and above units (when the ability to collect this data exists)-;

19 (B) how residential projects in the housing production process met
20 inclusionary housing requirements as on-site below-market-rate (BMR) units, off-site BMR
21 units, or payment of an in-lieu fee, including data tracking revenue generated from the
22 Affordable Housing Fee under Planning Code Section 415 et seq.; and

23 (C) the number of residential projects at any stage of the housing
24 production process during the preceding calendar year within the City's Planning Districts and
25 Plan Areas for different housing income levels as determined in the General Plan's Housing

1 Element, and including data on households earning approximately 120% to 150% of area
2 median income based on sponsors' disclosure of unit pricing for market rate housing
3 proposals and other available data; senior housing units; and the number of efficiency, studio,
4 one bedroom, two bedroom and three bedroom and above units (when the ability to collect
5 this data exists)-; and

6 (D) the contents of the Affordability and Equity Report as defined in Planning
7 Code Section 207(c)(8).

8 9 **SEC. 37.2. DEFINITIONS.**

10 * * * *

11 (r) **Rental Units.** All residential dwelling units in the City and County of San Francisco
12 together with the land and appurtenant buildings thereto, and all housing services, privileges,
13 furnishings, and facilities supplied in connection with the use or occupancy thereof, including
14 garage and parking facilities.

15 * * * *

16 The term "rental units" shall not include:

17 * * * *

18 (4) Except as provided in subsections (A)-(DE), dwelling units whose rents are
19 controlled or regulated by any government unit, agency, or authority, excepting those
20 unsubsidized and/or unassisted units which are insured by the United States Department of
21 Housing and Urban Development; provided, however, that units in unreinforced masonry
22 buildings which have undergone seismic strengthening in accordance with Building Code
23 Chapters 16B and 16C shall remain subject to the Rent Ordinances to the extent that the
24 ordinance is not in conflict with the seismic strengthening bond program or with the program's
25 loan agreements or with any regulations promulgated thereunder;

1 * * * *

2 (E) The term "rental units" shall include any new dwelling units created
3 pursuant to the density exception set forth in Section 207(c)(8) of the Planning Code.

4
5
6 **SEC. 37.3. RENT LIMITATIONS.**

7 (a) Rent Increase Limitations for Tenants in Occupancy. Landlords may impose rent
8 increases upon tenants in occupancy only as provided below and as provided by subsections
9 37.3(d) and 37.3(g):

10 * * * *

11 (d) **Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act (Civil Code Sections 1954.50. et seq.).**
12 Consistent with the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act (Civil Code Sections 1954.50. et seq.)
13 and regardless of whether otherwise provided under Chapter 37:

14 (1) **Property Owner Rights to Establish Initial and All Subsequent Rental**
15 **Rates for Separately Alienable Parcels.**

16 (A) An owner or residential real property may establish the initial and all
17 subsequent rental rates for a dwelling or a unit which is alienable separate from the title to any
18 other dwelling unit or is a subdivided interest in a subdivision as specified in subdivision (b),
19 (d), or (f) of Section 11004.5 of the California Business and Professions Code. The owner's
20 right to establish subsequent rental rates under this paragraph shall not apply to a dwelling or
21 unit where the preceding tenancy has been terminated by the owner by notice pursuant to
22 California Civil Code Section 1946 or has been terminated upon a change in the terms of the
23 tenancy noticed pursuant to California Civil Code Section 827; in such instances, the rent
24 increase limitation provisions of Chapter 37 shall continue to apply for the duration of the new
25 tenancy in that dwelling or unit.

1 * * * *

2 (D) An owner's right to establish subsequent rental rates under
3 subsection 37.3(d)(1) shall not apply to a dwelling or unit that is a new dwelling unit created
4 pursuant to the density exception set forth in Section 207(c)(8) of the Planning Code.

5 * * * *

6 (g) **New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation.**

7 (1) An owner of a residential dwelling or unit which is newly constructed and
8 first received a certificate of occupancy after the effective date of Ordinance No. 276-79 (June
9 13, 1979), or which the Rent Board has certified has undergone a substantial rehabilitation,
10 may establish the initial and all subsequent rental rates for that dwelling or unit, except:

11 (A) where rent restrictions apply to the dwelling or unit under
12 Sections 37.3(d) or 37.3(f);

13 (B) where the dwelling or unit is a replacement unit under
14 Section 37.9A(b);

15 (C) as provided for certain categories of Accessory Dwelling Units under
16 Section 37.2(r)(4)(D); and

17 (D) as provided in a development agreement entered into by the City
18 under Administrative Code Chapter 56-; and

19 (E) as provided for certain categories of new dwelling units under Section
20 37.2(r)(4)(E).

21
22 Section 56. Article 9 of the Subdivision Code is hereby amended by revising Sections
23 1396.2, 1396.4 and 1396.5 and adding Section 1396.6, to read as follows:

1 **SEC. 1396.2. PROHIBITION ON CONDOMINIUM CONVERSIONS FOR CERTAIN**
2 **BUILDINGS.**

3 (a) Notwithstanding any provisions in this Code to the contrary, including
4 Section 1359, the Department of Public Works shall not sell residential condominium
5 conversion lottery tickets to; shall not accept a residential condominium conversion
6 subdivision application from; and shall deny a tentative subdivision or tentative parcel map for
7 residential condominium conversion submitted by the owner(s) of a building that meets all of
8 the following conditions:

9 (1) the building had two or more evictions with each eviction associated with a
10 separate unit(s);

11 (2) issuance of each eviction notice occurred on or after May 1, 2005; and,

12 (3) issuance of the eviction notice(s) occurred pursuant to San Francisco
13 Administrative Code Sections 37.9(a)(8), 37.9(a)(10), or 37.9(a)(11), ~~or 37.9(a)(13).~~

14
15 **SEC. 1396.4. CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION FEE AND EXPEDITED CONVERSION**
16 **PROGRAM.**

17 * * * *

18 (b) Any building may be exempted from the annual lottery provisions of Section 1396
19 if the building owners for said building comply with either: (1) Section 1396.3 (g)(1) and all the
20 requirements of this Section 1396.4 or (2) all the requirements of Section 1396.6.

21 Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, no property or applicant subject to any of the
22 prohibitions on conversions set forth in Section 1396.2, in particular a property with the
23 eviction(s) set forth in Section 1396.2 (b), is eligible for the Expedited Conversion program
24 under this Section 1396.4. Eligible buildings as set forth in this subsSection (b) may exercise
25 their option to participate in this program according to the following requirements:

1 * * * *

2 **SEC. 1396.5. SUSPENSION OF THE LOTTERY PENDING PRODUCTION OF**
3 **REPLACEMENT UNITS FOR EXPEDITED CONVERSION UNITS.**

4 * * * *

5 (c) Except as otherwise authorized under Section 1396.6, the Department shall not accept
6 an application for the conversion of residential units under Section 1396 nor conduct a lottery
7 under this Article prior to January 1, 2024. Thereafter, the lottery shall resume upon the
8 earlier of the following: (1) the first February following the Mayor's Office of Housing and
9 Community Development report pursuant to ~~S~~subsection (b) showing that the total number of
10 Conversion Replacement Units produced in the City of San Francisco exceeded the total
11 number of units converted as identified in the Department's report prepared pursuant to
12 subsection (a); or (2) completion of the "Maximum Suspension Period" as defined below.

13 * * * *

14 **1396.6. CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECTS THAT**
15 **UTILIZE THE RESIDENTIAL DENSITY EXCEPTION IN RH DISTRICTS TO CONSTRUCT**
16 **NEW DWELLING UNITS PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE SECTION 207(C)(8).**

17 (a) Findings. The findings of Planning Code Section 415.1 concerning the City's inclusionary
18 affordable housing program are incorporated herein by reference and support the basis for charging
19 the fee set forth herein as it relates to the conversion of dwelling units into condominiums.

20 (b) Definition. "Existing Dwelling Units" shall refer to the dwelling units in existence on a lot
21 at the time of the submittal of an application to construct a new dwelling unit pursuant to Planning
22 Code Section 207(c)(8).

23 (c) Notwithstanding Section 1396.4 and Ordinance No. 117-13, the a subdivider of a one unit
24 building that has obtained a permit to build one or more new dwelling units by utilizing the exception
25 to residential density in RH districts set forth in Planning Code Section 207(c)(8), which results in a

1 greater number of dwelling units than the number of Existing Dwelling Units ~~two or more~~
2 dwelling units, and that has signed an affidavit stating the subdivider's intent to reside in one
3 of those resulting dwelling units, or in the Existing Dwelling Unit, for a period of three years
4 after the approval of Certificate of Final Completion and Occupancy for the new dwelling units,
5 shall (1) be exempt from the annual lottery provisions of Section 1396 with respect to the dwelling units
6 built as part of the Project Units and (2) be eligible to submit a condominium conversion application
7 for ~~such the Existing Dwelling Units~~ and/or include ~~the Existing Dwelling Units~~ in a condominium
8 map application for the project approved pursuant to Planning Code Section 207(c)(8).

9 Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, no property or applicant subject to any of the prohibitions on
10 conversions set forth in Section 1396.2, in particular a property with the eviction(s) set forth in Section
11 1396.2(b), shall be eligible for condominium conversion under this Section 1396.6. Eligible buildings
12 as set forth in this subsection (c) may exercise their option to participate in this program according to
13 the following requirements:

14 (1) The applicant(s) for the subject building seeking to convert dwelling units to
15 condominiums or subdivide dwelling units into condominiums under this subsection shall pay the fee
16 specified in Section 1315.

17 (2) In addition to all other provisions of this Section 1396.6, the applicant(s) comply
18 with all of the following:

19 (A) The requirements of Subdivision Code Article 9, Sections 1381, 1382, 1383,
20 1386, 1387, 1388, 1389, 1390, 1391(a) and (b), 1392, 1393, 1394, and 1395.

21 (B) The applicant(s) must certify that within the 60 months preceding the date of
22 the subject application, no tenant resided at the property.

23 (C) The applicant(s) must certify that to the extent any tenant vacated their unit
24 after March 31, 2013, and before recordation of the final parcel or subdivision map, such tenant did so
25 voluntarily or if an eviction or eviction notice occurred it was not pursuant to Administrative Code

1 Sections 37.9(a)(8)-(12) and 37.9(a)(14). If an eviction has taken place under Sections 37.9(a)(11) or
2 37.9(a)(14), then the applicant(s) shall certify that the original tenant reoccupied the unit after the
3 temporary eviction.

4 (3) If the Department finds that a violation of this Section 1396.6 occurred prior to
5 recordation of the final map or final parcel map, the Department shall disapprove the application or
6 subject map. If the Department finds that a violation of this Section occurred after recordation of the
7 final map or parcel map, the Department shall take such enforcement actions as are available and
8 within its authority to address the violation.

9 (4) This Section 1396.6 shall not prohibit a subdivider who has lawfully
10 exercised the subdivider's rights under Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(13) from
11 submitting a condominium conversion application under this Section 1396.6.

12 (d) Decisions and Hearing on the Application.

13 (1) The applicant shall obtain a final and effective tentative map or tentative parcel
14 map approval for the condominium subdivision or parcel map within one year of paying the fee
15 specified in subsection (e). The Director of the Department of Public Works or the Director's designee
16 is authorized to waive the time limits set forth in this subsection (d)(1) as it applies to a particular
17 building due to extenuating or unique circumstances. Such waiver may be granted only after a public
18 hearing and in no case shall the time limit extend beyond two years after submission of the application.

19 (2) No less than 20 days prior to the Department's proposed decision on a tentative
20 map or tentative parcel map, the Department shall publish the addresses of buildings being considered
21 for approval and post such information on its website. During this time, any interested party may file a
22 written objection to an application and submit information to the Department contesting the eligibility
23 of a building. In addition, the Department may elect to hold a public hearing on said tentative map or
24 tentative parcel map to consider the information presented by the public, other City department, or an
25 applicant. If the Department elects to hold such a hearing it shall post notice of such hearing and

1 provide written notice to the applicant, all tenants of such building, any member of the public who
2 submitted information to the Department, and any interested party who has requested such notice. In
3 the event that an objection to the conversion application is filed in accordance with this subsection
4 (d)(2), and based upon all the facts available to the Department, the Department shall approve,
5 conditionally approve, or disapprove an application and state the reasons in support of that decision.

6 (3) Any map application subject to a Departmental public hearing on the subdivision
7 or a subdivision appeal shall have the time limit set forth in subsection (d)(1) extended for another six
8 months.

9 (e) Should the subdivision application be denied or be rejected as untimely in accordance with
10 the dates specified in subsection (d)(1), or the tentative subdivision map or tentative parcel map
11 disapproved, the City shall refund the entirety of the application fee.

12 (f) Conversion of buildings pursuant to this Section 1396.6 shall have no effect on the terms
13 and conditions applicable to such buildings under Section 1341A, 1385A, or 1396 of this Code.

14
15 Section 67. The Planning Department, the Rent Board, and the Department of Public
16 Works are authorized to adopt regulations to implement this ordinance. The Planning
17 Department shall create a report summarizing all applicable design standards in residential
18 districts in the City, and submit such report to the Board for its consideration within six months
19 from the effective date of this ordinance.

20
21 Section 78. Conforming Amendments in the Municipal Code.

22 (a) This ordinance abolishes RH-1, RH-1(D), and RH-1(S) districts. To conform the
23 Municipal Code to these districts having been abolished, the City Attorney shall cause all
24 references to RH-1, ~~RH-1(D)~~, and RH-1(S) in the Municipal Code to be removed and replaced
25 with a reference to RH-2, and all references to RH-1(D) to be replaced with RH-2(D);

1 provided, however, that where the Municipal Code references ~~one or more of the three~~
2 ~~abolished districts~~ RH-1 or RH-1(S) districts along with a reference to RH-2, the City Attorney
3 shall cause the reference to the abolished district or districts to be removed from the Municipal
4 Code, with the reference to RH-2 retained.

5 (b) The City Attorney shall provide written notice to the Clerk of the Board of
6 Supervisors of the changes to the Municipal Code resulting from the implementation of
7 subsection (a). The Clerk shall place the City Attorney's notice in Board File No. 210866, the
8 file for the ordinance abolishing the RH-1, RH-1(D), and RH-1(S) districts.

9 (c) Any reference in the Municipal Code to RH-1, RH-1(D), or RH-1(S) districts that
10 might remain, for example, due to inadvertence or delay in implementing subsection (a), or for
11 any other reason, shall not be understood to contradict or be in conflict with this ordinance's
12 abolition of said districts.

13
14 Section ~~89~~. No Conflict with Federal or State Law. Nothing in this ordinance shall be
15 interpreted or applied so as to create any requirement, power, or duty in conflict with any
16 federal or state law.

17
18 Section ~~9~~10. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or
19 word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be
20 invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision
21 shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The
22 Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and
23 every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or
24 unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application
25 thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

1
2 Section 4011. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
3 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
4 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
5 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
6

7 Section 4412. Scope of Ordinance. Except as stated in Sections 4 and 7 of this
8 ordinance, in enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those
9 words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks,
10 charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly
11 shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board
12 amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the
13 ordinance.
14

15 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

17 By: /s/ Andrea Ruiz-Esquide
18 ANDREA RUIZ-ESQUIDE
19 Deputy City Attorney

20 n:\legana\as2022\2200012\01606332.docx
21
22
23
24
25



City and County of San Francisco

Tails Ordinance

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 220446

Date Passed: July 12, 2022

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to rezone all Residential, One Family (RH-1) zoning districts, except for Residential, One Family, Detached (RH-1(D)) districts, to Residential, Two Family (RH-2) zoning districts; to rezone the RH-1(D) districts to a new class of residential district called Residential, Two Family, Detached (RH-2(D)) districts; and to provide a density limit exception to permit up to four dwelling units per lot, and up to six dwelling units per lot in Corner Lots, in all RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, subject to certain requirements, including among others the replacement of protected units; amending the Administrative Code to require new dwelling units constructed pursuant to the density limit exception to be subject to the rent increase limitations of the Rent Ordinance; amending the Subdivision Code to authorize a subdivider that is constructing new dwelling units pursuant to the density exception to submit an application for condominium conversion or a condominium map that includes the existing dwelling units and the new dwelling units that constitute the project; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

April 25, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

April 25, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - CONTINUED AS AMENDED

May 09, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

May 09, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - CONTINUED AS AMENDED

May 16, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

May 16, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - CONTINUED AS AMENDED

June 06, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

June 06, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

June 06, 2022 Land Use and Transportation Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

June 14, 2022 Board of Supervisors - CONTINUED ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 10 - Chan, Dorsey, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai and Walton

Excused: 1 - Stefani

June 28, 2022 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 10 - Dorsey, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai,
Stefani and Walton

Excused: 1 - Chan

June 28, 2022 Board of Supervisors - PASSED ON FIRST READING AS AMENDED

Ayes: 6 - Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston and Ronen

Noes: 4 - Dorsey, Safai, Stefani and Walton

Excused: 1 - Chan


July 12, 2022 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 7 - Chan, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston and Ronen

Noes: 4 - Dorsey, Safai, Stefani and Walton

File No. 220446

**I hereby certify that the foregoing
Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on
7/12/2022 by the Board of Supervisors of
the City and County of San Francisco.**



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

London N. Breed
Mayor

Date Approved

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Mayoral Appointment
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 3:34:00 PM
Attachments: [Clerk's Memo 7.15.22.pdf](#)
[Lesly Simmons appointment 2022.pdf](#)
[Lesly Simmons 2022 Resume.pdf](#)
[Lesly Simmons Form 700.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete nomination package. Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 15, 2022
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: *AC* Mayoral Appointment – Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee

On July 11, 2022, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment package, pursuant to Charter, Section 16.108-1(c) and Administrative Code, Section 2A.333.

Appointment to the Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee:

- Lesly Simmons – term ending July 1, 2023

Pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 2A.333, the Board of Supervisors may hold a public hearing on each of the Mayor's appointees to the Committee, but take no action on the appointment.

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by timely notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Committee may hear the appointment within 30 days of the transmittal letter as provided in Charter, Section 16.108-1(c).

The final regularly scheduled Rules Committee Meeting is on July 25, 2022. If you wish to hold a hearing on this appointment, please let me know, in writing, by 12:00 p.m. on Wednesday, July 20, 2022, and we will work with the Rules Chair for scheduling.

c: Aaron Peskin- Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino - Mayor's Legislative Liaison
Tyra Fennell - Director of Commission Affairs

LESLY C. SIMMONS

GLOBAL STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS LEADER

LESLY.SIMMONS@GMAIL.COM | (240) 381-5319 | @LESLYSIMMONS

EXPERIENCE

GLOBAL HEAD OF EVENT PROGRAMMING & INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS, AMAZON ADS

SAN FRANCISCO

2021-PRESENT

Built and lead Amazon Ads event content team, responsible for engaging executives, subject matter experts and VIP speakers for 20+ global events, overseeing scripting, programming, speaker selection and training for Cannes Lions, CES, Mobile World Congress, NewFronts and more. Lead internal communications and employee engagement for 700+ Ads employees worldwide, overseeing new hire onboarding, communication and events.

LEAD, GLOBAL EVENT PROGRAMMING, TWITTER, SAN FRANCISCO

2018–2021

Developed Twitter's event programming team for internal and external events worldwide with C-suite and department leaders, including special projects for Twitter CEO. Led global cross-functional task force to create and implement companywide virtual event standards in response to COVID-19. Manage external speaker engagement, and conduct post-event feedback analysis and reporting, and share data-driven recommendations for improved event experiences.

PROJECTS:

Executive producer, monthly global #OneTeam All-hands

Programming Lead, TwitterVoicesAtHome Series

Executive Producer, Twitter Analyst Day 2021

Producer, Storytime with Tweeps weekly series

Programming Lead, 2019 CES, 2019/2020 SXSW, 2019/2020 NewFronts

Executive content producer, 2018/2019 #OneTeam Global Conference

Global Events Chair, TwitterParents Business Resource Group (BRG)

FOUNDER, SIMMONS CREATIVE LLC, WASHINGTON DC & SAN FRANCISCO

2009–2018

Boutique consultancy offering speaker management and conference production services to technology firms, corporations and nonprofits. Develop thought leadership talks and identify and secure speaking engagements for senior executives. Clients include Airbnb, Amtrak, City of Oakland, Facebook, Gap Inc., Handshake, Oculus, Twitter, Vox Media, and the World Economic Forum.

PROJECTS INCLUDE:

Executive content producer, 2018 Code Conference

2017/2018 Code Commerce & Code Media

VIP talent event planner, 2018 Beyonce @ Coachella

Executive content producer, 2016/2017 Oculus Connect

Programming Consultant, 2016 Google I/O Conference

PR MANAGER & SPOKESPERSON, AMERICAN RED CROSS, WASHINGTON DC

2001–2009

LESLY C. SIMMONS

EDUCATION

MA, Philosophy, Georgetown University, Washington, DC

BA, Journalist, George Washington University, Washington, DC

SPEAKING

Panelist, "From Black Burnout to Black Joy," Female Quotient, 2021

Presenter, Splash, "Creating Content for Virtual Events" 2021

Panelist, AdWeek "Parenting in a Pandemic" 2020

Social Media Master Class, National Black Public Relations Society, 2020

Presenter, Public Relations Society of America

Panelist, National Association of Black & Hispanic Journalists Conventions

VOLUNTEERING

Chair, African-American Parent Advisory Council, Glen Park Elementary

Advisory Board Member, Sister Web Maternal Health Coalition

Committee Member, National Kidney Foundation "Let's Read" Children's Initiative

Founder and former Board Chair, Community Well Fund

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

July 11, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 16.108-1(c), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Lesly Simmons to Seat 4 of the San Francisco Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, formerly held by Tina Burbulman, completing a two year term ending July 1, 2023.

Attached are Ms. Simmons qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

2020-2021 Statement of Economic Interests



Form 700

A Public Document

Table of Contents

Quick Start Guide	p.2
Who? Where? How? When?	p.3
Types of Statements.....	p.4
Cover Page and Schedules	
Cover Page	p.5
Schedule A-1 (<i>Investments</i>).....	p.7
Schedule A-2 (<i>Business Entities/Trusts</i>)	p.9
Schedule B (<i>Real Property</i>)	p.11
Schedule C (<i>Income</i>)	p.13
Schedule D (<i>Gifts</i>).....	p.15
Schedule E (<i>Travel Payments</i>).....	p.17
Restrictions and Prohibitions	p.19
Q & A	p.20

Helpful Resources

- Video Tutorials
- Reference Pamphlet
- Excel Version
- FAQs
- Gift and Travel Fact Sheet for State and Local Officials

California Fair Political Practices Commission

1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 • Sacramento, CA 95811

Email Advice: advice@fppc.ca.gov

Toll-free advice line: 1 (866) ASK-FPPC • 1 (866) 275-3772

Telephone: (916) 322-5660 • Website: www.fppc.ca.gov

December 2020

Quick Start Guide

Detailed instructions begin on page 3.

WHEN IS THE ANNUAL STATEMENT DUE?

- March 1 – Elected State Officers, Judges and Court Commissioners, State Board and Commission members listed in Government Code Section 87200
- April 1 – Most other filers

WHERE DO I FILE?

Most people file the Form 700 with their agency. If you're not sure where to file your Form 700, contact your filing officer or the person who asked you to complete it.

ITEMS TO NOTE!

- The Form 700 is a public document.
- Only filers serving in active military duty may receive an extension on the filing deadline.
- You must also report interests held by your spouse or registered domestic partner.
- Your agency's conflict of interest code will help you to complete the Form 700. You are encouraged to get your conflict of interest code from the person who asked you to complete the Form 700.

NOTHING TO REPORT?

Mark the "No reportable interests" box on Part 4 of the Cover Page, and submit only the signed Cover Page. Please review each schedule carefully!

Schedule	Common Reportable Interests	Common Non-Reportable Interests
A-1: Investments	Stocks, including those held in an IRA or 401K. Each stock must be listed.	Insurance policies, government bonds, diversified mutual funds, funds similar to diversified mutual funds.
A-2: Business Entities/Trusts	Business entities, sole proprietorships, partnerships, LLCs, corporations and trusts. (e.g., Form 1099 filers).	Savings and checking accounts, and annuities.
B: Real Property	Rental property in filer's jurisdiction, or within two miles of the boundaries of the jurisdiction.	A residence used exclusively as a personal residence (such as a home or vacation property).
C: Income	Non-governmental salaries. Note that filers are required to report only half of their spouse's or partner's salary.	Governmental salary (from school district, for example).
D: Gifts	Gifts from businesses, vendors, or other contractors (meals, tickets, etc.).	Gifts from family members.
E: Travel Payments	Travel payments from third parties (not your employer).	Travel paid by your government agency.

Note: Like reportable interests, non-reportable interests may also create conflicts of interest and could be grounds for disqualification from certain decisions.

QUESTIONS?

- advice@fppc.ca.gov
- (866) 275-3772 Mon-Thurs, 9-11:30 a.m.

E-FILING ISSUES?

- If using your agency's system, please contact technical support at your agency.
- If using FPPC's e-filing system, write to form700@fppc.ca.gov.

What's New

Gift Limit Increase

The gift limit increased to **\$520** for calendar years **2021** and **2022**. The gift limit in 2020 was **\$500**.

Who must file:

- Elected and appointed officials and candidates listed in Government Code Section 87200
- Employees, appointed officials, and consultants filing pursuant to a conflict of interest code ("code filers").
Obtain your disclosure categories, which describe the interests you must report, from your agency; they are not part of the Form 700
- Candidates running for local elective offices that are designated in a conflict of interest code (e.g., county sheriffs, city clerks, school board trustees, and water board members)

Exception:

- Candidates for a county central committee are not required to file the Form 700.
- Members of newly created boards and commissions not yet covered under a conflict of interest code
- Employees in newly created positions of existing agencies

For more information, see Reference Pamphlet, page 3, at www.fppc.ca.gov.

Where to file:

87200 Filers

State offices	⇒	Your agency
Judicial offices	⇒	The clerk of your court
Retired Judges	⇒	Directly with FPPC
County offices	⇒	Your county filing official
City offices	⇒	Your city clerk
Multi-County offices	⇒	Your agency

Code Filers — State and Local Officials, Employees, and Consultants Designated in a Conflict of Interest

Code: File with your agency, board, or commission unless otherwise specified in your agency's code (e.g., Legislative staff files directly with FPPC). In most cases, the agency, board, or commission will retain the statements.

Members of Boards and Commissions of Newly Created Agencies:

File with your newly created agency or with your agency's code reviewing body.

Employees in Newly Created Positions of Existing Agencies:

File with your agency or with your agency's code reviewing body. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 3.)

Candidates: File with your local elections office.

How to file:

The Form 700 is available at www.fppc.ca.gov. Form 700 schedules are also available in Excel format. All

statements must have an original "wet" signature or be duly authorized by your filing officer to file electronically under Government Code Section 87500.2.

When to file:

Annual Statements

⇒ March 1, 2021

- Elected State Officers
- Judges and Court Commissioners
- State Board and State Commission Members listed in Government Code Section 87200

⇒ April 1, 2021

- Most other filers

Individuals filing under conflict of interest codes in city and county jurisdictions should verify the annual filing date with their local filing officers.

Statements postmarked by the filing deadline are considered filed on time.

Statements of 30 pages or less may be emailed or faxed by the deadline as long as the originally signed paper version is sent by first class mail to the filing official within 24 hours.

Assuming Office and Leaving Office Statements

Most filers file within 30 days of assuming or leaving office or within 30 days of the effective date of a newly adopted or amended conflict of interest code.

Exception:

If you assumed office between October 1, 2020, and December 31, 2020, and filed an assuming office statement, you are not required to file an annual statement until March 1, 2022, or April 1, 2022, whichever is applicable. The annual statement will cover the day after you assumed office through December 31, 2021. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 6, for additional exceptions.)

Candidate Statements

File no later than the final filing date for the declaration of candidacy or nomination documents. A candidate statement is not required if you filed an assuming office or annual statement for the same jurisdiction within 60 days before filing a declaration of candidacy or other nomination documents.

Late Statements

There is no provision for filing deadline extensions unless the filer is serving in active military duty. (See page 19 for information on penalties and fines.)

Amendments

Statements may be amended at any time. You are only required to amend the schedule that needs to be revised. It is not necessary to amend the entire filed form. Obtain amendment schedules at www.fppc.ca.gov.

Types of Statements

Assuming Office Statement:

If you are a newly appointed official or are newly employed in a position designated, or that will be designated, in a state or local agency's conflict of interest code, your assuming office date is the date you were sworn in or otherwise authorized to serve in the position. If you are a newly elected official, your assuming office date is the date you were sworn in.

- Report: Investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date you assumed the office or position must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date you assumed the office or position.

For positions subject to confirmation by the State Senate or the Commission on Judicial Appointments, your assuming office date is the date you were appointed or nominated to the position.

- Example: Maria Lopez was nominated by the Governor to serve on a state agency board that is subject to state Senate confirmation. The assuming office date is the date Maria's nomination is submitted to the Senate. Maria must report investments, interests in real property, and business positions she holds on that date, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to that date.

If your office or position has been added to a newly adopted or newly amended conflict of interest code, use the effective date of the code or amendment, whichever is applicable.

- Report: Investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the effective date of the code or amendment must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the effective date of the code or amendment.

Annual Statement:

Generally, the period covered is January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020. If the period covered by the statement is different than January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020, (for example, you assumed office between October 1, 2019, and December 31, 2019 or you are combining statements), you must specify the period covered.

- Investments, interests in real property, business positions held, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the period covered by the statement must be reported. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B unless you are required to report the acquisition or disposition of an interest that did not occur in 2020.

- If your disclosure category changes during a reporting period, disclose under the old category until the effective date of the conflict of interest code amendment and disclose under the new disclosure category through the end of the reporting period.

Leaving Office Statement:

Generally, the period covered is January 1, 2020, through the date you stopped performing the duties of your position. If the period covered differs from January 1, 2020, through the date you stopped performing the duties of your position (for example, you assumed office between October 1, 2019, and December 31, 2019, or you are combining statements), the period covered must be specified. The reporting period can cover parts of two calendar years.

- Report: Investments, interests in real property, business positions held, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the period covered by the statement. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B unless you are required to report the acquisition or disposition of an interest that did not occur in 2020.

Candidate Statement:

If you are filing a statement in connection with your candidacy for state or local office, investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date of filing your declaration of candidacy must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date of filing your declaration of candidacy is reportable. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B.

Candidates running for local elective offices (e.g., county sheriffs, city clerks, school board trustees, or water district board members) must file candidate statements, as required by the conflict of interest code for the elected position. The code may be obtained from the agency of the elected position.

Amendments:

If you discover errors or omissions on any statement, file an amendment as soon as possible. You are only required to amend the schedule that needs to be revised; it is not necessary to refile the entire form. Obtain amendment schedules from the FPPC website at www.fppc.ca.gov.

Note: Once you file your statement, you may not withdraw it. All changes must be noted on amendment schedules.

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Date Initial Filing Received
Filing Official Use Only

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Your Position

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: Position:

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

☐ State

☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

☐ Multi-County

☐ County of

☐ City of

☐ Other

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

☐ **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, 2020, through
December 31, 2020.

☐ **Leaving Office:** Date Left ____/____/_____
(Check one circle.)

-or-

The period covered is ____/____/_____, through
December 31, 2020.

☐ The period covered is January 1, 2020, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

☐ The period covered is ____/____/_____, through
the date of leaving office.

☐ **Assuming Office:** Date assumed ____/____/____

☐ **Candidate:** Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____

4. Schedule Summary (must complete) ► Total number of pages including this cover page: _____

Schedules attached

☐ **Schedule A-1 - Investments** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule A-2 - Investments** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule D - Income – Gifts** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule B - Real Property** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments** – schedule attached

-or- ☐ **None - No reportable interests on any schedule**

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)

DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER EMAIL ADDRESS
()

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed _____
(month, day, year)

Signature _____
(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

Instructions

Cover Page

Enter your name, mailing address, and daytime telephone number in the spaces provided. **Because the Form 700 is a public document, you may list your business/office address instead of your home address.**

Part 1. Office, Agency, or Court

- Enter the name of the office sought or held, or the agency or court. Consultants must enter the public agency name rather than their private firm's name. (Examples: State Assembly; Board of Supervisors; Office of the Mayor; Department of Finance; Hope County Superior Court)
- Indicate the name of your division, board, or district, if applicable. (Examples: Division of Waste Management; Board of Accountancy; District 45). **Do not use acronyms.**
- Enter your position title. (Examples: Director; Chief Counsel; City Council Member; Staff Services Analyst)
- If you hold multiple positions (i.e., a city council member who also is a member of a county board or commission), you may be required to file statements with each agency. To simplify your filing obligations, you may complete an expanded statement.
 - To do this, enter the name of the other agency(ies) with which you are required to file and your position title(s) in the space provided. **Do not use acronyms.** Attach an additional sheet if necessary. Complete one statement covering the disclosure requirements for all positions. Each copy must contain an original signature. Therefore, before signing the statement, make a copy for each agency. Sign each copy with an original signature and file with each agency.

If you assume or leave a position after a filing deadline, you must complete a separate statement. For example, a city council member who assumes a position with a county special district after the April annual filing deadline must file a separate assuming office statement. In subsequent years, the city council member may expand his or her annual filing to include both positions.

Example:

Brian Bourne is a city council member for the City of Lincoln and a board member for the Camp Far West Irrigation District – a multi-county agency that covers Placer and Yuba counties. Brian will complete one Form 700 using full disclosure (as required for the city position) and covering interests in both Placer and Yuba counties (as required for the multi-county position) and list both positions on the Cover Page. Before signing the statement, Brian will make a copy and sign both statements. One statement will be filed with City of Lincoln and the other will be filed with Camp Far West Irrigation District. Both will contain an original signature.

Part 2. Jurisdiction of Office

- Check the box indicating the jurisdiction of your agency and, if applicable, identify the jurisdiction. Judges, judicial candidates, and court commissioners have statewide jurisdiction. All other filers should review the Reference Pamphlet, page 13, to determine their jurisdiction.

- If your agency is a multi-county office, list each county in which your agency has jurisdiction.
- If your agency is not a state office, court, county office, city office, or multi-county office (e.g., school districts, special districts and JPAs), check the "other" box and enter the county or city in which the agency has jurisdiction.

Example:

This filer is a member of a water district board with jurisdiction in portions of Yuba and Sutter Counties.

1. Office, Agency, or Court	
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) Feather River Irrigation District	
Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable N/A	Your Position Board Member
► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms) Agency: N/A Position:	
2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)	
<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Judge or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multi-County Yuba & Sutter Counties	<input type="checkbox"/> County of
<input type="checkbox"/> City of	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

Part 3. Type of Statement

Check at least one box. The period covered by a statement is determined by the type of statement you are filing. If you are completing a 2020 annual statement, **do not** change the pre-printed dates to reflect 2021. Your annual statement is used for reporting the **previous year's** economic interests. Economic interests for your annual filing covering January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021, will be disclosed on your statement filed in 2022. See Reference Pamphlet, page 4.

Combining Statements: Certain types of statements may be combined. For example, if you leave office after January 1, but before the deadline for filing your annual statement, you may combine your annual and leaving office statements. File by the earliest deadline. Consult your filing officer or the FPPC.

Part 4. Schedule Summary

- Complete the Schedule Summary after you have reviewed each schedule to determine if you have reportable interests.
- Enter the total number of completed pages including the cover page and either check the box for each schedule you use to disclose interests; **or** if you have nothing to disclose on any schedule, check the "No reportable interests" box. Please **do not** attach any blank schedules.

Part 5. Verification

Complete the verification by signing the statement and entering the date signed. All statements must have an original "wet" signature or be duly authorized by your filing officer to file electronically under Government Code Section 87500.2.

When you sign your statement, you are stating, under penalty of perjury, that it is true and correct. Only the filer has authority to sign the statement. An unsigned statement is not considered filed and you may be subject to late filing penalties.

SCHEDULE A-1

Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM **700**

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _____

Instructions – Schedules A-1 and A-2 Investments

“Investment” means a financial interest in any business entity (including a consulting business or other independent contracting business) that is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or that has done business during the previous two years in your agency’s jurisdiction in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, or your dependent children had a direct, indirect, or beneficial interest totaling \$2,000 or more at any time during the reporting period. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.)

Reportable investments include:

- Stocks, bonds, warrants, and options, including those held in margin or brokerage accounts and managed investment funds (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.)
- Sole proprietorships
- Your own business or your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s business (See Reference Pamphlet, page 8, for the definition of “business entity.”)
- Your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s investments even if they are legally separate property
- Partnerships (e.g., a law firm or family farm)
- Investments in reportable business entities held in a retirement account (See Reference Pamphlet, page 15.)
- If you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, and dependent children together had a 10% or greater ownership interest in a business entity or trust (including a living trust), you must disclose investments held by the business entity or trust. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 16, for more information on disclosing trusts.)
- Business trusts

You are not required to disclose:

- Government bonds, diversified mutual funds, certain funds similar to diversified mutual funds (such as exchange traded funds) and investments held in certain retirement accounts. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.) (Regulation 18237)
- Bank accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposits
- Insurance policies
- Annuities
- Commodities
- Shares in a credit union
- Government bonds (including municipal bonds)
- Retirement accounts invested in non-reportable interests (e.g., insurance policies, mutual funds, or government bonds) (See Reference Pamphlet, page 15.)

- Government defined-benefit pension plans (such as CalPERS and CalSTRS plans)
- Certain interests held in a blind trust (See Reference Pamphlet, page 16.)

Use Schedule A-1 to report ownership of less than 10% (e.g., stock). Schedule C (Income) may also be required if the investment is not a stock or corporate bond. (See second example below.)

Use Schedule A-2 to report ownership of 10% or greater (e.g., a sole proprietorship).

To Complete Schedule A-1:

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

- Disclose the name of the business entity.
- Provide a general description of the business activity of the entity (e.g., pharmaceuticals, computers, automobile manufacturing, or communications).
- Check the box indicating the highest fair market value of your investment during the reporting period. If you are filing a candidate or an assuming office statement, indicate the fair market value on the filing date or the date you took office, respectively. (See page 20 for more information.)
- Identify the nature of your investment (e.g., stocks, warrants, options, or bonds).
- An acquired or disposed of date is only required if you initially acquired or entirely disposed of the investment interest during the reporting period. The date of a stock dividend reinvestment or partial disposal is not required. Generally, these dates will not apply if you are filing a candidate or an assuming office statement.

Examples:

Frank Byrd holds a state agency position. His conflict of interest code requires full disclosure of investments. Frank must disclose his stock holdings of \$2,000 or more in any company that is located in or does business in California, as well as those stocks held by his spouse or registered domestic partner and dependent children.

Alice Lance is a city council member. She has a 4% interest, worth \$5,000, in a limited partnership located in the city. Alice must disclose the partnership on Schedule A-1 and income of \$500 or more received from the partnership on Schedule C.

Reminders

- Do you know your agency’s jurisdiction?
- Did you hold investments at any time during the period covered by this statement?
- Code filers – your disclosure categories may only require disclosure of specific investments.

SCHEDULE A-2
Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name _____

▶ 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Name _____	
Address (Business Address Acceptable) _____	
Check one <input type="checkbox"/> Trust, go to 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/20 ____/_____/20 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Sole Proprietorship <input type="checkbox"/> _____ Other	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____	

▶ 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)	
<input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$499 <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000	<input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000

▶ 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> None or <input type="checkbox"/> Names listed below	

▶ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Check one box: <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> REAL PROPERTY	
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property _____	
Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property _____	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/20 ____/_____/20 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INTEREST <input type="checkbox"/> Property Ownership/Deed of Trust <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership	
<input type="checkbox"/> Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	

▶ 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Name _____	
Address (Business Address Acceptable) _____	
Check one <input type="checkbox"/> Trust, go to 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/20 ____/_____/20 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Sole Proprietorship <input type="checkbox"/> _____ Other	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____	

▶ 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)	
<input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$499 <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000	<input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000

▶ 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> None or <input type="checkbox"/> Names listed below	

▶ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Check one box: <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> REAL PROPERTY	
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property _____	
Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property _____	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/20 ____/_____/20 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INTEREST <input type="checkbox"/> Property Ownership/Deed of Trust <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership	
<input type="checkbox"/> Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	

Comments: _____

Instructions – Schedule A-2

Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

Use Schedule A-2 to report investments in a business entity (including a consulting business or other independent contracting business) or trust (including a living trust) in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, and your dependent children, together or separately, had a 10% or greater interest, totaling \$2,000 or more, during the reporting period and which is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or which has done business during the previous two years in your agency's jurisdiction. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.) A trust located outside your agency's jurisdiction is reportable if it holds assets that are located in or doing business in the jurisdiction. Do not report a trust that contains non-reportable interests. For example, a trust containing only your personal residence not used in whole or in part as a business, your savings account, and some municipal bonds, is not reportable.

Also report on Schedule A-2 investments and real property held by that entity or trust if your pro rata share of the investment or real property interest was \$2,000 or more during the reporting period.

To Complete Schedule A-2:

Part 1. Disclose the name and address of the business entity or trust. If you are reporting an interest in a business entity, check "Business Entity" and complete the box as follows:

- Provide a general description of the business activity of the entity.
- Check the box indicating the highest fair market value of your investment during the reporting period.
- If you initially acquired or entirely disposed of this interest during the reporting period, enter the date acquired or disposed.
- Identify the nature of your investment.
- Disclose the job title or business position you held with the entity, if any (i.e., if you were a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or held any position of management). A business position held by your spouse is not reportable.

Part 2. Check the box indicating **your pro rata** share of the **gross** income received **by** the business entity or trust. This amount includes your pro rata share of the **gross** income **from** the business entity or trust, as well as your community property interest in your spouse's or registered domestic partner's share. Gross income is the total amount of income before deducting expenses, losses, or taxes.

Part 3. Disclose the name of each source of income that is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or that has done business during the previous two years in your agency's jurisdiction, as follows:

- Disclose each source of income and outstanding loan **to the business entity or trust** identified in Part 1 if your pro rata share of the **gross** income (including your community property interest in your spouse's or registered domestic partner's share) to the business entity or trust from that source was \$10,000 or more during the reporting

period. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 11, for examples.) Income from governmental sources may be reportable if not considered salary. See Regulation 18232. Loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status are not reportable.

- Disclose each individual or entity that was a source of commission income of \$10,000 or more during the reporting period through the business entity identified in Part 1. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 8.)

You may be required to disclose sources of income located outside your jurisdiction. For example, you may have a client who resides outside your jurisdiction who does business on a regular basis with you. Such a client, if a reportable source of \$10,000 or more, must be disclosed.

Mark "None" if you do not have any reportable \$10,000 sources of income to disclose. Phrases such as "various clients" or "not disclosing sources pursuant to attorney-client privilege" are not adequate disclosure. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 14, for information on procedures to request an exemption from disclosing privileged information.)

Part 4. Report any investments or interests in real property held or leased **by the entity or trust** identified in Part 1 if your pro rata share of the interest held was \$2,000 or more during the reporting period. Attach additional schedules or use FPPC's Form 700 Excel spreadsheet if needed.

- Check the applicable box identifying the interest held as real property or an investment.
- If investment, provide the name and description of the business entity.
- If real property, report the precise location (e.g., an assessor's parcel number or address).
- Check the box indicating the highest fair market value of your interest in the real property or investment during the reporting period. (Report the fair market value of the portion of your residence claimed as a tax deduction if you are utilizing your residence for business purposes.)
- Identify the nature of your interest.
- Enter the date acquired or disposed only if you initially acquired or entirely disposed of your interest in the property or investment during the reporting period.

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
(Including Rental Income)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

CITY _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/20 ACQUIRED ____/_____/20 DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

- ☐ Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Easement
☐ Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining ☐ _____ Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

☐ None

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

CITY _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/20 ACQUIRED ____/_____/20 DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

- ☐ Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Easement
☐ Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining ☐ _____ Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

☐ None

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

INTEREST RATE

TERM (Months/Years)

_____% ☐ None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

- ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ Guarantor, if applicable

NAME OF LENDER*

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

INTEREST RATE

TERM (Months/Years)

_____% ☐ None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

- ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ Guarantor, if applicable

Comments: _____

Instructions – Schedule B

Interests in Real Property

Report interests in real property located in your agency's jurisdiction in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, or your dependent children had a direct, indirect, or beneficial interest totaling \$2,000 or more any time during the reporting period. Real property is also considered to be "within the jurisdiction" of a local government agency if the property or any part of it is located within two miles outside the boundaries of the jurisdiction or within two miles of any land owned or used by the local government agency. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.)

Interests in real property include:

- An ownership interest (including a beneficial ownership interest)
- A deed of trust, easement, or option to acquire property
- A leasehold interest (See Reference Pamphlet, page 14.)
- A mining lease
- An interest in real property held in a retirement account (See Reference Pamphlet, page 15.)
- An interest in real property held by a business entity or trust in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, and your dependent children together had a 10% or greater ownership interest (Report on Schedule A-2.)
- Your spouse's or registered domestic partner's interests in real property that are legally held separately by him or her

You are not required to report:

- A residence, such as a home or vacation cabin, used exclusively as a personal residence (However, a residence in which you rent out a room or for which you claim a business deduction may be reportable. If reportable, report the fair market value of the portion claimed as a tax deduction.)
- Some interests in real property held through a blind trust (See Reference Pamphlet, page 16.)
 - **Please note:** A non-reportable property can still be grounds for a conflict of interest and may be disqualifying.

To Complete Schedule B:

- Report the precise location (e.g., an assessor's parcel number or address) of the real property.
- Check the box indicating the fair market value of your interest in the property (regardless of what you owe on the property).
- Enter the date acquired or disposed only if you initially acquired or entirely disposed of your interest in the property during the reporting period.
- Identify the nature of your interest. If it is a leasehold,

Reminders

- Income and loans already reported on Schedule B are not also required to be reported on Schedule C.
- Real property already reported on Schedule A-2, Part 4 is not also required to be reported on Schedule B.
- Code filers – do your disclosure categories require disclosure of real property?

disclose the number of years remaining on the lease.

- If you received rental income, check the box indicating the gross amount you received.
- If you had a 10% or greater interest in real property and received rental income, list the name of the source(s) if your pro rata share of the gross income from any single tenant was \$10,000 or more during the reporting period. If you received a total of \$10,000 or more from two or more tenants acting in concert (in most cases, this will apply to married couples), disclose the name of each tenant. Otherwise, mark "None."
- Loans from a private lender that total \$500 or more and are secured by real property may be reportable. **Loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status are not reportable.**

When reporting a loan:

- Provide the name and address of the lender.
- Describe the lender's business activity.
- Disclose the interest rate and term of the loan. For variable interest rate loans, disclose the conditions of the loan (e.g., Prime + 2) or the average interest rate paid during the reporting period. The term of a loan is the total number of months or years given for repayment of the loan at the time the loan was established.
- Check the box indicating the highest balance of the loan during the reporting period.
- Identify a guarantor, if applicable.

If you have more than one reportable loan on a single piece of real property, report the additional loan(s) on Schedule C.

Example:

Allison Gande is a city planning commissioner. During the reporting period, she received rental income of \$12,000, from a single tenant who rented property she owned in the city's jurisdiction. If Allison received \$6,000 each from two tenants, the tenants' names would not be required because no single tenant paid her \$10,000 or more. A married couple is considered a single tenant.

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS 4600 24th Street	
CITY Sacramento	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: ACQUIRED 19 XX / XX / 19 XX DISPOSED
NATURE OF INTEREST <input type="checkbox"/> Ownership/Deed of Trust <input type="checkbox"/> Easement <input type="checkbox"/> Leasehold <input type="checkbox"/> Yrs. remaining <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$499 <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	
SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more. <input type="checkbox"/> None Henry Wells	
NAME OF LENDER* Sophia Petroillo	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) 2121 Blue Sky Parkway, Sacramento	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER Restaurant Owner	
INTEREST RATE 8 % <input type="checkbox"/> None	TERM (Months/Years) 15 Years
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	
<input type="checkbox"/> Guarantor, if applicable	
Comments:	

SCHEDULE C
Income, Loans, & Business
Positions
(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name _____

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED	▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME _____ ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____ BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE _____ YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME _____ ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____ BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE _____ YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____ ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____ BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____ HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	INTEREST RATE _____% <input type="checkbox"/> None SECURITY FOR LOAN <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Personal residence <input type="checkbox"/> Real Property _____ Street address _____ City <input type="checkbox"/> Guarantor _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)
---	--

Comments: _____

Instructions – Schedule C

Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Income Other Than Gifts and Travel Payments)

Reporting Income:

Report the source and amount of gross income of \$500 or more you received during the reporting period. Gross income is the total amount of income before deducting expenses, losses, or taxes and includes loans other than loans from a commercial lending institution. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 11.) You must also report the source of income to your spouse or registered domestic partner if your community property share was \$500 or more during the reporting period.

The source and income must be reported only if the source is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or has done business during the previous two years in your agency's jurisdiction. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.) Reportable sources of income may be further limited by your disclosure category located in your agency's conflict of interest code.

Reporting Business Positions:

You must report your job title with each reportable business entity even if you received no income during the reporting period. Use the comments section to indicate that no income was received.

Commonly reportable income and loans include:

- Salary/wages, per diem, and reimbursement for expenses including travel payments provided by your employer
- Community property interest (50%) in your spouse's or registered domestic partner's income - **report the employer's name and all other required information**
- Income from investment interests, such as partnerships, reported on Schedule A-1
- Commission income not required to be reported on Schedule A-2 (See Reference Pamphlet, page 8.)
- Gross income from any sale, including the sale of a house or car (Report your pro rata share of the total sale price.)
- Rental income not required to be reported on Schedule B
- Prizes or awards not disclosed as gifts
- Payments received on loans you made to others
- An honorarium received prior to becoming a public official (See Reference Pamphlet, page 10.)
- Incentive compensation (See Reference Pamphlet, page 12.)

Reminders

- Code filers – your disclosure categories may not require disclosure of all sources of income.
- If you or your spouse or registered domestic partner are self-employed, report the business entity on Schedule A-2.
- Do not disclose on Schedule C income, loans, or business positions already reported on Schedules A-2 or B.

You are not required to report:

- Salary, reimbursement for expenses or per diem, or social security, disability, or other similar benefit payments received by you or your spouse or registered domestic partner from a federal, state, or local government agency.
- Stock dividends and income from the sale of stock unless the source can be identified.
- Income from a PERS retirement account.

(See Reference Pamphlet, page 12.)

To Complete Schedule C:

Part 1. Income Received/Business Position Disclosure

- Disclose the name and address of each source of income or each business entity with which you held a business position.
- Provide a general description of the business activity if the source is a business entity.
- Check the box indicating the amount of gross income received.
- Identify the consideration for which the income was received.
- For income from commission sales, check the box indicating the gross income received and list the name of each source of commission income of \$10,000 or more. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 8.) **Note: If you receive commission income on a regular basis or have an ownership interest of 10% or more, you must disclose the business entity and the income on Schedule A-2.**
- Disclose the job title or business position, if any, that you held with the business entity, even if you did not receive income during the reporting period.

Part 2. Loans Received or Outstanding During the Reporting Period

- Provide the name and address of the lender.
- Provide a general description of the business activity if the lender is a business entity.
- Check the box indicating the highest balance of the loan during the reporting period.
- Disclose the interest rate and the term of the loan.
 - For variable interest rate loans, disclose the conditions of the loan (e.g., Prime + 2) or the average interest rate paid during the reporting period.
 - The term of the loan is the total number of months or years given for repayment of the loan at the time the loan was entered into.
- Identify the security, if any, for the loan.

SCHEDULE D Income – Gifts

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

<div>▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	<div>▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
<div>▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	<div>▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
<div>▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	<div>▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>____/____/____</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____	____/____/____	\$ _____	_____
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							
____/____/____	\$ _____	_____																							

Comments: _____

Instructions – Schedule D

Income – Gifts

A gift is anything of value for which you have not provided equal or greater consideration to the donor. A gift is reportable if its fair market value is \$50 or more. In addition, multiple gifts totaling \$50 or more received during the reporting period from a single source must be reported.

It is the acceptance of a gift, not the ultimate use to which it is put, that imposes your reporting obligation. Except as noted below, you must report a gift even if you never used it or if you gave it away to another person.

If the exact amount of a gift is unknown, you must make a good faith estimate of the item's fair market value. Listing the value of a gift as "over \$50" or "value unknown" is not adequate disclosure. In addition, if you received a gift through an intermediary, you must disclose the name, address, and business activity of both the donor and the intermediary. You may indicate an intermediary either in the "source" field after the name or in the "comments" section at the bottom of Schedule D.

Commonly reportable gifts include:

- Tickets/passes to sporting or entertainment events
- Tickets/passes to amusement parks
- Parking passes not used for official agency business
- Food, beverages, and accommodations, including those provided in direct connection with your attendance at a convention, conference, meeting, social event, meal, or like gathering
- Rebates/discounts not made in the regular course of business to members of the public without regard to official status
- Wedding gifts (See Reference Pamphlet, page 16)
- An honorarium received prior to assuming office (You may report an honorarium as income on Schedule C, rather than as a gift on Schedule D, if you provided services of equal or greater value than the payment received. See Reference Pamphlet, page 10.)
- Transportation and lodging (See Schedule E.)
- Forgiveness of a loan received by you

Reminders

- Gifts from a single source are subject to a **\$500** limit in **2020**. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 10.)
- Code filers – you only need to report gifts from reportable sources.

Gift Tracking Mobile Application

- FPPC has created a gift tracking app for mobile devices that helps filers track gifts and provides a quick and easy way to upload the information to the Form 700. Visit FPPC's website to download the app.

You are not required to disclose:

- Gifts that were not used and that, within 30 days after receipt, were returned to the donor or delivered to a charitable organization or government agency without being claimed by you as a charitable contribution for tax purposes
- Gifts from your spouse or registered domestic partner, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, brother, sister, and certain other family members (See Regulation 18942 for a complete list.). The exception does not apply if the donor was acting as an agent or intermediary for a reportable source who was the true donor.
- Gifts of similar value exchanged between you and an individual, other than a lobbyist registered to lobby your state agency, on holidays, birthdays, or similar occasions
- Gifts of informational material provided to assist you in the performance of your official duties (e.g., books, pamphlets, reports, calendars, periodicals, or educational seminars)
- A monetary bequest or inheritance (However, inherited investments or real property may be reportable on other schedules.)
- Personalized plaques or trophies with an individual value of less than \$250
- Campaign contributions
- Up to two tickets, for your own use, to attend a fundraiser for a campaign committee or candidate, or to a fundraiser for an organization exempt from taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. The ticket must be received from the organization or committee holding the fundraiser.
- Gifts given to members of your immediate family if the source has an established relationship with the family member and there is no evidence to suggest the donor had a purpose to influence you. (See Regulation 18943.)
- Free admission, food, and nominal items (such as a pen, pencil, mouse pad, note pad or similar item) available to all attendees, at the event at which the official makes a speech (as defined in Regulation 18950(b)(2)), so long as the admission is provided by the person who organizes the event.
- Any other payment not identified above, that would otherwise meet the definition of gift, where the payment is made by an individual who is not a lobbyist registered to lobby the official's state agency, where it is clear that the gift was made because of an existing personal or business relationship unrelated to the official's position and there is no evidence whatsoever at the time the gift is made to suggest the donor had a purpose to influence you.

To Complete Schedule D:

- Disclose the full name (not an acronym), address, and, if a business entity, the business activity of the source.
- Provide the date (month, day, and year) of receipt, and disclose the fair market value and description of the gift.

SCHEDULE E
Income – Gifts
Travel Payments, Advances,
and Reimbursements

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name _____

- Mark either the gift or income box.
- Mark the “501(c)(3)” box for a travel payment received from a nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization or the “Speech” box if you made a speech or participated in a panel. Per Government Code Section 89506, these payments may not be subject to the gift limit. However, they may result in a disqualifying conflict of interest.
- For gifts of travel, provide the travel destination.

▶ NAME OF SOURCE <i>(Not an Acronym)</i>
ADDRESS <i>(Business Address Acceptable)</i>
CITY AND STATE
<input type="checkbox"/> 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____ <i>(If gift)</i>
▶ MUST CHECK ONE: <input type="checkbox"/> Gift -or- <input type="checkbox"/> Income
<input type="radio"/> Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel
<input type="radio"/> Other - Provide Description _____
▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

▶ NAME OF SOURCE <i>(Not an Acronym)</i>
ADDRESS <i>(Business Address Acceptable)</i>
CITY AND STATE
<input type="checkbox"/> 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____ <i>(If gift)</i>
▶ MUST CHECK ONE: <input type="checkbox"/> Gift -or- <input type="checkbox"/> Income
<input type="radio"/> Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel
<input type="radio"/> Other - Provide Description _____
▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

▶ NAME OF SOURCE <i>(Not an Acronym)</i>
ADDRESS <i>(Business Address Acceptable)</i>
CITY AND STATE
<input type="checkbox"/> 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____ <i>(If gift)</i>
▶ MUST CHECK ONE: <input type="checkbox"/> Gift -or- <input type="checkbox"/> Income
<input type="radio"/> Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel
<input type="radio"/> Other - Provide Description _____
▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

▶ NAME OF SOURCE <i>(Not an Acronym)</i>
ADDRESS <i>(Business Address Acceptable)</i>
CITY AND STATE
<input type="checkbox"/> 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____ <i>(If gift)</i>
▶ MUST CHECK ONE: <input type="checkbox"/> Gift -or- <input type="checkbox"/> Income
<input type="radio"/> Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel
<input type="radio"/> Other - Provide Description _____
▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

Comments: _____

Instructions – Schedule E

Travel Payments, Advances, and Reimbursements

Travel payments reportable on Schedule E include advances and reimbursements for travel and related expenses, including lodging and meals.

Gifts of travel may be subject to the gift limit. In addition, certain travel payments are reportable gifts, but are not subject to the gift limit. To avoid possible misinterpretation or the perception that you have received a gift in excess of the gift limit, you may wish to provide a specific description of the purpose of your travel. (See the FPPC fact sheet entitled "Limitations and Restrictions on Gifts, Honoraria, Travel, and Loans" to read about travel payments under section 89506(a).)

You are not required to disclose:

- Travel payments received from any state, local, or federal government agency for which you provided services equal or greater in value than the payments received, such as reimbursement for travel on agency business from your government agency employer.
- A payment for travel from another local, state, or federal government agency and related per diem expenses when the travel is for education, training or other inter-agency programs or purposes.
- Travel payments received from your employer in the normal course of your employment that are included in the income reported on Schedule C.
- A travel payment that was received from a nonprofit entity exempt from taxation under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3) for which you provided equal or greater consideration, such as reimbursement for travel on business for a 501(c)(3) organization for which you are a board member.

Note: Certain travel payments may not be reportable if reported via email on Form 801 by your agency.

To Complete Schedule E:

- Disclose the full name (not an acronym) and address of the source of the travel payment.
- Identify the business activity if the source is a business entity.
- Check the box to identify the payment as a gift or income, report the amount, and disclose the date(s).
 - **Travel payments are gifts** if you did not provide services that were equal to or greater in value than the payments received. You must disclose gifts totaling \$500 or more from a single source during the period covered by the statement.

When reporting travel payments that are gifts, you must provide a description of the gift, the **date(s)** received, and the **travel destination**.

- **Travel payments are income** if you provided services that were equal to or greater in value than the

payments received. You must disclose income totaling \$500 or more from a single source during the period covered by the statement. You have the burden of proving the payments are income rather than gifts. When reporting travel payments as income, you must describe the services you provided in exchange for the payment. You are not required to disclose the date(s) for travel payments that are income.

Example:

City council member MaryClaire Chandler is the chair of a 501(c)(6) trade association, and the association pays for her travel to attend its meetings. Because MaryClaire is deemed to be providing equal or greater consideration for the travel payment by virtue of serving on the board, this payment may be reported as income. Payments for MaryClaire to attend other events for which she is not providing services are likely considered gifts. Note that the same payment from a 501(c)(3) would NOT be reportable.

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)	
Health Services Trade Association	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	
1230 K Street, Suite 610	
CITY AND STATE	
Sacramento, CA	
<input type="checkbox"/> 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	
Association of Healthcare Workers	
DATE(S):	AMT: \$ 550.00
(If gift)	
▶ MUST CHECK ONE: <input type="checkbox"/> Gift -or- <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Income	
<input type="radio"/> Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Other - Provide Description Travel reimbursement for board meeting.	
▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination	

Example:

Mayor Kim travels to China on a trip organized by China Silicon Valley Business Development, a California nonprofit, 501(c)(6) organization. The Chengdu Municipal People's Government pays for Mayor Kim's airfare and travel costs, as well as his meals and lodging during the trip. The trip's agenda shows that the trip's purpose is to promote job creation and economic activity in China and in Silicon Valley, so the trip is reasonably related to a governmental purpose. Thus, Mayor Kim must report the gift of travel,

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)	
Chengdu Municipal People's Government	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	
2 Caoshi St, CaoShiJie, Qingyang Qu, Chengdu Shi,	
CITY AND STATE	
Sichuan Sheng, China, 610000	
<input type="checkbox"/> 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	
DATE(S):	AMT: \$ 3,874.38
(If gift)	
▶ MUST CHECK ONE: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gift -or- <input type="checkbox"/> Income	
<input type="radio"/> Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Other - Provide Description Travel reimbursement for trip to China.	
▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination	
Sichuan Sheng, China	

but the gift is exempt from the gift limit. In this case, the travel payments are not subject to the gift limit because the source is a foreign government and because the travel is reasonably related to a governmental purpose. (Section 89506(a)(2).) Note that Mayor Kim could be disqualified from participating in or making decisions about The Chengdu Municipal People's Government for 12 months. Also note that if China Silicon Valley Business Development (a 501(c)(6) organization) paid for the travel costs rather than the governmental organization, the payments would be subject to the gift limits. (See the FPPC fact sheet, Limitations and Restrictions on Gifts, Honoraria, Travel and Loans, at www.fppc.ca.gov.)

Restrictions and Prohibitions

The Political Reform Act (Gov. Code Sections 81000-91014) requires most state and local government officials and employees to publicly disclose their personal assets and income. They also must disqualify themselves from participating in decisions that may affect their personal economic interests. The Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) is the state agency responsible for issuing the attached Statement of Economic Interests, Form 700, and for interpreting the law's provisions.

Gift Prohibition

Gifts received by most state and local officials, employees, and candidates are subject to a limit. In 2021-2022, the gift limit increased to \$520 from a single source during a calendar year. In 2019 and 2020, the gift limit was \$500 from a single source during a calendar year.

Additionally, state officials, state candidates, and certain state employees are subject to a \$10 limit per calendar month on gifts from lobbyists and lobbying firms registered with the Secretary of State. See Reference Pamphlet, page 10.

State and local officials and employees should check with their agency to determine if other restrictions apply.

Disqualification

Public officials are, under certain circumstances, required to disqualify themselves from making, participating in, or attempting to influence governmental decisions that will affect their economic interests. This may include interests they are not required to disclose. For example, a personal residence is often not reportable, but may be grounds for disqualification. Specific disqualification requirements apply to 87200 filers (e.g., city councilmembers, members of boards of supervisors, planning commissioners, etc.). These officials must publicly identify the economic interest that creates a conflict of interest and leave the room before a discussion or vote takes place at a public meeting. For more information, consult Government Code Section 87105, Regulation 18707, and the Guide to Recognizing Conflicts of Interest page at www.fppc.ca.gov.

Honorarium Ban

Most state and local officials, employees, and candidates are prohibited from accepting an honorarium for any speech given, article published, or attendance at a conference, convention, meeting, or like gathering. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 10.)

Loan Restrictions

Certain state and local officials are subject to restrictions

on loans. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 14.)

Post-Governmental Employment

There are restrictions on representing clients or employers before former agencies. The provisions apply to elected state officials, most state employees, local elected officials, county chief administrative officers, city managers, including the chief administrator of a city, and general managers or chief administrators of local special districts and JPAs. The FPPC website has fact sheets explaining the provisions.

Late Filing

The filing officer who retains originally-signed or electronically filed statements of economic interests may impose on an individual a fine for any statement that is filed late. The fine is \$10 per day up to a maximum of \$100. Late filing penalties may be reduced or waived under certain circumstances.

Persons who fail to timely file their Form 700 may be referred to the FPPC's Enforcement Division (and, in some cases, to the Attorney General or district attorney) for investigation and possible prosecution. In addition to the late filing penalties, a fine of up to \$5,000 per violation may be imposed.

For assistance concerning reporting, prohibitions, and restrictions under the Act:

- Email questions to advice@fppc.ca.gov.
- Call the FPPC toll-free at (866) 275-3772.

Form 700 is a Public Document Public Access Must Be Provided

Statements of Economic Interests are public documents. The filing officer must permit any member of the public to inspect and receive a copy of any statement.

- Statements must be available as soon as possible during the agency's regular business hours, but in any event not later than the second business day after the statement is received. Access to the Form 700 is not subject to the Public Records Act procedures.
- No conditions may be placed on persons seeking access to the forms.
- No information or identification may be required from persons seeking access.
- Reproduction fees of no more than 10 cents per page may be charged.

Questions and Answers

General

- Q. What is the reporting period for disclosing interests on an assuming office statement or a candidate statement?
- A. On an assuming office statement, disclose all reportable investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date you assumed office. In addition, you must disclose income (including loans, gifts and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date you assumed office.
- On a candidate statement, disclose all reportable investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date you file your declaration of candidacy. You must also disclose income (including loans, gifts and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date you file your declaration of candidacy.
- Q. I hold two other board positions in addition to my position with the county. Must I file three statements of economic interests?
- A. Yes, three are required. However, you may complete one statement listing the county and the two boards on the Cover Page or an attachment as the agencies for which you will be filing. Report your economic interests using the largest jurisdiction and highest disclosure requirements assigned to you by the three agencies. Make two copies of the entire statement before signing it, sign each copy with an original signature, and distribute one original to the county and to each of the two boards. Remember to complete separate statements for positions that you leave or assume during the year.
- Q. I am a department head who recently began acting as city manager. Should I file as the city manager?
- A. Yes. File an assuming office statement as city manager. Persons serving as “acting,” “interim,” or “alternate” must file as if they hold the position because they are or may be performing the duties of the position.
- Q. My spouse and I are currently separated and in the process of obtaining a divorce. Must I still report my spouse’s income, investments, and interests in real property?
- A. Yes. A public official must continue to report a spouse’s economic interests until such time as dissolution of marriage proceedings is final. However, if a separate property agreement has been reached prior to that time, your estranged spouse’s income may not have to be reported. Contact the FPPC for more information.

- Q. As a designated employee, I left one state agency to work for another state agency. Must I file a leaving office statement?
- A. Yes. You may also need to file an assuming office statement for the new agency.

Investment Disclosure

- Q. I have an investment interest in shares of stock in a company that does not have an office in my jurisdiction. Must I still disclose my investment interest in this company?
- A. Probably. The definition of “doing business in the jurisdiction” is not limited to whether the business has an office or physical location in your jurisdiction. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.)
- Q. My spouse and I have a living trust. The trust holds rental property in my jurisdiction, our primary residence, and investments in diversified mutual funds. I have full disclosure. How is this trust disclosed?
- A. Disclose the name of the trust, the rental property and its income on Schedule A-2. Your primary residence and investments in diversified mutual funds registered with the SEC are not reportable.
- Q. I am required to report all investments. I have an IRA that contains stocks through an account managed by a brokerage firm. Must I disclose these stocks even though they are held in an IRA and I did not decide which stocks to purchase?
- A. Yes. Disclose on Schedule A-1 or A-2 any stock worth \$2,000 or more in a business entity located in or doing business in your jurisdiction.
- Q. The value of my stock changed during the reporting period. How do I report the value of the stock?
- A. You are required to report the highest value that the stock reached during the reporting period. You may use your monthly statements to determine the highest value. You may also use the entity’s website to determine the highest value. You are encouraged to keep a record of where you found the reported value. Note that for an assuming office statement, you must report the value of the stock on the date you assumed office.

Questions and Answers

Continued

- Q. I am the sole owner of my business, an S-Corporation. I believe that the nature of the business is such that it cannot be said to have any "fair market value" because it has no assets. I operate the corporation under an agreement with a large insurance company. My contract does not have resale value because of its nature as a personal services contract. Must I report the fair market value for my business on Schedule A-2 of the Form 700?
- A. Yes. Even if there are no *tangible* assets, intangible assets, such as relationships with companies and clients are commonly sold to qualified professionals. The "fair market value" is often quantified for other purposes, such as marital dissolutions or estate planning. In addition, the IRS presumes that "personal services corporations" have a fair market value. A professional "book of business" and the associated goodwill that generates income are not without a determinable value. The Form 700 does not require a precise fair market value; it is only necessary to check a box indicating the broad range within which the value falls.
- Q. I own stock in IBM and must report this investment on Schedule A-1. I initially purchased this stock in the early 1990s; however, I am constantly buying and selling shares. Must I note these dates in the "Acquired" and "Disposed" fields?
- A. No. You must only report dates in the "Acquired" or "Disposed" fields when, during the reporting period, you initially purchase a reportable investment worth \$2,000 or more or when you dispose of the entire investment. You are not required to track the partial trading of an investment.
- Q. On last year's filing I reported stock in Encoe valued at \$2,000 - \$10,000. Late last year the value of this stock fell below and remains at less than \$2,000. How should this be reported on this year's statement?
- A. You are not required to report an investment if the value was less than \$2,000 during the **entire** reporting period. However, because a disposed date is not required for stocks that fall below \$2,000, you may want to report the stock and note in the "comments" section that the value fell below \$2,000. This would be for informational purposes only; it is not a requirement.

- Q. We have a Section 529 account set up to save money for our son's college education. Is this reportable?
- A. If the Section 529 account contains reportable interests (e.g., common stock valued at \$2,000 or more), those interests are reportable (not the actual Section 529 account). If the account contains solely mutual funds, then nothing is reported.

Income Disclosure

- Q. I reported a business entity on Schedule A-2. Clients of my business are located in several states. Must I report all clients from whom my pro rata share of income is \$10,000 or more on Schedule A-2, Part 3?
- A. No, only the clients located in or doing business on a regular basis in your jurisdiction must be disclosed.
- Q. I believe I am not required to disclose the names of clients from whom my pro rata share of income is \$10,000 or more on Schedule A-2 because of their right to privacy. Is there an exception for reporting clients' names?
- A. Regulation 18740 provides a procedure for requesting an exemption to allow a client's name not to be disclosed if disclosure of the name would violate a legally recognized privilege under California or Federal law. This regulation may be obtained from our website at www.fppc.ca.gov. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 14.)
- Q. I am sole owner of a private law practice that is not reportable based on my limited disclosure category. However, some of the sources of income to my law practice are from reportable sources. Do I have to disclose this income?
- A. Yes, even though the law practice is not reportable, reportable sources of income to the law practice of \$10,000 or more must be disclosed. This information would be disclosed on Schedule C with a note in the "comments" section indicating that the business entity is not a reportable investment. The note would be for informational purposes only; it is not a requirement.

Questions and Answers

Continued

Q. I am the sole owner of my business. Where do I disclose my income - on Schedule A-2 or Schedule C?

A. Sources of income to a business in which you have an ownership interest of 10% or greater are disclosed on Schedule A-2. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 8.)

Q. My husband is a partner in a four-person firm where all of his business is based on his own billings and collections from various clients. How do I report my community property interest in this business and the income generated in this manner?

A. If your husband's investment in the firm is 10% or greater, disclose 100% of his share of the business on Schedule A-2, Part 1 and 50% of his income on Schedule A-2, Parts 2 and 3. For example, a client of your husband's must be a source of at least \$20,000 during the reporting period before the client's name is reported.

Q. How do I disclose my spouse's or registered domestic partner's salary?

A. Report the name of the employer as a source of income on Schedule C.

Q. I am a doctor. For purposes of reporting \$10,000 sources of income on Schedule A-2, Part 3, are the patients or their insurance carriers considered sources of income?

A. If your patients exercise sufficient control by selecting you instead of other doctors, then your patients, rather than their insurance carriers, are sources of income to you. (See Reference Pamphlet, page 14.)

Q. I received a loan from my grandfather to purchase my home. Is this loan reportable?

A. No. Loans received from family members are not reportable.

Q. Many years ago, I loaned my parents several thousand dollars, which they paid back this year. Do I need to report this loan repayment on my Form 700?

A. No. Payments received on a loan made to a family member are not reportable.

Real Property Disclosure

Q. During this reporting period we switched our principal place of residence into a rental. I have full disclosure and the property is located in my agency's jurisdiction, so it is now reportable. Because I have not reported this property before, do I need to show an "acquired" date?

A. No, you are not required to show an "acquired" date because you previously owned the property. However, you may want to note in the "comments" section that the property was not previously reported because it was used exclusively as your residence. This would be for informational purposes only; it is not a requirement.

Q. I am a city manager, and I own a rental property located in an adjacent city, but one mile from the city limit. Do I need to report this property interest?

A. Yes. You are required to report this property because it is located within 2 miles of the boundaries of the city you manage.

Q. Must I report a home that I own as a personal residence for my daughter?

A. You are not required to disclose a home used as a personal residence for a family member unless you receive income from it, such as rental income.

Q. I am a co-signer on a loan for a rental property owned by a friend. Since I am listed on the deed of trust, do I need to report my friend's property as an interest in real property on my Form 700?

A. No. Simply being a co-signer on a loan for property does not create a reportable interest in real property for you.

Gift Disclosure

Q. If I received a reportable gift of two tickets to a concert valued at \$100 each, but gave the tickets to a friend because I could not attend the concert, do I have any reporting obligations?

A. Yes. Since you accepted the gift and exercised discretion and control of the use of the tickets, you must disclose the gift on Schedule D.

Questions and Answers Continued

- Q. Julia and Jared Benson, a married couple, want to give a piece of artwork to a county supervisor. Is each spouse considered a separate source for purposes of the gift limit and disclosure?
- A. Yes, each spouse may make a gift valued at the gift limit during a calendar year. For example, during 2020 the gift limit was \$500, so the Bensons may have given the supervisor artwork valued at no more than **\$1,000**. The supervisor must identify Jared and Julia Benson as the sources of the gift.
- Q. I received free admission to an educational conference related to my official duties. Part of the conference fees included a round of golf. Is the value of the golf considered informational material?
- A. No. The value of personal benefits, such as golf, attendance at a concert, or sporting event, are gifts subject to reporting and limits.
- Q. I am a Form 700 filer with full disclosure. Our agency holds a holiday raffle to raise funds for a local charity. I bought \$10 worth of raffle tickets and won a gift basket valued at \$120. The gift basket was donated by Doug Brewer, a citizen in our city. At the same event, I bought raffle tickets for, and won a quilt valued at \$70. The quilt was donated by a coworker. Are these reportable gifts?
- A. Because the gift basket was donated by an outside source (not an agency employee), you have received a reportable gift valued at \$110 (the value of the basket less the consideration paid). The source of the gift is Doug Brewer and the agency is disclosed as the intermediary. Because the quilt was donated by an employee of your agency, it is not a reportable gift.
- Q. My agency is responsible for disbursing grants. An applicant (501(c)(3) organization) met with agency employees to present its application. At this meeting, the applicant provided food and beverages. Would the food and beverages be considered gifts to the employees? These employees are designated in our agency's conflict of interest code and the applicant is a reportable source of income under the code.
- A. Yes. If the value of the food and beverages consumed by any one filer, plus any other gifts received from the same source during the reporting period total \$50 or more, the food and beverages would be reported using the fair market value and would be subject to the gift limit.

From: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Operations](#)
Cc: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: C-Pages: Mayoral Appt
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 7:49:19 PM
Attachments: [J Henry - Mayor Appt.pdf](#)

Attached please find the Mayoral appointment w/ COB Memo for inclusion on the C-Pages.

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Fennell, Tyra \(MYR\)](#); [Paulino, Tom \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Appointment - DCYF Oversight & Advisory Committee  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 20, 2022 7:45:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [COB Memo 07.20.22.pdf](#)  
[Mayor Appt Ltr 07.20.22.pdf](#)  
[Resume.pdf](#)  
[Form 700.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached, complete appointment package. Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

*Alisa Somera*

Legislative Deputy Director  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax  
[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 20, 2022
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Appointment

On July 20, 2022, the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment package to the Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee.

- **Jon Henry** - Seat 6 - term ending July 1, 2023

Pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 2A.233, the Mayor's appointment shall take effect 30 days after the transmittal of this notice of appointment. This appointment is not subject to rejection by the Board of Supervisors; however, the Board may conduct a public hearing on the appointment.

Due to the Summer Recess, the timeframe to hold a public hearing is very short. If a Member wishes to hold a public hearing on this matter, please let me know in writing by 12:00 noon tomorrow, July 21, 2022. Otherwise, the Rules Committee will need to hold a special meeting during the recess within the 30-day timeframe (August 19, 2022).

(Attachment)

c: Aaron Peskin - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino - Mayor's Legislative Liaison
Tyra Fennel - Director of Commissions and Community Relations

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

RECEIVED
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
7/20/2022 @ 11:09 AM

July 19, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 16.108-1(c), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Jon Henry to Seat 6 of the San Francisco Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, formerly held by Linda Martley-Jordan, with a two year term ending July 1, 2023.

Attached are Mr. Henry's qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

Jon D. Henry

P.O. Box 347518
San Francisco, CA 94134

mobile: 415-913-9034
email: jhscsa415@aol.com

Summary

Certified Pipefitter | HVAC with over 21-year experience. Effective at resolving issues and achieving challenging goals, while exhibiting an excellent work ethic and time management expertise. Adept at completing assignments thoroughly, under budget, and on time.

Highlights

- Omega Boys Club Mentor and community activist
- Mentor to San Francisco's Youth Guidance Center (YGC)
- Volunteers to feed the homeless and assist in distributing resources to San Francisco communities which includes the youth
- Host of Both sides of the Conversation, a non-profit that was created to provide resources through effective conversations that empower our people.
- Organizes events to take at risk youth to extracurricular activities to expand their horizons; such as rodeo shows, race track events, various sporting events, museums and many other community involved ventures.
- Creating opportunities for growth and change through deliberate movements designed to increase awareness, knowledge, skills and attitudes.

Professional Experience

Both Sides of the Conversation (BSOTC) | Executive Director and Host

8/2020 – Present

Reporting directly to the Executive Director, the Executive Assistant provides executive support in a one-on-one working relationship. The Executive Assistant serves as the primary point of contact for the Executive Director. Prior to launch of show:

- Oversees operations of the organization
- Meet with all panelists prior to the show for mic and camera check.
- Answer any questions from panelists and go over the rundown of the show.
- When applicable, go over presentation information prior to the show to make sure it presents without any issues.
- Introduce and interview all show panelists.
- Writes script, contests and interview questions.
- Memorizes script, reads, or ad-libs to identify channels, introduce and close shows, and announce public service information.
- Prepares and creates a topical show by gathering information from wire copy, periodicals, computer and television.
- Make public service announcements, appear at scheduled community events and wrap-up programs for audiences.
- Act as show moderator.
- May also select program content and make promotional appearances in public or private events.
- Records interviews of guest, musical or other famous or public personalities, and edits interviews.
- Interviews guests, and moderates panel or discussion shows to entertain the audience.
- Plans and stages community events, including hosting and assisting at charity functions.
- Attends live, in-person appearances as scheduled by the station or requested by clients.
- Provides live reports from the site of the event.
- Maintains and builds a positive cooperative attitude within the station and a strong personal community involvement.

- Install a variety of valves and fittings
- Secure pipes to structures with clamps, brackets, and hangers
- Skillfulness in leadership and problem-solving abilities
- Inspect structures to avoid any obstruction and delays throughout the project
- Ability to read, write and perform basic arithmetic calculations; 10 years of experience at the operational level in an appropriate craft; and knowledge and abilities essential to the successful performance of the duties assigned to the position
- Proficient in basic computer skills and applications
- Offer guidance and mentoring to junior team members

Education

- San Jose Job Corps Center | General Equivalency Diploma Conferred 06/1999
- Local 38 Apprentice Training Center | Air Conditioning & Heating 1995 – 2005

Certificates & Training

- Air Conditioning Certificate
- Local 38 Apprentice Training Center | Air Conditioning & Heating
- Certification of Completion in Air Conditioning & Environment Protection Agency | Certification in (EPA)-Universal
- Certificate in blueprint reading
- Central County Occupational Center

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Date Initial Filing Received
Filing Official Use Only

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
HENRY JON

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

DCYF

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

DEPT OF CHILDREN, YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES

Your Position

OVERSIGHT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: N/A

Position: N/A

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

☐ State

☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

☐ Multi-County N/A

☐ County of N/A

☒ City of SAN FRANCISCO

☐ Other N/A

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

☒ Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2020, through
December 31, 2020.

☐ Leaving Office: Date Left ____/____/____
(Check one circle.)

-or-

The period covered is ____/____/____, through
December 31, 2020.

☐ The period covered is January 1, 2020, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

☐ The period covered is ____/____/____, through
the date of leaving office.

☐ Assuming Office: Date assumed ____/____/____

☐ Candidate: Date of Election ____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: ____

4. Schedule Summary (must complete) ► Total number of pages including this cover page: N/A

Schedules attached

☐ Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached

☐ Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached

☐ Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached

☐ Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached

☐ Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached

☐ Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached

-or- ☒ None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)
1526 INNES AVE SAN FRANCISCO CA 94124

DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER
(415) 314-9552


EMAIL ADDRESS
jhsca415@aol.com

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed 7/14/22
(month, day, year)

Signature


(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing officer.)

Print

Clear

SCHEDULE A-1**Investments****Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests**

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

JON HENRY

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

N/A

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

N/A

FAIR MARKET VALUE

☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Stock ☒ Other N/A (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

N/A

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

N/A

FAIR MARKET VALUE

☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Stock ☐ Other (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Stock ☐ Other (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Stock ☐ Other (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Stock ☐ Other (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Stock ☐ Other (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: N/A

Print

Clear

SCHEDULE A-2
Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name
JON HENRY

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

N/A

Name

N/A

Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one

☐ Trust, go to 2 ☐ Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

N/A

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$0 - \$1,999
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Partnership ☐ Sole Proprietorship ☐ **N/A** Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION **N/A**

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

N/A

Name

N/A

Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one

☐ Trust, go to 2 ☐ Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

N/A

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$0 - \$1,999
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Partnership ☐ Sole Proprietorship ☐ **N/A** Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION **N/A**

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

☐ None or ☐ Names listed below

N/A

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

☐ None or ☐ Names listed below

N/A

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:

☐ INVESTMENT ☐ REAL PROPERTY

N/A

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or
Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

N/A

Description of Business Activity or
City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

☐ Property Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Stock ☐ Partnership

☐ Leasehold **N/A** ☐ Other **N/A**
Yrs. remaining

☐ Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:

☐ INVESTMENT ☐ REAL PROPERTY

N/A

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or
Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

N/A

Description of Business Activity or
City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/20 ____/____/20
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

☐ Property Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Stock ☐ Partnership

☐ Leasehold **N/A** ☐ Other **N/A**
Yrs. remaining

☐ Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments: **N/A**

Print

Clear

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
(Including Rental Income)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name JON HENRY

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
N/A

CITY
N/A

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 / / 20
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 / / 20
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INTEREST
☐ Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Easement
☐ Leasehold **N/A** ☐ **N/A**
 Yrs. remaining Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
☐ None
N/A

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
N/A

CITY
N/A

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 / / 20
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 / / 20
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INTEREST
☐ Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Easement
☐ Leasehold **N/A** ☐ **N/A**
 Yrs. remaining Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
☐ None
N/A

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*
N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER
N/A

INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)
N/A % ☐ None **N/A**

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ Guarantor, if applicable
N/A

NAME OF LENDER*
N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER
N/A

INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)
N/A % ☐ None **N/A**

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ Guarantor, if applicable
N/A

Comments: **N/A**

Print

Clear

SCHEDULE C
Income, Loans, & Business
Positions
(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name JON HENRY

► 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME

UCSF

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

513 PARNASSUS SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94122

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

N/A

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED ☐ No Income - Business Position Only

☐ \$500 - \$1,000

☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000

☒ OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

☒ Salary ☐ Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

☐ Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
Schedule A-2.)

☐ Sale of _____
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

☐ Loan repayment

☐ Commission or ☐ Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

(Describe)

☐ Other _____
(Describe)

► 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

N/A

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED ☐ No Income - Business Position Only

☐ \$500 - \$1,000

☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000

☐ OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

☐ Salary ☐ Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

☐ Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
Schedule A-2.)

☐ Sale of _____
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

☐ Loan repayment

☐ Commission or ☐ Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

(Describe)

☐ Other _____
(Describe)

► 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

☐ \$500 - \$1,000

☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000

☐ OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE

TERM (Months/Years)

_____% ☐ None

SECURITY FOR LOAN

☐ None ☐ Personal residence

☐ Real Property _____
Street address

City

☐ Guarantor _____

☐ Other _____
(Describe)

Comments:

Print

Clear

SCHEDULE D Income – Gifts

Name

JON HENRY

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
___/___/___	\$	
___/___/___	\$	
___/___/___	\$	

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
___/___/___	\$	N/A
___/___/___	\$	N/A
___/___/___	\$	N/A

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A
___/___/___	N/A	N/A

Comments: N/A

Print

Clear

SCHEDULE E
Income – Gifts
Travel Payments, Advances,
and Reimbursements

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name
JON HENRY

- Mark either the gift or income box.
- Mark the "501(c)(3)" box for a travel payment received from a nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization or the "Speech" box if you made a speech or participated in a panel. Per Government Code Section 89506, these payments may not be subject to the gift limit. However, they may result in a disqualifying conflict of interest.
- For gifts of travel, provide the travel destination.

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

CITY AND STATE

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$
(If gift)

▶ MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description _____

▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

CITY AND STATE

N/A

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$N/A
(If gift)

▶ MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description N/A

▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination N/A

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

CITY AND STATE

N/A

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$N/A
(If gift)

▶ MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description N/A

N/A

▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination N/A

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

N/A

CITY AND STATE

N/A

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

N/A

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$N/A
(If gift)

▶ MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description N/A

▶ If Gift, Provide Travel Destination N/A

Comments: N/A

Print

Clear

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Services](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 10 12B Requirement Waiver Approvals
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:48:00 PM
Attachments: [10 12B Requirement Waiver Approvals.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 10 12B Requirement Waiver Approvals:

Requester: Samuel Hoffman
Department: DPH
Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
Supplier ID: 0000014801
Requested total cost: \$597.44
Short Description: MORIA: Retinopathy exam kit for Neonatal ICU

Requester: Brigitte Castillo
Department: DPW
Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
Supplier ID: 0000012559
Requested total cost: \$836.80
Short Description: Radiation Detection Badges / Reading

Requester: Vicky Griffith
Department: PUC
Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
Supplier ID: 0000015616
Requested total cost: \$9,600.00
Short Description: Local food purchases from local food retail store within 15 miles of Moccasin for emergency and facility tours

Requester: Feng Ling Jiang
Department: LIB
Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
Supplier ID: 0000008048
Requested total cost: \$3,258.75
Short Description: Adult physical materials

Requester: Feng Ling Jiang
Department: LIB
Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
Supplier ID: 0000011354
Requested total cost: \$6,250.00
Short Description: Library performances

Requester: Justine Eileen Alberto
Department: ADM
Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
Supplier ID: 0000015964

Requested total cost: \$2,000,000.00

Short Description: Waiver Request for MWI Veterinary Supply Company. There are no compliant sources for the needed antibiotics, vaccines, diagnostic tests and treatments.

Requester: Cristina Alderman

Department: MTA

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000016768

Requested total cost: \$500,000.00

Short Description: 12B and 14B waiver request for Kronos, Inc.

Requester: Vicky Griffith

Department: PUC

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000014881

Requested total cost: \$9,990.00

Short Description: Electric bills for substation distribution in Stanislaus County for FY 22-23 for 6 locations in Modesto. Previously a CMD-104 form

Requester: Brigitte Castillo

Department: DPW

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012559

Requested total cost: \$836.80

Short Description: Radiation Detection Badges / Reading

Requester: Cristina Alderman

Department: MTA

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000016768

Requested total cost: \$500,000.00

Short Description: 12B and 14B waiver request for Kronos, Inc.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001235 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (MTA) Department Head (Ashish Patel)
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 11:18:31 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001235 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (MTA) Department Head (Ashish Patel).

Summary of Request

Requester: Cristina Alderman

Department: MTA

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000016768

Requested total cost: \$500,000.00

Short Description: 12B and 14B waiver request for Kronos, Inc.

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3587407_B9AIMTheJYvDIO4OJSai

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001232 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPW) Department Head (Severino Caranto)
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 8:19:26 PM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001232 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPW) Department Head (Severino Caranto).

Summary of Request

Requester: Brigitte Castillo

Department: DPW

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012559

Requested total cost: \$836.80

Short Description: Radiation Detection Badges / Reading

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3586157_X2niD2rpdpz698eIWAwl

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001195 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (PUC) Department Head (Steve Ritchie)
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 9:03:59 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001195 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (PUC) Department Head (Steve Ritchie).

Summary of Request

Requester: Vicky Griffith

Department: PUC

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000014881

Requested total cost: \$9,990.00

Short Description: Electric bills for substation distribution in Stanislaus County for FY 22-23 for 6 locations in Modesto. Previously a CMD-104 form

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3584518_8iwIid4vGowaVSa6IPGD

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001220 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (MTA) Department Head (Ashish Patel)
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 8:08:56 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001220 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (MTA) Department Head (Ashish Patel).

Summary of Request

Requester: Cristina Alderman

Department: MTA

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000016768

Requested total cost: \$500,000.00

Short Description: 12B and 14B waiver request for Kronos, Inc.

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3584356_GEixKDO5bYIuD6YyRMCt

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001205 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (ADM) Department Head (Virginia Donohue)
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:42:47 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001205 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (ADM) Department Head (Virginia Donohue).

Summary of Request

Requester: Justine Eileen Alberto

Department: ADM

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000015964

Requested total cost: \$2,000,000.00

Short Description: Waiver Request for MWI Veterinary Supply Company. There are no compliant sources for the needed antibiotics, vaccines, diagnostic tests and treatments.

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3582009_84eOgPowsDxBGOcbD0Jt

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001204 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (LIB) Department Head (Michael Lambert)
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 8:56:35 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001204 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (LIB) Department Head (Michael Lambert).

Summary of Request

Requester: Feng Ling Jiang

Department: LIB

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000011354

Requested total cost: \$6,250.00

Short Description: Library performances

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3581807_QGgZbEP9nzZ6qOhK2EI5

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001136 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (LIB) Department Head (Michael Lambert)
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 8:56:34 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001136 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (LIB) Department Head (Michael Lambert).

Summary of Request

Requester: Feng Ling Jiang

Department: LIB

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000008048

Requested total cost: \$3,258.75

Short Description: Adult physical materials

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3581809_HpqDwKMK4XIGy8RyzS74

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001202 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (PUC) Department Head (Steve Ritchie)
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 8:46:27 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001202 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (PUC) Department Head (Steve Ritchie).

Summary of Request

Requester: Vicky Griffith

Department: PUC

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000015616

Requested total cost: \$9,600.00

Short Description: Local food purchases from local food retail store within 15 miles of Moccasin for emergency and facility tours

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3581767_DEBUG7NnRab0wpmmMfqXU

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001197 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPW) Department Head (Severino Caranto)
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:51:40 PM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001197 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPW) Department Head (Severino Caranto).

Summary of Request

Requester: Brigitte Castillo

Department: DPW

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012559

Requested total cost: \$836.80

Short Description: Radiation Detection Badges / Reading

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3580868_zUxayeTHp3W8kIcuVZ59

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0001187 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:31:08 AM
Attachments: [ccsfLogoPic.png](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0001187 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Samuel Hoffman

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000014801

Requested total cost: \$597.44

Short Description: MORIA: Retinopathy exam kit for Neonatal ICU

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact
cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS3579518_Pq6FrRNMBgkOSF6xZyMs

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Department of Elections - Certification of Initiative Measure: Uses of the Great Highway and JFK Drive
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 12:55:00 PM
Attachments: [Department of Elections - Certification of Initiative Measure.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

HAND DELIVERED

July 15, 2022

ANGELA CALVILLO, CLERK OF THE BOARD

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102


RE: Certification for the initiative measure entitled **USES OF THE GREAT HIGHWAY AND JFK DRIVE**

Enclosed is a copy of the letter sent to the proponent of the above named petition, certifying that the petition did contain sufficient valid signatures to qualify for the next general, municipal, or statewide election occurring in the City and County of San Francisco at any time after 90 days from the date of this certificate of sufficiency.

If you should have any questions or need additional information, please contact Deborah Brown at (415) 554-5665.

Sincerely,

John Arntz
Director of Elections

By: 
Deborah Brown
Voter Services Division Manager

Encl. Copy of certified letter to proponent

cc: John Arntz, Director of Elections
London Breed, Mayor
David Chiu, City Attorney

RECEIVED
Clerk of the Board
2022 JUL 15 AM 11:19



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Certified Mail: 7017 3040 0000 1609 9515

July 15, 2022

Proponents:

Richard Corriea

Howard Chabner

James R. Sutton

150 Post St., Ste. 405

San Francisco, CA 94108

jsutton@campaignlawyers.com

Delivered via certified mail and email

RE: Certification for the initiative measure entitled **USES OF THE GREAT HIGHWAY AND JFK DRIVE**

As prescribed under *California Elections Code* section 9115(a), the San Francisco Department of Elections has completed its review of a random sampling of 527 of the 17,578 signatures submitted for the initiative measure entitled **USES OF THE GREAT HIGHWAY AND JFK DRIVE**.

Based on this statistical sampling, the total number of valid signatures was determined to be greater than the 8,979 valid signatures required for qualification. The number of valid signatures required to qualify this initiative measure was determined by calculating 5% of the votes cast for all candidates for Mayor at the most recent municipal election for Mayor (S.F. Charter §14.101).

Thus, I hereby certify that the following initiative measure, **USES OF THE GREAT HIGHWAY AND JFK DRIVE**, is sufficient and qualifies for the next general, municipal, or statewide election in the City and County of San Francisco at any time after 90 days from the date of this certification of sufficiency.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Deborah Brown at (415) 554-5665.

Respectfully,

John Arntz
Director of Elections

By: 

Deborah Brown
Voter Services Division Manager

cc: John Arntz, Director of Elections
London Breed, Mayor
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
David Chiu, City Attorney

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Dept. of Parks and Recreation - St. Francis Wood Historic District Listing
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 3:12:00 PM
Attachments: [Dept. of Parks and Recreation - St. Francis Wood Historic District Listing.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



**DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

Armando Quintero, Director

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer

1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Telephone: (916) 445-7000

FAX: (916) 445-7053

calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov

www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

July 7, 2022

Clerk of the Board, San Francisco County Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: St. Francis Wood Historic District Listing on the National Register of Historic Places

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I write to notify you that on June 30, 2022, the above-named property was placed on the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). As a result of being placed on the National Register, this property has also been listed on the California Register of Historical Resources, pursuant to Section 4851(a)(2) of the Public Resources Code.

Placement on the National Register affords a property the honor of inclusion in the nation's official list of cultural resources worthy of preservation and provides a degree of protection from adverse effects resulting from federally funded or licensed projects. Registration provides a number of incentives for preservation of historic properties, including special building codes to facilitate the restoration of historic structures, and certain tax advantages.

There are no restrictions placed upon a private property owner with regard to normal use, maintenance, or sale of a property listed in the National Register. However, a project that may cause substantial adverse changes in the significance of a registered property may require compliance with local ordinances or the California Environmental Quality Act. In addition, registered properties damaged due to a natural disaster may be subject to the provisions of Section 5028 of the Public Resources Code regarding demolition or significant alterations, if imminent threat to life safety does not exist.

If you have any questions or require further information, please contact the Registration Unit at (916) 445-7009.

Sincerely,

Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure: National Register Notification of Listing

RECEIVED
JUL 15 PM 2:16
AK

WEEKLY LIST OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON PROPERTIES:
6/24/2022 THROUGH 7/1/2022

Effective (4/10/20), the National Register program launched an electronic-only submission process for the duration of the COVID-19 situation while our staff and the staff of our preservation partners engage in extended telework operations. Instructions for (1) the organization of submissions and (2) how to access and upload submissions via the designated FTP site were distributed to recipients of this distribution list and posted on the National Register Website landing page at <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/index.htm>

KEY: State, County, Property Name, Address/Boundary, City, Vicinity, Reference Number, NHL, Action, Date, Multiple Name

[...]

CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY,
St. Francis Wood Historic District,
Bounded by Portola Dr., San Pablo and Santa Paula Aves., San Jacinto Way, San
Andreas Way, Junipero Serra and Monterey Blvds.,
San Francisco, SG100007846,
LISTED, 6/30/2022

[...]

Key to Prefix Codes:

AD - Additional documentation
BC - Boundary change (increase, decrease, or both)
FD - Federal DOE property under the Federal DOE project
FP - Federal DOE Project
MC - Multiple cover sheet
MP - Multiple nomination (a nomination under a multiple cover sheet)
MPS - Multiple Property Submission
MV - Move request
NL - NHL
OT - All other requests (appeal, removal, delisting, direct submission)
RS - Resubmission
SG - Single nomination

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Annual Gift Report - HSH
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:39:00 PM
Attachments: [FY2021-22 HSH Gift Report.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for the Annual Report on Gifts Received by the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing in Fiscal Year 2021-22.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Miller, Bryn (HOM) <bryn.miller@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2022 4:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>
Subject: Annual Gift Report - HSH

Hi, Clerk's Office!

Attached, please find HSH's annual gift report for FY21-22.

Thank you -



Bryn Miller (she/her)

Legislative Analyst

San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

bryn.miller@sfgov.org | M: 978.460.2875

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: [@SF_HSH](#) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and

federal privacy laws.



To: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Emily Cohen

Deputy Director of Communications and Legislative Affairs
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

Date: July 14, 2022

Subject: Annual Report on Gifts Received by HSH in Fiscal Year 2021-22

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.10.305, this report serves to notify the Board of Supervisors on all gifts received and gift funds expended by the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) during fiscal year FY 2021-22, including donations to and expenditures from the Mayor's Fund for the Homeless (which HSH administers).

Donations to the Mayor's Fund for the Homeless are expended in accordance with Administration Code Section 10.100-106 and labeled in this report as "homeless assistance."

FY 2021-22 Gifts Received:

Date Received	Donor Name	Donor's Financial Interest involving City, if any	Nature of Gift	Value (estimate if not cash)	Disposition (Intended Use)
08/13/21	Community Health Charities	N/A	Cash	\$14.87	Homeless Assistance
09/15/21	Community Health Charities	N/A	Cash	\$9.57	Homeless Assistance
10/25/21	Joan Lubamersky	N/A	Cash	\$5.00	Homeless Assistance
10/27/21	Andrew Meister	N/A	Cash	\$750.00	Homeless Assistance
11/08/21	Susannah Owen	N/A	Cash	\$10.00	Homeless Assistance
11/10/21	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	N/A	Cash	\$110.00	Homeless Assistance
11/15/21	Andrew A Chan	N/A	Cash	\$300.00	Homeless Assistance
11/15/21	Community Health Charities	N/A	Cash	\$18.09	Homeless Assistance
11/19/21	Yi-Chen Lin	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless Assistance
12/01/21	Lawrence Hosken	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless Assistance

12/10/21	Daniel Bausher-Belton	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless Assistance
12/17/21	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	N/A	Cash	\$110.00	Homeless Assistance
12/20/21	Rebecca M Loh Fung	N/A	Cash	\$300.00	Homeless Assistance
12/22/21	Cory Rand (Ryan Michael Lavery)	N/A	Cash	\$10.00	Homeless Assistance
12/27/21	Jeremy Broome	N/A	Cash	\$1,000.00	Homeless Assistance
12/31/21	Cornelis Lekkerkerker	N/A	Cash	\$500.00	Homeless Assistance
01/01/22	Tipping Point Community	N/A	In-Kind Services	\$67,500.00	Support for SIP Housing process. *
01/03/22	Mark Watson	N/A	Cash	\$1,000.00	Homeless Assistance
01/03/22	Julia V. Lobel	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless Assistance
01/18/22	Alexandra Pusateri	N/A	Cash	\$10.00	Homeless Assistance
01/19/22	UCSF School of Medicine (Natan Helmatjah)	N/A	Cash	\$1,010.69	Homeless Assistance
02/11/22	Mary Ellen Ford	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless Assistance
02/14/22	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	N/A	Cash	\$110.00	Homeless Assistance
02/15/22	Community Health Charities	N/A	Cash	\$14.23	Homeless Assistance
02/24/22	Charles H. Russell-Schlesinger	N/A	Cash	\$1,000.00	Homeless Assistance
03/11/22	Nikkito D Gates	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless Assistance
03/17/22	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	N/A	Cash	\$110.00	Homeless Assistance
03/22/22	Hana M Mendoza	N/A	Cash	\$50.00	Homeless Assistance
04/11/22	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	N/A	Cash	\$110.00	Homeless Assistance
04/14/22	Frank Leykamm	N/A	Cash	\$200.00	Homeless Assistance
04/15/22	Community Health Charities	N/A	Cash	\$9.23	Homeless Assistance
05/02/22	Andrea Meister	N/A	Cash	\$1,000.00	Homeless Assistance



05/02/22	David Seader	N/A	Cash	\$7.00	Homeless Assistance
05/06/22	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	N/A	Cash	\$110.00	Homeless Assistance
05/12/22	David Seader	N/A	Cash	\$3.00	Homeless Assistance
05/13/22	Community Health Charities	N/A	Cash	\$28.43	Homeless Assistance
05/26/22	Susi Ferrarello	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless Assistance
06/13/22	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	N/A	Cash	\$110.00	Homeless Assistance
06/15/22	Community Health Charities	N/A	Cash	\$9.26	Homeless Assistance
Total				\$75,994.37	

*Approved by the Board of Supervisors in [Resolution No. 093-22](#).

FY 2021-22 Gift Expenditures

The table includes use of prior year donations and private grants to the Mayor's Fund for the Homeless.

Description of Expenditure	Payee	Amount
Problem Solving Funds for Families impacted by COVID-19	Catholic Charities	\$20,824.50
Tipping Point Community Fellowship	HSH	\$23,730.03
		Total: \$44,554.53



From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000.00 during FY 2021-22
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 12:37:00 PM
Attachments: [SFPL Letter & Gift List FY 2021-22 to BOS.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached the Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000 by San Francisco Public Library during FY 2021-2022, per Administrative Code 10.100-305.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kong, Wilfred (LIB) <Wilfred.Kong@sfpl.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2022 9:09 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Fernandez, Mike (LIB) <mike.fernandez@sfpl.org>; Lindsley, Lovely (LIB) <lovely.lindsley@sfpl.org>
Subject: RE: Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000.00 during FY 2021-22

To Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

In the attachment, please find and review the soft copy of the Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000.00 by San Francisco Public Library during FY 2021-2022 as in my earlier email today. I am sorry for forgotten to send it in attachment as in my earlier email message today.

Wilfred Kong
Revenue Accountant
San Francisco Public Library
Tel: 415-557-4244

From: Kong, Wilfred (LIB)
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2022 8:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Fernandez, Mike (LIB) <mike.fernandez@sfpl.org>; Lindsley, Lovely (LIB) <lovely.lindsley@sfpl.org>

Subject: Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000.00 during FY 2021-22

To Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

On July 14, 2022, I have already hand-carried and submitted a hard copy of the Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000.00 by San Francisco Public Library during FY 2021-2022 through your fore-counter in City Hall. In the attachment, please also find and review the soft copy of the aforementioned list. Thank you for your attention.

Wilfred Kong
Revenue Accountant
San Francisco Public Library
Tel: 415-557-4244



San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street (Civic Center)
San Francisco, CA 94102

Date: July 13, 2022
To: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: San Francisco Public Library-Finance Department
Subject: Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000.00

MEMORANDUM

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-305, this memo serves to provide the Board of Supervisors with a report on gifts up to \$10,000.00 received by the Department during FY 2021-2022.

Please find attached report for your reference.

Sincerely,

Mike Fernandez
Chief Financial Officer

cc: File, SFPL-Finance Department

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
GIFT RECORD
FY2021-2022

Date Received by SFPL Finance	Source	Value	Gift description	Disposition
07/07/21	Aleta Thielmeyer	\$ 200.00	Check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Youth
07/19/21	Jessica Lu	\$ 10.00	Check	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
09/13/21	Siriwpa Boonyawat & Not Taesombut	\$ 200.00	Cash	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
11/19/21	Joyce Hing McGowan	\$ 200.00	Check	Gift: (for Western Addition Branch) 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
12/07/21	Genevieve Fujimoto	\$ 60.00	Check	Gift: (for Project Read Program) 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
12/14/21	Linda S. Murphy	\$ 250.00	Check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Youth
12/14/21	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 79.34	Check	Gift: for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth
12/22/21	Rose Heida	\$ 1,000.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
12/24/21	Ronald J. Gilson	\$ 1,000.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
12/15/21	Martin Kung	\$ 1,000.00	Check	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
12/15/21	Shu C. Yang	\$ 150.00	Check	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
12/17/21	Mandy Mun Sum Woo	\$ 100.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
12/20/21	Richard & Jean Lerche	\$ 100.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
12/31/21	Judy & Ed Goetzl	\$ 100.00	Check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Adult
01/06/22	Ruth Chew	\$ 25.00	Check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Adult
01/06/22	Amanda Staigt	\$ 150.00	Check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Youth
01/06/22	Steve & Mary Gorski	\$ 2,000.00	Check	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
01/13/22	Patricia A. Higa	\$ 100.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
01/31/22	Becca Bernstein	\$ 500.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
02/10/22	Anonymous	\$ 20.00	Cash	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
02/24/22	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 88.42	Check	Gift: for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth
03/04/22	Valerie Neff-Rasmussen	\$ 25.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support
04/07/22	Soohyen Park	\$ 50.00	Check	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
03/18/22	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 175.00	Check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth
05/23/22	San Mateo County Genealogical Society	\$ 100.00	Check	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
06/07/22	Connie Dunn	\$ 50.00	Check	Gift: for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth
06/12/22	Jay Angel Holiday	\$ 20.00	Cash	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
06/20/22	Linda Lavelle	\$ 100.00	Check	Gift: 50% for both Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
06/20/22	Richard Lerche	\$ 100.00	Check	Gift: Library Services & Program Support

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2022
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 5:04:00 PM
Attachments: [CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2022.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of June, provided by the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <ichieh.dion@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:01 AM
Subject: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2022

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of June attached for your use.

Regards,

Ichieh Dion
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-554-5433

Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector
City and County of San Francisco

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer
Hubert R White, III CFA, CTP, Chief Investment Officer



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Investment Report for the month of June 2022

July 15, 2022

The Honorable London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of June 30, 2022. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of June 2022 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

	Current Month		Prior Month	
(in \$ million)	Fiscal YTD	June 2022	Fiscal YTD	May 2022
Average Daily Balance	\$ 13,633	\$ 14,913	\$ 13,518	\$ 14,875
Net Earnings	81.63	11.21	70.42	9.85
Earned Income Yield	0.60%	0.91%	0.57%	0.78%

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *

(in \$ million)	% of	Book	Market	Wtd. Avg.	Wtd. Avg.	
Investment Type	Portfolio	Value	Value	Coupon	YTM	WAM
U.S. Treasuries	29.28%	\$ 4,482.7	\$ 4,253.4	0.83%	0.77%	879
Federal Agencies	31.60%	4,761.8	4,590.1	1.12%	1.11%	832
Public Time Deposits	0.28%	40.0	40.0	1.41%	1.41%	123
Negotiable CDs	13.45%	1,960.0	1,953.3	1.26%	1.26%	143
Commercial Paper	4.67%	676.9	677.9	0.00%	1.32%	56
Money Market Funds	16.80%	2,440.7	2,440.7	1.08%	1.08%	1
Supranationals	3.93%	596.2	570.7	0.31%	0.80%	674
Totals	100.0%	\$ 14,958.3	\$ 14,526.2	1.01%	1.03%	569

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "José Cisneros", written over a horizontal line.

José Cisneros
Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Brenda Kwee McNulty, Meghan Wallace
Ben Rosenfield - Controller, Office of the Controller
Mark de la Rosa - Director of Audits, Office of the Controller
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
San Francisco Public Library
San Francisco Health Service System

Portfolio Summary

Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2022

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
Security Type	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value	Market/Book Price	Current % Allocation	Max. Policy Allocation	Compliant?
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 4,475.0	\$ 4,482.7	\$ 4,253.4	94.89	29.28%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	4,760.3	4,761.8	4,590.1	96.39	31.60%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	-	-	-	-	0.00%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	40.0	40.0	40.0	100.00	0.28%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	1,960.0	1,960.0	1,953.3	99.66	13.45%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	680.0	676.9	677.9	-	4.67%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	-	-	-	-	0.00%	30%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/ Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	2,440.7	2,440.7	2,440.7	100.00	16.80%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	588.5	596.2	570.7	95.74	3.93%	30%	Yes
TOTAL	\$ 14,944.6	\$ 14,958.3	\$ 14,526.2	97.11	100.00%	-	Yes

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on a par value basis of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/banking-investments/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.


City and County of San Francisco

Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended June 30, 2022

Average Daily Balance	\$14,913,233,332
Net Earnings	\$11,212,819
Earned Income Yield	0.91%
Weighted Average Maturity	569 days

Investment Type	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value
	<i>(\$ million)</i>		
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 4,475.0	\$ 4,482.7	\$ 4,253.4
Federal Agencies	4,760.3	4,761.8	4,590.1
Public Time Deposits	40.0	40.0	40.0
Negotiable CDs	1,960.0	1,960.0	1,953.3
Commercial Paper	680.0	676.9	677.9
Money Market Funds	2,440.7	2,440.7	2,440.7
Supranationals	588.5	596.2	570.7
Total	\$ 14,944.6	\$ 14,958.3	\$ 14,526.2




Asset Allocation by Market Value


Portfolio Analysis

Pooled Fund

Par Value of Investments by Maturity




Asset Allocation by Market Value




Yield Curves

Yields (%) on Benchmark Indices



Source: Bloomberg

U.S. Treasury Yield Curves



Source: Bloomberg

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2022

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	912828YA2	US TREASURY	3/30/2021	8/15/2022	1.50	\$	100,000,000	\$	101,933,594	\$ 100,006,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAG6	US TREASURY	3/30/2021	8/31/2022	0.13		50,000,000		50,019,531	49,880,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796U56	TREASURY BILL	3/29/2022	9/22/2022	0.00		50,000,000		49,759,821	49,813,250
U.S. Treasuries	912796U64	TREASURY BILL	3/31/2022	9/29/2022	0.00		50,000,000		49,734,584	49,795,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796M89	TREASURY BILL	4/7/2022	10/6/2022	0.00		50,000,000		49,719,417	49,766,300
U.S. Treasuries	912796V63	TREASURY BILL	4/21/2022	10/20/2022	0.00		50,000,000		49,684,028	49,705,750
U.S. Treasuries	912828TY6	US TREASURY	4/8/2021	11/15/2022	1.63		50,000,000		51,201,172	49,875,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796P94	TREASURY BILL	12/13/2021	12/1/2022	0.00		50,000,000		49,878,019	49,531,700
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z86	US TREASURY	8/17/2021	2/15/2023	1.38		50,000,000		50,923,828	49,603,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z86	US TREASURY	3/3/2022	2/15/2023	1.38		50,000,000		50,196,402	49,603,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZD5	US TREASURY	3/18/2021	3/15/2023	0.50		50,000,000		50,335,938	49,224,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBU4	US TREASURY	5/4/2021	3/31/2023	0.13		50,000,000		49,972,656	49,025,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZU7	US TREASURY	3/12/2021	6/15/2023	0.25		50,000,000		50,066,406	48,748,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZU7	US TREASURY	4/8/2021	6/15/2023	0.25		50,000,000		50,072,266	48,748,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZU7	US TREASURY	6/24/2021	6/15/2023	0.25		50,000,000		49,998,047	48,748,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	1/9/2020	6/30/2023	1.38		50,000,000		49,605,469	49,248,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	6/24/2021	6/30/2023	1.38		50,000,000		51,138,672	49,248,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCK5	US TREASURY	6/30/2021	6/30/2023	0.13		50,000,000		49,865,234	48,638,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828S92	US TREASURY	4/1/2021	7/31/2023	1.25		50,000,000		51,220,703	49,119,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828S92	US TREASURY	4/1/2021	7/31/2023	1.25		50,000,000		51,218,750	49,119,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAK7	US TREASURY	8/10/2021	9/15/2023	0.13		50,000,000		49,886,719	48,351,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	12/17/2019	11/15/2023	2.75		50,000,000		51,960,938	49,877,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	US TREASURY	3/19/2021	12/15/2023	0.13		50,000,000		49,767,578	48,010,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	US TREASURY	12/9/2021	12/15/2023	0.13		50,000,000		49,402,344	48,010,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	US TREASURY	12/15/2021	12/15/2023	0.13		50,000,000		49,443,359	48,010,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z9	US TREASURY	10/4/2021	1/31/2024	2.50		50,000,000		52,511,719	49,658,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	US TREASURY	2/23/2022	1/31/2024	0.88		50,000,000		49,418,422	48,416,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	US TREASURY	4/11/2022	1/31/2024	0.88		50,000,000		48,690,068	48,416,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828B66	US TREASURY	4/11/2022	2/15/2024	2.75		50,000,000		50,458,909	49,859,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBR1	US TREASURY	3/8/2022	3/15/2024	0.25		50,000,000		48,708,984	47,777,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCC3	US TREASURY	7/2/2021	5/15/2024	0.25		50,000,000		49,718,750	47,560,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828XT2	US TREASURY	7/6/2021	5/31/2024	2.00		50,000,000		52,263,672	49,136,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	US TREASURY	8/6/2021	7/15/2024	0.38		50,000,000		49,998,047	47,469,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	US TREASURY	8/9/2021	7/15/2024	0.38		50,000,000		49,960,938	47,469,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	US TREASURY	4/12/2022	7/15/2024	0.38		50,000,000		47,617,328	47,469,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y87	US TREASURY	3/30/2021	7/31/2024	1.75		50,000,000		52,210,938	48,787,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCT6	US TREASURY	8/25/2021	8/15/2024	0.38		50,000,000		49,898,438	47,342,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828YM6	US TREASURY	4/15/2021	10/31/2024	1.50		50,000,000		51,746,094	48,347,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	US TREASURY	3/9/2021	11/15/2024	2.25		50,000,000		53,160,156	49,181,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	US TREASURY	3/12/2021	11/15/2024	2.25		50,000,000		53,228,516	49,181,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828YY0	US TREASURY	3/15/2021	12/31/2024	1.75		50,000,000		52,226,563	48,502,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	US TREASURY	3/30/2021	1/31/2025	1.38		50,000,000		51,515,625	48,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	US TREASURY	4/15/2021	1/31/2025	1.38		50,000,000		51,027,813	48,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	US TREASURY	3/15/2021	2/28/2025	1.13		50,000,000		51,011,719	47,636,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	US TREASURY	3/31/2021	2/28/2025	1.13		50,000,000		50,998,047	47,636,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	US TREASURY	4/15/2021	3/31/2025	0.50		50,000,000		49,779,297	46,713,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	US TREASURY	4/19/2021	3/31/2025	0.50		50,000,000		49,839,844	46,713,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZL7	US TREASURY	5/18/2021	4/30/2025	0.38		50,000,000		49,615,234	46,453,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XB1	US TREASURY	9/2/2021	5/15/2025	2.13		50,000,000		52,849,609	48,824,000

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	3/8/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,140,625	49,402,530	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	3/9/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,042,969	49,334,213	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	5/12/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,281,250	49,478,787	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	5/13/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,183,594	49,407,578	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	5/18/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,253,906	49,456,800	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	7/12/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,310,547	49,478,985	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	8/5/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,500,000	49,615,789	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	8/6/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,406,250	49,543,430	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	12/7/2021	6/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	48,628,906	48,846,005	46,086,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	US TREASURY	8/5/2021	7/31/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,458,984	49,581,605	45,959,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	US TREASURY	8/6/2021	7/31/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,363,281	49,507,254	45,959,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	US TREASURY	5/12/2021	9/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,109,375	49,340,092	45,732,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	US TREASURY	7/26/2021	9/30/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,281,250	49,441,286	45,732,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	US TREASURY	2/25/2021	10/31/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,298,828	49,500,277	45,615,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	US TREASURY	3/2/2021	10/31/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,078,125	49,341,054	45,615,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	US TREASURY	3/4/2021	10/31/2025		0.25	50,000,000	49,048,828	49,319,314	45,615,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	US TREASURY	2/25/2021	12/31/2025		0.38	50,000,000	49,455,078	49,606,240	45,623,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	US TREASURY	2/26/2021	12/31/2025		0.38	50,000,000	49,271,484	49,473,278	45,623,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	US TREASURY	6/28/2021	4/30/2026		0.75	50,000,000	49,662,109	49,732,479	45,894,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	US TREASURY	7/2/2021	4/30/2026		0.75	50,000,000	49,730,469	49,786,118	45,894,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	US TREASURY	7/23/2021	5/15/2026		1.63	50,000,000	52,203,125	51,773,033	47,443,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	US TREASURY	8/27/2021	5/15/2026		1.63	50,000,000	51,890,625	51,552,464	47,443,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	7/2/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,931,641	49,945,283	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	7/14/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,070,313	50,056,654	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	7/22/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,345,703	50,279,782	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	7/22/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,328,125	50,265,556	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	8/6/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,406,250	50,331,540	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	8/10/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,240,234	50,196,494	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	9/24/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,937,500	49,947,557	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	10/14/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,593,750	49,655,160	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	1/4/2022	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,027,344	49,133,041	45,963,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	US TREASURY	9/28/2021	8/31/2026		0.75	50,000,000	49,449,219	49,533,766	45,576,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	US TREASURY	10/8/2021	9/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,689,453	49,734,891	45,730,450
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	US TREASURY	10/8/2021	9/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,671,875	49,719,884	45,730,450
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	US TREASURY	10/19/2021	9/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,318,359	49,414,551	45,730,450
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	US TREASURY	12/3/2021	11/30/2026		1.25	50,000,000	50,072,266	50,063,941	46,361,350
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	US TREASURY	12/7/2021	11/30/2026		1.25	50,000,000	50,117,188	50,103,916	46,361,350
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	US TREASURY	3/29/2022	11/30/2026		1.25	50,000,000	47,078,125	47,239,025	46,361,350
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	US TREASURY	3/29/2022	12/31/2026		1.25	50,000,000	47,107,422	47,263,867	46,283,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	US TREASURY	4/6/2022	3/31/2027		2.50	25,000,000	24,768,058	24,769,257	24,427,725
Subtotals						0.83	\$ 4,475,000,000	\$ 4,482,692,634	\$ 4,473,167,660	\$ 4,253,408,625
Federal Agencies	313385F92	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/10/2022	9/16/2022		0.00	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 24,899,667	\$ 24,940,111	\$ 24,898,500
Federal Agencies	3133EHZP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	9/20/2022		1.85	25,000,000	25,718,750	25,063,558	25,018,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/3/2020	10/3/2022		0.70	40,000,000	39,990,000	39,998,970	39,915,200
Federal Agencies	3133EMS45	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	7/14/2021	12/14/2022		0.11	50,000,000	49,992,900	49,997,725	49,361,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMWK4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/18/2021	1/19/2023		0.14	60,000,000	59,987,400	59,995,834	59,218,800
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	1/23/2023		1.60	10,140,000	10,188,141	10,188,639	10,077,132
Federal Agencies	3133EMPH9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/3/2022	2/3/2023		0.13	45,500,000	45,101,055	45,240,060	44,835,700
Federal Agencies	3133827H0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/7/2022	2/6/2023		2.14	44,400,000	44,908,503	44,679,376	44,273,460

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
Federal Agencies	3133ENDQ0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/12/2021	2/10/2023		0.16	50,000,000	49,899,789	49,950,665	49,300,834
Federal Agencies	3133EMUH3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/31/2021	3/23/2023		0.13	65,000,000	64,955,150	64,983,538	63,815,700
Federal Agencies	3133EMVP4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/13/2021	4/13/2023		0.13	20,000,000	19,973,600	19,989,657	19,589,800
Federal Agencies	3133EMVP4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/13/2021	4/13/2023		0.13	25,000,000	24,967,000	24,987,071	24,487,250
Federal Agencies	3133EMVP4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/13/2021	4/13/2023		0.13	50,000,000	49,934,000	49,974,142	48,974,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMXM9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/5/2021	4/27/2023		0.13	44,500,000	44,462,233	44,484,307	43,505,870
Federal Agencies	3133EMYX4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/10/2021	5/10/2023		0.13	12,500,000	12,484,000	12,493,140	12,213,250
Federal Agencies	3133EMYX4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/10/2021	5/10/2023		0.13	25,000,000	24,968,000	24,986,279	24,426,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMYX4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/10/2021	5/10/2023		0.13	75,000,000	74,904,000	74,958,838	73,279,500
Federal Agencies	3130AMRY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	6/4/2021	6/2/2023		0.13	15,000,000	14,986,200	14,993,631	14,617,050
Federal Agencies	3133EMF31	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/2/2021	6/2/2023		0.13	100,000,000	99,938,000	99,971,463	97,497,000
Federal Agencies	3133EMH96	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/28/2021	6/14/2023		0.13	50,000,000	49,864,850	49,934,313	48,685,500
Federal Agencies	3133EM3S9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/14/2021	6/26/2023		0.20	48,067,000	47,826,184	47,911,913	46,768,710
Federal Agencies	3133EM3S9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	8/26/2021	6/26/2023		0.20	50,000,000	49,979,892	49,989,179	48,649,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMS37	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	7/14/2021	7/14/2023		0.13	50,000,000	49,927,791	49,962,609	48,590,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMS37	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	7/14/2021	7/14/2023		0.13	50,000,000	49,907,253	49,951,975	48,590,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENEY2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/24/2021	7/24/2023		0.45	50,000,000	49,996,500	49,997,763	48,864,512
Federal Agencies	3133EM2E1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	8/10/2021	8/10/2023		0.16	50,000,000	49,970,000	49,983,356	48,518,000
Federal Agencies	3137EAEV7	FREDDIE MAC	12/6/2021	8/24/2023		0.25	40,776,000	40,542,761	40,619,887	39,564,137
Federal Agencies	3130AJXD6	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/14/2021	9/8/2023		0.13	20,975,000	20,806,361	20,859,377	20,288,069
Federal Agencies	3135G0U43	FANNIE MAE	12/9/2021	9/12/2023		2.88	29,648,000	30,793,302	30,429,374	29,660,156
Federal Agencies	3133EM6N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/27/2021	9/27/2023		0.17	50,000,000	49,950,000	49,968,973	48,365,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENGf1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/3/2021	12/1/2023		0.50	25,000,000	24,963,750	24,974,207	24,173,499
Federal Agencies	3133ENGf1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/3/2021	12/1/2023		0.50	25,000,000	24,963,750	24,974,207	24,173,499
Federal Agencies	3133ENGf1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/3/2021	12/1/2023		0.50	75,000,000	74,891,250	74,922,620	72,520,496
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/10/2021	12/8/2023		2.25	10,000,000	10,301,000	10,217,067	9,887,100
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/10/2021	12/8/2023		2.25	30,000,000	30,903,000	30,651,202	29,661,300
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/20/2021	12/20/2023		0.68	25,000,000	24,987,600	24,990,878	24,163,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/20/2021	12/20/2023		0.68	25,000,000	24,988,000	24,991,173	24,163,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/20/2021	12/20/2023		0.68	62,000,000	61,970,488	61,978,290	59,924,240
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/3/2022	1/18/2024		0.90	11,856,000	11,752,153	11,759,314	11,512,787
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/1/2022	1/18/2024		0.90	50,000,000	49,717,250	49,763,640	48,552,579
Federal Agencies	3130AFW94	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/12/2021	2/13/2024		2.50	39,010,000	40,648,810	40,188,828	38,749,413
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	2/14/2024		1.43	20,495,000	20,950,604	20,684,197	20,048,414
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/26/2021	2/26/2024		0.25	5,000,000	4,998,200	4,999,005	4,794,250
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/26/2021	2/26/2024		0.25	5,000,000	4,998,200	4,999,005	4,794,250
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/26/2021	2/26/2024		0.25	100,000,000	99,964,000	99,980,110	95,885,000
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/25/2022	2/28/2024		2.13	11,000,000	10,987,460	10,989,203	10,858,100
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/25/2022	2/28/2024		2.13	25,000,000	24,971,500	24,975,462	24,677,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2021	3/18/2024		0.30	50,000,000	49,939,500	49,965,444	47,925,000
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2021	3/18/2024		0.30	50,000,000	49,939,450	49,965,416	47,925,000
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/4/2021	4/22/2024		0.35	16,545,000	16,549,633	16,547,825	15,832,407
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/4/2021	4/22/2024		0.35	29,424,000	29,432,239	29,429,024	28,156,708
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/4/2021	4/22/2024		0.35	39,000,000	39,010,920	39,006,659	37,320,270
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2022	5/16/2024		2.63	45,000,000	44,939,250	44,943,073	44,737,200
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2022	5/16/2024		2.63	50,000,000	49,932,500	49,936,748	49,708,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENYH7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/10/2022	6/10/2024		2.63	100,000,000	99,871,000	99,874,706	99,298,000
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	5/18/2022	6/14/2024		2.88	15,955,000	16,008,449	16,005,347	15,910,486
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	5/18/2022	6/14/2024		2.88	17,980,000	18,043,829	18,040,124	17,929,836
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	5/12/2022	6/14/2024		2.88	25,500,000	25,552,530	25,549,092	25,428,855

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	5/16/2022	6/14/2024		2.88	50,000,000	50,204,000	50,191,653	49,860,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/17/2022	6/17/2024		3.25	25,000,000	24,970,500	24,971,065	25,115,590
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/17/2022	6/17/2024		3.25	25,000,000	24,970,750	24,971,310	25,115,590
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/17/2022	6/17/2024		3.25	50,000,000	49,970,000	49,970,575	50,231,179
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/28/2022	6/28/2024		3.10	25,000,000	24,987,500	24,987,551	25,043,723
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/28/2022	6/28/2024		3.10	25,000,000	24,986,500	24,986,555	25,043,723
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/28/2022	6/28/2024		3.10	50,000,000	49,973,000	49,973,111	50,087,446
Federal Agencies	3133EMV25	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	8/6/2021	7/23/2024		0.45	50,000,000	50,092,000	50,064,026	47,493,500
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/23/2021	9/23/2024		0.43	25,000,000	24,974,750	24,981,224	23,673,750
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/23/2021	9/23/2024		0.43	50,000,000	49,949,500	49,962,448	47,347,500
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/23/2021	9/23/2024		0.43	50,000,000	49,949,500	49,962,448	47,347,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/18/2021	11/18/2024		0.88	10,000,000	9,988,500	9,990,861	9,510,300
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/18/2021	11/18/2024		0.88	10,000,000	9,988,500	9,990,861	9,510,300
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/18/2021	11/18/2024		0.88	50,000,000	49,942,500	49,954,304	47,551,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/3/2019	12/3/2024		1.63	25,000,000	24,960,000	24,980,602	24,223,250
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/9/2021	12/9/2024		0.92	50,000,000	49,985,000	49,987,792	47,610,697
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/9/2021	12/9/2024		0.92	50,000,000	49,963,000	49,969,887	47,610,697
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/11/2022	1/6/2025		1.13	20,000,000	19,955,000	19,962,053	19,108,400
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/11/2022	1/6/2025		1.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,952,566	23,885,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/11/2022	1/6/2025		1.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,952,566	23,885,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0X24	FANNIE MAE	4/21/2021	1/7/2025		1.63	39,060,000	40,632,556	40,127,298	37,746,412
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,997,981	4,810,300
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,997,981	4,810,300
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,997,981	4,810,300
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	15,000,000	14,988,450	14,993,943	14,430,900
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	50,000,000	49,961,500	49,979,811	48,103,000
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	4/21/2021	2/12/2025		1.50	53,532,000	55,450,052	54,849,714	51,500,996
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	16,000,000	15,990,720	15,994,985	15,240,800
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	24,000,000	23,964,240	23,980,675	22,861,200
Federal Agencies	3133EMWT5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/21/2021	4/21/2025		0.60	50,000,000	49,973,500	49,981,408	46,727,500
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FANNIE MAE	12/8/2021	4/22/2025		0.63	37,938,000	37,367,792	37,462,749	35,508,450
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FANNIE MAE	7/12/2021	4/22/2025		0.63	50,000,000	50,108,000	50,080,296	46,798,000
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FANNIE MAE	12/8/2021	4/22/2025		0.63	50,000,000	49,243,950	49,369,856	46,798,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/23/2022	5/23/2025		2.85	6,000,000	5,991,600	5,991,899	5,960,442
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/23/2022	5/23/2025		2.85	20,000,000	19,972,000	19,972,996	19,868,140
Federal Agencies	3133ENYQ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/13/2022	6/13/2025		2.95	50,000,000	49,975,500	49,975,902	49,875,854
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FANNIE MAE	12/8/2021	6/17/2025		0.50	4,655,000	4,556,640	4,572,307	4,322,214
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FANNIE MAE	12/8/2021	6/17/2025		0.50	10,000,000	9,789,600	9,823,114	9,285,100
Federal Agencies	3130AN4A5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	7/12/2021	6/30/2025		0.70	17,680,000	17,734,631	17,721,284	16,501,451
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FANNIE MAE	3/4/2021	8/25/2025		0.38	25,000,000	24,684,250	24,777,720	22,984,250
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FANNIE MAE	2/25/2021	8/25/2025		0.38	72,500,000	71,862,000	72,052,778	66,654,325
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/2/2021	9/12/2025		1.75	10,295,000	10,575,333	10,527,418	9,889,480
Federal Agencies	3137EAEX3	FREDDIE MAC	3/4/2021	9/23/2025		0.38	22,600,000	22,295,352	22,383,964	20,745,670
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/17/2021	11/17/2025		1.05	39,675,000	39,622,232	39,630,395	37,160,910
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/17/2021	11/17/2025		1.05	55,000,000	54,923,000	54,934,911	51,514,809
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2021	12/16/2025		1.17	45,000,000	44,954,100	44,960,289	42,282,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2021	12/16/2025		1.17	50,000,000	49,949,000	49,955,877	46,980,000
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	8/9/2021	4/6/2026		0.69	15,500,000	15,458,150	15,466,171	14,209,470
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2022	4/8/2026		2.64	20,000,000	19,961,200	19,963,431	19,676,148
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2022	4/8/2026		2.64	30,000,000	29,941,800	29,945,146	29,514,221

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,312,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,312,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,312,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,312,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,222,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,222,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,222,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,222,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,355,006
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,355,006
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,355,006
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,355,006
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,153,724
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,153,724
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,153,724
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,153,724
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,527,750
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,527,750
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,527,750
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,527,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQL71	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,687,458
Federal Agencies	3130AQL71	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,687,458
Federal Agencies	3130AQL71	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,687,458
Federal Agencies	3130AQL71	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,687,458
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	1/14/2022	12/14/2026		1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,663,743
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	1/14/2022	12/14/2026		1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,663,743
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	1/14/2022	12/14/2026		1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,663,743
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	1/14/2022	12/14/2026		1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,663,743
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,257,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,257,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,257,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,257,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/16/2022	3/10/2027		1.68	48,573,000	47,445,621	47,499,100	45,589,161
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/6/2022	4/5/2027		2.60	22,500,000	22,393,963	22,397,411	22,000,950
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/6/2022	4/5/2027		2.60	24,500,000	24,378,779	24,382,806	23,956,590
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/6/2022	4/5/2027		2.60	25,000,000	24,805,806	24,813,236	24,445,500
Subtotals						1.12	\$ 4,760,279,000	\$ 4,761,833,362	\$ 4,760,113,882	\$ 4,590,100,526
Public Time Deposits	PPE4E8VT6	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	3/21/2022	9/19/2022		0.81	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPEEE5T97	BRIDGE BANK	3/21/2022	9/19/2022		0.81	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPFT6Q6D2	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	6/6/2022	12/5/2022		1.64	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPFR6ZB99	BRIDGE BANK	6/20/2022	12/19/2022		2.39	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Subtotals						1.41	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized		
				Date					Book Value	Market Value	
Negotiable CDs	06367CQB6	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	12/17/2021	7/1/2022	0.33	\$	50,000,000	\$	50,000,000	\$	49,998,260
Negotiable CDs	89114WJ89	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	10/19/2021	7/1/2022	0.21		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,998,094
Negotiable CDs	06417MUM5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	12/13/2021	7/6/2022	0.31		100,000,000		100,000,000		99,978,794
Negotiable CDs	06367CKG1	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	8/25/2021	7/18/2022	0.18		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,964,967
Negotiable CDs	06367CKN6	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	8/30/2021	7/18/2022	0.18		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,964,968
Negotiable CDs	06417MSJ5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	11/2/2021	8/1/2022	0.24		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,940,416
Negotiable CDs	06367CTZ0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	4/11/2022	8/17/2022	1.17		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,972,451
Negotiable CDs	06367CST5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/2/2022	8/29/2022	0.83		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,937,301
Negotiable CDs	78012U3T0	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	2/28/2022	8/29/2022	0.80		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,934,815
Negotiable CDs	06367CSP3	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	2/28/2022	9/12/2022	0.82		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,921,692
Negotiable CDs	78012U3V5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	3/1/2022	9/12/2022	0.85		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,924,753
Negotiable CDs	78012U4G7	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	3/15/2022	9/22/2022	1.42		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,980,627
Negotiable CDs	78012U4H5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	3/15/2022	9/26/2022	1.44		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,982,132
Negotiable CDs	78012UW84	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	10/26/2021	9/26/2022	0.28		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,841,353
Negotiable CDs	06367CTT4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	4/4/2022	9/28/2022	1.42		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,979,404
Negotiable CDs	78012UW68	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	10/25/2021	10/24/2022	0.30		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,709,661
Negotiable CDs	89114WU52	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	4/4/2022	10/24/2022	1.50		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,901,162
Negotiable CDs	96130ALC0	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	10/27/2021	10/24/2022	0.30		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,709,666
Negotiable CDs	78012U2E4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	12/2/2021	12/2/2022	0.48		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,650,996
Negotiable CDs	89114WM36	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	12/2/2021	12/2/2022	0.48		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,650,996
Negotiable CDs	06367CPS0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	12/8/2021	12/7/2022	0.52		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,648,581
Negotiable CDs	89114WP58	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	1/6/2022	12/30/2022	0.57		60,000,000		60,000,000		59,306,197
Negotiable CDs	89114WWV3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	5/9/2022	1/4/2023	2.26		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,840,664
Negotiable CDs	06367CTW7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	4/6/2022	1/13/2023	1.92		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,740,584
Negotiable CDs	89114WU94	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	4/6/2022	1/13/2023	1.92		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,740,584
Negotiable CDs	06367CUZ8	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	5/12/2022	1/18/2023	2.28		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,834,637
Negotiable CDs	89114WWX9	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	5/9/2022	1/24/2023	2.36		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,852,290
Negotiable CDs	78012U5C5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	4/14/2022	1/27/2023	2.00		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,745,721
Negotiable CDs	06367CSR9	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/1/2022	1/30/2023	1.18		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,502,794
Negotiable CDs	89114WQL2	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	2/3/2022	1/30/2023	0.95		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,435,653
Negotiable CDs	06367CSM0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	2/28/2022	2/13/2023	1.35		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,523,176
Negotiable CDs	89114WRW7	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	2/28/2022	2/13/2023	1.35		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,523,176
Negotiable CDs	89114WUU7	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	4/12/2022	2/27/2023	2.16		100,000,000		100,000,000		99,522,784
Negotiable CDs	06367CV46	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	5/17/2022	3/27/2023	2.60		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,897,536
Negotiable CDs	78012U5Z4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	5/24/2022	3/27/2023	2.58		50,000,000		50,000,000		49,890,748
Negotiable CDs	78012U6W0	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	6/21/2022	6/15/2023	3.71		50,000,000		50,000,000		50,182,206
Negotiable CDs	78012U7H2	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	6/28/2022	6/15/2023	3.68		50,000,000		50,000,000		50,169,209
Subtotals						1.26	\$ 1,960,000,000	\$	1,960,000,000	\$	1,953,299,041

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Commercial Paper	62479MG15	MUFG BANK LTD NY	2/23/2022	7/1/2022		0.00	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,884,444	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000
Commercial Paper	89233HG16	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	11/19/2021	7/1/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,925,333	50,000,000	50,000,000
Commercial Paper	62479MGL1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	2/28/2022	7/20/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,867,861	49,982,319	49,957,250
Commercial Paper	62479MGN7	MUFG BANK LTD NY	3/1/2022	7/22/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,860,972	49,979,583	49,952,750
Commercial Paper	89233HH15	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	11/4/2021	8/1/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,906,250	49,989,236	49,930,250
Commercial Paper	62479MH30	MUFG BANK LTD NY	3/28/2022	8/3/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,786,667	49,945,000	49,925,750
Commercial Paper	62479MH55	MUFG BANK LTD NY	4/6/2022	8/5/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,808,417	49,944,583	49,921,250
Commercial Paper	62479MHQ9	MUFG BANK LTD NY	4/12/2022	8/24/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,776,667	49,910,000	49,878,500
Commercial Paper	03785EJ62	APPLE INC	5/10/2022	9/6/2022		0.00	40,000,000	39,841,333	39,910,667	39,862,278
Commercial Paper	62479MJE4	MUFG BANK LTD NY	5/10/2022	9/14/2022		0.00	40,000,000	39,774,222	39,866,667	39,845,833
Commercial Paper	62479MKC6	MUFG BANK LTD NY	6/22/2022	10/12/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,639,111	49,668,111	49,691,000
Commercial Paper	89233HKL7	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	6/23/2022	10/20/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,611,597	49,637,708	49,667,000
Commercial Paper	89233HKM5	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	6/27/2022	10/21/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,610,111	49,623,556	49,664,000
Commercial Paper	62479MKS1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	6/27/2022	10/26/2022		0.00	50,000,000	49,588,264	49,601,875	49,649,000
Subtotals						0.00	\$ 680,000,000	\$ 676,881,250	\$ 678,059,306	\$ 677,944,861
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	6/30/2022	7/1/2022		1.03	\$ 161,563,599	\$ 161,563,599	\$ 161,563,599	\$ 161,563,599
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	6/30/2022	7/1/2022		1.03	678,592,464	678,592,464	678,592,464	678,592,464
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	6/30/2022	7/1/2022		0.97	14,369,461	14,369,461	14,369,461	14,369,461
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PF	6/30/2022	7/1/2022		1.27	306,135,211	306,135,211	306,135,211	306,135,211
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	6/30/2022	7/1/2022		1.07	879,461,896	879,461,896	879,461,896	879,461,896
Money Market Funds	85749T517	STATE ST INST US GOV MM-OPP	6/30/2022	7/1/2022		1.06	400,624,322	400,624,322	400,624,322	400,624,322
Subtotals						1.08	\$ 2,440,746,955	\$ 2,440,746,955	\$ 2,440,746,955	\$ 2,440,746,955
Supranationals	459058ES8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	12/16/2021	10/7/2022		1.88	\$ 64,387,000	\$ 65,187,330	\$ 64,734,262	\$ 64,422,413
Supranationals	459058JV6	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	4/20/2021	4/20/2023		0.13	100,000,000	99,793,000	99,908,410	98,172,000
Supranationals	4581X0CC0	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	12/15/2021	10/4/2023		3.00	25,756,000	26,837,752	26,561,560	25,945,822
Supranationals	45906M3B5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	3/23/2022	6/14/2024		1.98	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	98,775,000
Supranationals	459056HV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	11/2/2021	8/28/2024		1.50	50,000,000	50,984,250	50,782,622	48,743,000
Supranationals	4581X0DZ8	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	11/4/2021	9/23/2024		0.50	50,000,000	49,595,500	49,675,709	47,553,500
Supranationals	45950VQG4	INTL FINANCE CORP	10/22/2021	9/23/2024		0.44	10,000,000	9,918,700	9,935,615	9,457,000
Supranationals	4581X0CM8	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4/26/2021	1/15/2025		2.13	100,000,000	105,676,000	104,002,415	98,447,000
Supranationals	459058JB0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	7/23/2021	4/22/2025		0.63	40,000,000	40,086,000	40,066,337	37,618,400
Supranationals	4581X0DN5	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	11/1/2021	7/15/2025		0.63	28,900,000	28,519,098	28,578,825	27,014,853
Supranationals	45818WDG8	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	8/25/2021	2/27/2026		0.82	19,500,000	19,556,907	19,547,233	17,973,345
Subtotals						1.34	\$ 588,543,000	\$ 596,154,538	\$ 593,792,988	\$ 574,122,333
Grand Totals						1.01	\$ 14,944,568,955	\$ 14,958,308,738	\$ 14,945,880,791	\$ 14,529,622,341

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2022

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
							Date	Earned Interest			
U.S. Treasuries	9128286Y1	US TREASURY	0	1.75	0.0801	4/8/21	6/15/22	33653.85	-32017	0	1636.85
U.S. Treasuries	9128286Y1	US TREASURY	0	1.75	0.0922	4/28/21	6/15/22	33653.85	-31779.66	0.00	1874.19
U.S. Treasuries	912796W39	TREASURY BILL	0	0	0.4808	3/1/22	6/28/22	0	9000	0	9000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	0	1.75	1.7692	8/15/17	6/30/22	35048.34	365.94	0	35414.28
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZX1	US TREASURY	0	0.125	0.107	3/12/21	6/30/22	5006.91	-715.46	0.00	4291.45
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZX1	US TREASURY	0	0.125	0.0906	3/31/21	6/30/22	5006.91	-1366.33	0.00	3640.58
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZX1	US TREASURY	0	0.125	0.0837	4/8/21	6/30/22	5006.91	-1643.59	0	3363.32
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZX1	US TREASURY	0	0.125	0.0927	4/15/21	6/30/22	5006.91	-1284.37	0	3722.54
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZX1	US TREASURY	0	0.125	0.0926	4/16/21	6/30/22	5006.91	-1287.29	0	3719.62
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZX1	US TREASURY	0	0.125	0.0924	4/19/21	6/30/22	5006.91	-1296.12	0	3710.79
U.S. Treasuries	912828YA2	US TREASURY	100000000	1.5	0.0988	3/30/21	8/15/22	124309.39	-115323.69	0	8985.7
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAG6	US TREASURY	50000000	0.125	0.0974	3/30/21	8/31/22	5095.11	-1128.97	0	3966.14
U.S. Treasuries	912796U56	TREASURY BILL	500000000	0	0.9817	3/29/22	9/22/22	0.00	40708.33	0.00	40708.33
U.S. Treasuries	912796U64	TREASURY BILL	500000000	0	1.0556	3/31/22	9/29/22	0	43749.97	0	43749.97
U.S. Treasuries	912796M89	TREASURY BILL	500000000	0	1.1163	4/7/22	10/6/22	0.00	46250.02	0.00	46250.02
U.S. Treasuries	912796V63	TREASURY BILL	500000000	0	1.2579	4/21/22	10/20/22	0.00	52083.29	0.00	52083.29
U.S. Treasuries	912828TY6	US TREASURY	500000000	1.625	0.1236	4/8/21	11/15/22	66236.41	-61493.44	0	4742.97
U.S. Treasuries	912796P94	TREASURY BILL	500000000	0	0.2494	12/13/21	12/1/22	0.00	10366.67	0.00	10366.67
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z86	US TREASURY	500000000	1.375	0.1371	8/17/21	2/15/23	56975.14	-50666.99	0.00	6308.15
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z86	US TREASURY	500000000	1.375	1.0249	3/3/22	2/15/23	56975.14	-14270.69	0.00	42704.45
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZD5	US TREASURY	500000000	0.5	0.162	3/18/21	3/15/23	20380.44	-13862.62	0	6517.82
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBU4	US TREASURY	500000000	0.125	0.1537	5/4/21	3/31/23	5122.95	1178.61	0.00	6301.56
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZU7	US TREASURY	500000000	0.25	0.1911	3/12/21	6/15/23	10272.17	-2414.77	0.00	7857.4
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZU7	US TREASURY	500000000	0.25	0.1837	4/8/21	6/15/23	10272.17	-2716.76	0	7555.41
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZU7	US TREASURY	500000000	0.25	0.252	6/24/21	6/15/23	10272.17	81.26	0	10353.43
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	500000000	1.375	1.6093	1/9/20	6/30/23	56944.18	9334.34	0.00	66278.52
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	500000000	1.375	0.2422	6/24/21	6/30/23	56944.18	-46413.25	0	10530.93
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCK5	US TREASURY	500000000	0.125	0.2602	6/30/21	6/30/23	5176.75	5538.31	0	10715.06
U.S. Treasuries	912828S92	US TREASURY	500000000	1.25	0.2011	4/1/21	7/31/23	51795.58	-43033.01	0	8762.57
U.S. Treasuries	912828S92	US TREASURY	500000000	1.25	0.2027	4/1/21	7/31/23	51795.58	-42964.16	0	8831.42
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAK7	US TREASURY	500000000	0.125	0.2333	8/10/21	9/15/23	5095.11	4436.6	0	9531.71
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	500000000	2.75	1.7091	12/17/19	11/15/23	112092.39	-41167.33	0.00	70925.06
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	US TREASURY	500000000	0.125	0.2954	3/19/21	12/15/23	5136.09	6965.69	0	12101.78
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	US TREASURY	500000000	0.125	0.7232	12/9/21	12/15/23	5136.09	24360.99	0	29497.08
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	US TREASURY	500000000	0.125	0.6864	12/15/21	12/15/23	5136.09	22875.65	0.00	28011.74
U.S. Treasuries	9128285Z9	US TREASURY	500000000	2.5	0.3278	10/4/21	1/31/24	103591.16	-88753.31	0.00	14837.85
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	US TREASURY	500000000	0.875	1.5159	2/23/22	1/31/24	36256.90	25857.5	0.00	62114.4
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	US TREASURY	500000000	0.875	2.4625	4/11/22	1/31/24	36256.91	63387.79	0.00	99644.7
U.S. Treasuries	912828B66	US TREASURY	500000000	2.75	2.4706	4/11/22	2/15/24	113950.28	-11111.11	0	102839.17
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBR1	US TREASURY	500000000	0.25	1.5538	3/8/22	3/15/24	10190.21	52480.31	0.00	62670.52
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCC3	US TREASURY	500000000	0.25	0.4475	7/2/21	5/15/24	10190.21	8051.05	0	18241.26
U.S. Treasuries	912828XT2	US TREASURY	500000000	2	0.4283	7/6/21	5/31/24	81967.21	-64066.18	0.00	17901.03
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	US TREASURY	500000000	0.375	0.3763	8/6/21	7/15/24	15538.68	54.55	0	15593.23
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	US TREASURY	500000000	0.375	0.4018	8/9/21	7/15/24	15538.68	1094.18	0.00	16632.86
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	US TREASURY	500000000	0.375	2.6013	4/12/22	7/15/24	15538.67	88281.25	0	103819.92
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y87	US TREASURY	500000000	1.75	0.4154	3/30/21	7/31/24	72513.81	-54411.92	0.00	18101.89
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCT6	US TREASURY	500000000	0.375	0.4439	8/25/21	8/15/24	15538.68	2805.6	0.00	18344.28
U.S. Treasuries	912828YM6	US TREASURY	500000000	1.5	0.5038	4/15/21	10/31/24	61141.31	-40450.04	0	20691.27
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	US TREASURY	500000000	2.25	0.5162	3/9/21	11/15/24	91711.96	-70382.1	0.00	21329.86
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	US TREASURY	500000000	2.25	0.4762	3/12/21	11/15/24	91711.96	-72065.08	0	19646.88
U.S. Treasuries	912828YY0	US TREASURY	500000000	1.75	0.5625	3/15/21	12/31/24	72474.41	-48159.25	0	24315.16
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	US TREASURY	500000000	1.375	0.5756	3/30/21	1/31/25	56975.14	-32408.23	0	24566.91
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	US TREASURY	500000000	1.375	0.5707	4/15/21	1/31/25	56975.14	-32613.1	0	24362.04

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	US TREASURY	50000000	1.125	0.607	3/15/21	2/28/25	45855.98	-20990.02	0.00	24865.96
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	US TREASURY	50000000	1.125	0.6083	3/31/21	2/28/25	45855.98	-20938.05	0.00	24917.93
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	US TREASURY	50000000	0.5	0.613	4/15/21	3/31/25	20491.80	4578.9	0.00	25070.7
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	US TREASURY	50000000	0.5	0.5822	4/19/21	3/31/25	20491.80	3331.96	0.00	23823.76
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZL7	US TREASURY	50000000	0.375	0.5722	5/18/21	4/30/25	15285.32	7999.28	0	23284.6
U.S. Treasuries	912828XB1	US TREASURY	50000000	2.125	0.5666	9/2/21	5/15/25	86616.85	-63277.78	0	23339.07
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6546	3/8/21	6/30/25	10353.48	16369.05	0.00	26722.53
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.7014	3/9/21	6/30/25	10353.48	18240.74	0	28594.22
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6025	5/12/21	6/30/25	10353.48	14279.8	0	24633.28
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6511	5/13/21	6/30/25	10353.48	16230.74	0	26584.22
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6175	5/18/21	6/30/25	10353.48	14882.19	0.00	25235.67
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6022	7/12/21	6/30/25	10353.48	14274.39	0.00	24627.87
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.5091	8/5/21	6/30/25	10353.48	10526.31	0	20879.79
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.5583	8/6/21	6/30/25	10353.48	12508.78	0.00	22862.26
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	1.0354	12/7/21	6/30/25	10353.48	31616.31	0	41969.79
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.5246	8/5/21	7/31/25	10359.11	11147.3	0.00	21506.41
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.5738	8/6/21	7/31/25	10359.11	13128.22	0	23487.33
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6628	5/12/21	9/30/25	10245.91	16678.37	0	26924.28
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.5987	7/26/21	9/30/25	10245.91	14120.83	0.00	24366.74
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.5542	2/25/21	10/31/25	10190.21	12308.46	0.00	22498.67
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6521	3/2/21	10/31/25	10190.21	16230.2	0.00	26420.41
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.25	0.6655	3/4/21	10/31/25	10190.21	16765.66	0.00	26955.87
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	US TREASURY	50000000	0.375	0.6036	2/25/21	12/31/25	15530.23	9235.96	0.00	24766.19
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	US TREASURY	50000000	0.375	0.6814	2/26/21	12/31/25	15530.23	12354.7	0.00	27884.93
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	US TREASURY	50000000	0.75	0.8929	6/28/21	4/30/26	30570.65	5736.69	0.00	36307.34
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	US TREASURY	50000000	0.75	0.8642	7/2/21	4/30/26	30570.65	4586.47	0.00	35157.12
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	US TREASURY	50000000	1.625	0.6924	7/23/21	5/15/26	66236.41	-37617.39	0.00	28619.02
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	US TREASURY	50000000	1.625	0.8064	8/27/21	5/15/26	66236.41	-32937.72	0.00	33298.69
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	0.9031	7/2/21	6/30/26	36237.20	1124.33	0.00	37361.53
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	0.846	7/14/21	6/30/26	36237.20	-1164.11	0.00	35073.09
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	0.7322	7/22/21	6/30/26	36237.20	-5748.95	0.00	30488.25
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	0.7395	7/22/21	6/30/26	36237.20	-5456.62	0.00	30780.58
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	0.706	8/6/21	6/30/26	36237.20	-6812.46	0.00	29424.74
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	0.7746	8/10/21	6/30/26	36237.2	-4037.55	0	32199.65
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	0.9018	9/24/21	6/30/26	36237.20	1077.58	0.00	37314.78
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	1.0521	10/14/21	6/30/26	36237.2	7085.75	0	43322.95
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	1.3228	1/4/22	6/30/26	36237.21	17814.22	0	54051.43
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	US TREASURY	50000000	0.75	0.9797	9/28/21	8/31/26	30570.65	9189.9	0	39760.55
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	1.0032	10/8/21	9/30/26	35860.66	5124.53	0	40985.19
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	1.0105	10/8/21	9/30/26	35860.66	5414.61	0	41275.27
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	US TREASURY	50000000	0.875	1.1593	10/19/21	9/30/26	35860.66	11316.67	0	47177.33
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	US TREASURY	50000000	1.25	1.2201	12/3/21	11/30/26	51229.51	-1189.23	0	50040.28
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	US TREASURY	50000000	1.25	1.2014	12/7/21	11/30/26	51229.51	-1932.72	0.00	49296.79
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	US TREASURY	50000000	1.25	2.5854	3/29/22	11/30/26	51229.51	51351.05	0	102580.56
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	US TREASURY	50000000	1.25	2.5489	3/29/22	12/31/26	51767.43	49929.43	0	101696.86
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	US TREASURY	25000000	2.5	2.7091	4/6/22	3/31/27	51229.5	3992.1	0	55221.6
Subtotals			\$ 4,475,000,000					\$ 3,156,829	\$ (243,509)	\$ -	\$ 2,913,320
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	\$	-	1.88	1.85	6/6/17	6/2/22	\$ 2,604	\$ (33)	\$ 2,572
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	-	1.88	1.88	6/9/17	6/2/22	2,604	1	2,606
Federal Agencies	3133EMF64	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	-	0.06	0.08	7/7/21	6/9/22	783	272	1,055
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	-	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	15,847	20	15,868
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	-	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	12,678	16	12,694
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	-	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	15,847	20	15,868

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	313385F92	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	1.12	5/10/22	9/16/22	-	23,333	-	23,333
Federal Agencies	3133EHZP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.85	0.69	3/18/20	9/20/22	38,542	(23,540)	-	15,002
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	40,000,000	0.70	0.71	4/3/20	10/3/22	23,333	329	-	23,662
Federal Agencies	3133EMS45	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.11	0.12	7/14/21	12/14/22	4,583	411	-	4,995
Federal Agencies	3133EMWK4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	60,000,000	0.14	0.15	5/18/21	1/19/23	7,000	619	-	7,619
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,140,000	1.60	0.74	3/25/20	1/23/23	13,520	(7,083)	-	6,437
Federal Agencies	3133EMPH9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	45,500,000	0.13	1.10	3/3/22	2/3/23	4,740	35,936	-	40,676
Federal Agencies	3133827H0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	44,400,000	2.14	1.08	3/7/22	2/6/23	79,180	(38,097)	-	41,083
Federal Agencies	3133ENDQ0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.16	0.32	11/12/21	2/10/23	6,667	6,607	-	13,274
Federal Agencies	3133EMUH3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	65,000,000	0.13	0.16	3/31/21	3/23/23	6,771	1,864	-	8,634
Federal Agencies	3133EMVP4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	0.13	0.19	4/13/21	4/13/23	2,083	1,085	-	3,168
Federal Agencies	3133EMVP4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.13	0.19	4/13/21	4/13/23	2,604	1,356	-	3,960
Federal Agencies	3133EMVP4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.13	0.19	4/13/21	4/13/23	5,208	2,712	-	7,921
Federal Agencies	3133EMXM9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	44,500,000	0.13	0.17	5/5/21	4/27/23	4,635	1,569	-	6,205
Federal Agencies	3133EMYX4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12,500,000	0.13	0.19	5/10/21	5/10/23	1,302	658	-	1,960
Federal Agencies	3133EMYX4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.13	0.19	5/10/21	5/10/23	2,604	1,315	-	3,919
Federal Agencies	3133EMYX4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	75,000,000	0.13	0.19	5/10/21	5/10/23	7,813	3,945	-	11,758
Federal Agencies	3130AMRY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	15,000,000	0.13	0.17	6/4/21	6/2/23	1,563	569	-	2,131
Federal Agencies	3133EMF31	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	0.13	0.16	6/2/21	6/2/23	10,417	2,548	-	12,965
Federal Agencies	3133EMH96	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.13	0.26	6/28/21	6/14/23	5,208	5,663	-	10,871
Federal Agencies	3133EM3S9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	48,067,000	0.20	0.53	12/14/21	6/26/23	8,011	12,924	-	20,935
Federal Agencies	3133EM3S9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.20	0.22	8/26/21	6/26/23	8,333	902	-	9,235
Federal Agencies	3133EMS37	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.13	0.20	7/14/21	7/14/23	5,208	2,968	-	8,176
Federal Agencies	3133EMS37	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.13	0.22	7/14/21	7/14/23	5,208	3,812	-	9,020
Federal Agencies	3133ENEY2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.45	0.45	11/24/21	7/24/23	18,750	173	-	18,923
Federal Agencies	3133EM2E1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.16	0.19	8/10/21	8/10/23	6,667	1,233	-	7,900
Federal Agencies	3137EAEV7	FREDDIE MAC	40,776,000	0.25	0.59	12/6/21	8/24/23	8,495	11,178	-	19,673
Federal Agencies	3130AJXD6	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	20,975,000	0.13	0.59	12/14/21	9/8/23	2,185	7,992	-	10,177
Federal Agencies	3135G0U43	FANNIE MAE	29,648,000	2.88	0.66	12/9/21	9/12/23	71,032	(53,519)	-	17,513
Federal Agencies	3133EM6N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.17	0.22	9/27/21	9/27/23	7,083	2,055	-	9,138
Federal Agencies	3133ENGf1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.50	0.57	12/3/21	12/1/23	10,417	1,494	-	11,910
Federal Agencies	3133ENGf1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.50	0.57	12/3/21	12/1/23	10,417	1,494	-	11,910
Federal Agencies	3133ENGf1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	75,000,000	0.50	0.60	12/3/21	12/1/23	31,250	4,481	-	35,731
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10,000,000	2.25	0.73	12/10/21	12/8/23	18,750	(12,404)	-	6,346
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	30,000,000	2.25	0.73	12/10/21	12/8/23	56,250	(37,212)	-	19,038
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.68	0.71	12/20/21	12/20/23	14,167	510	-	14,676
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.68	0.70	12/20/21	12/20/23	14,167	493	-	14,660
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	62,000,000	0.68	0.70	12/20/21	12/20/23	35,133	1,213	-	36,346
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11,856,000	0.90	1.44	3/3/22	1/18/24	8,892	5,125	-	14,017
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.90	1.21	2/1/22	1/18/24	37,500	12,528	-	50,028
Federal Agencies	3130AFW94	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	39,010,000	2.50	0.62	11/12/21	2/13/24	81,271	(59,738)	-	21,533
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,495,000	1.43	0.85	3/18/20	2/14/24	24,423	(9,572)	-	14,852
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5,000,000	0.25	0.26	2/26/21	2/26/24	1,042	49	-	1,091
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5,000,000	0.25	0.26	2/26/21	2/26/24	1,042	49	-	1,091
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	0.25	0.26	2/26/21	2/26/24	20,833	986	-	21,820
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11,000,000	2.13	2.19	3/25/22	2/28/24	19,479	534	-	20,013
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.13	2.19	3/25/22	2/28/24	44,271	1,213	-	45,484
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.30	0.34	3/18/21	3/18/24	12,500	1,656	-	14,156
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.30	0.34	3/18/21	3/18/24	12,500	1,657	-	14,157
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	16,545,000	0.35	0.34	5/4/21	4/22/24	4,826	(128)	-	4,697
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	29,424,000	0.35	0.34	5/4/21	4/22/24	8,582	(228)	-	8,354
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	39,000,000	0.35	0.34	5/4/21	4/22/24	11,375	(302)	-	11,073
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	45,000,000	2.63	2.69	5/16/22	5/16/24	98,438	2,493	-	100,931
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.63	2.69	5/16/22	5/16/24	109,375	2,770	-	112,145

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133ENYH7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	2.63	2.69	6/10/22	6/10/24	153,125	3,706	-	156,831
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	15,955,000	2.88	2.71	5/18/22	6/14/24	38,226	(2,115)	-	36,110
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,980,000	2.88	2.70	5/18/22	6/14/24	43,077	(2,526)	-	40,551
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,500,000	2.88	2.77	5/12/22	6/14/24	61,094	(2,063)	-	59,031
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	2.88	2.67	5/16/22	6/14/24	119,792	(8,053)	-	111,739
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.25	3.31	6/17/22	6/17/24	31,597	565	-	32,162
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.25	3.31	6/17/22	6/17/24	31,597	560	-	32,157
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	3.25	3.28	6/17/22	6/17/24	63,194	575	-	63,769
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.10	3.13	6/28/22	6/28/24	6,458	51	-	6,510
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.10	3.13	6/28/22	6/28/24	6,458	55	-	6,514
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	3.10	3.13	6/28/22	6/28/24	12,917	111	-	13,027
Federal Agencies	3133EMV25	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.45	0.39	8/6/21	7/23/24	18,750	(2,551)	-	16,199
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.43	0.46	9/23/21	9/23/24	8,958	691	-	9,649
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.43	0.46	9/23/21	9/23/24	17,917	1,382	-	19,299
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.43	0.46	9/23/21	9/23/24	17,917	1,382	-	19,299
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,000,000	0.88	0.91	11/18/21	11/18/24	7,292	315	-	7,606
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,000,000	0.88	0.91	11/18/21	11/18/24	7,292	315	-	7,606
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.88	0.91	11/18/21	11/18/24	36,458	1,574	-	38,032
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.66	12/3/19	12/3/24	33,854	657	-	34,511
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.92	0.93	12/9/21	12/9/24	38,333	411	-	38,744
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.92	0.95	12/9/21	12/9/24	38,333	1,013	-	39,346
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	1.13	1.20	1/11/22	1/6/25	18,750	1,237	-	19,987
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.13	1.20	1/11/22	1/6/25	23,438	1,547	-	24,984
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.13	1.20	1/11/22	1/6/25	23,438	1,547	-	24,984
Federal Agencies	3135G0X24	FANNIE MAE	39,060,000	1.63	0.53	4/21/21	1/7/25	52,894	(34,765)	-	18,128
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	63	-	6,313
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	63	-	6,313
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	63	-	6,313
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	15,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	18,750	190	-	18,940
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	62,500	633	-	63,133
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	53,532,000	1.50	0.55	4/21/21	2/12/25	66,915	(41,308)	-	25,607
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	16,000,000	1.21	1.22	3/23/20	3/3/25	16,133	154	-	16,287
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	24,000,000	1.21	1.24	3/23/20	3/3/25	24,200	594	-	24,794
Federal Agencies	3133EMWT5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.60	0.61	4/21/21	4/21/25	25,000	544	-	25,544
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FANNIE MAE	37,938,000	0.63	1.08	12/8/21	4/22/25	19,759	13,896	-	33,656
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	0.63	0.57	7/12/21	4/22/25	26,042	(2,348)	-	23,694
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	0.63	1.08	12/8/21	4/22/25	26,042	18,425	-	44,467
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6,000,000	2.85	2.90	5/23/22	5/23/25	14,250	230	-	14,480
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	2.85	2.90	5/23/22	5/23/25	47,500	766	-	48,266
Federal Agencies	3133ENYQ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.95	2.97	6/13/22	6/13/25	73,750	402	-	74,152
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FANNIE MAE	4,655,000	0.50	1.11	12/8/21	6/17/25	1,940	2,293	-	4,232
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FANNIE MAE	10,000,000	0.50	1.11	12/8/21	6/17/25	4,167	4,904	-	9,071
Federal Agencies	3130AN4A5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,680,000	0.70	0.62	7/12/21	6/30/25	10,313	(1,131)	-	9,182
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	0.38	0.66	3/4/21	8/25/25	7,813	5,794	-	13,606
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FANNIE MAE	72,500,000	0.38	0.57	2/25/21	8/25/25	22,656	11,657	-	34,313
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10,295,000	1.75	1.03	11/2/21	9/12/25	15,014	(5,965)	-	9,049
Federal Agencies	3137EAEX3	FREDDIE MAC	22,600,000	0.38	0.68	3/4/21	9/23/25	7,063	5,492	-	12,555
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	39,675,000	1.05	1.08	11/17/21	11/17/25	34,716	1,084	-	35,799
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	55,000,000	1.05	1.09	11/17/21	11/17/25	48,125	1,581	-	49,706
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	45,000,000	1.17	1.20	12/16/21	12/16/25	43,875	943	-	44,818
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.17	1.20	12/16/21	12/16/25	48,750	1,047	-	49,797
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	15,500,000	0.69	0.75	8/9/21	4/6/26	8,913	738	-	9,651
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	2.64	2.69	4/8/22	4/8/26	44,000	797	-	44,797
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	30,000,000	2.64	2.69	4/8/22	4/8/26	66,000	1,195	-	67,195

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.05	1.05	8/19/21	7/13/26	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.05	1.05	8/19/21	7/13/26	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.05	1.05	8/19/21	7/13/26	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.05	1.05	8/19/21	7/13/26	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.07	1.07	8/20/21	7/27/26	22,292	-	-	22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.07	1.07	8/20/21	7/27/26	22,292	-	-	22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.07	1.07	8/20/21	7/27/26	22,292	-	-	22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.07	1.07	8/20/21	7/27/26	22,292	-	-	22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.05	1.05	9/13/21	8/10/26	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.05	1.05	9/13/21	8/10/26	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.05	1.05	9/13/21	8/10/26	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.08	1.08	10/1/21	9/3/26	22,396	-	-	22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.08	1.08	10/1/21	9/3/26	22,396	-	-	22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.08	1.08	10/1/21	9/3/26	22,396	-	-	22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.08	1.08	10/1/21	9/3/26	22,396	-	-	22,396
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.43	1.43	11/18/21	10/19/26	29,792	-	-	29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.43	1.43	11/18/21	10/19/26	29,792	-	-	29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.43	1.43	11/18/21	10/19/26	29,792	-	-	29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.43	1.43	11/18/21	10/19/26	29,792	-	-	29,792
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.61	1.61	12/16/21	11/16/26	33,438	-	-	33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.61	1.61	12/16/21	11/16/26	33,438	-	-	33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.61	1.61	12/16/21	11/16/26	33,438	-	-	33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.61	1.61	12/16/21	11/16/26	33,438	-	-	33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.65	1.65	1/14/22	12/14/26	34,271	-	-	34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.65	1.65	1/14/22	12/14/26	34,271	-	-	34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.65	1.65	1/14/22	12/14/26	34,271	-	-	34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.65	1.65	1/14/22	12/14/26	34,271	-	-	34,271
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.35	2.35	3/22/22	3/8/27	48,958	-	-	48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.35	2.35	3/22/22	3/8/27	48,958	-	-	48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.35	2.35	3/22/22	3/8/27	48,958	-	-	48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.35	2.35	3/22/22	3/8/27	48,958	-	-	48,958
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	48,573,000	1.68	2.18	3/16/22	3/10/27	68,002	18,807	-	86,810
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	22,500,000	2.60	2.70	4/6/22	4/5/27	48,750	1,770	-	50,520
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	24,500,000	2.60	2.71	4/6/22	4/5/27	53,083	2,022	-	55,105
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.60	2.77	4/6/22	4/5/27	54,167	3,222	-	57,389
Subtotals			\$ 4,760,279,000					\$ 3,983,684	\$ (54,785)	\$ -	\$ 3,928,899
Public Time Deposits	PPES5U4Q0	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	\$ -	0.13	0.13	12/6/21	6/6/22	\$ 181	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 181
Public Time Deposits	PPEJ79PT6	BRIDGE BANK	-	0.13	0.13	12/20/21	6/20/22	701	-	-	701
Public Time Deposits	PPE4E8VT6	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	10,000,000	0.81	0.81	3/21/22	9/19/22	6,750	-	-	6,750
Public Time Deposits	PPEEE5T97	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	0.81	0.81	3/21/22	9/19/22	6,658	-	-	6,658
Public Time Deposits	PPFT6Q6D2	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	10,000,000	1.64	1.64	6/6/22	12/5/22	11,389	-	-	11,389
Public Time Deposits	PPFR6ZB99	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	2.39	2.39	6/20/22	12/19/22	7,203	-	-	7,203
Subtotals			\$ 40,000,000					\$ 32,881	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 32,881
Negotiable CDs	06417MTV7	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	\$ -	0.30	0.30	12/2/21	6/15/22	\$ 11,667	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,667
Negotiable CDs	78012UT96	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	-	0.15	0.15	9/16/21	6/17/22	6,667	-	-	6,667
Negotiable CDs	06417MTY1	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	-	0.31	0.31	12/6/21	6/30/22	24,972	-	-	24,972
Negotiable CDs	78012UX42	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	-	0.20	0.20	10/29/21	6/30/22	8,056	-	-	8,056
Negotiable CDs	89114WMZ5	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	0.30	0.30	12/13/21	6/30/22	12,083	-	-	12,083
Negotiable CDs	89114WQB4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	0.53	0.53	2/1/22	6/30/22	21,347	-	-	21,347
Negotiable CDs	06367CQB6	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.33	0.33	12/17/21	7/1/22	13,750	-	-	13,750
Negotiable CDs	89114WJ89	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	0.21	0.21	10/19/21	7/1/22	8,750	-	-	8,750

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Negotiable CDs	06417MUM5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	100,000,000	0.31	0.31	12/13/21	7/6/22	25,833	-	-	25,833
Negotiable CDs	06367CKG1	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.18	0.18	8/25/21	7/18/22	7,500	-	-	7,500
Negotiable CDs	06367CKN6	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.18	0.18	8/30/21	7/18/22	7,500	-	-	7,500
Negotiable CDs	06417MSJ5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	0.24	0.24	11/2/21	8/1/22	10,000	-	-	10,000
Negotiable CDs	06367CTZ0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.17	1.17	4/11/22	8/17/22	48,750	-	-	48,750
Negotiable CDs	06367CST5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.83	0.83	3/2/22	8/29/22	34,583	-	-	34,583
Negotiable CDs	78012U3T0	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	0.80	0.80	2/28/22	8/29/22	33,333	-	-	33,333
Negotiable CDs	06367CSP3	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.82	0.82	2/28/22	9/12/22	34,167	-	-	34,167
Negotiable CDs	78012U3V5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	0.85	0.85	3/1/22	9/12/22	35,417	-	-	35,417
Negotiable CDs	78012U4G7	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	1.42	1.42	3/15/22	9/22/22	59,167	-	-	59,167
Negotiable CDs	78012U4H5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	1.44	1.44	3/15/22	9/26/22	60,000	-	-	60,000
Negotiable CDs	78012UW84	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	0.28	0.28	10/26/21	9/26/22	11,667	-	-	11,667
Negotiable CDs	06367CTT4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.42	1.42	4/4/22	9/28/22	59,167	-	-	59,167
Negotiable CDs	78012UW68	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	0.30	0.30	10/25/21	10/24/22	12,500	-	-	12,500
Negotiable CDs	89114WU52	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	1.50	1.50	4/4/22	10/24/22	62,500	-	-	62,500
Negotiable CDs	96130ALC0	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	0.30	0.30	10/27/21	10/24/22	12,500	-	-	12,500
Negotiable CDs	78012U2E4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	0.48	0.48	12/2/21	12/2/22	20,000	-	-	20,000
Negotiable CDs	89114WM36	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	0.48	0.48	12/2/21	12/2/22	20,000	-	-	20,000
Negotiable CDs	06367CPS0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.52	0.52	12/8/21	12/7/22	21,667	-	-	21,667
Negotiable CDs	89114WP58	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	60,000,000	0.57	0.57	1/6/22	12/30/22	28,500	-	-	28,500
Negotiable CDs	89114WVW3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	2.26	2.26	5/9/22	1/4/23	94,167	-	-	94,167
Negotiable CDs	06367CTW7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.92	1.92	4/6/22	1/13/23	80,000	-	-	80,000
Negotiable CDs	89114WU94	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	1.92	1.92	4/6/22	1/13/23	80,000	-	-	80,000
Negotiable CDs	06367CUZ8	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	2.28	2.28	5/12/22	1/18/23	95,000	-	-	95,000
Negotiable CDs	89114WWX9	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	2.36	2.36	5/9/22	1/24/23	98,333	-	-	98,333
Negotiable CDs	78012U5C5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	4/14/22	1/27/23	83,333	-	-	83,333
Negotiable CDs	06367CSR9	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.18	1.18	3/1/22	1/30/23	49,167	-	-	49,167
Negotiable CDs	89114WQL2	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	0.95	0.95	2/3/22	1/30/23	39,583	-	-	39,583
Negotiable CDs	06367CSM0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.35	1.35	2/28/22	2/13/23	56,250	-	-	56,250
Negotiable CDs	89114WRW7	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	1.35	1.35	2/28/22	2/13/23	56,250	-	-	56,250
Negotiable CDs	89114WUU7	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	100,000,000	2.16	2.16	4/12/22	2/27/23	180,000	-	-	180,000
Negotiable CDs	06367CV46	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	2.60	2.60	5/17/22	3/27/23	108,333	-	-	108,333
Negotiable CDs	78012U5Z4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	2.58	2.58	5/24/22	3/27/23	107,500	-	-	107,500
Negotiable CDs	78012U6W0	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	3.71	3.71	6/21/22	6/15/23	51,528	-	-	51,528
Negotiable CDs	78012U7H2	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	3.68	3.68	6/28/22	6/15/23	15,333	-	-	15,333
Subtotals			\$ 1,960,000,000					\$ 1,906,820	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,906,820
Commercial Paper	89233HF82	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	\$ -	0.00	0.20	11/10/21	6/8/22	\$ -	\$ 1,944	\$ -	\$ 1,944
Commercial Paper	89233HFE9	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	0.21	10/25/21	6/14/22	-	3,792	-	3,792
Commercial Paper	89233HFF6	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	0.32	12/21/21	6/15/22	-	6,222	-	6,222
Commercial Paper	89233HFF6	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	0.30	1/4/22	6/15/22	-	5,833	-	5,833
Commercial Paper	89233HFN9	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	0.27	12/6/21	6/22/22	-	7,875	-	7,875
Commercial Paper	89233HFQ2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	0.31	12/16/21	6/24/22	-	11,883	-	11,883
Commercial Paper	89233HFW9	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	0.19	10/19/21	6/30/22	-	7,653	-	7,653
Commercial Paper	89233HFW9	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	0.24	11/1/21	6/30/22	-	9,667	-	9,667
Commercial Paper	62479MG15	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	0.65	2/23/22	7/1/22	-	27,083	-	27,083
Commercial Paper	89233HG16	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	0.24	11/19/21	7/1/22	-	10,000	-	10,000
Commercial Paper	62479MGL1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	0.67	2/28/22	7/20/22	-	27,917	-	27,917
Commercial Paper	62479MGN7	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	0.70	3/1/22	7/22/22	-	29,167	-	29,167
Commercial Paper	89233HH15	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	0.25	11/4/21	8/1/22	-	10,417	-	10,417
Commercial Paper	62479MH30	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	1.21	3/28/22	8/3/22	-	50,000	-	50,000
Commercial Paper	62479MH55	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	1.14	4/6/22	8/5/22	-	47,500	-	47,500
Commercial Paper	62479MHQ9	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	1.21	4/12/22	8/24/22	-	50,000	-	50,000
Commercial Paper	03785EJ62	APPLE INC	40,000,000	0.00	1.20	5/10/22	9/6/22	-	40,000	-	40,000

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Commercial Paper	62479MJE4	MUFG BANK LTD NY	40,000,000	0.00	1.61	5/10/22	9/14/22	-	53,333	-	53,333
Commercial Paper	62479MKC6	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.34	6/22/22	10/12/22	-	29,000	-	29,000
Commercial Paper	89233HKL7	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	2.37	6/23/22	10/20/22	-	26,111	-	26,111
Commercial Paper	89233HKM5	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	2.44	6/27/22	10/21/22	-	13,444	-	13,444
Commercial Paper	62479MKS1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.47	6/27/22	10/26/22	-	13,611	-	13,611
Subtotals			\$ 680,000,000					\$ -	\$ 482,453	\$ -	\$ 482,453
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	\$ 161,563,599	1.03	1.03	6/30/22	7/1/22	\$ 55,177	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 55,177
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	678,592,464	1.03	1.03	6/30/22	7/1/22	512,287	-	-	512,287
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	14,369,461	0.97	0.97	6/30/22	7/1/22	11,396	-	-	11,396
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PRM	306,135,211	1.27	1.27	6/30/22	7/1/22	26,899	-	-	26,899
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	879,461,896	1.07	1.07	6/30/22	7/1/22	597,009	-	-	597,009
Money Market Funds	85749T517	STATE ST INST US GOV MM-OPP	400,624,322	1.06	1.06	6/30/22	7/1/22	348,549	-	-	348,549
Subtotals			\$ 2,440,746,955					\$ 1,551,318	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,551,318
Supranationals	459058ES8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	\$ 64,387,000	1.88	0.33	12/16/21	10/7/22	\$ 100,658	\$ (81,390)	\$ -	\$ 19,269
Supranationals	459058JV6	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	100,000,000	0.13	0.26	4/20/21	4/20/23	10,500	8,507	-	19,007
Supranationals	4581X0CC0	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	25,756,000	3.00	0.65	12/15/21	10/4/23	64,390	(49,320)	-	15,070
Supranationals	45906M3B5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	100,000,000	1.98	1.98	3/23/22	6/14/24	165,000	-	-	165,000
Supranationals	459056HV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.50	0.79	11/2/21	8/28/24	62,500	(28,667)	-	33,833
Supranationals	4581X0DZ8	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	50,000,000	0.50	0.78	11/4/21	9/23/24	20,833	11,513	-	32,347
Supranationals	45950VQG4	INTL FINANCE CORP	10,000,000	0.44	0.72	10/22/21	9/23/24	3,667	2,286	-	5,953
Supranationals	4581X0CM8	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	100,000,000	2.13	0.58	4/26/21	1/15/25	177,083	(125,206)	-	51,877
Supranationals	459058JB0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	40,000,000	0.63	0.56	7/23/21	4/22/25	20,867	(1,885)	-	18,982
Supranationals	4581X0DN5	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	28,900,000	0.63	0.99	11/1/21	7/15/25	15,052	8,452	-	23,504
Supranationals	45818WDG8	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	19,500,000	0.82	0.75	8/25/21	2/27/26	13,325	(1,037)	-	12,288
Subtotals			\$ 588,543,000					\$ 653,875	\$ (256,746)	\$ -	\$ 397,129
Grand Totals			\$ 14,944,568,955					\$ 11,285,407	\$ (72,588)	\$ -	\$ 11,212,819

¹ Yield to maturity is calculated at purchase

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2022

Transaction	Settle	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	6/2/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	\$ 135,000,000	1.07	1.07	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 135,000,000
Purchase	6/6/22	12/5/22	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PPFT6Q6D2	10,000,000	1.64	1.64	100.00	-	10,000,000
Purchase	6/8/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	80,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	80,000,000
Purchase	6/9/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	45,000,000	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	45,000,000
Purchase	6/10/22	6/10/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENYH7	100,000,000	2.63	2.69	99.87	-	99,871,000
Purchase	6/10/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	20,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	20,000,000
Purchase	6/13/22	6/13/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENYQ7	50,000,000	2.95	2.97	99.95	-	49,975,500
Purchase	6/14/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	15,000,000	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	15,000,000
Purchase	6/17/22	6/17/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENYX2	25,000,000	3.25	3.31	99.88	-	24,970,500
Purchase	6/17/22	6/17/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENYX2	25,000,000	3.25	3.31	99.88	-	24,970,750
Purchase	6/17/22	6/17/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENYX2	50,000,000	3.25	3.28	99.94	-	49,970,000
Purchase	6/20/22	12/19/22	Public Time Deposits	BRIDGE BANK	PPFR6ZB99	10,000,000	2.39	2.39	100.00	-	10,000,000
Purchase	6/21/22	6/15/23	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012U6W0	50,000,000	3.71	3.71	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	6/21/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	100,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	6/21/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	150,000,000	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	150,000,000
Purchase	6/22/22	10/12/22	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MKC6	50,000,000	0.00	2.34	99.28	-	49,639,111
Purchase	6/23/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	25,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	6/23/22	10/20/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HKL7	50,000,000	0.00	2.37	99.22	-	49,611,597
Purchase	6/24/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	65,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	65,000,000
Purchase	6/27/22	10/21/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HKM5	50,000,000	0.00	2.44	99.22	-	49,610,111
Purchase	6/27/22	10/26/22	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MKS1	50,000,000	0.00	2.47	99.18	-	49,588,264
Purchase	6/28/22	6/15/23	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012U7H2	50,000,000	3.68	3.68	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	6/28/22	6/28/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENZS2	25,000,000	3.10	3.13	99.95	-	24,987,500
Purchase	6/28/22	6/28/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENZS2	25,000,000	3.10	3.13	99.95	-	24,986,500
Purchase	6/28/22	6/28/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENZS2	50,000,000	3.10	3.13	99.95	-	49,973,000
Purchase	6/29/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	145,000,000	1.27	1.27	100.00	-	145,000,000
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	100,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	512,288	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	512,288
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	150,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	150,000,000
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	11,396	0.97	0.97	100.00	-	11,396
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	26,899	1.27	1.27	100.00	-	26,899
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	150,000,000	1.27	1.27	100.00	-	150,000,000
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	597,009	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	597,009
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	135,000,000	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	135,000,000
Purchase	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	STATE ST INST US GOV MM-	85749T517	348,549	1.06	1.06	100.00	-	348,549
Subtotals						\$1,986,496,141	1.46	1.71	\$ 99.91	\$ -	\$1,984,649,974
Sale	6/3/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	\$ 26,000,000	1.07	1.07	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 26,000,000
Sale	6/6/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	102,000,000	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	102,000,000
Sale	6/7/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	24,000,000	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	24,000,000
Sale	6/10/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	96,000,000	1.07	1.07	100.00	-	96,000,000
Sale	6/13/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	22,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	22,000,000
Sale	6/15/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	72,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	72,000,000
Sale	6/17/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	35,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	35,000,000
Sale	6/22/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	95,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	95,000,000
Sale	6/27/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	52,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	52,000,000
Sale	6/28/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	66,000,000	1.03	1.03	100.00	-	66,000,000
Subtotals						\$ 590,000,000	1.05	1.05	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 590,000,000

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Maturity	6/2/22	6/2/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHLY7	\$ 50,000,000	1.88	1.85	100.00	\$ 468,750	\$ 50,468,750
Maturity	6/2/22	6/2/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHLY7	50,000,000	1.88	1.88	100.00	468,750	50,468,750
Maturity	6/6/22	6/6/22	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PPES5U4Q0	10,000,000	0.13	0.13	100.00	6,572	10,006,572
Maturity	6/8/22	6/8/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HF82	50,000,000	0.00	0.20	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/9/22	6/9/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EMF64	58,735,000	0.06	0.08	100.00	17,621	58,752,621
Maturity	6/14/22	6/14/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HFE9	50,000,000	0.00	0.21	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MTV7	100,000,000	0.30	0.30	100.00	162,500	100,162,500
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	20,000,000	1.63	1.63	100.00	163,000	20,163,000
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	100.00	203,750	25,203,750
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	100.00	203,750	25,203,750
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HFF6	50,000,000	0.00	0.32	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HFF6	50,000,000	0.00	0.30	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128286Y1	50,000,000	1.75	0.08	100.00	437,500	50,437,500
Maturity	6/15/22	6/15/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128286Y1	50,000,000	1.75	0.09	100.00	437,500	50,437,500
Maturity	6/17/22	6/17/22	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UT96	100,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	114,167	100,114,167
Maturity	6/20/22	6/20/22	Public Time Deposits	BRIDGE BANK	PPEJ79PT6	10,000,000	0.14	0.13	100.00	7,400	10,007,400
Maturity	6/22/22	6/22/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HFN9	50,000,000	0.00	0.27	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/24/22	6/24/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HFQ2	60,000,000	0.00	0.31	100.00	-	60,000,000
Maturity	6/28/22	6/28/22	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796W39	25,000,000	0.00	0.48	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MTY1	100,000,000	0.31	0.31	100.00	177,389	100,177,389
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UX42	50,000,000	0.20	0.20	100.00	67,778	50,067,778
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114WMZ5	50,000,000	0.30	0.30	100.00	82,917	50,082,917
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114WQB4	50,000,000	0.53	0.53	100.00	109,681	50,109,681
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HFW9	50,000,000	0.00	0.19	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HFW9	50,000,000	0.00	0.24	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828XW5	25,000,000	1.75	1.77	100.00	218,750	25,218,750
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZX1	50,000,000	0.13	0.11	100.00	31,250	50,031,250
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZX1	50,000,000	0.13	0.09	100.00	31,250	50,031,250
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZX1	50,000,000	0.13	0.08	100.00	31,250	50,031,250
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZX1	50,000,000	0.13	0.09	100.00	31,250	50,031,250
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZX1	50,000,000	0.13	0.09	100.00	31,250	50,031,250
Maturity	6/30/22	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZX1	50,000,000	0.13	0.09	100.00	31,250	50,031,250
Subtotals						\$1,558,735,000	0.44	0.41	\$ -	\$ 3,535,273	\$1,562,270,273
Interest	6/1/22	12/1/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENGf1	\$ 25,000,000	0.50	0.57	0.00	0.00	\$ 61,806
Interest	6/1/22	12/1/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENGf1	25,000,000	0.50	0.57	0.00	0.00	61,806
Interest	6/1/22	12/1/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENGf1	75,000,000	0.50	0.59	0.00	0.00	185,417
Interest	6/2/22	6/2/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AMRY0	15,000,000	0.13	0.17	0.00	0.00	9,375
Interest	6/2/22	6/2/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EMF31	100,000,000	0.13	0.16	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/3/22	12/3/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELCP7	25,000,000	1.63	1.66	0.00	0.00	203,125
Interest	6/8/22	12/8/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A3VC5	10,000,000	2.25	0.73	0.00	0.00	112,500
Interest	6/8/22	12/8/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A3VC5	30,000,000	2.25	0.73	0.00	0.00	337,500
Interest	6/9/22	12/9/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENGQ7	50,000,000	0.92	0.93	0.00	0.00	230,000
Interest	6/9/22	12/9/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENGQ7	50,000,000	0.92	0.95	0.00	0.00	230,000
Interest	6/14/22	6/14/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EMH96	50,000,000	0.13	0.26	0.00	0.00	31,250
Interest	6/14/22	6/14/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A1XJ2	15,955,000	2.88	2.71	0.00	0.00	229,353
Interest	6/14/22	6/14/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A1XJ2	17,980,000	2.88	2.70	0.00	0.00	258,463
Interest	6/14/22	6/14/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A1XJ2	25,500,000	2.88	2.77	0.00	0.00	366,563
Interest	6/14/22	6/14/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A1XJ2	50,000,000	2.88	2.67	0.00	0.00	718,750
Interest	6/14/22	6/14/24	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	45906M3B5	100,000,000	1.98	1.98	0.00	0.00	445,500

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Interest	6/14/22	12/14/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EMS45	50,000,000	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.00	27,500
Interest	6/15/22	6/15/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZU7	50,000,000	0.25	0.19	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/15/22	6/15/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZU7	50,000,000	0.25	0.18	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/15/22	6/15/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZU7	50,000,000	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/15/22	12/15/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CBA8	50,000,000	0.13	0.30	0.00	0.00	31,250
Interest	6/15/22	12/15/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CBA8	50,000,000	0.13	0.72	0.00	0.00	31,250
Interest	6/15/22	12/15/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CBA8	50,000,000	0.13	0.69	0.00	0.00	31,250
Interest	6/16/22	12/16/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENHM5	45,000,000	1.17	1.20	0.00	0.00	263,250
Interest	6/16/22	12/16/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENHM5	50,000,000	1.17	1.20	0.00	0.00	292,500
Interest	6/17/22	6/17/25	Federal Agencies	FANNIE MAE	3135G04Z3	4,655,000	0.50	1.11	0.00	0.00	11,638
Interest	6/17/22	6/17/25	Federal Agencies	FANNIE MAE	3135G04Z3	10,000,000	0.50	1.11	0.00	0.00	25,000
Interest	6/20/22	12/20/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENHR4	25,000,000	0.68	0.71	0.00	0.00	85,000
Interest	6/20/22	12/20/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENHR4	25,000,000	0.68	0.70	0.00	0.00	85,000
Interest	6/20/22	12/20/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ENHR4	62,000,000	0.68	0.70	0.00	0.00	210,800
Interest	6/26/22	6/26/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EM3S9	48,067,000	0.20	0.53	0.00	0.00	48,067
Interest	6/26/22	6/26/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EM3S9	50,000,000	0.20	0.22	0.00	0.00	50,000
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828S35	50,000,000	1.38	1.61	0.00	0.00	343,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828S35	50,000,000	1.38	0.24	0.00	0.00	343,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCK5	50,000,000	0.13	0.26	0.00	0.00	31,250
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AN4A5	17,680,000	0.70	0.62	0.00	0.00	61,880
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.65	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.70	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.60	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.65	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.62	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.60	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.51	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	0.56	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828ZW3	50,000,000	0.25	1.04	0.00	0.00	62,500
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	0.90	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	0.85	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	0.73	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	0.74	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	0.71	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	0.77	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	0.90	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	1.05	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	6/30/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CCJ8	50,000,000	0.88	1.32	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	678,592,464	1.03	1.03	0.00	0.00	512,288
Interest	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	14,369,461	0.97	0.97	0.00	0.00	11,396
Interest	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	306,135,211	1.27	1.27	0.00	0.00	26,899
Interest	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	879,461,896	1.07	1.07	0.00	0.00	597,009
Interest	6/30/22	7/1/22	Money Market Funds	STATE ST INST US GOV MM-	85749T517	400,624,322	1.06	1.06	0.00	0.00	348,549
Interest	6/30/22	12/31/24	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828YY0	50,000,000	1.75	0.56	0.00	0.00	437,500
Interest	6/30/22	12/31/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CBC4	50,000,000	0.38	0.60	0.00	0.00	93,750
Interest	6/30/22	12/31/25	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CBC4	50,000,000	0.38	0.68	0.00	0.00	93,750
Interest	6/30/22	12/31/26	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	91282CDQ1	50,000,000	1.25	2.55	0.00	0.00	312,500
Subtotals						\$4,881,020,356	0.90	0.95	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,669,431
Grand Totals											
			35	Purchases							
			(10)	Sales							
			(32)	Maturities / Calls							
			(7)	Change in number of positions							

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Notice of Ballot Simplification Committee Meeting for the November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:19:00 AM
Attachments: [2022NovemberNotice.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached notice regarding the Ballot Simplification Committee.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Miranda, Claireann (REG) <claireann.miranda@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:59 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; MYR-ALL Department Heads <MYR-All.DepartmentHeads@sfgov.org>; MYR-All Department Head Assistant <MYR-All.DepartmentHeadAssistant@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; Mihal, Natasha (CON) <natasha.mihal@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>; Kuzina, Nataliya <nataliya.kuzina@sfgov.org>; REG-Campaign Services <elections.cs@sfgov.org>; Docs, SF (LIB) <sfdocs@sfpl.org>
Subject: Notice of Ballot Simplification Committee Meeting for the November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election

Memorandum

To: Honorable London Breed, Mayor
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

From: John Arntz, Director of Elections

Date: July 18, 2022

RE: Notice of a Ballot Simplification Committee Meeting for the November 8, 2022,

Consolidated General Election

Beginning Monday, July 25, 2022, and continuing through Friday, August 12, 2022, the Ballot Simplification Committee will conduct public meetings to prepare an impartial summary of each local ballot measure for publication in San Francisco's Voter Information Pamphlet for the upcoming November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election. The Ballot Simplification Committee will meet remotely via Webex. The Ballot Simplification Committee will include instructions regarding remote access in its meeting agenda. The Committee must complete its digests no later than 85 days before the election, which is Monday, August 15, 2022.

Meeting agendas and related materials will be posted at sfelections.org/bsc. Agendas will be posted at least 72 hours prior to the meeting, as mandated by the Sunshine Ordinance. Other agenda materials will be made available as early as possible. Please check often for any updates.

About the Ballot Simplification Committee

The Ballot Simplification Committee works in public meetings to prepare a fair and impartial summary of each local ballot measure in simple language. These summaries, or "digests," are printed in San Francisco's Voter Information Pamphlet, which is mailed to every registered voter before the election.

Each digest must explain the primary purposes and points of the measure, but is not required to include auxiliary or subsidiary information. Each digest must include the following four sections:

- *The Way It Is Now*
- *The Proposal*
- *A "Yes" Vote Means*
- *A "No" Vote Means*

In general, each digest is limited to 300 words. Digests may exceed the 300-word limit if the Committee determines that the complexity or scope of the proposed measure requires a longer digest. In addition, digests must be written as close as possible to the eighth-grade reading level.

The Ballot Simplification Committee also assists the Department of Elections in preparing other informational material for the Voter Information Pamphlet, such as a glossary of terms that appear in the pamphlet.

For more information about the Ballot Simplification Committee, please visit sfelections.org/bsc or contact the Department of Elections office at (415) 554-4375.

Claire Miranda
Purchasing & Logistics Coordinator
San Francisco Department of Elections
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102

Desk: (415) 554-4340

Cell: (415) 654-0155

sfelections.org



Follow the San Francisco Department of Elections on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)!

Your feedback is important to us! Please take our [customer service survey](#).



Memorandum

To: Honorable London Breed, Mayor
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

From: John Arntz, Director of Elections

Date: July 18, 2022

RE: Notice of a Ballot Simplification Committee Meeting for the November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election

Beginning Monday, July 25, 2022, and continuing through Friday, August 12, 2022, the Ballot Simplification Committee will conduct public meetings to prepare an impartial summary of each local ballot measure for publication in San Francisco's Voter Information Pamphlet for the upcoming November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election. The Ballot Simplification Committee will meet remotely via Webex. The Ballot Simplification Committee will include instructions regarding remote access in its meeting agenda. The Committee must complete its digests no later than 85 days before the election, which is Monday, August 15, 2022.

Meeting agendas and related materials will be posted at sfelections.org/bsc. Agendas will be posted at least 72 hours prior to the meeting, as mandated by the Sunshine Ordinance. Other agenda materials will be made available as early as possible. Please check often for any updates.

About the Ballot Simplification Committee

The Ballot Simplification Committee works in public meetings to prepare a fair and impartial summary of each local ballot measure in simple language. These summaries, or "digests," are printed in San Francisco's Voter Information Pamphlet, which is mailed to every registered voter before the election.

Each digest must explain the primary purposes and points of the measure, but is not required to include auxiliary or subsidiary information. Each digest must include the following four sections:

- *The Way It Is Now*
- *The Proposal*
- *A "Yes" Vote Means*
- *A "No" Vote Means*

In general, each digest is limited to 300 words. Digests may exceed the 300-word limit if the Committee determines that the complexity or scope of the proposed measure requires a longer digest. In addition, digests must be written as close as possible to the eighth-grade reading level.

The Ballot Simplification Committee also assists the Department of Elections in preparing other informational material for the Voter Information Pamphlet, such as a glossary of terms that appear in the pamphlet.

For more information about the Ballot Simplification Committee, please visit sfelections.org/bsc or contact the Department of Elections office at (415) 554-4375.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: November 8, 2022 Consolidated General Election: Filing Deadlines
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 5:08:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from the Department of Elections regarding filing deadlines for the upcoming November 8, 2022 Consolidated General Election.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: SFVote, (REG) <sfvote@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 1:36 PM
Subject: November 8, 2022 Consolidated General Election: Filing Deadlines

Department of Elections
City and County of San Francisco
John Arntz, Director

For Immediate Release

SAN FRANCISCO, Monday, July 18, 2022 – The Department of Elections provides information about filing deadlines for the upcoming November 8, 2022 Consolidated General Election. (A detailed calendar of election deadlines can be viewed at: https://sfelections.org/tools/cscal_nov22/).

Nomination Period for Local Offices. The nomination period begins today, Monday, July 18, and continues until 5 p.m., Friday, August 12 for Assessor- Recorder, District Attorney, Public Defender, Community College Board*, Board of Education, or BART Board candidates running in the November 8 election. During this period, candidates may obtain and file nomination documents.

*Community College Board, Seats # 1, 2, 3 are for a 4-year term ending in 2027; Seat # 7 is for a partial term ending in 2025.

Ballot Simplification Committee Meetings. On Monday, July 25, the Ballot Simplification Committee will begin its public meetings to prepare a fair and impartial summary of each local ballot measure for the November 8, 2022 election. These summaries, or “digests,” will be printed in San Francisco’s Voter Information Pamphlet, which is mailed by the Department of Elections to most registered voters before the election and available on the Department’s website.

Selection of Letters for the Local Ballot Measures. On Monday, August 15, at 11 a.m., the Department of

Elections will select and assign a letter to identify each local measure that will appear on the ballot for the November 8, 2022 election. The selection of letters will occur at the Department's office in City Hall, Room 48. The Department will also livestream the selection of letters and post a recording of the process on sfelections.org/observe.

Submission of Official Proponent and Opponent Ballot Arguments: 12 p.m., Thursday, August 18 is the deadline for the submission of Proponent and Opponent Arguments for local ballot measures and district ballot measures. (If the Department of Elections receives more than one proposed proponent or opponent argument for a measure, the Department will select one proponent and one opponent argument according to priority levels and, if necessary, by lottery. This selection will occur at 2 p.m. on Thursday, August 18).

Submission of Paid Ballot Arguments: 12 p.m., Thursday, August 22 is the deadline for the submission of Paid Arguments for local ballot measures to be printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet. Any San Francisco voter can author argument(s) for or against local ballot measures; paid ballot arguments require a submission fee of \$200, \$2 per word, and cannot exceed 300 words.

Further information about the November 8, 2022 Consolidated General Election is available at sfelections.org or by contacting the Department of Elections at (415) 554-4375.

###

Department of Elections
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4375
sfelections.org



Follow the San Francisco Department of Elections on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)!

Your feedback is important to us! Please take our [Customer Service Survey](#)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Quarterly Green Infrastructure Grant Program Report - Q2, 2022
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 4:15:00 PM
Attachments: [image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[BOS Update_Q22022.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's Quarterly Report on the status of the Green Infrastructure Grant Program pursuant to Ordinance No. 101-20.

Ordinance No. 101-20: Authorizing Grant Agreements - Public Utilities Commission Green Infrastructure Grant Program - Terms of 20 Years

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Spitz, Jeremy M <JSpitz@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 4:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Quarterly Green Infrastructure Grant Program Report - Q2, 2022

Dear Madam Clerk,

Attached please find the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's (SFPUC) Quarterly Report to the Board of Supervisors (April – June 2022) on the status of the Green Infrastructure Grant Program. This report is being submitted in accordance with Ordinance No. 101-20.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Spitz

Local and Regional Policy and Government Affairs Manager
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Cell: 415-214-5296
Pronouns: he, him, his
sfpuc.org





Green Infrastructure Grant Program:

Board of Supervisor's Update

April – June 2022

Program Summary

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's (SFPUC) Green Infrastructure Grant Program (Grant Program) is designed to encourage San Francisco property owners to design, build, and maintain performance-based green stormwater infrastructure (Green Infrastructure or GI), including but not limited to: permeable pavement, rainwater harvesting, rain gardens, and vegetated roofs. The goal of this program is to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff entering SFPUC's sewer system and improve system performance while also providing co-benefits such as non-potable reuse, groundwater recharge, and educational opportunities.

To receive funding under the Grant Program each project must:

1. Be located on a parcel that is connected to an SFPUC-owned and operated sewer system service area.
2. Manage stormwater runoff from a minimum impervious area of 0.5 acres.
3. Capture the 90th percentile storm (0.75-inch depth) with the proposed green infrastructure features.
4. Provide at least two (2) of the identified co-benefits from the program list, which can be found in the [Grantee Guidebook](#).
5. Have a grant team that collectively demonstrates a history of successful project implementation and has previous experience designing, constructing, and/or maintaining green infrastructure.

More information on the Grant Program can be found at <http://www.sfpuc.org/gigrants>.

Quarterly Highlights


During the second quarter of 2022, no new Green Infrastructure Grant applications were received and no new projects were awarded. One awarded project, St. Thomas More School completed project design during the second quarter. One awarded project, Lycee Francais SF Ortega Campus continued construction during the second quarter. One awarded project, St Anne of the Sunset Church and School began design during the second quarter.

On March 22nd, 2022 the SFPUC Commission approved proposed modifications to the Green Infrastructure Grant Program Guidelines to reflect compliance with the City Administrative Code Chapter 21G, revise grant team experience and co-benefit eligibility criteria, increase cap on planning and design costs, and revise other program requirements. On June 16th, 2022, the Board of Supervisors Government Audit and Oversight Committee recommended advancement of the Ordinance that governs the proposed program modifications. The SFPUC will seek full Board of Supervisors approval of the proposed modifications and an extension to the delegation of authority to the SFPUC General Manager to award grants during the third quarter of 2022.

Program Statistics (February 2019 – June 2022)

Since the launch of the Green Infrastructure Grant Program in February 2019, the SFPUC has awarded grants to 11 projects with a total of approximately \$10,480,000 in funding. The following program summary statistics are as of June 30, 2022:

- Applications Received: **11**
- Projects Awarded: **11**
- Projects Completed: **2**
- Total Funding Awarded: **\$10.48M**
- Potential Stormwater Captured by Awarded Projects: **7.0 million gallons per year**
- Property Site Visits Conducted by Technical Team: **41**
- Site Opportunities Assessments Completed: **21**
- Presentations Given to Stakeholders: **9**
- Publications and Media pick-ups: **2**



Awarded Projects to Date

Project Name	Watershed	Grant Award	Impervious Area Managed (acres)	Estimated Stormwater Volume Managed (gal/yr)
Lafayette Elementary School*	Sunset	\$489,142	0.6	341,000
St. Thomas More School**	Lake Merced	\$1,118,958	1.5	782,000
Bessie Carmichael Middle School*	Channel	\$428,075	0.6	233,000
Lycee Francais SF Ortega Campus***	Sunset	\$480,958	0.6	383,000
Holy Trinity Greek Orthodox Church	Lake Merced	\$1,577,161	2.6	1,319,000
Crocker Amazon Park	Sunnydale	\$859,151	1.1	593,000
St. Thomas the Apostle	Sunset	\$724,227	0.9	483,000
St. Monica Catholic Church	Richmond	\$641,413	0.8	394,000
St. Anne of the Sunset	Sunset	\$1,557,898	2.0	1,089,000
St. Emydius Church and School	Lake Merced	\$873,136	0.9	445,000
Church of the Visitation	Sunnydale	\$1,727,103	1.9	925,000

*Project Complete

**Project in Design Phase

***Project in Construction

Lycee Francais de San Francisco School – awarded project in construction:



Construction of stormwater swale




Construction of permeable pavement.

St. Thomas More School – awarded project, completed design:



Existing schoolyard to be retrofit with rain gardens




Complete project design

St. Anne of the Sunset – awarded project, in design phase:



Existing schoolyard to be retrofit with new trees, rain gardens, and permeable pavement




Draft project design

St. Emydius Church and School – awarded project:



Proposed locations of new rain gardens outside of the church (left) and in the schoolyard (right).




Proposed concept design

Church of the Visitation – awarded project:



Proposed locations of roof downspout disconnection (left) and sub-surface stormwater storage and reuse system (right).



Proposed concept design

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Refunding Savings Report- Ordinance 173-20 / File 200837 & Ordinance 0128-21 / File 210650
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 4:12:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[SFPUC 2022 Series B Wastewater Bonds - Board of Supervisors Report.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached a Refunding Savings Report provided by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Ordinance Nos. 173-20 and 128-21.

Ordinance No. 173-20: San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Wastewater Revenue Bond Issuance - Various Capital Wastewater Projects Benefitting the Wastewater Enterprise - Not to Exceed \$349,919,252

Ordinance No. 128-21: Amending Ordinance No. 173-20 - San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Wastewater Revenue Bond Issuance - Not to Exceed \$563,430,430

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Fuchs, Daniel <DFuchs@sfgwater.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 2:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Wong, Linda (BOS) <linda.wong@sfgov.org>; Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; Sklaroff, Nikolai (PUC) <NSklaroff@sfgwater.org>; Kwong, Edward (PUC) <EKwong@sfgwater.org>; Perl, Charles (PUC) <CPerl@sfgwater.org>; BLAKE, MARK (CAT) <Mark.Blake@sfcityatty.org>; Hood, Donna (PUC) <DHood@sfgwater.org>; Flynn, Ronald (PUC) <RFlynn@sfgwater.org>
Subject: Refunding Savings Report- Ordinance 173-20 / File 200837 & Ordinance 0128-21 / File 210650

Attn Board of Supervisors:

Kindly include the attached Refunding Savings Report and associated bond document, linked below, to the file as required by Ordinance 0173-20 (File 200837), which was amended by Ordinance 0128-

21 (File 210650).

<https://emma.msrb.org/P11603607-P11236896-P11659935.pdf>

Please confirm receipt.

Thank you and best regards,
Dan

Daniel Fuchs

Analyst

Office: (415) 487-5257

dfuchs@sfgwater.org

Pronouns: he, him

sfpuc.org



Memorandum

To: Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

From: Montague DeRose and Associates, LLC, Municipal Advisor to and on behalf of the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco Finance Staff

Date: July 6, 2022

Subject: Refunding Savings Report
\$137,080,000 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, 2022 Series B (Refunding)

Background:

In September 2020, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Board of Supervisors”) adopted Ordinance No. 173-20 which authorized the issuance of Wastewater Revenue Refunding Bonds to refund any outstanding obligations of the Wastewater Enterprise. As authorized by Ordinance No. 173-20, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (the “SFPUC”) issued the Wastewater Revenue Bonds, 2022 Series B (Refunding) (the “2022 Series B Bonds”), on July 6, 2022 for the purposes of refunding \$149.790 million of outstanding SFPUC wastewater revenue bonds for debt service savings.

Per Ordinance No. 173-20, within 30 days of the issuance of refunding bonds, the SFPUC must file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a savings report that reflects at least a three percent net present value debt service savings (“NPV Savings”), together with a copy of the final Official Statement for the refunding bonds.

The SFPUC has requested that Montague DeRose and Associates, LLC, who served as co-financial advisor to the 2022 Series B Bonds, prepare this report for purposes of complying with the requirements set forth in Ordinance No. 173-20.

Bond Sale Savings Report:

As noted, the SFPUC priced \$137.080 million of 2022 Series B Bonds via negotiated sale on May 12, 2022. The 2022 Series B Bonds settled on July 6, 2022.. Details of the 2022 Series B Bonds, including debt service savings, are noted in Table 1 below:

Table 1 Summary Statistics: 2022 Series B Bonds	
Pricing Date	May 12, 2022
Closing Date	May 26, 2022
Settlement Date	July 06, 2022
Method of Sale	Negotiated
Par Amount	\$137.080 million
Par Amount of Refunded Bonds	\$149.790 million
NPV Savings (%)	8.02%
NPV Savings (\$)	\$12.012 million

A copy of the final Official Statement for the 2022 Series B Bonds is included with this refunding savings report.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support for Resolution to Protect the Innocence Commission
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:56:00 AM
Attachments: [Support for Innocence Commission - 071822.doc](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication regarding File No. 220830, which is item number 68 on today's agenda.

File No. 220830 - Urging Support for the District Attorney's Innocence Commission

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Harris, Danielle (PDR) <danielle.harris@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 7:08 PM
To: BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goossen, Carolyn (PDR) <carolyn.goossen@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Resolution to Protect the Innocence Commission

Hi there,

Attached please find my letter in support of this important body.

Best,
Danielle

*Danielle Harris | Managing Attorney | The Freedom Project | San Francisco Public Defender |
415.553.9344*

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC DEFENDER

MANOHAR RAJU – PUBLIC DEFENDER
MATT GONZALEZ – CHIEF ATTORNEY



July 18, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

[VIA EMAIL]

RE: File No. 220830 (Preston & Ronen) SUPPORT

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of The Freedom Project at the San Francisco Public Defender's office, I write to express strong support of Resolution File No. 220830 in support of the San Francisco District Attorney's Innocence Commission. Though it appears that a fully independent Innocence Commission should be explored urgently, so as to remove the partisanship and instability that accompanies frequent changes in leadership, in the meantime, we support the resolution urging the interim District Attorney to keep the Innocence Commission intact and to allow the SFDA's Post Conviction Unit to continue its related wrongful conviction and resentencing work.¹

Tragically, wrongful convictions happen everywhere—and California is no exception. Since the National Registry of Exonerations began tracking wrongful convictions in 1989, there have been more than 279 known wrongful convictions in California – causing innocent Californians to lose a total of 2,173 years of their lives, and costing taxpayers over \$221 million (according to a 2016 study). These wrongful convictions occurred as the real perpetrators of crime avoided responsibility and victims were denied justice. Wrongful convictions violate fundamental principles of justice, due process, and are an assault on our very humanity.

The Innocence Commission was established in September 2020 to effectuate the San Francisco District Attorney's duty to do justice by exonerating wrongfully convicted prisoners in San Francisco County. The Commission is a five-member panel of independent experts working *pro bono* and assisted by a paid staff attorney. The Commission reviews credible claims of wrongful conviction and submits a detailed recommendation to the District Attorney conveying its conclusions and recommendations. The District Attorney retains the authority to make the ultimate decision in each case, but must give the Commission's recommendation great weight.

¹ Notably, in 2021 the SFDA was granted \$2.3 million in state funding to continue and expand its resentencing work. San Francisco is a Resentencing Pilot county under Penal Code section 1172 (renumbered from 1170.01 on July 1, 2022). The grant is earmarked for resentencing work to reduce excessive and/or disparate sentences under Penal Code section 1172.1 (renumbered from 1170.03 on July 1, 2022) as enacted by Assembly Bill 1540 (Ting) last year. Specifically, a pilot county DA must "[i]dentify, investigate, and recommend the recall and resentencing of incarcerated persons." Pen. Code, § 1172(b)(2).

The five members of the Innocence Commission—Judge LaDoris Cordell (Ret.), Chief Attorney (SFDA Post-Conviction Unit) Arcelia Hurtado, Deputy Public Defender Jacque Wilson, Dr. Michael Meade, and Professor Lara Bazelon (Chair)—bring to their work a diversity of professional experience as well as diversity across race, ethnicity, and gender. The makeup of the Commission and the collective experience of its current members has been a key part of its success.

The Freedom Project a SF Public Defender is dedicated to decarceration, successful reentry, and post-conviction systemic change. In two-and-a-half years, we have helped free over 60 people, largely through prosecutor-initiated resentencing, but also through other new laws and the parole process. Our commitment to ensuring all released have housing and access to resources has resulted in a re-offense rate of approximately 3 per cent. This Resolution supporting the Innocence Commission is closely aligned with our work.

We urge you to support Resolution File No. 220830 in support of San Francisco's Innocence Commission. Do not hesitate to contact me with any questions about our position on this bill.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Danielle Harris", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Danielle Harris
Managing Attorney
The Freedom Project
(415) 553-9344

cc: Angela Calvillo, Alisa Somera, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: File No. 220830 - CPDA Letter of support
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 12:16:00 PM
Attachments: [CPDA letter of support - Resolution to Protect Innocence Commission.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication regarding File No. 220830, which is item number 68 on today's agenda.

File No. 220830 - Urging Support for the District Attorney's Innocence Commission

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: CPDA President <cpdapres@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 10:53 AM
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: File No. 220830 - CPDA Letter of support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon:

The California Public Defenders Association submits this letter to support Resolution File No.

220830. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns at cpdapres@gmail.com

Sincerely,

Graciela Martinez
CPDA, President



CPDA

A Statewide Association of Public Defenders and
Criminal Defense Counsel

California Public Defenders Association
10324 Placer Lane
Sacramento, CA 95827
Phone (916) 362-1686
Fax (916) 362-3346
Email: cpda@cpda.org

President

Graciela Martinez
Los Angeles County

1st Vice President

Arlene Speiser
Orange County

2nd Vice President

Matthew Sotorosen
San Francisco County

Secretary/Treasurer

Andre' R. Bollinger
San Diego County

Assist. Secretary/Treasurer

Tracie Olson
Yolo County

Board of Directors

Erin Brooks, 23
Tulare County

Susan Leff, 23
Nevada County

Daniel Messner, 23
San Bernardino County

Alice Michel, 23
Sacramento County

Maureen Pacheco, 23
Los Angeles County

Stephanie Regular, 23
Contra Costa County

Nick Stewart-Oaten, 23
Los Angeles County

Amyra Cobb-Hampton, 24
Solano County

Evan Kuluk, 24
Contra Costa County

Monica Nguyen, 24
Riverside County

Molly O'Neal, 24
Santa Clara County

Jennifer Redding, 24
Santa Clara County

Tamani Taylor, 24
Solano County

Brendon Woods, 24
Alameda County

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

[VIA EMAIL]

RE: File No. 220830 (Preston & Ronen) SUPPORT

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:


With nearly 4,000 members, the California Public Defenders Association (CPDA) is the largest association of criminal defense attorneys and public defenders in the State of California. Its members practice in the trial, juvenile, mental health and appellate courts statewide. CPDA promotes the legal rights of Californians accused or convicted of crimes, as well as the interests of all Californians in the fair administration of justice.

CPDA is pleased to support Resolution File No. 220830, which would urge the San Francisco District Attorney's Office to continue to operate its Innocence Commission. This resolution urges the interim District Attorney to keep the Innocence Commission intact and to allow the SFDA's Post Conviction Unit to continue its related wrongful conviction and resentencing work.

Since the National Registry of Exonerations began tracking wrongful convictions in 1989, there have been more than 270 known wrongful convictions in California – causing innocent Californians to lose a total of 2,104 years of their lives and costing California tax-payers over \$275 million. These wrongful convictions occurred as the real perpetrators of crime avoided consequences for their actions and victims were denied justice. Wrongful convictions undermine our criminal legal system and violate fundamental principles of justice and due process. The National Registry of Exonerations data also demonstrates that significantly more people of color, and in particular, African Americans and Latinos, have been wrongly convicted of crimes in this country.

Although some prosecutorial agencies have formed conviction integrity units to address such wrongs, the integrity units have proved inadequately resourced and inadequately independent. Turned away by the prosecutors, wrongfully convicted persons have had no remedy except to resort to years or even decades-long litigation. In contrast, the Innocence Commission commits substantial resources and affords substantial independence. Thereby, it is succeeding in remedying and preventing Californians from suffering punishment for a crime that they did not commit. Its prompt, just resolution of factual innocence and equitable resentencing matters builds public trust in the criminal legal system, and reduces the civil liability of the taxpayers.

For the above reasons, CPDA respectfully urges your “YES” vote on Resolution File No. 220830 in support of the continuation of the San Francisco District Attorney’s Innocence Commission. Please do not hesitate to contact me at cpdapres@gmail.com with any questions or concerns.



GRACIELA MARTINEZ
President, CPDA

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3 Letters regarding File No. 220830
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 11:41:00 AM
Attachments: [3 Letters regarding File No. 220830.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters regarding File No. 220830.

File No. 220830 - Urging Support for the District Attorney's Innocence Commission

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Katie Monroe](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 220830, Innocence Commission
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 10:05:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached our letter of support for File No 220830.

Thank you,

Katie Monroe
Executive Director
Healing Justice
202-738-4925 (office)
202-997-9132 (mobile)
kmonroe@healingjusticeproject.org
www.healingjusticeproject.org
www.survivorservices.org



July 18, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: File No. 220830 (Preston & Ronen) SUPPORT


Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

We write to respectfully submit our support for Resolution File No. 220830 in support of the San Francisco District Attorney's Innocence Commission. This resolution urges the interim District Attorney to keep the Innocence Commission intact and to allow the SFDA's Post Conviction Unit to continue its innovative and important effort to investigate and address mistakes by our justice system.

When our justice system mistakenly convicts and imprisons the wrong person while the true perpetrator remains free, the human toll is widespread and long-lasting. Everyone touched by the case - the original crime victims and survivors, the wrongly convicted, both of their families, as well as jurors, judges, prosecutors, police, and the public - is harmed. We lead a national nonprofit organization called Healing Justice, which addresses this individual and collective harm. We come to this work from deep personal experience, having each been directly impacted by a wrongful conviction and subsequent exoneration.

The Innocence Commission provides a cost-effective, efficient, and neutral way to review post-conviction claims of factual innocence while also protecting victims' rights and ensuring that crime victims and survivors are offered support and not caused further harm during the post-conviction review process. Therefore, again, we respectfully submit our support.

Sincerely,


Jennifer Thompson
Founder


Katie Monroe
Executive Director

From: [CPDA President](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 220830 - CPDA Letter of support
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 10:54:00 AM
Attachments: [CPDA letter of support - Resolution to Protect Innocence Commission.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon:

The California Public Defenders Association submits this letter to support Resolution File No. 220830. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns at cpdapres@gmail.com

Sincerely,

Graciela Martinez
CPDA, President



CPDA

A Statewide Association of Public Defenders and
Criminal Defense Counsel

California Public Defenders Association
10324 Placer Lane
Sacramento, CA 95827
Phone (916) 362-1686
Fax (916) 362-3346
Email: cpda@cpda.org

President

Graciela Martinez
Los Angeles County

1st Vice President

Arlene Speiser
Orange County

2nd Vice President

Matthew Sotorosen
San Francisco County

Secretary/Treasurer

Andre' R. Bollinger
San Diego County

Assist. Secretary/Treasurer

Tracie Olson
Yolo County

Board of Directors

Erin Brooks, 23
Tulare County

Susan Leff, 23
Nevada County

Daniel Messner, 23
San Bernardino County

Alice Michel, 23
Sacramento County

Maureen Pacheco, 23
Los Angeles County

Stephanie Regular, 23
Contra Costa County

Nick Stewart-Oaten, 23
Los Angeles County

Amyra Cobb-Hampton, 24
Solano County

Evan Kuluk, 24
Contra Costa County

Monica Nguyen, 24
Riverside County

Molly O'Neal, 24
Santa Clara County

Jennifer Redding, 24
Santa Clara County

Tamani Taylor, 24
Solano County

Brendon Woods, 24
Alameda County

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

[VIA EMAIL]

RE: File No. 220830 (Preston & Ronen) SUPPORT

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:


With nearly 4,000 members, the California Public Defenders Association (CPDA) is the largest association of criminal defense attorneys and public defenders in the State of California. Its members practice in the trial, juvenile, mental health and appellate courts statewide. CPDA promotes the legal rights of Californians accused or convicted of crimes, as well as the interests of all Californians in the fair administration of justice.

CPDA is pleased to support Resolution File No. 220830, which would urge the San Francisco District Attorney's Office to continue to operate its Innocence Commission. This resolution urges the interim District Attorney to keep the Innocence Commission intact and to allow the SFDA's Post Conviction Unit to continue its related wrongful conviction and resentencing work.

Since the National Registry of Exonerations began tracking wrongful convictions in 1989, there have been more than 270 known wrongful convictions in California – causing innocent Californians to lose a total of 2,104 years of their lives and costing California tax-payers over \$275 million. These wrongful convictions occurred as the real perpetrators of crime avoided consequences for their actions and victims were denied justice. Wrongful convictions undermine our criminal legal system and violate fundamental principles of justice and due process. The National Registry of Exonerations data also demonstrates that significantly more people of color, and in particular, African Americans and Latinos, have been wrongly convicted of crimes in this country.

Although some prosecutorial agencies have formed conviction integrity units to address such wrongs, the integrity units have proved inadequately resourced and inadequately independent. Turned away by the prosecutors, wrongfully convicted persons have had no remedy except to resort to years or even decades-long litigation. In contrast, the Innocence Commission commits substantial resources and affords substantial independence. Thereby, it is succeeding in remedying and preventing Californians from suffering punishment for a crime that they did not commit. Its prompt, just resolution of factual innocence and equitable resentencing matters builds public trust in the criminal legal system, and reduces the civil liability of the taxpayers.

For the above reasons, CPDA respectfully urges your “YES” vote on Resolution File No. 220830 in support of the continuation of the San Francisco District Attorney’s Innocence Commission. Please do not hesitate to contact me at cpdapres@gmail.com with any questions or concerns.



GRACIELA MARTINEZ
President, CPDA

From: [Steve Vender](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: angela.calvillo@sfgov.org alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 11:07:12 AM
Attachments: [Letter to the Board of Supervisors.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Letter to the Board of Supervisors in support of the resolution for the continued existence of the Innocence Commission.

July 19, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: File No. 220830
Item #68 on Today's Agenda

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

My name is Steve Vender. I am a private investigator who specializes in criminal and indigent defense, with twenty-seven years of experience. In 2018 I was appointed by the Superior Court to investigate a wrongful conviction in a homicide case. I've worked with attorney Mark Vermeulen on this case and continue to do so.

After a two-year in-depth investigation into this case, I did what the police should have done fifteen years ago. I solved a fifteen year old homicide. In a twenty page investigative report, including a four page addendum, I identified the real killer in this case and his co-conspirators. After extensively profiling the people involved in this case, I was able to offer what the prosecution never did during the trial in which our client was convicted, and that is a believable and convincing motive for the homicide.

My report eventually found its way to the Innocence Commission, where Lara Bazelon is the chairperson. After presenting our case to the Innocence Commission and sending them important witnesses who were never called by the defense to testify at our client's trial, the Innocence Commission was conducting its own investigation and was scheduled to interview our client who has been in county jail and state prison for the last fifteen years. He was convicted and sentenced to life in prison for a homicide that he most definitely did not commit.

However, with the continued existence of the Innocence Commission in question, notwithstanding the new DA's early assurances of support, especially since DA Jenkins recently fired two Assistant District Attorneys who were the Commission's liaisons to the DA's office, I am writing to you today to strongly urge you to support the resolution before the Board, brought by Supervisors Preston and Ronen, to keep the Commission intact so that they can continue their valuable work.

We have filed a Habeas Petition in this case, but we won't get a decision until sometime in late August. The petition has four claims: Actual Innocence, Prosecutorial Misconduct, and two extensively documented claims of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel. It is our hope that after interviewing our client, the Innocence Commission would write their own report and provide a strong recommendation for vacating our client's conviction and releasing him from state prison. A positive recommendation from the Innocence Commission will carry great weight.

The Innocence Commission is unique and absolutely essential in establishing how innocent people are convicted and sent to prison for life. Therefore, I am urging that you vote for the resolution that would put the Board of Supervisors on record as supporting the continued existence of the Commission so that they can continue to address the great wrongs done to people who were innocent of the crimes for which they were charged and wrongly convicted. I realize that the resolution is non-binding, but your unanimous support of this resolution will contribute to helping correct wrongful convictions in pursuit of the true justice we all seek from our criminal justice system.

Sincerely,

Steve Vender
California State Licensed Investigator
License #18434

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support Letter -- File No. 220830 Resolution Supporting SFDA Innocence Commission
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:23:00 PM
Attachments: [2022-07-19 USF SOL DEAN SUPPORT File No 220830.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the USF School of Law regarding File No. 220830.

File No. 220830 - Urging Support for the District Attorney's Innocence Commission

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Charlie Nelson Kever <cnelsonkeever@usfca.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 1:21 PM
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Susan Freiwald <freiwald@usfca.edu>; Lara Bazelon <lbazelon@usfca.edu>
Subject: Support Letter -- File No. 220830 Resolution Supporting SFDA Innocence Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Dean Susan Freiwald of the USF School of Law, I am forwarding the attached letter in support of Resolution File No. 220830 to preserve the SFDA's Innocence Commission.

Thank you,
Charlie Nelson Keever

--

Charlie Nelson Keever (she/her/hers)

Staff Attorney

Innocence Commission | Racial Justice Clinic

University of San Francisco School of Law

o. 415.422.7678 c. 310.738.9663

July 19, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

[VIA EMAIL]

RE: File No. 220830 (Preston, Ronen, Walton, & Mar) SUPPORT

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

As the Dean of the University of San Francisco School of Law, I am writing to express my strong support of Resolution File No. 220830 in support of the San Francisco District Attorney's Innocence Commission. This resolution urges the interim District Attorney to keep the Innocence Commission intact and to allow the SFDA's Post Conviction Unit to continue its related wrongful conviction and resentencing work.

Wrongful convictions are a significant problem in the United States—and California is no exception. Since the National Registry of Exonerations began tracking wrongful convictions in 1989, there have been more than 279 known wrongful convictions in California – causing innocent Californians to lose a total of 2,173 years of their lives, and costing California taxpayers over \$221 million (according to a 2016 study). These wrongful convictions occurred as the real perpetrators of crime avoided consequences for their actions and victims were denied justice. Wrongful convictions undermine our criminal legal system and violate fundamental principles of justice and due process.

USF School of Law has been honored to support the Innocence Commission's work through the District Attorney's partnership with the Racial Justice Clinic and Professor Bazelon. The work of undoing wrongful convictions is deeply aligned with the Racial Justice Clinic's mission to serve clients who have been affected by racial discrimination. Wrongful convictions harm communities of color and the Black community in particular. According to the National Registry of Exonerations, Black people constitute approximately 13% of the American population, but represent 49% of the exonerations in the United States. The NRE's 2017 report revealed that Black people are seven times more likely to be wrongfully convicted of murder, and twelve times more likely to be wrongfully convicted of drug possession than white people.

The Innocence Commission model is a first-in-the-nation approach to efficiently and fairly investigating potential wrongful conviction cases and is a cost-effective way to effectuate the district attorneys' duty to prevent and rectify the conviction of innocent persons. The Commission is tasked with evaluating cases where an incarcerated person alleges that they have been wrongfully convicted—either because they can establish that they are factually innocent, or because a due process violation renders their incarceration unconstitutional. If the Commission, after evaluating all of the available evidence and conducting any necessary re-investigation, votes by a majority to vacate the conviction, the Commission prepares a findings of fact and conclusions of law memorandum that serves as the basis to seek to vacate the conviction or provide other relief. Although the district attorney retains the final decision-making power on each case, they afford great weight to the determination of the Commission.

The members of the Innocence Commission—Judge LaDoris Cordell (Ret.), Deputy Public Defender Jacque Wilson, Dr. Michael Meade, and Professor Lara Bazelon (Chair)—represent key perspectives in the criminal legal system, and bring to their work a diversity of professional experience as well as diversity across race, ethnicity, and gender. The makeup of the Commission and the collective experience of its current members has been a key part of its success.

The Commission's structure is designed to address the main challenges that prevent so many Conviction Integrity Units from fairly and efficiently reviewing alleged wrongful conviction cases. For instance, the assistant DAs assigned to typical CIUs must often undertake the unenviable task of evaluating and passing judgment on the work of colleagues and predecessors in their own offices as well as the police, with whom they must work every day. The Commission's independence from the DA's office and the composition of its membership are key features that alleviate this common problem. This feature, like the Innocence Commission's other practices and policies, is based on best practices gleaned from the innocence community, including Innocence Project co-founder Barry Scheck, and the Quattrone Center for the Fair Administration of Justice. As a result, the Commission has been able to conduct collaborative investigations that are both efficient and thorough.

The Innocence Commission has established that it is effective. In April of this year, the work of the Innocence Commission led to the exoneration of Joaquin Ciria who spent 32 years in prison for a crime he did not commit. His was the first collaborative exoneration in San Francisco history. I am so proud that USF students in the Racial Justice Clinic had the opportunity to support the work of the Innocence Commission and to see real justice in action.

As Mr. Ciria reminded us at an event celebrating his exoneration, there are others still wrongfully incarcerated who are seeking justice. The work of the Innocence Commission must be allowed to continue. I urge you to support Resolution File No. 220830 in support of the San Francisco District Attorney's Innocence Commission.

Sincerely,



Susan Freiwald
Dean and Professor of Law
USF School of Law

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: AB 557
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 4:23:00 PM
Attachments: [Sample Support Letter 557.docx](#)
[AB 557 Analysis Senate Policy.docx](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the Office of Assemblymember Al Muratuschi regarding California Assembly Bill 557.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Richards, Carson <Carson.Richards@asm.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 5:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: AB 557

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Asm. Al Muratsuchi has introduced hate crime legislation this session, AB 557, that is being heard in the Senate Appropriations committee on August 1st.

This bill establishes a pilot grant program for District Attorney offices to create or expand vertical prosecution units for hate crimes. In addition to assigning a single prosecutor to each hate crime case, these units will also connect victims with victim advocates.

The California Attorney General's Office recommends prosecuting hate crimes vertically – meaning a single prosecutor handles a case from start to finish – to both promote accurate and consistent prosecution and provide continuity for the victim.

Would the County Board of Supervisors be willing to send in a support letter for the bill as it goes to

the appropriations committee? I have attached the latest analysis and a sample support letter to this email.

Thank you!

Carson Richards

Legislative Aide

Assemblymember Al Muratsuchi, 66th District

Capitol Annex Swing Space, Room 5610

Phone: (916) 319-2066

SENATE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

Senator Steven Bradford, Chair

2021 - 2022 Regular

Bill No: AB 557 **Hearing Date:** June 28, 2022
Author: Muratsuchi
Version: June 21, 2022
Urgency: No **Fiscal:** Yes
Consultant: SC

Subject: *Hate crimes: vertical prosecution*

HISTORY

Source: Author

Prior Legislation: AB 600 (Arambula), Ch. 295, Stats. 2021
AB 1336 (Nguyen), held Assem. Approps. Comm., 2021
AB 959 (Melendez), held Assem. Approps. Comm., 2019
AB 2124 (Rubio), held Sen. Approps. Comm., 2018
AB 2359 (Maienschein), held Assem. Approps. Comm., 2018
AB 229 (Baker), held Sen. Approps. Comm., 2017
AB 2202 (Baker), held Sen. Approps. Comm., 2016
AB 1234 (Kuehl), Ch. 700, Stats. 2004
AB 741 (Pacheco), held Sen. Approps. Comm., 1999

Support: Unknown

Opposition: None known

Assembly Floor Vote: Not relevant

PURPOSE

The purpose of this bill is to require the Department of Justice (DOJ) to establish a grant program for the purpose of creating, supporting, or expanding vertical prosecution units for the prosecutions of hate crimes.

Existing law states that the Legislature finds and declares that it is the right of every person, regardless of actual or perceived race or ethnicity, religion, gender, gender identity, gender expression, nationality, disability, sexual orientation, or association with a person or group with these actual or perceived characteristics, to be secure and protected from fear, intimidation, and physical harm caused by the activities of violent groups and individuals. (Pen. Code, § 11410.)

Existing law defines “hate crime” as any criminal act committed, in whole or in part, because of one or more of the following actual or perceived characteristics of the victim:

- Disability;
- Gender;

- Nationality;
- Race or ethnicity;
- Religion;
- Sexual orientation; and,
- Association with a person or group with one or more of these actual or perceived characteristics. (Pen. Code, § 422.55, subd. (a).)

Existing law defines “association with a person or group with these actual or perceived characteristics” as including advocacy for, identification with, or being on the ground owned or rented by, or adjacent to, any of the following: a community center, educational facility, family, individual, office, meeting hall, place of worship, private institution, public agency, library, or other entity, group, or person that has, or is identified with people who have, one or more of those characteristics listed in the definition of “hate crime.” (Pen. Code, § 422.56, subd. (a).)

Existing law defines “in whole or in part because of” to mean that the bias motivation must be a cause in fact of the offense, whether or not other causes also exist. When multiple concurrent motives exist, the prohibited bias must be a substantial factor in bringing about the particular result. There is no requirement that the bias be a main factor, or that the crime would not have been committed but for the actual or perceived characteristic. (Pen. Code, § 422.56, subd. (d).)

Existing law provides that “victim” includes, but is not limited to, a community center, educational facility, entity, family, group, individual, office, meeting hall, person, place of worship, private institution, public agency, library, or other victim or intended victim of the offense. (Pen. Code, § 422.56, subd. (i).)

Existing law provides that it is a hate crime to violate or interfere with the exercise of civil rights, or knowingly deface, destroy, or damage property because of actual or perceived characteristics of the victim that fit the hate crime definition. (Pen. Code, § 422.6, subs. (a) and (b).)

Existing law provides that a conviction for violating or interfering with the civil rights of another on the basis of actual or perceived characteristics of the victim that fit the hate crime definition shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed one year, or by a fine not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000), or by both the above imprisonment and fine, and the court shall order the defendant to perform a minimum of community service, not to exceed 400 hours, to be performed over a period not to exceed 350 days, during a time other than their hours of employment or school attendance. (Pen. Code, § 422.6, subd. (c).)

Existing law makes any other crime that is not punishable by imprisonment in the state prison punishable as an alternate felony-misdemeanor, “wobbler,” if the crime is committed against the person or property of another for the purpose of intimidating or interfering with that other person’s free exercise or enjoyment of any right secured to him or her by the Constitution or laws of this state or by the Constitution or laws of the United States under any of the following circumstances, which shall be charged in the accusatory pleading:

- The crime against the person of another either includes the present ability to commit a violent injury or causes actual physical injury;
- The crime against property causes damage in excess of nine hundred fifty dollars (\$950); or,

- The person charged with a crime under this section has been convicted previously of a hate crime or conspiracy to commit a hate crime, as specified. (Pen. Code, § 422.7.)

Existing law allows a court to impose a hate crime enhancement to add a term of one, two, or three years in state prison, at the court's discretion. (Pen. Code, § 422.75.)

Existing law specifies that except when the hate crime enhancement is imposed, the fact that a person committed a felony or attempted to commit a felony that is a hate crime shall be considered a circumstance in aggravation of the crime in imposing one of three terms specified in statute. (Pen. Code, § 422.76.)

Existing law authorizes each state law enforcement agency to adopt a hate crime policy. (Pen. Code, § 422.87, subd. (a).)

Existing law requires, subject to funding, the Attorney General to direct local law enforcement agencies to report to the DOJ information relative to hate crimes. (Pen. Code, § 13023)

This bill establishes the Hate crime Vertical Prosecution Pilot Grant Program (HCVP) to be administered by DOJ.

This bill requires DOJ, beginning January 1, 2023 and subject to an appropriation of funds by the Legislature, to award grants to prosecutorial agencies for the purpose of creating, supporting, or expanding vertical prosecution units for the prosecution of hate crimes. These units shall be primarily focused on better serving hate crime victims and achieving just, equitable, and appropriate resolutions to hate crime cases.

This bill provides that one-time HCVP grants shall be made on a competitive basis to selected applicants in a manner and in an amount determined by DOJ.

This bill requires DOJ to do all of the following to administer the grant program:

- Specify the form of the application and information required to be submitted by each applicant;
- Specify the criteria DOJ shall consider in selecting grant awardees; and,
- Select the number of awards to be granted.

This bill states that DOJ may use no more than 5 percent of the funds appropriated for HCVP for the costs of administering the program.

This bill prohibits grant awardees from using grant funds to supplant existing spending for vertical prosecutions of hate crimes.

This bill requires, by no later than July 1, 2028, each grant recipient to prepare and submit a report to DOJ, in a form prescribed by DOJ, that includes any relevant data requested by DOJ.

This bill requires, by not later than January 1, 2029, DOJ to prepare and submit a report to the Legislature summarizing the data provided by grant recipients and analyzing the effectiveness of vertical prosecution programs in better serving hate crime victims and achieving just, equitable,

and appropriate resolutions to hate crime cases, and making policy recommendations to the Legislature.

This bill states that DOJ shall make evaluations of the grant program available to the public.

This bill provides the following definitions:

- “Prosecutorial agency” means “a district attorney, city attorney, or other governmental entity responsible for the prosecution of crimes within a local jurisdiction.”
- “Vertical prosecution” refers to having the same individual prosecutor assigned to a case from the initial criminal investigation through the sentencing of the offender.

This bill contains a sunset date of July 1, 2029.

COMMENTS

1. Need for This Bill

According to the author of this bill:

Anti-Asian rhetoric surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic has led to a dramatic spike in verbal and physical assaults against Asian Americans. According to the latest report from Stop AAPI Hate, over 10,000 anti-Asian hate incidents have occurred nationwide between March 2020 and December 2021 with over 4,000 of those occurring in California.

The California Attorney General’s Office recommends prosecuting hate crimes vertically – meaning a single prosecutor handles a case from start to finish – to both promote accurate and consistent prosecution and provide continuity for the victim.

This bill establishes a pilot grant program for District Attorney offices to create or expand vertical prosecution units for hate crimes. In addition to assigning a single prosecutor to each hate crime case, these units will also connect victims with victim advocates.

2. Hate Crimes

Hate crimes are generally defined as crimes that are “committed not out of animosity toward the victim as an individual, but out of hostility toward the group to which the victim belongs.” (Pendo, *Recognizing Violence Against Women: Gender and the Hate Crimes Statistics Act* (1994) 17 Harv. Women’s L.J. 157, 159.) Looking at a more specific definition, a hate crime is defined as “a crime in which the defendant intentionally selects a victim because of the *actual or perceived* race, color, religion, national origin, ethnicity, gender, disability, or sexual orientation of any person.” (*Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994*, Pub. L. 103-322, 108 Stat. 1796 Section 280003 (1994) emphasis added (codified in part at 28 U.S.C. Section 994 (1994).)

According to Los Angeles County’s 2019 Hate Crime Report, hate crimes have been rising incrementally in the last several years. (<https://www.nbclausangeles.com/news/local/la-county->

[report-hate-crimes-increase/2448765/](#) [as of June 20, 2022].) In 2019, the county had 524 reported hate crimes, compared to 523 in 2018. “This is the largest number reported since 2009. For the past 6 years, hate crimes have been trending upwards and since 2013 there has been a 36% rise.” (<https://hrc.lacounty.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/2019-Hate-Crime-Report.pdf> at p. 8 [as of June 20, 2022].)

With the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a rise in hate crimes targeting Asian Americans, in particular. In Los Angeles County, anti-Asian hate crimes increased by 76% in 2020. In 10 of 44 reported cases in Los Angeles County, the suspect explicitly blamed the victims for COVID-19. (<https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2021-10-20/l-a-county-sees-significant-increase-in-anti-asian-hate-crimes> [as of June 20, 2022].)

DOJ’s annual hate crime report found a 31% increase in hate crimes in California, from 1,015 to 1,330 in 2020, fueled mainly by a jump in crimes targeting Black people. While hate crimes involving racial bias overall increased 67.3 percent, hate crimes targeting Black increased 87.7% from the previous year. (DOJ, Hate Crime in CA 2020, <https://data-openjustice.doj.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-06/Hate%20Crime%20In%20CA%202020.pdf> [as of June 20, 2022].)

3. Vertical Prosecution

“Vertical Prosecution” refers to a method in which a prosecutor is assigned to the case from the initial point of referral to the completion of the prosecution. Many argue this method of prosecution results in continuity and improved prosecution success, as well as benefits for crime victims, such as allowing prosecutors to build a rapport with victims by remaining with the case from intake to sentencing, which ensures that victims do not have to tell their story repeatedly to prosecutors at various stages of the case. The prosecutor may develop ongoing relationships with community organizations and be able to connect victims to appropriate resources.

It appears that several county prosecutors’ offices have special units to prosecute hate crimes through vertical prosecution, including Sacramento County and San Diego County. (See <https://www.sacda.org/in-the-courtroom/criminal-prosecutions/hate-crimes-unit/>; <https://www.sdcda.org/helping/hate-crimes> [as of June 20, 2022].)

This bill would establish, subject to an appropriation of funds by the Legislature, a vertical prosecution grant program to be administered by DOJ to award one-time grants to prosecutorial agencies for the purpose of creating, supporting, or expanding vertical prosecution units for the prosecution of hate crimes.

4. Grant Programs Administered by DOJ

DOJ administers several grant programs. For example, the Sexual Assault Evidence Submission Grant Program which was established in 2019 when the Legislature passed the budget act appropriating \$2 million in grant funding to assist local law enforcement agencies with the process of submitting and testing sexual assault forensic evidence. Grant funds were not designed to sustain a project but were intended to supplement existing funds in order to help agencies submit and test sexual assault evidence. Agencies who were interested in applying for funds requested an amount based on their need. Funds were available from the 2019-2020 state budget with a grant period beginning July 1, 2020, and ending June 30, 2022. (See <https://oag.ca.gov/saesg> [as of June 21, 2022].)

Also, the Tobacco Grant Program, established in 2017 to provide annual funds to local law enforcement agencies, including prosecutors, county sheriffs, and city and school police departments throughout California to reduce illegal sales and marketing of cigarettes and tobacco products, including e-cigarettes, to minors. Funding for the Tobacco Grant Program was a result of the passage of the California Healthcare, Research and Prevention Tobacco Tax Act of 2016 (Proposition 56) increasing taxes on cigarettes and other tobacco products by \$2.00 starting in April 2017. The initiative allocates a portion of annual revenue to the California Department of Justice. (See <https://oag.ca.gov/tobaccogrants> [as of June 21, 2022].)

This bill would establish the HCVP grant program, subject to appropriation by the Legislature, to be administered by DOJ. The grants are to be made on a competitive basis to selected applicants in a manner and in an amount determined by DOJ. The bill would also require DOJ to provide a report to the Legislature summarizing the data provided by grant recipients and analyzing the effectiveness of vertical prosecution programs in better serving hate crime victims and achieving just, equitable, and appropriate resolutions to hate crime cases, and making policy recommendations to the Legislature. This bill's provisions would sunset on July 1, 2029.

-- END --

Senator Anthony Portantino
State Capitol, Room 412
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: AB 557 (Muratsuchi) SUPPORT

Dear Senator Portantino:

I am writing to express my strong support of AB 557 (Muratsuchi), which establishes a pilot program for district attorney's offices to create or expand vertical prosecution units for hate crimes. In addition to assigning a single prosecutor to each hate crime case, these units will also connect victims with victim advocates.

[Organization intro and how this affects them.]

Recent data from the Department of Justice shows that over 1,300 hate crimes were reported in California in 2020 and over 1,700 were reported in 2021. According to Stop AAPI Hate, over 10,000 anti-Asian hate incidents have occurred nationwide between February 2020 and December 2021 with 4,000 of those occurring in California.

The California Attorney General's Office recommends prosecuting hate crimes vertically – meaning a single prosecutor handles a case from start to finish – to both promote accurate and consistent prosecution and provide continuity for the victim.

We must reaffirm that California is committed to protecting victims of hate crimes.

Thank you for your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

Name

Date

Address

City/Zip

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: BOS Legislation: Item 26. 220538
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:47:00 AM
Attachments: [Letter re Legislation #Item 26.220538.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from Henry Karnilowicz regarding file No. 220538.

File No. 220538 - Public Works Code - Public Works Graffiti Abatement Pilot Program

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Henry Karnilowicz <occexp@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>
Subject: BOS Legislation: Item 26. 220538

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Angela,

Attached is my letter to the Board of Supervisors regarding the referenced legislation to be heard tomorrow,

Please distribute.

Warm regards,

Henry Karnilowicz

OCCIDENTAL EXPRESS

Consulting Design Construction Management

CSL #319153

1019 Howard Street

San Francisco, CA 94103-2806

415.420.8113 cell

415.621.7583 fax

https://url.avanan.click/v2/_.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkYmlyNzg4N2QwN2NIOGNiMTQ2ODcwNWM1YmJhYWlwMDo2OjFjM2Q6OTMyNDImYjcxNTEwZDkxODU5MGZmOTJkN2UwYTRhYTAyYTkyODYxMGNkOGMyMzY4YTJkZjQ5MGI3OTgzZGYyNjp0OIQ

President Emeritus

San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations

Co-chair

SFPD x Chief's Small Business Advisory Forum

HENRY KARNILOWICZ

President Emeritus
San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations

July 18, 2022

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board Of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

I am writing to you to extend my thanks and appreciation to supervisors, Myrna Melgar, Catherine Stefani and Hillary Ronen for their legislation #26.220538, ordinance amending the Public Works Code to require Public Works to create a pilot program allowing property owners in commercial areas to opt into graffiti abatement by Public Works at no cost to the property owner; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Graffiti has gotten to the point where property owners and small businesses are struggling to keep it abated. For National Ice Cream day I stopped by at Joe's Ice Cream on Geary Boulevard with its colorfully painted shared space and it is vandalized with graffiti. This is not the only shared space that has been vandalized. What broke my heart was seeing the beautiful mural at Hoogasian Flowers with graffiti on it

I hope that abating the graffiti will also include prosecution of these so called graffiti artists and that it not be just a slap on the hand!

Supervisors, I urge you to unanimously support this legislation!

Sincerely,


Henry Karnilowicz

Cc:
Board of Supervisors

"Think big, Shop Small"

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Graffiti abatement
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 4:25:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Meredith Blau regarding File No. 220538.

File No. 220538 - Public Works Code - Public Works Graffiti Abatement Pilot Program

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Meredith Blau <meredithblau15@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 5:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Graffiti abatement

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I really don't see why you can't follow the NYC plan. They are far bigger than we are! Just get the job done.

Anyone can report graffiti on any property in New York City. Simply **call 311 or submit a claim and the City will mail a notice to the property owner on file.**

Once the notice is delivered, the property owner will have 35 days to contact the City to decline graffiti removal services.

https://url.avanan.click/v2/_https://edc.nyc_.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoyNDk1MjFhNzI5N2Q5MmI0NmI4NDExYT VIMWNhMmM0Njo2OmZiMWQ6YzY0MTRiN2I4NjM4MzI3OTdhZWRIYTM0ZjczZDk1ZjBhNmU2MzJmZjI3NzIiY2I4Y2Y1NDViMDUwNmEwNz00IQ > program > graffiti...

[Graffiti-Free NYC | NYCEDC](#)

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Don't Defund the DA's Office!
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:19:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Joseph Hancock regarding funding for the Office of the District Attorney.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Joseph Hancock <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:57 PM
To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Don't Defund the DA's Office!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent	Joseph Hancock
Email	slowjoe@frontier.com
I am a resident of	District 1

Don't Defund the DA's Office!

Message to the Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors:

I am emailing you today to urge you to FULLY FUND the District Attorney's office budget! You have taken the first step to return SF to it's former glory. I will sit back and watch and make sure that you do the right thing. I don't actually live in SF but used to visit regularly. I do so no longer after seeing what was

once the jewel of CA, fall into the abyss of lawlessness, filthy conditions, and extreme lack of leadership. I have stopped buying anything related to SF, including Giants and 49'ers gear, Levi's, and Ghirardelli. They are but a few of the products related to your city that I will no longer support until common sense returns. There are many others. Maybe if the corporate coffers start to empty, their owners will start pushing the city council to return SF to its former glory.

Voters have made it clear that they want significant improvements in public safety. This includes a strong District Attorney that will balance reform & public safety and work productively with a fully-funded and staffed SFPD.

Thousands of crime victims in our city need support! Please do not eliminate the Victim Services Division & open positions within the District Attorney's office.

Please respect the will of the voters - do not compromise public safety by defunding the District Attorney's office and holding back critical resources for law enforcement.

Thank you!

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Gay Softball and Pool League
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 5:06:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Chris Kline regarding the Gay Softball and Pool League.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:37 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Cc: SFGOP Chair <chair@sfgop.org>; Vice Chair Political Affairs <politicalaffairs@sfgop.org>
Subject: Re: Gay Softball and Pool League

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So between the city, county and federal govt, my access to funds, donations and grants have been restricted and travel not possible.

There was a tournament scheduled in Russia River this weekend that unless restrictions are lifted, I won't be able to attend.

I have started the process of letting everyone in the league know about the restrictions and to share with their friends and families.

Tomorrow I start notifying Marine Corps League, American Legion and others locally, regionally and nationally.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

On Mon, Jul 18, 2022, 7:43 AM Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com> wrote:

All,

unfortunately I had to file a FCC complaint and will ask the DOJ to investigate the hacking, wirefraud and wiretapping of these two leagues as city officials and politicians are using these to displace money from one league and or team and players. And attempting to place/change unqualified players and rankings and skew skills and rankings.

I also request each of you read the Y2K story which explains how DC Metropolitan Police controls the interface for the FBI, DOJ, Bureau of Prisons, etc. This also covers Public Health. Which means what your public health and safety has, so does the interface with DC Public Safety.

It also explains why overdoses started going up during this upgrade for Y2K.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Chris K.** <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Jul 17, 2022, 6:31 PM

Subject: - ANSWERING THE CALL FOR HELP: THE IMPACT OF Y2K ON 911 AND LAW ENFORCEMENT?

To: Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

"- ANSWERING THE CALL FOR HELP: THE IMPACT OF Y2K ON 911 AND LAW ENFORCEMENT?"

<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-106shrg56951/html/CHRG-106shrg56951.htm>

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Important Re-Occupancy Updates
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 3:50:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Austin Caldwell regarding 33 Tehama.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Austin Caldwell <austin@adc.fyi>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Varner, Christina (RNT) <christina.varner@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Important Re-Occupancy Updates

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is quite frankly really evil, and I really hope to see the City of San Francisco stand up for residents of 33 Tehama and hold Hines accountable.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <33tehama@33tehama.com>
Date: Mon, Jul 18, 2022 at 4:30 PM
Subject: Important Re-Occupancy Updates
To: <alexisgbuz@gmail.com>



July 18, 2022

Dear Residents:

We wanted to provide you with a progress update to the repair work at 33 Tehama. The massive water intrusion on June 3rd caused damage to portions of the building's electrical systems, elevators, life safety, and communications systems, as well as to walls, corridors, lighting, ceilings, and flooring throughout common areas and certain residences. The repairs are significant, and the building is currently not habitable.

We have since discovered substantial water damage to the elevator shaft serving the fire safety elevators of the building. The elevator repair vendors do not have an estimated date of work completion at this time. Through a number of conversations with repair and engineering professionals, we currently believe that this process will not be completed until late 2022 or even early 2023. The scheduling could also be impacted by factors such as ongoing supply chain issues as well as labor shortages. Finally, the San Francisco Fire Department will not allow re-occupancy of the building until we complete repair of the damage to the fire safety elevator shaft. Consequently, we cannot provide any succinct time estimate for re-occupancy at this juncture other than the general time period of late 2022/early 2023, which will extend our timeline for re-occupancy past our initially projected commencement date of August 1, 2022. This means that, as of today's estimates, you will not be able to re-occupy 33 Tehama until late 2022 at the earliest.

The forced evacuation on June 3, 2022 entitles all displaced residents the right to return to their homes on the same terms and conditions of their lease that existed on June 3rd once the building is habitable. We certainly hope that all or many of you will return to the building when this is possible. Since the forced evacuation on June 3, and in an attempt to maintain operations as close to normal as possible, we were able to provide our residents with some form of temporary housing assistance by way of per diem payments, hotel accommodations or rent credit, as well as payments for some incidentals. However, due to the extended repair and re-occupancy timeline, we are unable to continue providing such assistance. As such, effective at the end of the day on August 17, 2022, this assistance will terminate. Because there was no eviction sought by management, and because the displacement on June 3 was forced by a disaster that happened without warning, we have satisfied any and all legal obligations with respect to such payments. In addition, no payments of rent starting on August 18, 2022 and thereafter shall be payable or accepted, meaning your obligation to pay rent starting August 18, 2022 and thereafter is entirely suspended until and unless, in accordance with Section 12.19 of the San Francisco Rent Board Rules and Regulations (detailed below), you elect to come back to the community.

We understand this is upsetting news. Our top priority continues to be repairing the building damage in order to provide re-occupancy as quickly as possible. We hope that all of you elect to return once the job is done. However, you still have the option to terminate your lease without penalty at any time between now and the expiration

of the re-offer notification period, which notification will be issued in a separate written invitation to re-occupy the property. Please note, if you do not let us know of your election to move out on or before August 17, 2022 (as further discussed below), available move-out times will be limited due to building damage repair work.

In particular, we want to emphasize that all displaced residents have the absolute right under San Francisco law to return to the building once the repair and restoration processes are completed. San Francisco Rent Board Rules and Regulations Section 12.19 mandates the following course of conduct:

“(a) If a tenant is forced to vacate her/his due to fire or other disaster, the landlord shall, within 30 days of completion of repairs to the unit, offer the same unit to that tenant under the same terms and conditions as existed prior to her/his displacement. The landlord’s offer shall be sent to the address provided by the tenant. If the tenant has not provided an address, the offer shall be sent to the unit from which the tenant was displaced and to any other address of the tenant of which the landlord has actual knowledge, including electronic mail (e-mail) addresses.

(b) The tenant shall have 30 days from receipt of the landlord's offer to notify the landlord of acceptance or rejection of the offer and, if accepted, shall reoccupy the unit within 45 days of receipt of the landlord's offer.

(c) However, the cost of capital improvements which are necessary before rerenting a unit which was damaged or destroyed as set forth in subsection (a) above, which cost was not reimbursed by insurance proceeds or by any other means (such as a satisfied judgment) may be passed through to the tenant by utilization of the capital improvement petition process as set forth in Part 7 above. Any rent increase under this section would require that a notice be served upon the tenant(s) pursuant to Civil Code Section 827.

(d) The landlord who attempts to re-rent a unit, but refuses to allow a tenant to return to her/his home under this section shall have wrongfully endeavored to recover or wrongfully recovered said tenant's rental unit in violation of Section 37.9 of the Ordinance and shall be liable to the displaced tenants for actual and punitive damages as provided by Ordinance Section 37.9(f). This remedy shall be in addition to any other remedy available to the tenant under the Rent Ordinance.”

Regardless of whether you choose to terminate your lease or intend to re-occupy your apartment home once your apartment is ready for re-occupancy, and in order to expedite building damage repair, you will no longer have daily access to your residence after August 17, 2022 other than for scheduled move-outs. If you do intend to move out of your apartment, you must email 33Tehama@33Tehama.com and let your Resident Ambassador know on or before August 17, 2022 in order to schedule a move-out time. If you would like to re-occupy your apartment once your apartment is ready, and would like to leave your personal belongings in your apartment in the meantime, you may do so, but please be aware that there will be no access to your apartment as of August 18, 2022. In addition, while we will do everything we can reasonably do to ensure the safety of personal property left behind, there is a risk of theft and damage, so please consider removing any items of value before August 17, 2022. To that end, we will not provide any warranty that your property will be free

from theft or damage during the period of displacement.

We know this is a lot of information at one time. In summary, as of August 17, 2022:

- Incidental per diems will cease
- Housing Accommodation programs and accommodations per diems will cease
- No rent will be payable starting August 18, 2022 unless and until you re-occupy your apartment, should you choose to return
- You will need to provide a Notice to Vacate if you choose to terminate your lease Notices for move-outs must be received no later than August 17, 2022 to ensure availability of move-out slots

Additional information:

- Parking, pet rent, trash fees, and water utilities will also be suspended during this time period. We are still working with PG&E to transfer all accounts back to the 33 Tehama main account, but have not been successful to-date.
- Please note: vehicles may not be stored on the premises starting August 18, 2022 and up until your apartment is ready for re-occupancy and parking fees will be suspended.
- Starting today, July 18, 2022, elevator reservations will be available seven days a week from 8:00am to 8:00pm. Elevators can be booked in two-hour time slots per bedroom. Please contact your Resident Ambassador to schedule an elevator booking.
- Other than scheduled move-outs, you will no longer have daily access to the building after August 17, 2022. This includes no access to apartments, mail or packages. Please consider filing a temporary change of address with the post office.

We will provide you with additional updates as soon as we have them. Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter. Advice regarding this letter and your rights is available from the San Francisco Rent Board located at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 320, San Francisco, California 94102, www.sfrb.org. Phone counseling is available at (415) 252-4600 Monday through Friday, 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., and 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Sincerely,
Your 33 Tehama Team

Copyright © 2022 33 Tehama. All rights reserved.

Our mailing address is:

33 Tehama
33 Tehama St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Is this email not displaying correctly?

[View it in your browser](#)

--

Austin Caldwell

[HTTP://LINKEDIN.COM/IN/AUSTINDCALDWELL](http://linkedin.com/in/austindcaldwell) | 865-964-6686

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Monkeypox public comment
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:03:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Justin Lippi regarding File No. 220780.

File No. 220780 - Hearing - Monkeypox Response

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Justin Lippi <jlippi@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2022 12:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>; astone@sfa.org
Subject: Re: Monkeypox public comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you for holding the meeting on monkeypox today. I intended the comments below to go into public comment but I think I may have addressed them incorrectly. I'd appreciate if you took a read.

key points:

- We need more communication on what exactly constitutes risk and how unvaccinated individuals can reduce risk for themselves and their community.
- I would like to hear more about TPOXX and how to make it available.

On Thu, Jul 21, 2022 at 10:15 AM Justin Lippi <jlippi@gmail.com> wrote:

My name is Justin Lippi, I'm an 8 year San Francisco resident, and a queer person at moderate risk for monkeypox. A number of my friends have contracted it.

Thank you to our elected leaders for the urgency in getting vaccines in arms.

While that work isn't done, I want to point out that I haven't heard much about TPOXX access, and a lot of people (myself included) are still unclear about how exactly this is spreading.

Until everyone who wants to can be vaccinated, we need clear communications about skin-to-skin vs sexual transmission - can we still go out to clubs and parties if we stop having sex, or will that not help? How can risk during street fair season be best mitigated?

From: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Ocean beach who is in charge ? and care environmental issues
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:13:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Aaron Goodman regarding the Great Highway.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Ocean beach who is in charge ? and care environmental issues

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Was out at the great highway for a stroll

Noticed 5 of these hoops cost X\$ to buy and X\$ to install nobody is going to park bikes here and this was a contract for \$\$\$\$ SFMTA ?? SFDPW ??? Would have been better spent on environmental and refuse collection.



Walkway that is the actual walkway not the great highway still has not had sand removed and it's impacted the plantings where people climb down from great highway who is protecting the planted areas and snowy plover habitats from destruction due to great highway use weekdays and weekends?



Dog poo all over the place overflowed bins zero refuse pick up



Homeless encampments and debris scattered all over



Areas where people are climbing down and destroying habitat areas



Graffiti everywhere



ADA non-compliance and lacking repairs reported prior to MOD and nothing done for wheelchair access for weekday access and weekend access at Noriega (should be at least a perch deck area for wheelchairs and a clear path to the walkway)



Debris today July 17th still not removed from July 4th???? Whose task is it to clean and empty the bins???



Why tourism disappears and environmental degradation occurs is lack of plans and programs to keep our beaches clean and maintained, money is spent with contracts but nothing done consistently?

Rec and park ? State parks? Sfdpw? SFMTA ?

Board of Supervisors do something to protect preserve enhance and control the impacts on ocean beach it's a public amenity and environmental disaster occurring daily..

Ag D11

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed Charter forfeit of pensions
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:45:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Allen Jones regarding File No. 220633.

File No. 220633 - Charter Amendment - Forfeiture of Pension Benefits

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Allen Jones <jones-allen@att.net>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>;
mallory.moench@sfchronicle.com
Subject: Proposed Charter forfeit of pensions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I recall reading (linked article below) some years ago about Larry Blinkin, a former city employee veteran of 22 years at the Human Rights Commission, who was arrested and pled guilty to possession of child porn.

https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://www.sfexaminer.com/news/brinkin-pleads-not-guilty-to-six-felony-counts/article_d4a9b233-62aa-5da1-9186-1ebdc5669ec7.html_.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo2ZWZWM3MjU1OTQ5Mjc3OGJlZmNkOTExNDIxNWRhM2IxYjo2OmYzZTI6ODU3N2QwNTgzNTU4NjhiNWl1MjYwYTRkZGY3NDdhOTEzNDhIZDNlMDIiOGY4OGNkZTA4MjU1NGU5ZTY5YWVhMDp0OIQ

This former employee is still receiving a city pension 10+ years after his arrest for such an egregious

crime. Worst yet, the FACT, in an email it was revealed he used the N-word in celebrating a 2 year old Black boy being violated **while still employed with the city.**

Juxtapose the crime Mr. Blinkin pled guilty to with the crimes of other former city officials, like Mr. Nuru, Mr. Kelly and Mr. Hui.

The embarrassing crimes uncovered by these more recent city officials does not call for a Charter Amendment. We only need the backbone to enforce Charter rules on moral turpitude violations. Or remove those two words from our Charter, if you catch my drift.

Allen Jones
(415) 756-7733
jones-allen@att.net
Californiaclemency.org

The Only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: File #220636 Charter Amendment and Ordinance - Additional Density and Height; Rent-Control
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:25:00 PM
Attachments: [2022-7-19 ADC Support for Additional Density Rent Control.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition regarding File No. 220636.

File No. 220636 - Charter Amendment and Ordinance - Additional Density and Height; Rent-Control

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Molly Goldberg <molly@sfdac.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 10:20 AM
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Cc: Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: File #220636 Charter Amendment and Ordinance - Additional Density and Height; Rent-Control

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition urges you to support the proposed Charter Amendment to extend the protections of the San Francisco Rent Stabilization and Just Cause Eviction Ordinance to new housing built in accordance with future upzonings of San Francisco neighborhoods.

Please see our attached letter in support of this measure moving to the voters in November. New housing construction should contribute to the long-term sustainability and affordability of our city. Our community health and wellbeing depends on each of our ability to imagine a future here. Applying San Francisco's Rent Stabilization Ordinance and accompanying eviction protections to density bonuses ensures that these new units are quality, long-term housing and not simply a vehicle for investor profits.

Respectfully,
Molly Goldberg
Director, SF Anti-Displacement Coalition

and

Affordable Housing Alliance
Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus
Bill Sorro Housing Program
Causa Justa;; Just Cause
Coalition on Homelessness- San Francisco
Council of Community Housing Organizations
Eviction Defense Collaborative
Housing Rights Committee of San Francisco
San Francisco Community Land Trust
Senior and Disability Action



July 19, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: File #220636 Charter Amendment and Ordinance - Additional Density and Height; Rent-Control

Dear Supervisors,

The San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition urges you to support the proposed Charter Amendment to extend the protections of the San Francisco Rent Stabilization and Just Cause Eviction Ordinance to new housing built in accordance with future upzonings of San Francisco neighborhoods. As a coalition of dozens of tenant-serving organizations in San Francisco, we are acutely aware of rent control's effectiveness as an anti-displacement tool, critical for keeping seniors, people of color and low income households in San Francisco.

With San Francisco seeking to add some 82,000 new housing units, we ask *what kind of housing will it be?* Will it be the kind of housing that allows people to put down roots? Where tenants are free from worry of unfair eviction and live with security knowing that the rent they could afford at the outset will remain stable? Where rent increases are reflective of actual rising costs and not speculative pressures?

New housing construction should contribute to the long-term sustainability and affordability of our city. Our community health and wellbeing depends on each of our ability to imagine a future here. Housing where the rent can double at almost any time and where a tenant can be legally evicted for no reason or almost any reason at all is not housing our communities can count on. Applying San Francisco's Rent Stabilization Ordinance and accompanying eviction protections to density bonuses ensures that these new units are quality, long-term housing and not simply a vehicle for investor profits.

We expect much of the new 82,000 units to be both rental housing *and* built in accordance with those programs, and therefore eligible for those protections. We urge you to support this measure so it can move to the voters in November.

Respectfully,

San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition
and

Affordable Housing Alliance
Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian
Law Caucus
Bill Sorro Housing Program
Causa Justa.; Just Cause
Coalition on Homelessness- San Francisco
Council of Community Housing Organizations

Eviction Defense Collaborative
Housing Rights Committee of San Francisco
San Francisco Community Land Trust
Senior and Disability Action

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: SF Bicycle Advisory Committee - "Safe & Secure Bicycle Parking Resolution"
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:55:00 PM
Attachments: [Safe & Secure Bicycle Parking Resolution Final.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the SF Bicycle Advisory Committee regarding a proposal concerning bike parking modules.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Bert Hill <echill@sfhills.org>
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2022 11:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Bicycle Advisory Committee - "Safe & Secure Bicycle Parking Resolution"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached, the above referenced Resolution for distribution to the Board of Supervisors.

Bert Hill, Chair,
SF Bicycle Advisory Committee



Jun 27, 2022

Resolution to propose that the City and County of San Francisco provide and install secure and enclosed bike parking modules – similar to those at BART and Caltrain stations – throughout San Francisco to encourage biking by protecting people's bikes at home, at work, and while shopping.

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Committee's (SFBAC's) purview includes decreasing reliance on automobiles, which has positive benefits for our health and that of the environment.

WHEREAS, The SFBAC's powers and duties include developing plans for bicycle related improvements and making recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

WHEREAS, Cyclists are four times more likely than car drivers to be victims of vehicle theft. Approximately 4,000 bikes are reported stolen per year in San Francisco. A DOJ guideline says that for every theft reported to the police, four more go unreported. The single most effective tool in discouraging bike theft is secure bike parking facilities.

WHEREAS, In 2019, 4,479 cars were stolen in San Francisco, 3,699 or 82.6% were recovered. Bike owners recover only 2.5% of stolen bikes.

WHEREAS, Many factors reduce urban transportation by bicycle, including bicycle theft and lack of bicycle storage. Studies show that fear of bicycle theft discourages bicycle use. A McGill University study shows that 7% of bicycle theft victims never replace their bicycle.

WHEREAS, Because transportation is a system, we must make essential and visible changes to the infrastructure to enable people to easily change their transportation choices and behaviors.

WHEREAS, San Francisco is dedicated to reaching net zero emissions. According to the San Francisco Climate Action Plan, the transportation sector accounts for 47% of San Francisco's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Providing safe, inexpensive bike and e-bike parking will encourage bicycle use and help reduce the city's GHG emissions, and help the city reach its goal of 80% low/no-emissions trips by 2030.

WHEREAS, Although bicycles and e-bikes are a large investment for many people in a population-dense city such as San Francisco, not all people have access to a safe place to store their bikes at home, at work or while shopping.

WHEREAS, San Francisco has subsidized the use of automobiles with the creation of free and paid on-street and garage parking in all neighborhoods. San Francisco now needs to subsidize the use of bicycles with the creation of secure parking for bicycles and e-bikes in all neighborhoods. Currently, the only secure bicycle parking for the public in San Francisco exists at BART and Caltrain stations and six parking garages with SFMTA bike lockers (Ellis/O'Farrell, 5th/Mission, Sutter/Stockton, Japan Center, Moscone Garage, Ulloa Lot), which is inadequate for the increased amount of bicycle use.

WHEREAS, San Francisco has less than eight years to reach its climate goal of 80% low to no emissions trips by 2030. In addition, one third of San Francisco residents do not own a car.



San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Committee

City Hall, Room 408

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

Therefore, city government now has the duty to move to a more equitable allocation of public space and expenditure that accounts for a low-carbon future.

WHEREAS, Low-income pricing can be built into the payment system. For example, if the Bike Link card is adopted, the charges are currently 3 to 5 cents per hour. The pricing can be adapted to meet the needs of lower-income users.

WHEREAS, Safe bicycle infrastructure leads to more bicycle use. Cities like Paris have responded to increased bicycle use by investing in secure bicycle parking. According to SFMTA, since 2006, travel by bicycle has increased by 184%. The coronavirus pandemic fueled a surge in bicycle ownership and use in cities nationwide, including San Francisco. Now with gas prices reaching nearly \$7/gallon, according to KRON, demand for bicycles for commuting continues to increase. San Francisco needs to respond to the growing demand and use of bicycles for transportation with secure bicycle parking for residents. Therefore, be it

RESOLVED, The SFBAC advocates for the City and County of San Francisco to include secure bicycle storage as part of its transportation strategy by creating safe and secure bicycle parking throughout the city, on the street, in parking lots, in residential areas, in shopping districts, and downtown.

District 1: Kristin Tieche - Aye

District 2: Sarah Boudreau - Aye

District 3: Marc Brandt - Absent

District 4: Jina Bartholomew - Aye

District 5: Melyssa Mendoza - Aye

District 6: Mary Kay Chin - Aye

District 7: Bert Hill - Aye

District 8: Diane Serafini - Aye

District 9: Brandon Powell - Aye

District 10: Paul Wells - Aye

District 11: Jeffrey Taliaferro - Absent

Signed

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Bert Hill".

Bert Hill, Chair

Date:

JUNE 27, 2022

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Critical safety improvements to address pedestrian death on S Van Ness
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:05:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Jabez Wesly regarding traffic fatalities on South Van Ness Avenue.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Jabez Wesly <wjabezkingly@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:15 AM
To: MTABoard@sfmta.com; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Parks, Jamie (MTA) <Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com>; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA) <Matt.Lasky@sfmta.com>; Maguire, Tom (MTA) <Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com>; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) <Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>; Leung, Kimberly (MTA) <Kimberly.Leung@sfmta.com>
Subject: Critical safety improvements to address pedestrian death on S Van Ness

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Supervisor Ronen, MTA Board, and MTA Staff,

On Wednesday, we held a vigil at 16th St. & South Van Ness to honor and remember our neighbor, Rene Kelly, who was struck and killed there by a driver on June 21st. This year alone, at least 18 people have been killed by traffic violence within San Francisco. Is this what Vision Zero looks like? South Van Ness is a dangerous corridor prioritized for cars and cars alone. According to the SFMTA website, South Van Ness Avenue has one of the worst KSI (killed or seriously injured) rates of any street on the High Injury Network in San Francisco. The top three collision causes along South Van Ness Avenue are red light violations, speeding, and violation of left turn right-of-way. Despite the road diet being completed earlier this year (<https://www.sfmta.com/projects/south-van-ness-avenue-quick-build-project>), this avenue still clearly presents a high risk to pedestrians. We demand

the following infrastructure improvements to calm traffic and make crossing less hazardous:

- Add bulb-outs at intersections to reduce pedestrian crossing distance
 - Add median refuge islands in the crosswalks across South Van Ness
 - Narrow all lanes on South Van Ness to slow drivers and calm traffic
- We are asking you to honor your previous commitments to Vision Zero and go beyond the insufficient quick build by implementing these improvements that neighbors asked for and that were rejected initially. Every day these measures are not taken, more pedestrians are put at risk from traffic violence. Please communicate publicly what is being done on the permanent improvement of South Van Ness, and when we can expect these improvements to be completed.

Thank you.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Slow Streets
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:07:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Sarah Boudreau regarding Slow Streets and Lake Street.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Sarah Boudreau <boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2022 8:03 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sweet, Alexandra C. (MYR) <Alexandra.C.Sweet@sfgov.org>; Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>; MTABoard@sfmta.com; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) <Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>; Maguire, Tom (MTA) <Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com>; Parks, Jamie (MTA) <Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com>; SlowStreets@sfmta.com; LakeStreet@sfmta.com; Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Haney@assembly.ca.gov; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Slow Streets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor Breed and City Leaders,

Your apparent decision to abandon Slow Lake and other Slow Streets is puzzling to me, because it goes against previous unanimous votes for its permanence, a clear majority of neighbors' wishes, and the data from the California Street road diet project that came out this week indicating that the nearby project improved safety without increasing congestion (even with the addition of Slow Lake), just the kind of changes we need to make.

My questions is how we can achieve Vision Zero, Climate Action, sustainability, building positive community, and keeping families in our city without a connected network of Slow Streets that

includes Slow Lake Street. The Vision Zero and Climate Action Plan commitments written and adopted by the City of San Francisco specifically call out this network and adapting street space to be car-free and car-light to achieve them. We need bold vision and fearless leadership to reach our commitments, and you all must lead us.

In fact, not only is increasing access to safe streets the right thing to do for people and planet, part of existing City commitments, and already more popular than not, data from other cities shows that cities - such as Paris, where the Mayor just visited! - who take the leap and create car-light areas despite pushback that always occurs don't experience the pushback for long at all, people get used to these spaces, can't imagine them not being there, and support the re-election of the leaders who put them in place: <https://www.wired.co.uk/article/car-free-cities-opposition>.

Please take immediate action to undo your destructive decision and move our city towards the Vision Zero and Climate Action goals you have committed to.

Thank you,

Sarah Boudreau, Richmond District resident and proud neighbor of Slow Lake Street

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Was unable to request to comment!
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:41:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Anastasia Glikshtern regarding public comment protocols and procedures.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Anastasia Glikshtern <apglikshtern@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2022 10:04 PM
To: Wehner, Kyle (ENV) <kyle.wehner@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Iyer, Shoba (ENV) <shoba.iyer@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Was unable to request to comment!

Dear Mr. Wehner,

Thank you for writing.

I would very much like to access a meeting through a single device - my desktop. Unfortunately, in order to comment one has to use a phone! It's a bug in the system - unless it is designed specifically to make public participation difficult. Another bug, or an intentionally bad feature, is inability of members of the public to see who else is "attending". All one sees is the list of panelists and his/her own name. At a recent meeting several people I know were "attending" - nobody knew that there was anybody else watching/listening.

As for the instructions for public comment - I cannot dial fast enough (the phone #, a lengthy

code, and *3) to be able to "raise my hand" in the allotted time (plus I didn't keep the hearing notice nearby, and the text on the screen disappeared before I was able to enter the code). What is wrong with a process akin to Zoom's when you can "raise your hand" by clicking a button?

Keeping another device (a phone) on all the time with a "raised hand" - in a separate room! - presents other barriers to being able to participate.

Dr. Iyer advised me that the system used is mandated by the city and the complaints should be addressed to the Board of Supervisors. I'll send a complaint when/if I figure out a somewhat efficient way to do it, since I'm under the impression that the emails sent to BoS by mere mortals are generally ignored. I'm CCing the supervisors here, nevertheless.

Also, how can I listen to the recording of the meeting? Please let me know.

Thanks again,
Anastasia Glikshtern

On Wed, Jul 13, 2022 at 10:46 AM Wehner, Kyle (ENV) <kyle.wehner@sfgov.org> wrote:

Good morning,

Detailed instructions for public comment can be found on page 3 of the [hearing notice](#).

To help minimize audio feedback during public comment, participants are encouraged to access a meeting through a single device. If accessing a meeting through multiple devices (i.e., phone and laptop), participants should ensure their devices are sufficiently distant from each other (in separate rooms).

Best,
Kyle

From: Anastasia Glikshtern <apglikshtern@gmail.com>

Date: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 at 5:35 PM

To: Wehner, Kyle (ENV) <kyle.wehner@sfgov.org>

Subject: Was unable to request to comment!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The system you are using is awful! Unacceptable!
Anastasia Glikshtern

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: We need your help
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 5:00:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Dennis Hong regarding various topics and the following File Nos.

File No. 211232 - Administrative Code - Housing Innovation Program

File No. 220631 - Charter Amendment, Initiative Ordinance, and Policy Declaration - Affordable Housing Production Act

File No. 220636 - Charter Amendment and Ordinance - Additional Density and Height; Rent-Control

File No. 220690 - Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund - FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 Expenditure Plan

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Dennis Hong <dennisjames888@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Gibson, Lisa (CPC) <lisa.gibson@sfgov.org>; George, Sherie (CPC) <sherie.george@sfgov.org>; Foster, Nicholas (CPC) <nicholas.foster@sfgov.org>
Subject: We need your help

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and everyone.

Last night I was able to see what was on your agenda for July 19, 2022. I trust you are all doing well and that my email here makes it in time for your 7/19/2022 meeting. But as always they are just some of my rambling notes I had on my 2do list. But we need your help. So lets get started.

Most of this is below is a copy and paste from your 7/19/2022 Agenda:

Please support the following, its good business:

46. 211232 [Administrative Code - Housing Innovation Program] Sponsors: Melgar; Mar and Mandelman Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to create the Housing Innovation Program to develop, finance, and support certain additional housing opportunities for low-income and moderate-income residents, including loans and technical assistance for certain low-income and moderate-income property owners to construct accessory dwelling units or other new units on their property, subject to certain conditions, loans for certain low-income and moderate-income tenants who are at risk of displacement and licensed childcare providers, and grants for organizations to create marketing and educational materials about wealth-building and homeownership for residents who have been historically disadvantaged and to develop creative construction design prototypes for low-income and moderate-income residents. Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

57. 220631 [Charter Amendment, Initiative Ordinance, and Policy Declaration - Affordable Housing Production Act] Sponsors: Chan; Walton, Peskin, Preston, Ronen and Mar Charter Amendment (Fourth Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to provide for accelerated review and approval of eligible 100% affordable housing projects, educator housing projects, and market-rate projects that provide significant increased affordability, and providing for Planning Department ministerial review in lieu of approvals by or certain appeals to City boards and commissions; to make corresponding amendments to the Planning Code and the Business and Tax Regulations Code; to amend the Administrative Code to provide for an Annual Affordable Housing Allocation Report as part of the City's budge

63. 220636 [Charter Amendment and Ordinance - Additional Density and Height; Rent-Control] Sponsors: Peskin; Chan, Preston and Walton Charter Amendment (Third Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to set forth a requirement that when the City amends the Planning Code to allow for additional residential numerical density or height, that developers agree to subject the new residential units in the development, other than Affordable Housing Units, to rent control; to amend the Administrative Code to establish as the residential numerical density and height limits those controls in effect as of November 8, 2022, and to allow the Board of Supervisors to amend the Planning Code to exceed those limits if the ordinance requires a regulatory agreement to subject all dwelling units in

development projects, other than Affordable Housing Units, to rent control; to

from your 7/12/2022 mtg:

What is the scope of work covered in the fund and accountability/s?

220690 Sponsor: Mayor Resolution approving the Fiscal Years (FYs) 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 Expenditure Plan for the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund. Supervisor Walton, seconded by Supervisor Melgar, moved that this Resolution be CONTINUED to the Board of Supervisors meeting of July 19, 2022. The motion carried by the following vote: Ayes: 11 - Chan, Dorsey, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton

My Current issues:

One Oak Project: I mention to the SF Planning Commissioners on 7/14/200 in support of the One Oak project:

- a. I have been in support of this since mid 2017 and still do.
- b. Because of both recent articles in the SF Business times, the SF Chronicle and the YIMBY, including several other reports. It was confusing as to what's next. If and When it comes up on your agenda again, please keep in mind its a wonderful housing project that we all need and I hope you will all support it. I do not know much about all the CA SB's and AB's, etc. referencing these all too many complicated Housing rules/legislation, etc but I do know, we need all the housing we can get and because as I understand it doing nothing here may cause some heavy fines. Please understand these items when voting with the housing issues. They are great tools in your box to use.
- c. In my opinion, the current population explosion that needs all the housing is not really our fault. But some how it needs our support.
- d. But the One Oak seems to have part of this nailed, its apartments and not (really) housing pe/se. Its a two way issue.
- e. Please continue to support all the CA, fed etc. housing mandates, etc. or suffer the recoil/s.
- f. We need your support with the SF Plannings DEIR - Housing Element 2022 when it comes to you for your approval, case no 2019-016230CWP, think there was another case no. to this original one.
- g. As requested, I have had a chance to chime in on the DEIR (both volumes I and II (2022) and to send in my comments, which I did, you were cc'd on my email of June 9, 2022. The DEIR was another professional spot on Document.

Several other interesting issues – my opinion:

- a. Fining, penalizing, taxing property owners on vacant houses, store fronts, housing

is not a way to go, but be fixable. Why blames the property owners? Business' are having a hard time justifying doing business in SF, including living in the city.

b. Now I hear that there is even the possibility of expediting these business, permit process may not be passed and it may be part of the Nov ballot (TBD). If that were the case that is a real shame. That is a key part of this process that is needed for the SBA folks. These folks really need help with this process. As it is now it is too hard to navigate the current process. They are not really tech savvy. Hold their hand.

c. Thanks for the legislation on the Mental Health program. I have not read it fully yet, but it was long over due and in my opinion is the part of the root cause of homeless.

d. What ever happened to that wonderful SF Homeless Connect program that our former Mayor Gavin Newsom started? It was a good program. Well it looks like it has lost some steam. Why keep reinventing the wheel?

Dennis is a native of San Francisco, (seventy five+ years). Property owner in District 7. Worked in the city for fifty+ years. Had many years in District 3.

In closing, thanks to all for reading my rambling emails. Sorry for my redundant comments. My current system and access to the internet has been weak at best, with even all the large pdf/downloads. Would really like it if anyone could respond here with your thoughts good or bad.

Lets see who will be the first to respond to me. Too be continued.

All the best
BXXSafe

Dennis is at dennisjames888@yahoo.com.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Waste management plan
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 3:53:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Alex Stokes regarding composting and waste management.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Alex Stokes <alexjstokes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 7:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Waste management plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello I am Alex Stokes and I am the inventor of the Earthgenuity Composting system . I have a patent and there is a lot of information about me on a public search such as Google (as well as my patent). I would like to talk to San Francisco council about a composting plan that would be a massive breakthrough by using my composting system .

I am hoping someone in city council can review my message or anyone with authority with change for infrastructure and waste etc. I have attached some photos. My system is far cheaper that running fleets of trucks that also release carbon emissions .

I'm attaching some photos. My number is 405 802 0016. This is a big turn in composting as we know it today. Thanks for considering . Alex Stokes

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S9, an AT&T 5G Evolution capable smartphone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Abuse At SF run roach-infested motel "The Good Place" (Site 4, ECS manager Robert Cagler)
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 4:27:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Dorreyah Schahin-Reed regarding The Good Hotel.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Dorreyah Schahin-Reed <dorreyah@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 6:15 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Abuse At SF run roach-infested motel 'The Good Place' (Site 4, ECS manager Robert Cagler)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

This is an addendum to my last email. The female staffer screaming and threatening to write-up a client because she 'did not like his energy' is names Whitney and she is the swing shift supervisor. No wonder other staff are forced to just accept her abusive behavior. Talk about abuse of power.

Sincerely,

Dorreyah Schahin-Reed

On Tuesday, July 19, 2022 at 06:03:52 PM PDT, Dorreyah Schahin-Reed <dorreyah@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi,

This is an addendum to my last email. The female staffer screaming and threatening to write-up a client because she 'did not like his energy' is names Whitney and she is the swing shift supervisor. No wonder other staff are forced to just accept her abusive behavior. Talk about abuse of power.

Sincerely,

Dorreyah Schahin-Reed

On Tuesday, July 19, 2022 at 09:32:57 AM PDT, Dorreyah Schahin-Reed <dorreyah@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Bishop Marc,

I do not know what the mission statement of the Episcopal Church is but should it not start with respect? Everyone employed by your church represent your church so I thought I should bring some things to your attention. Staff, that abuse others also represent you. Hoping your response in more inspiring and robust than the historical responses by other churches, particularly the Roman Catholic church comes to mind.

As your staff tells me management suppresses their complaints and they fear retaliation by their managers and the church I wanted you personally be informed and give you the opportunity to address issues before they become public.

Please find below an email I sent to Mayor London Breed and the SF Board of Supervisors.

Out of curiosity, what is the Episcopal Church's mission statement and how do its actual actions adhere to it?

Sincerely,

Dorreyah Schahin-Reed

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dorreyah Schahin-Reed <dorreyah@yahoo.com>

To: MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff@sfgov.org <dorseystaff@sfgov.org>; Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 at 09:10:44 AM PDT

Subject: Abuse At SF run roach-infested motel 'The Good Place' (Site 4, ECS manager Robert Cagler)

Dear Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to inform you of conditions at one of the city's shelter-in-place hotels. I hope for a response before next Monday at which time I will provide this information to the media.

I was told that 'The Good Hotel' was one of the 'good' hotels. I shudder to think what the rest are like.

District 6 City-run roach castle 'The Good Hotel' is located at 112 Seventh Street, SF CA 94103 (Site 4; Manager is Patrick Cagler)

Here are just some of the issue (won't go into the smelly and stained carpets etc):

1. Coackroaches, spiders and bugs crawling on people in their rooms

- 4 weeks waiting for an exterminator
- Roaches crawling on you while you sitting in bed reading
- Roaches crawling on the table while you eat
- Roaches crawling over you while you sleep, waking you. How would you like to have this happen to you? If this happened to the Mayor how quickly would this issue be addressed?
- Roaches etc coming in through walls, floor, under the toilet
- Extermination on conducted in individual room so roaches move to the next room. Roaches are mobile! While not do a complete extermination?
- Using toilet paper to clean up bug guts is not acceptable to staff (Trina) who told me you only get one large roll/month! So, you are expected to ration your toilet paper and are left to make hard choices. Neither option is sanitary or healthy!
- Complained many times to staff while being ignored! Told the manager that the roaches trigger the motion detection light in the bathroom (open sink area to the room
- [Health hazards of cockroaches](#) ; [National Center for Healthy Housing](#)



2. Abusive staff:

- Lack of respect
- Yelling
- Staff having to open your room treat you like a nuisance. Sometimes they are asleep (was the case Sunday at 11am) at the table in the office in room 201. Woman was none too please I woke up her to be let into my room.
- Staff also complain about the same people that are abusive to clients but I am told, by several staff members, management and ECS just sweeps it under the carpet. Not surprisingly!

- I got yelled at for daring to take utensil set out of a box next to the food as the bin that usually contains them was empty. When I complaint to another staffer about the woman's behavior, he just shrugged and told me 'yeah, she is that way', which clearly demonstrate that staff is aware of abusive behavior and just shrugs it off. Guess they all think it is acceptable to abuse people. This reminds me of the [Stanford Prison Experiment](#).
- Management and supervisor's claim they no nothing is laughable as staff feel completely entitled to behave any way they want as there are clearly no conduct expectations or consequences.
- Why is management not trained? Supervisor (Leticia Smith) said she was trained by HR. Why are they all unaware that abusive behavior is illegal. No wonder this place is run like a slum where people treated like trash! I am not surprised that the police were called last week because a client was suicidal.
- How is abuse a positive encouragement for people trying to get back on their feet?
- Last night (after I formally complaint to the manager about abusive staff in the morning) when I went down to the lobby at 6 pm, a male client came in after me. He said somethings calmly to one of the two female members that were in the lobby. He talked quietly so I did pay attention to him. One of the women screamed form across the room 'Go back to your room or I'll write you up. I don't like your energy'. So, staff not liking your 'energy' is an infraction? Talk about abuse of power displayed by the staffer over a client. This is how people here are treated. Anyone surprised at the continuing misery in SF and City Hall's complicity? These people do this in the name of the city! Why is this allowed and why is there ZERO oversight of conduct? This is 101 on how to create a hostile environment. As the second staffer in the lobby just ignored her co-worker screaming at the client this is clearly considered acceptable behavior and has been normalized by management's failure to be accountable for the conduct of staff. I would love to tell you the female staffer's name but when I asked the manager, Patrick Cagler, for her name her refused to give it to me, clearly trying to obstruct my efforts at holding staff (and him) accountable for their abusive behavior. For the record, the woman is African American, slender with very long hair and worked at 6pm on 7/18/2022 at The Good Hotel. The manager has clearly decided that rather than addressing issues of abuse and roaches at the hotel he would rather obstruct any effort at remedying them.

3. Lack of communication:

- I spoke repeatedly to various staff member about the cockroach issue which they supposedly documented. According to the supervisor Leticia Smith they were supposed to tell me the procedure of getting pest control out here but I was told only told they had to call and exterminator, did not know they only do that once a month!! Guess the city could not care less if you cannot sleep when roaches are crawling all over you at night and you are supposed to suck it up.
- There is to be a lack of communication between staff and management and across different shift. According to Terri (Saturday staff) who went to talk to maintenance, they did not know anything about roach issues in my room (after almost 4 weeks of my continuing complaints). No accountability of management and staff.

4. Lack of competent management:

- Supervisor Latisha Smith told me staffer Trina is just loud. Being loudly told by Trina 'how dare you use TP to clean up cockroaches' is not abusive? At any decibel level? Trina told me to get some spray (told her I was allergic) and not use TP to clean up cockroaches. I guess ECS think one should kill roaches with a spray, not bother to clean them up and just step on the dead ones. Utterly disgusting and revolting.

5. Food:

- You will find that the majority of food is uneaten in the trash bins. One bite was enough for people to find it inedible. How bad do you think for homeless people to dump free food into the trash? I am told the food was decent before, but they switched vendors. I never thought you could mess up hot dogs or spaghetti. By comparison, it makes hospital food look like gourmet food (at least that includes vegetables). I think two days ago, there was breaded pork with the outside blackened, yet the center was pink.
- Nobody would take today's vomit-inducing breakfast. You can tell because staff tells you that you can have not one, not two, but three of them. When I said that it was disgusting, they laughingly agreed. That says it all.
- One does not expect food from The French Laundry but it should be at least be edible.

Remedial action:

1. Survey to be sent to all clients AND staff by an outside company (zero involvement of City Hal or ECS) collected in a locked box as to ECS management, abuse and bullying by staff of not just clients but other staff members. Evaluation of management team. Results should be made public (unredacted).
2. Pest control should be contacted immediately when a complaint is logged. I heard from another client there are beg bugs in his room and he has been waiting for ECS and the city to do something about it!
3. Training courses (external to ECS) for BOTH management and staff regarding abusive behavior.
4. There needs to be accountability by the Department of Homelessness and supportive housing as well as ECS.
5. There should be unannounced inspections of sites so staff cannot sweep things under the carpet.
6. As manager Robert Cagler is refusing to give the names of staff members to hold them accountable for their actions, all staff should be required to wear name tags. As he is unwilling to engage in efforts to remedy what needs to be addressed and rather obstruct those efforts, he should be replaced with someone able and willing to do so.

PLEASE NOTE: If there is any retaliatory behavior towards me because I dared to file complaints, I will take legal action against the city of San Francisco as well as ECS.

Questions:

1. Why does the city waste a lot of money on homelessness in SF. Yes, WASTE, as they same people keep running failing programs.
2. Has anyone looked at how Finland eliminated their homelessness issue?
 - [CBS](#)
 - [ECOSCOPE](#)
 - [WIKIPEDIA](#)
 - [The Guardian](#)
 - [Ysaatio](#)
3. What are current mask rules for staff in shelters? All clients are required to wear masks but

not all staff members are wearing masks with others only covering their mouths and not their noses.

4. Why does the city provide 'food' not fit for consumption?

5. What do you think manager Patrick Cagler does with the complaints he gets? Send them on to ECS or make them disappear? What's your guess?

I look forward to hearing back.

Sincerely,

Dorreyah Schahin-Reed

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please rescind latest 2020 heat ordinance. You are contributing to Global Warming and wasteful energy practices
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 4:02:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Marsha Heimbecker regarding Sec. 701 of the Housing Code.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Marsha Heimbecker <marsha.heimbecker@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 8:32 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please rescind latest 2020 heat ordinance. You are contributing to Global Warming and wasteful energy practices

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The latest 2020 heat ordinance for 70 F degrees, 24/7 is wasteful and contributing to Global Warming and the latest and future heat waves.

PGE continually sends literature to reduce carbon emissions and save energy. Everyday it is your fault, each small apartment building in SF, producing carbon emissions waste the equivalent of driving 30000 miles.

Again, you produce and are responsible for waste equivalent to 30,000 miles of driving a day for each and every small apartment building according to PGE.

You are at fault and tie my hands to ruin this planet.

The temperature in the building at minimum of 70F is so high tenants leave the windows open, thereby causing the boiler to run 24/7 .

Our apartment is a heritage family owned and occupied building. The state law had a provision that said if we were in operation back in 2013, which we were, then we could follow the old ordinance.

Why did you not adopt this part of the State Heat Ordinance?

Please either follow The California State Ordinance or do not. One of your supervisors wrote: the only reason the heat ordinance was changed in 2020, was to follow the California State Ordinance; and yet you are not if you don't

adopt it in its entirety. Did you read the entire California Ordinance?

Please be compliant by adopting the entire California State Heat Ordinance ... or show that San Francisco does indeed care about global warming by rescinding the 2020 Ordinance. The EU's laws sets minimum heat to 64F.

Why is SF so wasteful? Why do you claim SF is Green, when you pass non-green Ordinances?

Thank you for your time,
Concerned citizen

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 4 letters regarding File No. 220638
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:34:00 AM
Attachments: [4 Letters regarding File No. 220638.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 4 letters regarding file No. 220638.

File No. 220638 - Charter Amendment - City Elections in Even-Numbered Years

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [David O'Brien](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Caroline Joseph](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter in Support of Proposed Charter Amendment (File No. 220638)
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 2:49:21 PM
Attachments: [San Francisco Charter Amendment RepresentUs Letter of Support.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached RepresentUs' letter in support of the proposed charter amendment to move local elections to even years. Thank you very much for your attention.

David O'Brien
Policy Counsel
dobrien@represent.us



July 18, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Charter Amendment – City Elections in Even-Numbered Years (File No. 220638)

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing on behalf of RepresentUs to express our enthusiastic support for the proposed charter amendment to move San Francisco's municipal elections to even-numbered years and readjust the signature threshold for voter initiatives (File No. 220638). RepresentUs is a nonpartisan election reform organization that seeks to dismantle the root causes of inequality in our democracy and end political corruption, extremism, and gridlock. RepresentUs is involved in efforts around the country to defend the integrity of the democratic process.

Consolidating local elections with even-year elections is a proven and commonsense way to improve turnout and save money. The evidence is overwhelming that holding municipal elections leads to significantly higher voter turnout.¹ Cities across California have experienced drastic increases in voter turnout after switching to even-year elections, and San Francisco *already* has much higher voter turnout for state and federal elections held on even years than it does in local elections.² In addition to turnout increases, consolidating elections makes financial sense. Research shows that cities using odd-year municipal elections spend significantly more per voter on elections than cities that consolidate local races with even-year elections.³

Voter participation is a complex issue that involves many different factors. It is rare to find a single change that directly and unambiguously creates an immediate and significant improvement in voter turnout. It is almost impossible to find a single change that causes such drastic increases in turnout while simultaneously saving money. Consolidating local elections to even years is essentially a public policy unicorn.

Our democracy faces grave challenges as efforts to roll back ballot access and undermine voting rights are rising across the country. San Francisco has the opportunity to be a national

¹ See, e.g., Marschall, Melissa, and John Lappie. "Turnout in Local Elections: Is Timing Really Everything?" *Election Law Journal: Rules, Politics, and Policy* 17.3 (2018): 221-233; Berry, C. R. & Gersen, J. E., "The Timing of Elections," 77 *U. Chi. Law Rev.* 37 (2010); Hajnal, Z. and Lewis, P. 2003. "Municipal Institutions and Voter Turnout in Local Elections." *Urban Affairs Review* 38(May): 645-68.

² Alvin Valverde Meneses and Eric Spencer, *Consolidation of Elections in California Creates Massive Gains in Local Voter Turnout*, California Common Cause (February 2021).

³ Jose P. Hernandez, *RESEARCH BRIEF: Odd-Year vs. Even-Year Consolidated Elections in California*, The Greenlining Institute (October 2013).



leader in the fight to defend democratic values and encourage voter participation. We strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to send this charter amendment to the ballot this Fall and let the voters decide for themselves.

Sincerely,

David O'Brien
Policy Counsel

Caroline Joseph
Research Analyst

From: [susan.stearn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Charter Amendment
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:11:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of Supervisor Dean Preston's proposal of a charter amendment that would move our local elections to the same time as the state and federal elections. Statistics show that this would increase voter participation significantly at the local level. Easy fix. I cannot think of any good reason why this amendment should be opposed, in that making it easier for our citizens to participate in government is what it's all about.

Thank you,

Susan E. Stearn
535 Clayton St.
SF, CA 94117
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Pedro Hernandez](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: California Common Cause Letter re: Even Year Elections Charter Amendment
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 4:38:30 PM
Attachments: [20220718 CACC Support Letter re Even Year Charter Amendment.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached please find our updated letter which reflects California Common Cause's support for the proposed charter amendment for even year elections.

Please feel free to contact me at phernandez@commoncause.org or (415) 613-2363 if you have any inquiries.

Sincerely,
Pedro Hernandez

From: Pedro Hernandez
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 5:47 PM
To: connie.chan@sfgov.org <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; catherine.stefani@sfgov.org <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; aaron.peskin@sfgov.org <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; gordon.mar@sfgov.org <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; dean.preston@sfgov.org <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; matt.dorsey@sfgov.org <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; myrna.melgar@sfgov.org <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; hillary.ronen@sfgov.org <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; shamann.walton@sfgov.org <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; ahsha.safai@sfgov.org <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: California Common Cause Letter re: Even Year Elections Charter Amendment

Attached please find our letter regarding the proposed ballot measure to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to change the election cycle for the offices of Mayor, Sheriff, District Attorney, City Attorney and Treasurer so that these offices will be elected in even-numbered years.

Please feel free to contact me at phernandez@commoncause.org or (415) 613-2363 if you have any inquiries.

Best,

Pedro Hernandez (*he/him*)

Legal and Policy Director, California Common Cause
phone: 415-613-2363
web: commoncause.org/ca

July 18, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689



Re: File # 220638; Item 37 on July 12, 2022 BOS Agenda
Charter Amendment - City Elections in Even-Numbered Years - SUPPORT

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of California Common Cause, we are proud to support the proposed charter amendment to be submitted to the voters that would amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to change the election cycle for the offices of Mayor, Sheriff, District Attorney, City Attorney and Treasurer so that these offices will be elected in even-numbered years. Please accept this letter to reflect our support of the measure.

In 2015 California Common Cause was the lead supporter of SB 415, the California Voter Participation Rights Act which sought to remedy the persistent problem of low voter turnout in critically important local elections, which often happened on off-cycle dates.¹ SB 415 added a mandate to the elections code that cities consolidate local elections with state and federal elections if those off-cycle elections resulted in turnout that was 25 percent or more lower than voter turnout for the previous four statewide general elections.²

In support of SB 415, California Common Cause cited a Public Policy Institute of California (PPIC) survey of 350 California cities that found that simply moving an election to be synchronized with the even-year state elections can result in a 21-36 percent boost in voter turnout for municipal and other local elections.³ However, the impact was much more profound:

In 2021, we conducted a study of elections that occurred between 2012-2020 and found that 54 cities that moved their off-cycle elections to even years between 2016-2020 tripled their voter participation in municipal elections. The average off-cycle registered voter turnout in these cities, prior to their switch, was 25.54%. The average on-cycle registered voter turnout, after their switch, was 75.81%.⁴ The study showed that the increase in votes cast significantly outpaced growth in voter registration.

Our research makes clear that consolidating elections with statewide elections will help more voters participate in important local elections that impact the well-being of the city.

We hope that these insights are helpful as you consider the proposed ballot measure. Please feel free to contact me at phernandez@commoncause.org or (415) 613-2363 if you have any inquiries.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Pedro Hernandez", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Pedro Hernandez
Legal and Policy Director

¹ SB 415 (Hueso), 2015-16, available at https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB415.

² Cal. Elec. Code §14050-14057. Note, in *City of Redondo Beach v. Padilla*, 46 Cal.App.5th 902 (2020), the Court of Appeals determined that the law did not apply to charter cities. However, San Jose, a charter city, recently passed a similar measure.

³ California Common Cause, SB 415 Letter of Support, April, 2015, available at <https://www.commoncause.org/california/wp-content/uploads/sites/29/2018/05/sb-415.pdf>.

⁴ Alvin Valverde Meneses and Eric Spencer, *Consolidation of Elections in California Creates Massive Gains in Local Voter Turnout*, California Common Cause, Feb. 2021, available at <https://www.commoncause.org/california/wp-content/uploads/sites/29/2021/02/Consolidation-of-Elections-Creates-Massive-Gains-in-Local-Voter-Turnout.pdf>.

From: [Sietse Goffard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Asian Law Caucus letter on election charter amendment
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 5:32:29 PM
Attachments: [Asian Law Caucus - Voter Participation Act Letter.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

The Asian Law Caucus would like to respectfully submit this letter in support of the proposed charter amendment (file # 220638) to adjust the election timeline for citywide offices. Our voting rights team has taken time to analyze the topic and look at existing research. We believe that the measure would lead to meaningful improvements in voter participation and inclusive democracy in San Francisco, and that the long-term benefits for civic participation would outweigh the short-term costs of adjusting the election calendar.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to share our perspective on this topic.

Best,
Sietse Goffard

--

Sietse Goffard
Senior Voting Rights Coordinator
Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus
+1 (857) 500-2437 | sietseg@advancingjustice-alc.org

July 18, 2022

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus wishes to express its support for the Voter Participation Act. Our Voting Rights team has analyzed the proposal and studied existing research on the topic. We believe that the proposed changes would lead to meaningful improvements in voter participation, civic engagement, and inclusive democracy in the city.

Consolidating local elections to even-year schedules would significantly increase voter turnout in future municipal elections. A recent study by California Common Cause found that when dozens of cities switched their elections from “off-cycle” to “on-cycle” after the passage of SB 415, voter turnout in municipal elections tripled, rising from an average of approximately 25% to 75%.¹ San Francisco would likely see similarly large gains if the Voter Participation Act were implemented. Turnout in odd-year municipal elections hovers at just 40-45%. By shifting San Francisco’s municipal elections to even years only, the city would reduce voter fatigue and boost voter engagement significantly.

Just as importantly, this reform would result in voter turnouts that are not only larger in size, but also more fully representative of San Francisco’s population. Research shows that in even years, turnout is more diverse and includes more voters who are working class, young, limited English proficient, and racial/ethnic minorities.² In odd-year elections, these communities often get left out. The Voter Participation Act would be a big step forward in building a more inclusive democracy in San Francisco.

We acknowledge that synchronizing the election calendar to an even-year schedule would have impacts on upcoming citywide races, including the mayoral race, as well as certain bond measures. However, we believe that the tremendous gains to voter participation and democratic inclusion for years to come will outweigh these relatively short-term drawbacks.

Thank you for considering our perspective. Please feel free to contact us with any questions about our position or research on this topic.

Sincerely,

Sietse Goffard
Senior Voting Rights Program Coordinator
Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus

¹ Alvin Valverde Meneses and Eric Spencer. “Consolidation of Elections in California Creates Massive Gains in Local Voter Turnout.” *California Common Cause* (Feb 2021). [Link](#).

² Michael Hartney and Sam Hayes. “Off-Cycle and Out of Sync: How Election Timing Influences Political Representation.” *State Politics & Policy Quarterly* (2021), 0, 1–20. [Link](#).

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Proposed Charter Amendment (File No. 220638)
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 3:19:45 PM
Attachments: [San Francisco Charter Amendment RepresentUs Letter of Support.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication regarding File No. 220638.

File No. 220638 - Charter Amendment (Second Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to change the election cycle for the offices of Mayor, Sheriff, District Attorney, City Attorney and Treasurer so that these offices will be elected in even-numbered years; to provide that the current term for the aforementioned offices will end on January 8, 2025 rather than January 8, 2024; to amend the definition of general municipal election so that such elections occur only in even-numbered years; and to change the signature threshold for initiative ordinances to two percent of the number of registered voters in San Francisco; at an election to be held on November 8, 2022.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: David O'Brien <dobrien@represent.us>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 2:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Cc: Caroline Joseph <cjoseph@represent.us>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter in Support of Proposed Charter Amendment (File No. 220638)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached RepresentUs' letter in support of the proposed charter amendment to move local elections to even years. Thank you very much for your attention.

David O'Brien
Policy Counsel
dobrien@represent.us

July 18, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Charter Amendment – City Elections in Even-Numbered Years (File No. 220638)

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing on behalf of RepresentUs to express our enthusiastic support for the proposed charter amendment to move San Francisco's municipal elections to even-numbered years and readjust the signature threshold for voter initiatives (File No. 220638). RepresentUs is a nonpartisan election reform organization that seeks to dismantle the root causes of inequality in our democracy and end political corruption, extremism, and gridlock. RepresentUs is involved in efforts around the country to defend the integrity of the democratic process.

Consolidating local elections with even-year elections is a proven and commonsense way to improve turnout and save money. The evidence is overwhelming that holding municipal elections leads to significantly higher voter turnout.¹ Cities across California have experienced drastic increases in voter turnout after switching to even-year elections, and San Francisco *already* has much higher voter turnout for state and federal elections held on even years than it does in local elections.² In addition to turnout increases, consolidating elections makes financial sense. Research shows that cities using odd-year municipal elections spend significantly more per voter on elections than cities that consolidate local races with even-year elections.³

Voter participation is a complex issue that involves many different factors. It is rare to find a single change that directly and unambiguously creates an immediate and significant improvement in voter turnout. It is almost impossible to find a single change that causes such drastic increases in turnout while simultaneously saving money. Consolidating local elections to even years is essentially a public policy unicorn.

Our democracy faces grave challenges as efforts to roll back ballot access and undermine voting rights are rising across the country. San Francisco has the opportunity to be a national

¹ See, e.g., Marschall, Melissa, and John Lappie. "Turnout in Local Elections: Is Timing Really Everything?" *Election Law Journal: Rules, Politics, and Policy* 17.3 (2018): 221-233; Berry, C. R. & Gersen, J. E., "The Timing of Elections," 77 *U. Chi. Law Rev.* 37 (2010); Hajnal, Z. and Lewis, P. 2003. "Municipal Institutions and Voter Turnout in Local Elections." *Urban Affairs Review* 38(May): 645-68.

² Alvin Valverde Meneses and Eric Spencer, *Consolidation of Elections in California Creates Massive Gains in Local Voter Turnout*, California Common Cause (February 2021).

³ Jose P. Hernandez, *RESEARCH BRIEF: Odd-Year vs. Even-Year Consolidated Elections in California*, The Greenlining Institute (October 2013).



leader in the fight to defend democratic values and encourage voter participation. We strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to send this charter amendment to the ballot this Fall and let the voters decide for themselves.

Sincerely,

David O'Brien
Policy Counsel

Caroline Joseph
Research Analyst

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support for Voter Participation Act
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 11:43:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Kasey Asberry regarding File No. 220638.

File No. 220638 - Charter Amendment - City Elections in Even-Numbered Years

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kasey Rios Asberry <kasberry@humanorigins.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 10:17 AM
To: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Voter Participation Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of District 5, small CBO worker in our Downtown neighborhoods and I vote in every election since I was 18 years old.

The **Voter Participation Act** before you today will

- save money
- maximize democratic process through high turn-out in all elections
- demote minority rule
- is in alignment with hundreds of other progressive cities

It is a clear litmus test on where you place your leadership in support of the intentions of most San Franciscans.

I urge you to support this important legislation and help protect San Francisco from cronyism and graft.

Sincerely,

Kasey Rios Asberry

Linked-In: <http://www.linkedin.com/pub/kasey-asberry/1/530/130>

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 4 letters regarding File No. 220771
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:17:00 PM
Attachments: [4 Letters regarding File No. 220771.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 4 letters regarding File No. 220771.

File No. 220771 - Commemorative Street Name Designation - "Polytechnic Way" - 600 Block of Frederick Street

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [anna.d](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO to Frederick Street Name Change- For July 25th meeting
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 8:17:09 PM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: NO to Renaming Frederick Street to Polytechnic Way

July 18, 2022

To the Board to Supervisors/ Land Use Transportation Committee

File # 220771- current (July 25, 2022)

File #210744- previous version Nov 1, 2021 (wrong/non existing block named)

I am currently a resident on the 600 block of Frederick Street and have lived on this street since the 1990s. Renaming the street will be cause complications and confusion not just for the residents but also for the few businesses and the Kesar Stadium on the street. For dedication – there is already a nice monument and plaque at the site.

First off, the notice we residents recently received is for File #220771 was mailed to us with only a 10 day notice. We received a previous notice last year (for November 1, 2021) File #210744 but it states renaming the 700 block of Frederick which does not exist. This is confusing in itself. Did the 700 Frederick (wrong street) notice got approval for the 600 Frederick Street?

Secondly, renaming the street will be a headache, time consuming and cause complications. Having to change your address with your employer, financial institutions, insurances (home, health, car, etc), doctor offices, friends, family, business associates, DMV, etc. Our mail and packages may be lost/returned because the new street may not be reflected in their databases or navigation for months or years (Google, USPS, Fed Ex, UPS).

Thirdly, confusing for directions. Frederick Street starts at Buena Vista Ave West and goes for 5-6 blocks west then it suddenly becomes “Polytechnic Way”? for 1 short block and then it feeds into Lincoln Way. This short 1 block break will confuse most people weather giving directions or taking directions.

Lastly, there is already a big monument and plaque dedicated to the history of Polytechnic High school. It is located at the end of the condominiums next to the Circus Center (old school gym). Come and see the monument. We don't need this street name changed it will

only cause more confusion and headache for everyone living and working on this street.

-Anna Duong

Resident on 600 block of Frederick

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [betty_luu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\); Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\); tom.temprano@sfgov.org](#)
Cc: [Bobby Luu](#)
Subject: File No. 220771 -District 8, Changing Street Name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way"
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 12:37:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, Erica and Tom

Thank you for the Notice of Public Hearing regarding changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way."

I am submitting my public comment regarding opposition to the official file. Please distribute to members of the Board of Supervisors.

I am writing today on behalf of my family and the residents living on the 600 block of Frederick Street to ask for consideration to object changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way." This will be a tremendous mental burden to all of us. I am opposed to the name change of Frederick Street to Polytechnic Way, because my entire online, banking and legal identification existence is tied to this address. This will be a massive hassle that will cause extra work, risk and expense to accommodate the name change. Please let me know what I will need to do and how to file for an appeal to object the change.

Thank you for your time and assistance with this matter. I greatly appreciate it.

Kind Regards,
Betty Luu

Sent from my iPhone

From: [betty luu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 220771 -Changing Street Name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way"
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 11:28:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the Notice of Public Hearing regarding changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way."

I am writing today on behalf of my family and the residents living on the 600 block of Frederick Street to ask for consideration to object changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way". This will be a tremendous mental burden to all of us. I am opposed to the name change of Frederick Street to Polytechnic Way, because my entire online, banking and legal identification existence is tied to this address. This will be a massive hassle that will cause extra work, risk and expense to accommodate the name change." Please let me know what I need to do and how to file for an appeal to object the change.

Thank you for your time and assistance with this matter. I greatly appreciate it.

Kind Regards,
Betty Luu

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Robert L](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Green, Ross \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Renaming 600 block Frederick St
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 11:00:19 AM
Attachments: [lut072522_220771_Notice.pdf](#)

Thank you Robert for your testimony. I have included your correspondence within the official Board File No. 220771 and will forward your concerns to the full Board and Land Use and Transportation Committee members. Looping in the contact for this legislation Ross Green for your question as to the action of the legislation. The meeting is hybrid and we offer in-person and remote attendance. The call in directions are on the header of the hearing notice. The location of the hearing is noted on the header as well. Thank you for your attention.

BOS – Communications, 220771.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Robert L <[robert.luu@gmail.com](mailto:robert.luu@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2022 8:25 PM  
**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Renaming 600 block Frederick St

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, Ms. Major,

We have lived on the 600 block of Frederick St for over 32 years now. We've just received in the mail a letter that finally alerts us about such a monumental event, a hearing, only 7 days away, for re-naming our street.

This is definitely insufficient time for us to process such a tremendous change to our lives. We are adamantly AGAINST such re-naming of this part of Frederick street.

My neighbors on this affected block do NOT seem to be aware of this upcoming hearing that is virtual and requires adequate internet access, a computer or cell phone, and time to do so during normal work hours. This portion of the block houses mostly lower-middle income residents of this great city.

Is this hearing to basically alert the public to re-naming this portion of Frederick st to Polytechnic Way?

I am very disappointed in such lack of adequate time prior to getting notice to more of my neighbors and for more of us to try to be able to attend this hearing, whether virtual or not.

Pleas clarify.

Thank you.

Robert Luu  
510-882-6166

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter from Anna Duong regarding File Nos. 220771 and 210744  
**Date:** Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:53:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Letter from Anna Duong regarding File Nos. 220771 and 210744.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for communication from Anna Duong regarding File Nos. 220771 and 210744.

**File No. 220771** - Commemorative Street Name Designation - "Polytechnic Way" - 600 Block of Frederick Street

**File No. 210744** - Commemorative Street Name Designation - "Polytechnic Way" - 700 Block of Frederick Street

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



Re: NO to Renaming Frederick Street to Polytechnic Way

July 18, 2022

RECEIVED  
JUL 20 2022  
JUL 20 PM 4:53  
JH

To the Board to Supervisors/ Land Use Transportation Committee

File # 220771- current (July 25, 2022)

File #210744- previous version Nov 1, 2021 (wrong/non existing block named)

I am currently a resident on the 600 block of Frederick Street and have lived on this street since the 1990s. Renaming the street will be cause complications and confusion not just for the residents but also for the few businesses and the Kesar Stadium on the street. For dedication – there is already a nice monument and plaque at the site.

First off, the notice we residents recently received is for File #220771 was mailed to us with only a 10 day notice. We received a previous notice last year (for November 1, 2021) File #210744 but it states renaming the 700 block of Frederick which does not exist. This is confusing in itself. Did the 700 Frederick (wrong street) notice got approval for the 600 Frederick Street?

Secondly, renaming the street will be a headache, time consuming and cause complications. Having to change your address with your employer, financial institutions, insurances (home, health, car, etc), doctor offices, friends, family, business associates, DMV, etc. Our mail and packages may be lost/returned because the new street may not be reflected in their databases or navigation for months or years ( Google, USPS, Fed Ex, UPS).

Thirdly, confusing for directions. Frederick Street starts at Buena Vista Ave West and goes for 5-6 blocks west then it suddenly becomes “Polytechnic Way”? for 1 short block and then it feeds into Lincoln Way. This short 1 block break will confuse most people weather giving directions or taking directions.

Lastly, there is already a big monument and plaque dedicated to the history of Polytechnic High school. It is located at the end of the condominiums next to the Circus Center (old school gym). Come and see the monument. We don't need this street name changed it will only cause more confusion and headache for everyone living and working on this street.

-Anna Duong

Resident on 600 block of Frederick

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**  
**LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**  
**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a remote public hearing to consider the following hearing matter and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

**Date:** November 1, 2021

**Time:** 1:30 p.m.

**Location:** REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE  
**Watch:** [www.sfgovtv.org](http://www.sfgovtv.org)  
**Watch:** SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (*depending on your provider*)  
once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

**Public Comment Call-In:** <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

**Subject:** File No. 210744. Resolution adding the commemorative street name "Polytechnic Way" to the 700 block of Frederick Street in recognition of San Francisco's first public high school and its contribution to the education of thousands of San Franciscans from 1894 to 1972.

**PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN**

**WATCH:** SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99, (*depending on your provider*) once the meeting starts, and the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen; or

**VISIT:** <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

Please visit the Board's website (<https://sfbos.org/city-board-response-covid-19>) regularly to be updated on the City's response to COVID-19 and how the legislative process may be impacted.

DATED/POSTED/MAILED: October 8, 2021

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 4 letters regarding File No. 220771  
**Date:** Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:17:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [4 Letters regarding File No. 220771.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 4 letters regarding File No. 220771.

**File No. 220771** - Commemorative Street Name Designation - "Polytechnic Way" - 600 Block of Frederick Street

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [anna.d](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** NO to Frederick Street Name Change- For July 25th meeting  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 8:17:09 PM  
**Importance:** High

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Re: NO to Renaming Frederick Street to Polytechnic Way

July 18, 2022

To the Board to Supervisors/ Land Use Transportation Committee

File # 220771- current (July 25, 2022)

File #210744- previous version Nov 1, 2021 (wrong/non existing block named)

I am currently a resident on the 600 block of Frederick Street and have lived on this street since the 1990s. Renaming the street will be cause complications and confusion not just for the residents but also for the few businesses and the Kesar Stadium on the street. For dedication – there is already a nice monument and plaque at the site.

First off, the notice we residents recently received is for File #220771 was mailed to us with only a 10 day notice. We received a previous notice last year (for November 1, 2021) File #210744 but it states renaming the 700 block of Frederick which does not exist. This is confusing in itself. Did the 700 Frederick (wrong street) notice got approval for the 600 Frederick Street?

Secondly, renaming the street will be a headache, time consuming and cause complications. Having to change your address with your employer, financial institutions, insurances (home, health, car, etc), doctor offices, friends, family, business associates, DMV, etc. Our mail and packages may be lost/returned because the new street may not be reflected in their databases or navigation for months or years ( Google, USPS, Fed Ex, UPS).

Thirdly, confusing for directions. Frederick Street starts at Buena Vista Ave West and goes for 5-6 blocks west then it suddenly becomes “Polytechnic Way”? for 1 short block and then it feeds into Lincoln Way. This short 1 block break will confuse most people weather giving directions or taking directions.

Lastly, there is already a big monument and plaque dedicated to the history of Polytechnic High school. It is located at the end of the condominiums next to the Circus Center (old school gym). Come and see the monument. We don't need this street name changed it will

only cause more confusion and headache for everyone living and working on this street.

-Anna Duong

Resident on 600 block of Frederick

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

**From:** [betty\\_luu](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\); Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\); tom.temprano@sfgov.org](#)  
**Cc:** [Bobby Luu](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 220771 -District 8, Changing Street Name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way"  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 12:37:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, Erica and Tom

Thank you for the Notice of Public Hearing regarding changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way."

I am submitting my public comment regarding opposition to the official file. Please distribute to members of the Board of Supervisors.

I am writing today on behalf of my family and the residents living on the 600 block of Frederick Street to ask for consideration to object changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way." This will be a tremendous mental burden to all of us. I am opposed to the name change of Frederick Street to Polytechnic Way, because my entire online, banking and legal identification existence is tied to this address. This will be a massive hassle that will cause extra work, risk and expense to accommodate the name change. Please let me know what I will need to do and how to file for an appeal to object the change.

Thank you for your time and assistance with this matter. I greatly appreciate it.

Kind Regards,  
Betty Luu

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [betty luu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 220771 -Changing Street Name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way"  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 11:28:37 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the Notice of Public Hearing regarding changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way."

I am writing today on behalf of my family and the residents living on the 600 block of Frederick Street to ask for consideration to object changing the street name of Frederick Street to "Polytechnic Way". This will be a tremendous mental burden to all of us. I am opposed to the name change of Frederick Street to Polytechnic Way, because my entire online, banking and legal identification existence is tied to this address. This will be a massive hassle that will cause extra work, risk and expense to accommodate the name change." Please let me know what I need to do and how to file for an appeal to object the change.

Thank you for your time and assistance with this matter. I greatly appreciate it.

Kind Regards,  
Betty Luu

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Robert L](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Green, Ross \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Renaming 600 block Frederick St  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 11:00:19 AM  
**Attachments:** [lut072522\\_220771\\_Notice.pdf](#)

---

Thank you Robert for your testimony. I have included your correspondence within the official Board File No. 220771 and will forward your concerns to the full Board and Land Use and Transportation Committee members. Looping in the contact for this legislation Ross Green for your question as to the action of the legislation. The meeting is hybrid and we offer in-person and remote attendance. The call in directions are on the header of the hearing notice. The location of the hearing is noted on the header as well. Thank you for your attention.

BOS – Communications, 220771.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert L <robert.luu@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 8:25 PM
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

Subject: Renaming 600 block Frederick St

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, Ms. Major,

We have lived on the 600 block of Frederick St for over 32 years now. We've just received in the mail a letter that finally alerts us about such a monumental event, a hearing, only 7 days away, for re-naming our street.

This is definitely insufficient time for us to process such a tremendous change to our lives. We are adamantly AGAINST such re-naming of this part of Frederick street.

My neighbors on this affected block do NOT seem to be aware of this upcoming hearing that is virtual and requires adequate internet access, a computer or cell phone, and time to do so during normal work hours. This portion of the block houses mostly lower-middle income residents of this great city.

Is this hearing to basically alert the public to re-naming this portion of Frederick st to Polytechnic Way?

I am very disappointed in such lack of adequate time prior to getting notice to more of my neighbors and for more of us to try to be able to attend this hearing, whether virtual or not.

Pleas clarify.

Thank you.

Robert Luu
510-882-6166

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING BOS Agenda Item #10 [Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$140,000,000] File #220683
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:57:00 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication regarding File No. 220683, which is item number 10 on today's agenda.

File No. 220683 - Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$140,000,000

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:23 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING BOS Agenda Item #10 [Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$140,000,000] File #220683

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

FROM: Eileen Boken
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Please refer to email thread below.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>

Date: 7/12/22 11:31 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>, BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING BOS Agenda Item #19 [Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$140,000,000] File #220683

TO: Board of Supervisors members

Please refer to email below re strong opposition to issuing Certificates of Participation sent originally to the Budget and Appropriations Committee.

Eileen Boken,
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>

Date: 6/22/22 9:16 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>, BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>

Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING Budget and Appropriations Committee Agenda Item #13 [Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$140,000,000] File #220683

TO: Budget and Appropriations Committee members and full Board of Supervisors

I'm strongly opposing the issuance of another \$140,000,000 in Certificates of Participation debt.

According to Fitch Ratings, the City already has \$1,300,000,000 (\$1.3 billion) in outstanding Certificates of Participation debt.

Certificates of Participation are short term debt at a higher interest rate that don't go before the voters for approval.

Fitch Ratings states that the City also has \$2,500,000,000 (\$2.5 billion) in outstanding General Obligation Bond debt.

With inflation and rising interest rates, I'm strongly OPPOSING issuing even more debt.

Eileen Boken

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: OPPOSING BOS Agenda Item #41 [Appropriation - \$122,000,000 of Certificate of Participation Proceeds for Social Housing, Educator Housing, Accessibility Upgrades, Elevator Upgrades and Other Project Expenses and \$38,000,000 of Certificate of Partici...
Date: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:47:00 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication regarding File No. 211194.

File No. 211194 - Appropriation - \$112,000,000 of Certificate of Participation Proceeds – Land

Acquisition, Public Housing Repairs, Educator Housing, Elevators -
\$34,800,000 of Certificate of Participation Proceeds for Various
Financing Costs - FY2022-2023

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:30 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: OPPOSING BOS Agenda Item #41 [Appropriation - \$122,000,000 of Certificate of Participation Proceeds for Social Housing, Educator Housing, Accessibility Upgrades, Elevator Upgrades and Other Project Expenses and \$38,000,000 of Certificate of Partici...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

FROM: Eileen Boken
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

*For identification purposes only.

Please refer to email thread below.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>

Date: 6/29/22 4:46 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>, BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>

Subject: OPPOSING Budget and Appropriations Committee Agenda Item #1 [Appropriation - \$122,000,000 of Certificate of Participation Proceeds for Social Housing, Educator Housing, Accessibility Upgrades, Elevator Upgrades and Other Project Expenses and \$38,000,000 of Certificate of Participation Proceeds for Various Financing] File #211194

TO: Budget and Appropriations Committee members and full BOS

I am OPPOSING this item.

According to Fitch Ratings, the City already has \$1,300,000,000 (\$1.3 billion) in outstanding Certificates of Participation debt.

Certificates of Participation are short term debt at a higher interest rate that don't go before the voters for approval.

Fitch Ratings states that the City also has \$2,500,000,000 (\$2.5 billion) in outstanding General Obligation Bond debt.

With inflation and rising interest rates, I'm strongly OPPOSING issuing even more debt.

Eileen Boken

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Communication from Local 261 re: File No. 220635
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:11:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Local 261 regarding File No. 220635.

File No. 220635 - Charter Amendment - Public Works Department and Commission,
Sanitation and Streets Commission

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Ramon Hernandez <ramonliuna261@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2022 1:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon San Francisco Supervisors.

This is Ramon Hernandez, Business Manager for Local 261. We urgently want to talk to you about our opposition to undoing Prop B - Sanitation & Streets Dept. We oppose doing away with the annual cost savings audit on fraud waste and abuse. We should not roll back anti-corruption and oversight measures in the wake of a dozen indictments and instead should focus on rebuilding the public trust. Please contact me back on my cell at 415-412-6600 or ramonliuna261@gmail.com.

I look forward to hearing from you.

--

RAMON HERNANDEZ
LiUNA! LOCAL 261
BUSINESS MANAGER
OFFICE: 415.826.4550
FAX: 415.826.1948



From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Bayview Hunters Point demands a full clean up - NOT a cover up!!
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 5:02:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Jane Segal regarding Bayview Hunters Point.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Jane Segal <janeseg1@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 16, 2022 11:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Bayview Hunters Point demands a full clean up - NOT a cover up!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of District 8 and I strongly support the community call for City, State, and Federal officials to:

- **Retest** the Naval Shipyard and adjacent areas in collaboration with independent community oversight;
- **Reject** the Navy's plan to leave radioactive and toxic waste buried and capped in the community where rising sea level and groundwater will flood and spread contamination into neighborhoods and into the Bay;
- **Provide** Justice and Reparations for residents and workers harmed by contamination.

The Bayview Hunters Point and the City deserve a clean up - not a cover up!

Thank you for your attention,

Jane Segal
94114

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: "San Franciscans Should Take Basic Actions to be Prepared for Future Changes in COVID-19"
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:20:00 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Gabriel Desjardins regarding COVID-19 and mask mandates.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Gabriel Desjardins <gabriel.desjardins@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2022 3:21 PM
To: Colfax, Grant (DPH) <Grant.Colfax@sfdph.org>; Tenner, Andrea (DPH) <andrea.tenner@sfdph.org>; Philip, Susan (DPH) <susan.philip@sfdph.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: "San Franciscans Should Take Basic Actions to be Prepared for Future Changes in COVID-19"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

hey supes!

LA County is reinstating mask mandates despite being in nowhere near as bad a situation as San Francisco (see image below.)

What's stopping San Francisco?

Brooke Jenkins is going to put people in prison for life for using fentanyl.
What's she going to do to people who let Covid rip through the entire city and kill and disable a lot of people?

Ok, I know she's a hack and she's not going to do anything.

But at some point in the future, maybe actively encouraging everyone in San Francisco to get Covid won't be looked upon so kindly.

So why not get ahead of this?

Via **webex** by Cisco

Indoor Masking Safety Measures

Ages 2 and older

Barbara Ferrer

Current indoor mask requirement	Additional Indoor mask requirement after LA County in High COVID-19 Community Level for 2 consecutive weeks
✓ Healthcare settings	✓ Shared office space
✓ Public transit, transit hubs	✓ Manufacturing
✓ Long-term care settings	✓ Retail
✓ Shelters and cooling centers	✓ Indoor event spaces
✓ Correctional facilities	✓ Indoor areas in restaurants/bars
✓ When required by a business or employer	✓ Indoor areas at children's programs
✓ Outbreaks at worksites	✓ Indoor areas in educational settings: early childhood care, summer school, higher education
✓ During the 10 days after a COVID diagnosis or exposure	

On Thu, Jun 30, 2022 at 1:11 PM Gabriel Desjardins <gabriel.desjardins@gmail.com> wrote:

ok, everybody. We are pretty quickly losing a lot of our fundamental rights, free elections, ability to stop climate change, etc.

But you know what you all have the power to do?

STOP GIVING ALL OF THE KIDS IN SAN FRANCISCO A DISEASE THAT CAUSES COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT AND PERMANENT IMMUNE DAMAGE.

It's not even hard. Just put the mask mandate back in place, mandate outdoor meals and snacks, and start improving ventilation in schools.

I don't know what's stopping you.

I know Dorsey's busy doing cheap photo ops for Fox News.

But the rest of you? What gives? Did you really go into public service to advance the cause of nihilism?

On Wed, Jun 22, 2022 at 12:30 PM Gabriel Desjardins <gabriel.desjardins@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi there everyone,

I pulled this subject line from the last DPH statement on Covid, which was April 19:

<https://sf.gov/news/san-franciscans-should-take-basic-actions-be-prepared-future-changes-covid-19>

Talk about MIA!

You all seem paralyzed trying to figure out what to do as BA.4/5 are poised to rip through San Francisco in the upcoming months.

There are some basic actions that DPH and city government should take to prepare for this:

- 1) Re-institute the mask mandate
- 2) Mandate ventilation in spaces that are accessible to the public
- 3) Require all preschools and schools to move meals outdoors
- 4) Create a mechanism for reporting positive rapid tests so that you have actual disease surveillance.

I know you all know these are the right things to do.

And I know you all know that what you're currently doing, which is accelerating the progression of Covid throughout San Francisco's population, is the wrong thing to do.

I can't fathom why you'd be doing this, because most of the potential explanations - scared of right-wing nutjobs we don't even have here, no empathy for people who are at extreme risk from Covid, eugenics, pure nihilism - would mean you're pretty awful people.

Time to step up and do the right thing

Gabe

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 122 Letters regarding File No. 220606
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 2:12:00 PM
Attachments: [122 Letters regarding File No. 220606.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 122 letters regarding File No. 220606.

File No. 220606 - Administrative Code - Surveillance Technology Policy for Police
Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Helene Sautou](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Info Info](#)
Subject: Support for Police Department of non-City entity surveillance cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 9:47:00 AM
Attachments: [Camera Support for Police Department use of non-City entity surveillance cameras .pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached the Mid Market CBD letter of support for use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Best regards,



Helene Sautou

Project Director

Mid Market Community Benefit District

[\(415\) 957-5985](tel:(415)957-5985)

hsautou@midmarketcbd.org

midmarketcbd.org



mid market community benefit district

Mid Market Community Benefit District is a **privately-funded** 501c3 not-for-profit organization that works in tandem with City agencies to enhance the Mid Market public realm.

July 15, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: MMCBD support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of Non-City entity surveillance cameras

Dear Supervisors:

Please approve the ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras

Law enforcement officials must be able to make San Francisco safer with enhanced access to third-party video surveillance cameras; including live monitoring, with safeguards that include: the right of the owner or operator of the cameras to refuse any request for access, a mandate for there to be written requests for access that are auditable upon demand, training for command level staff as well as any officer who would have access to video, and explicit prohibitions against using temporary live video access to target anyone for exercising their First Amendment rights.

The downtown core, including Mid Market, is disproportionately affected by illegal activity from organized crime rings which fuels drug dealing, retail theft, stolen goods resale, other property crimes, assaults, and homicides – all of which are prevalent in our District.

Current legislation supports access to recorded video footage to investigate after-the-fact crime incidents, which is reflected in the historical footage requests our District receives to investigate homicides and violent assaults. However, there is clearly a missed opportunity to investigate the more pernicious organized crime around drug dealing and all aspects of property crime, for which the ability to conduct live monitoring would greatly help. This is especially important in the post-pandemic era when our City streets are under siege by organized crime, and when the growing deficit of law enforcement personnel constrains the ability to investigate and deter organized crime.

Sincerely,
Mid Market Community Benefit District

From: [ENGERMAN, DAVID](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: City Access to Security Media
Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 6:22:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras. This legislation is a critical step towards improving safety for small business owners, employees, and all San Franciscans. It strategically uses technological advances to strengthen City services and provide the necessary tools for SFPD to solve our most violent, harmful, and persistent crimes. I support improving and clarifying local laws governing the use of cameras during situations with serious public safety impacts. Our businesses community has suffered incredible financial loss due to organized retail theft, on top of the pandemic economic conditions, and this legislation provides a desperately needed tool for SFPD to better support our merchant corridors and neighborhoods facing critical public safety challenges. San Francisco businesses have storefronts, employees, and customers here and are deeply invested in creating a safer San Francisco.

Please pass this critical public safety legislation.

Sincerely,

David Engerman

David Engerman

Brand Experience Manager

AT&T 1 Powell Brand Experience Store

AT&T Mobility Services, LLC

1 Powell Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

m 773.620.3969 | david.engerman@att.com

From: [Divina Carlson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: use of camera legislation
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 3:09:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisor,
Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity.
This legislation I believe will not invade personal privacy.
Thank you for your consideration of the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Divina Carlson

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Braille can help you participate in games, identify your spices and help you when you're out shopping. To find out more about how Braille can enhance your life, send an email to brailletraining@lighthouse-sf.org and start on the path to learning that ingenious system of dots today.

From: [Amy Hull](#)
To: [Shadd Newman](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); ["info@midmarketcbd.org"](#)
Subject: Re: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 7:05:12 AM
Attachments: [image064799.png](#)
[image052633.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I also support making this provision for access to SFPD.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Amy Hull
Chief Operating Officer
(415) 433-3333



The premier source of furnished and unfurnished apartments.
trinitysf.com
Email Disclaimer

From: Shadd Newman <Shadd.Newman@trinitysf.com>
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2022 12:44:39 PM
To: 'Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org' <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>; 'Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org' <Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org>; 'Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org' <Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org>; 'Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org' <Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org>; 'Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org' <Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org>; 'Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org' <Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org>; 'Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org' <Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org>; 'Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org' <Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org>; 'Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org' <Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org>; 'Connie.Chan@sfgov.org' <Connie.Chan@sfgov.org>; 'Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org' <Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org>; 'Dean.Preston@sfgov.org' <Dean.Preston@sfgov.org>; 'Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org' <Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org>; 'info@midmarketcbd.org' <info@midmarketcbd.org>
Cc: Amy Hull <Amy.Hull@trinitysf.com>
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Residents and prospective tenants at Trinity Place in mid-Market lament the crime and lack of safety in the neighborhood, expressing concern about open air drug dealing. Providing SFPD access to already existing tools to help them do their job is an important step in addressing these concerns and making our streets safer for all members of the community.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

Shadd Newman

Shadd Newman
Vice President
(415) 575-3356



The premier source of furnished and unfurnished apartments.
trinitysf.com
Email Disclaimer

From: [Jane Weil](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 12:04:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

As a homeowner overlooking the troubled corner of Mission & 18th, I want SFPD to have all tools available to keep us safe from the open drug dealing and criminals who hang out all around us. Help us PLEASE!

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Jane Weil
Board President SOMAGrand HOA
1160 Mission St #2108
San Francisco CA 94103

From: [Harrison, David](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [+Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org](#); [+Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org](#); [+Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org](#); [+Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](#); [+Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](#); [+Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](#); [+Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](#); [+Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](#); [+Connie.Chan@sfgov.org](#); [+Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](#); [+Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](#); [+Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](#); [+info@midmarketcbd.org](#)
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 8:02:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, shootings, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

David Harrison

From: [Robert Rosenwasser](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [+Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org](#); [+Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org](#); [+Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org](#); [+Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](#); [+Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](#); [+Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](#); [+Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](#); [+Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](#); [+Connie.Chan@sfgov.org](#); [+Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](#); [+Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](#); [+Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](#); [+info@midmarketcbd.org](#)
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 6:14:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

[Please add any personal anecdotes]

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Robert Rosenwasser

From: [Selena Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support live video access for SFPD.
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 11:31:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi San Francisco BOS,

In 2020, jewelry stores, pharmacies and other stores were ransacked by a mob of people overnight. In the past few years, many active crimes happened in Chinatown and the Westside. People's home got invaded while they are at home sleeping. Live video could have helped the police stop the crimes in action, save lives and property.

Currently, the police are barred from accessing or monitoring even temporarily, live video that is owned or operated by third parties unless there are "exigent circumstances" -- this is defined as circumstances that involve an imminent danger of serious physical injury or death.

If this standard is not met, the police cannot access live video even on a temporary basis. This intentional blinding of law enforcement does not make sense and it does not make us safer.

Please support this legislation.

Sincerely,

Selena

From: [Russell D. Keil III](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Support of Surveillance Policy for SFPD use of Non City Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 4:04:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras. This legislation is a critical step towards improving safety for small business owners, employees, and all San Franciscans. It strategically uses technological advances to strengthen City services and provide the necessary tools for SFPD to solve our most violent, harmful, and persistent crimes.

Our businesses community has suffered incredible financial loss due to organized retail theft, on top of the pandemic economic conditions, and this legislation provides a desperately needed tool for SFPD to better support our merchant corridors and neighborhoods facing critical public safety challenges.

Please pass this critical public safety legislation.

Sincerely,

Keil
244 Kearny Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94108

From: [John Votruba](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:05:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is [REDACTED] and I [REDACTED]ve been a San Francisco resident for [REDACTED] years. I live in the in [REDACTED] neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [pablo vega](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor London Breeds security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:10:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Pablo Vega and I've been a San Francisco resident for 10 years. I live in the in T. L. neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor

Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime

except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed live video feed legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank You.

-Pablo Vega

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [Bill O'Such](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\); info@stopcrimesf.com](#)
Subject: support of the mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:58:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Board of Supervisors

My name is Bill O'Such and we are residents of SF for over 20 years and live in Cole Valley. We totally support the new legislation as we have nothing to hide in accessing the videos and I think it will help deter, investigate and solve crime in San Francisco and help us bring us back to a healthy and safe city. Besides helping prosecute crimes, just the knowledge that this video is accessible will deter wannabe criminals from crossing that line and become life-long criminals. Great initiative!! Be a leader and pass the legislation.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety!

Best
Bill O'Such and Ineke Ruhland

From: [Jane N Day](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:58:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Jane Day and I've been a San Francisco resident for 26 years. I live in the in SoMa neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Yours sincerely,
Jane N. Day, PhD

From: [Catherine Maxey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Police should be allowed to view crime video
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:51:01 AM


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Catherine Maxey and I've been a San Francisco resident for 7 years. I live in the in Civic Center neighborhood. I can see City Hall.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.



--

Catherine Maxey 601 Van Ness Ave Apt 510 SF,CA 94102 479-531-5937 I am retired, hear me roar

--

Catherine Maxey 601 Van Ness Ave Apt 510 SF,CA 94102 479-531-5937 I am retired, hear me roar

From: [Mike Gunn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Do NOT support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:46:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I live in San Francisco (40 plus years) and currently work in law enforcement (27 years) in San Francisco.

I do **not** support the legislation proposed regarding increased access to live private video feeds.

Toodles!

Mike Gunn-SFO

From: [Bhanu Vikram](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO security camera monitoring
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:28:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I have lived in San Francisco for over ten years and live camera monitoring is going to end freedom in San Francisco as we know it. Not to mention, it is UNCONSTITUTIONAL.

Please vote NO on any type of live security camera monitoring.

Thank you.

Bhanu Vikram

From: [Jade Catalano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Marc Fernandez](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support mayor's security video
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:57:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jade Catalano. I'm a San Francisco constituent and I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks,

Jade

On Sun, Jul 17, 2022, 1:44 PM Marc Fernandez <marc.fernandezg@gmail.com> wrote:

My name is Marc Fernandez Girones and I've been a San Francisco resident for 10+ years. I live in the in Lower Haight neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks,

Marc

From: [Marc Fernandez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:44:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Marc Fernandez Girones and I've been a San Francisco resident for 10+ years. I live in the in Lower Haight neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks,
Marc

From: [Margaret OSullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Reed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Video camera legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 7:22:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- Dear Supervisors:

I am Margaret O'Sullivan and I've been a San Francisco resident for over 60 years. I live in the in the Golden Gate Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.
Sincerely,

- Margaret O'Sullivan
- District 7 resident

Margaret

From: [ALICE XAVER](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Video camera legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 6:44:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

We are Alice and Christopher Xavier and we have been San Francisco residents for over 60 years. We live in the in the Golden Gate Heights neighborhood.

We join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Alice & Chris Xavier
Dist 7

From: [Peter Fortune](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Pass the mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:29:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm Peter Fortune and I've owned my home in San Francisco since 1984.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to pass the Mayor's legislation that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you for your anticipated support.

Peter Fortune

From: [Sandeep Giri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:58:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Supervisor Chan, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and Mayor Breed:

My name is Sandeep Giri and I've been a San Francisco resident for 22 years. I live in the in the Richmond neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks,

Sandeep

From: [Leanna Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Chinese American Democratic Club](#); [Stopcrimesf Info](#); [marlene tran](#)
Subject: Re: Strong Support for Mayor Breed's Legislation to install Security Cameras
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 8:32:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I, Leanna Louie, echo the sentiments of my fellow community activist, Marlene Tran. I am writing on behalf of many of our members and concerned residents to strongly urge you to **support Mayor Breed's legislation to install security cameras** to make our San Francisco a safer city.

During my community work to assist our elderly and non-English speaking victims, many of these crimes were not reported because of language barriers and the lack of surveillance cameras to document the assaults and even homicides.

Mayor Breed's proposal, with the support of many concerned San Franciscans, will help to deter some of these crimes and will allow police to use non-City cameras and camera networks during large scale events with public safety concerns for investigations of active misdemeanor and felony violations. Video footage can be used more effectively to aid law enforcement do their investigative work and bring the perpetrators to justice.

We hope you will seriously consider our pleas **to support Mayor Breed's proposal for security cameras** that will help to increase public safety and harmony to our beautiful City.

Many Blessings,

Leanna C. Louie
SF Resident since 1979
(415) 310-8412 unlimited talk/text

On Sunday, July 17, 2022 at 12:55:55 PM PDT, marlene tran <tranmarlene@yahoo.com> wrote:

RE: Strong Support for Mayor Breed's Legislation to Install Security Cameras

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors

As a longtime volunteer community activist in the Southeast Sector, I am writing on behalf of many of our members and concerned residents to strongly urge you to **support Mayor Breed's legislation to install security cameras** to make our San Francisco a safer city.

Unfortunately, in my 30+ years of community work to assist our elderly and non-English speaking victims, many of these crimes were not reported because of language barriers and the lack of surveillance cameras to document the assaults and

even homicides.

Mayor Breed's proposal, with the support of many concerned San Franciscans, will help to deter some of these crimes and will allow police to use non-City cameras and camera networks during large scale events with public safety concerns for investigations of active misdemeanor and felony violations. Video footage can be used more effectively to aid law enforcement do their investigative work and bring the perpetrators to justice.

We hope you will seriously consider our pleas **to support Mayor Breed's proposal for security cameras** that will help to increase public safety and harmony to our beautiful City.

Gratefully,

Marlene Tran

Board Member ~ Stop Crime San Francisco

Board Member ~ Chinese American Democratic Club

Member ~ CPAB of Ingleside Police Station

Member ~ API Police Forum

Spokesperson ~ Visitacion Valley Asian Alliance (VVAA)

From: [marlene tran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Marlene TRAN](#); [Chinese American Democratic Club](#); [Stopcrimesf Info](#)
Subject: Re: Strong Support for Mayor Breed's Legislation to install Security Cameras
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:56:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RE: Strong Support for Mayor Breed's Legislation to Install Security Cameras

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors

As a longtime volunteer community activist in the Southeast Sector, I am writing on behalf of many of our members and concerned residents to strongly urge you to **support Mayor Breed's legislation to install security cameras** to make our San Francisco a safer city.

Unfortunately, in my 30+ years of community work to assist our elderly and non-English speaking victims, many of these crimes were not reported because of language barriers and the lack of surveillance cameras to document the assaults and even homicides.

Mayor Breed's proposal, with the support of many concerned San Franciscans, will help to deter some of these crimes and will allow police to use non-City cameras and camera networks during large scale events with public safety concerns for investigations of active misdemeanor and felony violations. Video footage can be used more effectively to aid law enforcement do their investigative work and bring the perpetrators to justice.

We hope you will seriously consider our pleas **to support Mayor Breed's proposal for security cameras** that will help to increase public safety and harmony to our beautiful City.

Gratefully,

Marlene Tran

*Board Member ~ Stop Crime San Francisco
Board Member ~ Chinese American Democratic Club
Member ~ CPAB of Ingleside Police Station
Member ~ API Police Forum
Spokesperson ~ Visitacion Valley Asian Alliance (VVAA)*

From: Luis@pdratings
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 8:05:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Major Breed and Board of Supervisors:

My name is Luis Perez-Cordero and I have been a resident of San Francisco for 45 years (since 1977). My place of resident for the past 20 years has been the Castro. (Eureka Valley).

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

I have seen and experienced it first hand the use of neighbor's video to investigate and solve a crime on my street.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Luis Perez-Cordero – Ford Street, SF 94114

From: [Raymond](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Stopcrimesf Info: Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation!
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 2:58:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to allow the police to use live video when a crime is being committed! Help improve public safety in San Francisco! We are sick of legislations that justify crime and protect criminals!

Ray, a SF resident!

From: [Diana Eng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support video camera legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:44:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

We are Diana & Woon Jong Eng. We have been San Francisco residents for over 50 years. We live in the in the Golden Gate Heights neighborhood.

We join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Diana & Woon Jong Eng

From: [Jason Jungreis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: I encourage the BoS to support legislation enabling use of video to deter, investigate, and solve crime
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 7:58:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I've been a San Francisco resident for 37 years, and live in the Richmond District. I encourage you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks.

Jason Jungreis
527 47th Avenue
San Francisco 94121

From: [Mary Ling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Security
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 11:42:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Supervisors,

Thank you for your work on behalf of SF residents. We, who have lived here all our lives are not feeling safe these days because of the high crime rates.

Our Police Dept need your help in solving and apprehending criminals in our beloved city. Please pass the legislation as Mayor Breed proposed, allowing the police to view video footage to help lower the crime rate that plagues our city. We do not want to lose residents to other parts of the country just because of they do not feeling safe here.

Respectively,
Mary Ling

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [Marisa Rodriguez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Ben Horne](#); [Melanie Medina](#)
Subject: Union Square Supports the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 11:16:36 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 2022

Members of the Rules Committee
City Hall
1 Goodlett Dr.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Support for Video SFPD Surveillance Ordinance

Dear Honorable Members of the Rules Committee,

The Union Square Alliance is writing to express our **support** for the Video Surveillance Ordinance before you today. We appreciate the Mayor, Supervisor Peskin, and Police Chief's leadership to thoroughly vet this legislation through our city's vigorous channels, like C.O.I.T. and community process.

It is imperative that our police department have access to the tools they need to keep San Franciscans and visitors to our beautiful city safe; access to available resources under narrow circumstances as prescribed in the legislation is vital.

This ordinance is measured and to be used under "exigent circumstances" -- incidents like the terrifying mass looting incident that took place in Union Square last Thanksgiving. Union Square is home to thousands of service sector jobs. Without a sense of security and safety we lose our city's economic core. Which was the outcome of that incident. Essential employees have been afraid to return to work. Union Square also employs **40% of our city's workforce of color**. It is unfair to our community that our work environment be unsafe, especially when we have the tools available to promote safety.

Our police department is woefully understaffed, and they are in need of surgical tools to better assist them with dealing with organized crime, like the mass looting, brazen home burglaries, and the retail theft impacting Chinatown. There are strong guardrails in place that make me feel confident that this ordinance will serve the community well. Not only will we be able to hold people accountable for their bad actions, but we can also use these tools to deter crime and exonerate the innocent. In addition, we can hold everyone, those committing crimes and our public servants accountable through use of technology.

This is a measured and balance step in the right direction, as we continue to chart the most balanced path to safety for both our bodies and our privacy.

The Union Square Alliance supports this legislation.

Thank you,



Marisa Rodriguez

Executive Director

415-781-7880 x102

marisa@unionsquarealliance.com

291 Geary Street, Suite 200

San Francisco, CA 94102

www.visitunionsquaresf.com

Member Services 415-781-4456



The Union Square Business Improvement District is now the Union Square Alliance.
Please make note of our new name and email address.

From: [Nathan Lemkhin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support the Mayor's Safety Legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:03:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nathan Lemkhin and I've been a San Francisco resident for 39 years. I live in the in Inner Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Law enforcement can address criminal activity more effectively if they can see what is going on. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. We do not want for law enforcement to get information only after the fact, but rather prevent the crimes based on live information. Without police access to that information, our neighborhoods across the city are more vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, burglaries and hate crime attacks that have plagued our city in recent years. The guardrails against the misuse of that technology are very much in place, such as the right of the owners to refuse access, the prohibition to use the information against those exercising their rights, written requests required to access the information, and training for command staff. The information is also to be used to determine innocence or responsibility for crimes, and to monitor police activity. Have a heart, support the citizens who may become victims, and the public from wrongful accusations while diabling criminals. Do the right thing!

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Fred Winograd](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please let SFPD use all the legal tools it can
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:42:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I think the SFPD proposal is fine and will help them deter criminal behavior. Please allow them to use all the tools available to them.

I gave video from my home cameras to SFPD 2 years ago. That helped them convict a criminal who broke into my neighbors garage.

It really does work.

Thanks
Fred

From: [Gilda Bettencourt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:09:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Gilda Bettencourt and I've been a San Francisco resident for 33 years. I live in the in Inner Sunset neighborhood.

I am asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

By not using this video footage, criminals have an unfair advantage. Why would we give this to them?

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you,
Gilda

From: [Crowder, Dona](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Catherine Stefani](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: MEETING ID: 2483 435 9050 # Use of Public Cameras for Crime
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 8:58:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It is imperative that you allow the police to use public cameras for identifying criminals. Especially repeat property crimes and assaults. To actually have the evidence and not be able to use it is a detriment to public safety in San Francisco.

Private cameras are sometimes used as citizens volunteer footage that may aid the police. Public cameras are no different in specific circumstances. Businesses small and large have been plundered and they were already reeling from the results of COVID. Both individuals and businesses are leaving the city for other places that make them feel more protected. Robbing tourists has become a lucrative industry. It is a matter of urgency to protect businesses, visitors, and residents alike. Please allow this valuable tool to be used as an aid to the police and prosecutors in making our city safer and stronger.

Thank you for your help.

Dona Crowder
Broker Associate
dona@donacrowder.com
1560 Van Ness Ave 2nd floor
SF, CA 94109
Mobile: 415.310-5933

***Wire Fraud is Real*. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions.** Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

From: [Mary Ling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 11:55:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Mary Huey and I've been a San Francisco resident for 60 years. I live in the in Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Gratefully,
Mary Huey

From: [Howard Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 10:22:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Howard Lee and I've been a San Francisco resident for 62 years. I live in the Golden Gate Heights, District 7 neighborhood.

Like all San Franciscans, I am frustrated with all the property theft that is going on, This bill has several benefits. This will give law enforcement another tool to fight all types of crime, including violent ones. This will act as a deterrent for criminals, and a big plus One can monitor the actions of law enforcement and overall actions including officers action during physical altercations

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve the crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Howard Lee
2194 14th Ave San Francisco, CA 94116
415-412-6288

From: [Tom O'Connor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:02:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tom O'Connor and I've been a San Francisco resident for 44 years. I live in the in Parnassus Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

--

Tom O'Connor

O'Connor & Associates Art Advisors, Inc.
Cell: 415-710-9755
www.oconnorart.com

From: [Tami Epstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 7:36:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tami Epstein and I've been a San Francisco resident for more than 25 years. I currently live in the Forest Hill neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Tami Epstein

From: [carolyn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 1:34:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I've been a San Francisco resident for — years. I live in the in — neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Nathan Lemkhin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 10:05:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Nathan Lemkhin <nathanlemkhin@yahoo.com>
To: oard.of.supervisors@sfgov.org <oard.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com <info@stopcrimesf.com>
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 at 10:00:07 AM PDT
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation

My name is Nathan Lemkhin and I've been a San Francisco resident for 39 years. I live in the in Inner Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Law enforcement can address criminal activity more effectively if they can see what is going on. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. We do not want for law enforcement to get information only after the fact, but rather prevent the crimes based on live information. Without police access to that information, our neighborhoods across the city are more vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, burglaries and hate crime attacks that have plagued our city in recent years. The guardrails against the misuse of that technology are very much in place, such as the right of the owners to refuse access, the prohibition to use the information against those exercising their rights, written requests required to access the information, and training for command staff. The information is also to be used to determine innocence or responsibility for crimes, and to monitor police activity. Have a heart, support the citizens who may become victims, and the public from wrongful accusations while diabling criminals. Do the right thing!

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Carla K](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\); Stopcrimesf Info](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:54:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Carla Kozak and I've been a San Francisco resident for 38 years. I live in the in Golden Gate Heights/Inner Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

San Francisco is a beautiful, wonderful and unique city in so many ways. But it has become an increasingly expensive city to live in, and even worse, a difficult one. I am sure there are many people who, like me, are increasingly protective of our property, our neighborhoods, and ourselves, and who are no longer going to several parts of the city we used to enjoy, because it's just not worth eating at a favorite restaurant or shopping at favorite stores anymore. It is rampant crime that is keeping us away. Please do everything in your power to make SF safer and more livable to all of us who love this city.

Thanks,

Carla Kozak
Golden Gate Heights
San Francisco

From: [D.Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:54:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Denise Chu and I've been a San Francisco resident for 30 years. I live in the in Visitacion Valley neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco. I will vote accordingly too next election.

Denise

From: [Calvin Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:38:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Calvin Lau and I've been a San Francisco resident for 48 years. I was also born in San Francisco. I live in the Pacific Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Calvin Lau

From: [Naomi Burkart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:37:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Naomi Burkart and I've been a San Francisco resident for 50 plus years. I live in the in the Golden Gate Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [David Cuadro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:35:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is David Cuadro and I am a native San Franciscan. I live in the Midtown Terrace neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to **support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed** that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Ellen Haude](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 7:57:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Ellen Haude, and I've been a San Francisco resident for 25 years. I live in the Pacific Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.
Thank you,
Ellen

From: [wendy.murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 5:04:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Wendy Herzenberg and I've been a San Francisco resident for 25 years. I live in the in ocean beach neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sara Schumann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 3:38:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Sara Schumann and I've been a San Francisco resident all of my 58 years. I live in District 7 and am requesting my Supervisor support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sara Schumann
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Gretchen Cummings](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:43:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Gretchen Cummings and I've been a San Francisco resident for almost 25 years. I live in the inner Sunset.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This is RIDICULOUS and leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity. Home invasions are up in my neighborhood - I want it stopped and I want the police to have timely access to the tools we already have and information we already collect.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Respectfully,
Gretchen Cummings

From: [JP](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:37:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is John Torres and I've been a San Francisco resident since 1957. I live in the in the Westwood Highlands neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you much, John

From: [Lily O'Neill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\); info@stopcrimesf.com](#)
Subject: Support Mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:26:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Lily O'Neill. My spouse, kids and I have been San Francisco residents for 20+ years. We live in the Marina neighborhood.

We join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

PLEASE pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Lily

From: [Diane Sargent](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 6:49:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Diane Sargent and I've been a San Francisco resident for 22 years. I live in the in Richmond neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you
Diane Sargent
Active District 1 voter

From: [annabelle.thornton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 6:23:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Marie Thornton and I've been a San Francisco resident for 62 years. I live in the in outer Richmond neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: [Jared D. Goldfine](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 6:17:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jared Goldfine and I've been a San Francisco resident for 45 years. I live in the in the Castro . I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Jared Goldfine
63 Ford Street
San Francisco, CA. 94114

From: royalmargie@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 6:01:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — Margie Hom Brown and I've been a San Francisco resident for 70 years— years. I live in the in —Richmond district one neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Margie Hom Brown, 2422 Anza Street San Francisco 94118

From: [L.P.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 6:01:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Luke Perkocha and I am a native San Franciscan. I live in the Golden Gate Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you very much,

Luke Perkocha

From: [Nina Block](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 5:57:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nina Block and I've been a San Francisco resident for 54 years. I live in the in Richmond District. Please seriously reflect on legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

According to Stop Crime SF the current law is "a loophole in a 2019 law that banned facial recognition cameras" and the proposed measure "is only for traditional video".

Perhaps Mayor Breed's proposed legislation does not reflect that the SFPD has not submitted any proposals for the use of surveillance technology as they were supposed to do according to the 2019 law. Perhaps there are other issues and potential violations of constitutional rights which the proposed legislation, while responding to current serious issues in our city, do not address. So please try to work on and refine Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco in a critical and collaborative manner.

From: [Scott Jacques](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 5:53:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Scott Jacques and I've been a San Francisco resident for 25+ years. I live in the Outer Richmond neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. This is just plain common sense.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks,

Scott Jacques
+1 415.260.6702
scott@nk-interactive.com
[Connect on LinkedIn](#)

EXPLORE: [Our Work](#) / [Our Clients](#) / [2021 Year in Review](#)



NK Interactive is a San Francisco-based agency with decades of experience in brand strategy, experience design, and digital technology. We create solutions to solve complex communications, sales, and marketing problems, driving meaningful change to companies in the commercial construction sector.

From: [Amy Sanders](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\); info@stopcrimesf.com](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support Mayor's Security Video Legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 5:24:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My name is Amy Sanders and I've been a San Francisco resident for 40 years. I live in the Sunnyside neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. The current law leaves neighborhoods across the city more vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety and reduce crime in San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Amy Sanders

From: [Devon Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:56:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Devon Johnson and I've been a San Francisco resident for 31 years. I live in the in Cow Hollow neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [John Dowell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:54:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is John Dowell and I've been a San Francisco resident for 52 years. I live in the in Cow Hollow neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Davis Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:41:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Davis and I've been a San Francisco resident for 37 years. I live in the in sunset neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [CHARNA](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:30:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Charna Ball

I have been a San Francisco resident for 40+ years. I live in the Marina neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

It is time to support the safety of your constituents who voted you into office and to stop protecting drug dealers and other criminals.

We need our small businesses;;

Thank you charna ball

From: [Lisa Alexander](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:25:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Lisa Alexander and I've been a San Francisco resident for many years. I live in the Pacific Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco. Hopefully this effort can help bring some peace to the residents and businesses in our once beautiful city.

Regards,

Lisa Alexander

--

Lisa C Alexander
415-654-8300
www.lafacelab.com

From: [Ann-Marie Walsh La Rocca](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:20:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Ann-Marie Walsh La Rocca and I've been a San Francisco resident for 53 years. I live in the in Pinelake Park neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Ann-Marie Walsh La Rocca
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Susie Weil Lakatos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:09:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Susan and I've been a San Francisco resident for 70 years. I live in the in Lakeshore neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jason LaMacchia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 3:47:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jason LaMacchia and I've been a San Francisco resident for over 20 years. I live in the in Inner Sunset neighborhood. Many of us are scared and fed up with the lack of action or inability to take action due to current laws or lack of enforcement.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Kind regards,
Jason LaMacchia

From: [Steve Hawkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 3:46:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Steve Hawkins and I've been a San Francisco resident for 10 years. I live in the in Mission Bay neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: [Melissa Smallwood](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:47:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Melissa Smallwood and I've been a San Francisco resident for 10 years. I live in the in the Outer Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration.

Melissa Smallwood

From: [Hatun Noguera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:34:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Hatun Noguera and I've been a San Francisco resident for many years. I live in the in the Miraloma Park neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Yours,

Hatun Noguera

From: [Uzes Charm](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:31:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Cora Shaw and I've been a San Francisco resident for 3 years. I live in the in Mission neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Cora ♀

From: dietlaw@netscape.net
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:31:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Marjorie Goldman and I've been a San Francisco resident for 9 years. I live in the in Jordon Park neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Best,

Marjorie Goldman

From: [Linda Hawkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:18:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Linda Hawkins and I've been a San Francisco resident for 10 years. I live in the Mission Bay neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco. I'm tired of what's going on in San Francisco and expect you to do this to start to improve the safety of living here.

Linda Hawkins



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: dial1930@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:54:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jim Dial and I've been a San Francisco resident for 50 years. I live in the in Potrero Hill neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Rafael gutierrez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Stop Crime SF](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:49:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I've been a San Francisco resident for — years. I live in the in — neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Yes I want the mayor and the police to use our doorbell camera on 180 Tiffany avenue I also want them to use the cameras on the Streets of San Francisco to help prosecute and catch criminals please

From: [Michael Samson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:41:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Michael H. Samson— and I've been a San Francisco resident and first moved here in January, 1972. We've lived in our current home in the Outer Richmond since June, 1986.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco. I am tired of twenty thousand vehicles being broken into every year and all we have to show for it is broken glass. I am appalled to go to a store and seeing merchandise being stolen with not consequences. I miss businesses where I used to purchase things closed because of theft. I am tired of seeing people losing their jobs because their employer had to close down because of crime.

I am afraid to walk down the street in the fear that someone one rob or attack me I am tired of my Asian friends and neighbors being attacked while walking down the street.

I am tired or you doing nothing to help the residents of San Francisco live in peace and safety.

Michael H. Samson

From: ctina.pappas
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:30:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Christina Pappas and I've been a San Francisco resident for 30 years. I live in the in D1.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you,

Christina Pappas

From: [REGINA ANAVY](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:26:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Regina Anavy, and I've been a San Francisco resident for 37 years. I live in the in Mission Bay neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Respectfully yours,
Regina Anavy
420 Mission Bay Blvd. N.
San Francisco 94158

From: [Nikola Cvetkovic](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:06:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nikola Cvetkovic and I've been a San Francisco resident for 10 years. I live in the in Hayes Valley neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from mobile device. (please excuse typos)

From: [Jamie Whitaker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:39:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jamie Whitaker and I've been a San Francisco resident for almost 18 years years. I live in the South of Market District.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

San Francisco's reputation is suffering - and that means City revenues are suffering as business conferences, tourists, and employers give up hope on the City government to demonstrate competence in public safety. This is one measure to help show competency and a desire to protect citizens from bullies, assaults, and worse.

City employees are also looking to you to demonstrate you care about the safety of City workers who report knives getting pulled, hot coffee splashed on us, and frequent feelings of fear generated by uncertainty about whether the violent talk coming from people on meth is going to make them the next physically assaulted victim

We cannot continue to show such blind indifference to predators harming San Francisco. DO SOMETHING!!!!

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you,
Jamie Whitaker
Resident

From: [Ed Fisch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:32:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Edward Fisch and I've been a San Francisco resident for more than 30 years. I live in the in the Ashbury Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Karen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:29:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karen Schwartz and I've been a San Francisco resident for 35 years. I live in the in Duboce Triangle neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

We need more cameras, more eyes on the street, more evidence!

Thanks, Karen

From: [Sarah Ferris](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:53:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Sarah Ferris and I've been a San Francisco resident for 45 years. I live in the in the Paci Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you,

Sarah Ferris

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Randolph Fleming](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:41:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Randolph P. Fleming and I've been a San Francisco resident for a total of 20 years. I live in the in Mission Bay neighborhood. My absences were from active duty USN

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Randolph Fleming](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:35:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Randolph P. Fleming and I've been a San Francisco resident for 20 years. I live in the in Mission Bay neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Lynn Bell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:13:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Lynn Bell and I've been a San Francisco resident for 33 years. I live in the in the South Beach neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Wincy Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:00:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Wincy and I've been a San Francisco resident for 40+ years. I live in the in Parkside neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you for listening.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Eileen Sullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:52:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Eileen Sullivan. I've been a San Francisco resident for 46 years. I live in the in Central Fillmore neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

This is a necessary legislation. Studies have proven that the presence of cameras help to deter the kind of crimes that we have been subjected to.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Eileen Sullivan
Sent from my iPad

From: [Joyce Ferman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:48:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I've been a San Francisco resident for — years. I live in the in — neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [John Grauel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:45:45 AM
Attachments: [Support mayors security video legislation.msg](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Shane Ebbert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:42:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Shane Ebbert — and I've been a San Francisco resident for — years. I live in the in the Civic Center-neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks - Shane

From: [Maureen Beckwith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:42:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Maureen Beckwith and I've been a San Francisco resident for all my life. I live in the in the Miraloma/Sunnyside neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Steve & Mary Lou Bartoletti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:41:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Marietta Bartoletti, and I've lived in San Francisco for 73 years except for some years in the Peace Corps and diplomatic service. I live in the Castro neighborhood and grew up in the Haight Ashbury. My husband Stephen has lived here since 1985.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Marietta Bartoletti

From: [Mary Harris](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:41:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Mary Harris and I've been a San Francisco resident my entire life. I live in the in Ingleside neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank You, Mary C. Harris

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: [DONNA MARTIN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:41:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Donna Martin ... My name is — and I've been a San Francisco resident for 49 years. I live in the in Lower Pacific Heights— neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPad

From: [C. Tucker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:38:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Christina Tucker and I've been a San Francisco resident for 16 years. I live in the Tenderloin neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Margaret O'Driscoll](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:36:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Margaret O'Driscoll and I've been a San Francisco resident for 37 years. I live in the in West Portal neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Margaret O'Driscoll

Realtor

C: 415.846.3062

Margaret@vanguardsf.com

www.vanguardproperties.com



EXCLUSIVE
GLOBAL PARTNER



From: [CJ Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:29:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Carol Faulkner and I've been a San Francisco resident for 66 years. I am a native San Franciscan 3rd generation. I live in the in Outer Sunset neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. I am disgusted seeing my beloved city turning to s#*t.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: juliedwicklund
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:28:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Julie Wicklund and I've been a San Francisco resident for 26 years. I live in the in the Sherwood Forest neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S9, an AT&T 5G Evolution capable smartphone

From: [Don Papa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:26:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Don Papa and I've been a San Francisco resident for — years. I live in the in — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco. Do it!

Thank you, Don Papa

From: [Al Sargent](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:25:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Al Sargent and I've been a San Francisco resident for over 20 years. I live in the in Richmond District.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death.

This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

--

Best regards,

Al

asargent@stanfordalumni.org | +1 650 269 2176

From: [Gcavage](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:25:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is George Cavage and I've been a San Francisco resident for 16 years. I live in the in Pacific Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Daniel Langford](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:22:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Dan Langford and I've been a San Francisco resident for 25 years. I live in the in Van Ness neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

Daniel Langford
415-748-8911 (call/text)

From: [David Troup](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:19:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is David Troup and I've been a San Francisco resident for 23 years. I live in the in Duboce Triangle neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco. This is common-sense legislation which will help reduce the rampant crime in our city.

Sincerely,

David Troup
2224 15th St

From: [Margie Hom Brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Stop Crime SF](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:18:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is —Margie Hom Brown and I've been a San Francisco resident for —80 years years. I live in the in Richmond district 1— neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Margie Hom Brown
07/17/22

From: [ARTHUR WYDLER](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:17:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Art Wydler and I've been a San Francisco resident for 9 years. I live in the in North Beach/Fisherman's Wharf neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [YVONNE DAUBIN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:17:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Yvonne Daubin and I've been a San Francisco resident for 66 years. I live in the in Sunset/Parkside neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Michael Anders](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:08:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Mike and I've been a San Francisco resident for 2 years. I live in the in Hayes Valley neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Estelle Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:04:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Estelle Smith and I've been a San Francisco resident for 26 years. I live in the in D7 neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Estelle Smith

From: [AK](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 10:00:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Ari Kanter and I've been a San Francisco resident since 1996. I live in the richmond district. I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Susan Fisch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:59:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Susan Fisch and I've been a San Francisco resident for 32 years. I live in the in Ashbury Heights neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

The retail crime is completely out of control and we need more intense measures to help the police.

Susan Fisch

From: [mary.petrie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:55:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is mary petrie and I've been a San Francisco resident for 62 years. I live in the in miraloma as do my children and grandchildren. They are all looking to move to safer areas outside SF - so sad.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Laura B.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:54:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Laura Borowski and I've been a San Francisco resident for 8 years. I live in the Western Addition neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as assaults, gun violence, burglaries, looting, and drug dealing that have plagued our city in recent years. I am the victim of an assault that happened in my neighborhood, and my assailant has never been arrested despite multiple police reports because police could not access video footage. We don't feel safe in our city anymore, and don't want to feel force to leave it because of this.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you,

Laura Borowski

From: [Ann Long](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:54:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Ann M Long and I've been a San Francisco resident for 27 years. I live in the in FDI neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Ann M Long
405 Davis Ct 1401
San Francisco, Ca
94111
415-412-1532
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Karla Zuniga](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:53:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is karla Zuniga and I've been a San Francisco resident for 42 years. I live in the in outer mission neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Karla V Zuniga

From: [paul allen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:50:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Paul Allen. I live in the Corona Heights neighborhood and have been a city resident for 30 years.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime. By any measure, and certainly since I have lived here, petty crime and the perception thereof has increased enormously. It's time we do more to stop it.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

I am a civil libertarian, but we need more tools to halt the City's slide. Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thank you.
Paul Allen

From: [John or Leslie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:49:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Les Koelsch and I've been a San Francisco resident for 53 years. I live in the upper Market neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Menasche, Steve](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:48:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Steven Menasche and I've been a San Francisco resident for 33 years. I live in the in Buena Vista neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

From: [Cyrus Sanandaji](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:47:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is K. Cyrus Sanandaji and I've been a San Francisco resident for 15 years. I live in the Marina neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Thanks for your consideration.

Regards,

Cyrus



K. Cyrus Sanandaji, CCIM, LEED-AP, PMP
Managing Director

Presidio Bay Ventures
US / +1 760 214 8753
presidiobay.com

Real Estate
Development
Scholarship

[LEARN MORE](#)



Confidentiality Notice

This message is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) and may be proprietary, privileged and/or confidential. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender at Presidio Bay Ventures and delete all copies of this email message along with all attachments.

From: [tina nunes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's security video legislation
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 9:46:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tina Nunes Ober— and I've been a San Francisco resident for —12 years. I live in the in —Sunset neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking you to support legislation sponsored by Mayor Breed that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.

Under the current law, the San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have plagued our city in recent years.

Please pass Mayor Breed's legislation to help improve public safety in San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Daniel Herzstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Letter re: file #220606 on Surveillance Technology Use
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 3:24:06 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[Support of #220606 Surveillance \(1\).pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors –

Please find attached a letter from a broad collation of business associations regarding File #220606 on Surveillance Technology Use.

Best,
Daniel



Daniel Herzstein

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104
(E) dherzstein@sfchamber.com
Pronouns: he/him



July 14, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Rules Committee Members
Supervisors Peskin, Mandelman, Chan
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Broad Business Support of File #220606 Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Mandelman, Chan,

On behalf of a broad coalition of San Francisco Businesses, we write in support of file #220606, to allow the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) to temporarily use non-city owned cameras to respond to the violent and organized criminal activity that continue to make employees feel unsafe at their place of work.

Since 2021, San Francisco has seen an increase in robbery, assault, motor vehicle theft and larceny theft. This data comes from the SFPD Crime Dashboard¹ and is only reflective of crimes that are reported - as many small businesses do not have confidence that reporting retail theft will prevent future crimes, we believe that theft in San Francisco is higher than reported. None of these crimes are victimless. Small Business owners have suffered incredible financial losses, on top of the pandemic economic conditions, and their employees have been physically threatened or injured.

This legislation is a critical step towards improving safety for small business owners, employees, and all San Franciscans. It strategically uses technological advances to strengthen City services and provide the necessary tools for SFPD to solve our most violent, harmful, and persistent crimes.

San Francisco businesses have storefronts, employees, and customers here and are deeply invested in creating a safe San Francisco. We support improving and clarifying local laws governing the use of cameras during situations with serious public safety impacts. This legislation provides a desperately needed tool for SFPD to better support our merchant corridors and neighborhoods facing critical public safety challenges.

The organizations listed below urge the swift passage of this critical public safety legislation without further amendments. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Rodney Fong
CEO & President
The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Sharky Laguana
President
American Car Rental Association

John Bryant
CEO
BOMA

Laurie Thomas
Executive Director
Golden Gate Restaurant Association

Alex Bastian
President & CEO
Hotel Council of San Francisco

Chris Wright
Senior Vice President
SF Partnership

¹July 2022, *Crime Dashboard*. San Francisco Police Department, 11
<https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/stay-safe/crime-data/crime-dashboard>.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 7 Letters regarding File No. 220249
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 1:28:00 PM
Attachments: [7 Letters regarding File No. 220249.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 7 letters regarding File No. 220249.

File No. 220249 - Hearing - Building Operations Component of the 2022 Climate Action Plan

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Joni](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: 7/18/22 hearing, File # 220249
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:25:25 AM

Thank you for your testimony, confirming receipt and inclusion to Board File No. 220249.

BOS – Communications, 220249.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Joni <jonieisen@sbcglobal.net>

**Sent:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:36 PM

**To:** Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Re: 7/18/22 hearing, File # 220249

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Supervisors on Land Use & Transportation,

Please heed the urgent message from the Department of the Environment and your colleague Supervisor Mar. The decarbonization of all our City's existing buildings is urgent and absolutely crucial.

No matter how daunting and extraordinarily expensive it will be, acting boldly now will avoid much higher costs throughout society: more sickness & death from bad air, explosion danger of gas-lines (especially from an earthquake), ever-increasing costs of adaptation in the absence of emissions-reducing policies.

Senator Manchin's catastrophic refusal to allow any climate legislation at all to pass shows that he's a fossil-fueled monster of course, but it also shows that in the absence of federal action, cities and states must lead the way. San Francisco used to be "the City that knows how" - let's reclaim that moniker.

So often elected officials focus exclusively on obvious, immediate issues like inflation and so much more. Please don't be like them. Show people that global warming is not a distant problem, something we can solve in the future. You must make them see that it's an urgent problem for us to tackle today. It will not go away.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Joni Eisen, grandmother & long-term SF resident  
District 10

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Bill Wehl](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: File # 220249 - Building decarbonization  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 9:24:47 AM

---

Thank you for your testimony, confirming receipt and inclusion to the Board File No. 220249.

BOS – Communications, 220249.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bill Wehl <bill@wehl.com>

Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2022 5:14 PM

To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>

Cc: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>

Subject: File # 220249 - Building decarbonization

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I understand there is a meeting of the Board of Supervisors Land Use & Transportation Committee on Monday, July 18th. I will not be able to attend, so wanted to reach out to you folks and provide comments in advance of the meeting.

I live at 280 Clipper St, San Francisco, CA 94114 (District 8). I have lived at this location for 26 years (since 1994), and in SF for 28 years (since 1994).

The climate crisis is real and present - not just some remote future concern. We need to act boldly and decisively now - both to reduce emissions rapidly, and to adapt and build resilience. As you know, buildings are one of the biggest contributors to emissions, especially through their use of fossil fuels for heating, hot water, cooking, etc. Electrifying buildings, while in parallel decarbonizing the electricity sector, is one of the most important things we need to do. Driving this market transformation at the speed and scale required by the climate crisis will necessitate a combination of public and private funding. We need you - our Supervisors and city officials - to figure out how to provide the public funding now.

As I'm sure you know, getting methane (aka fossil gas) out of our buildings is critical for SF to meet our climate goals. We need to do it now - and do so equitably, to ensure that everyone in SF has a safe and healthy place to live.

We're behind on the pace of climate action already. Now is the time to be bold and focus on critical actions like building decarbonization. We clearly can't depend on the federal government right now - which makes it even more important that we do everything we can here in SF. We are one of the wealthiest cities in the world; there is no reason for us not to move boldly and decisively.

It's time for SF to show the kind of leadership it's long been known for!

Thank you.

Best,
Bill Wehl

--

Bill Wehl ([he/him/his](#))
Email: bill@wehl.com

[What's happening with my voice?](#)

From: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Barbara Jue](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: File # 220249.
Date: Monday, July 18, 2022 9:23:22 AM

Thank you for your testimony, I have added the response to the file.

BOS – communications, 220249.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Barbara Jue <[sfbar48@gmail.com](mailto:sfbar48@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 7:27 PM

**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <[myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** DorseyStaff (BOS) <[DorseyStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:DorseyStaff@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** File # 220249.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing as a resident of District 6 who regularly votes and counts the climate crisis as a high priority issue that government needs to address. Our City/County has developed an ambitious Climate Action Plan that will drive significant advances against harmful greenhouse gases and build resilience in our most vulnerable communities. Because the federal government is paralyzed due to the divisiveness of our current two-party system, we must act immediately at the local level. Time is of the essence. I support the requests of the Climate Emergency Coalition:

- o Getting methane ("natural" gas) out of our buildings
- o Obtain funding for building electrification, now. We are one of the wealthiest cities in the world so we have no excuse not to provide the necessary funding.
- o Ensure continued funding of the Climate Equity Hub - transforming to a new energy platform requires equitable treatment across affected communities on an ongoing basis.

We have some aggressive climate goals to achieve in the next few years and we can't dally any longer. San Francisco and California are demonstrating what leadership looks like in taking bold actions to fight the climate crisis. In the long run, our economy and communities will thrive because of our actions.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Jue  
81 Lansing Street #411

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Marvis Phillips; Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\); Preston, Dean \(BOS\); Mar, Gordon \(BOS\); Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Tell the Supervisors it's time to be bold on climate  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 9:21:03 AM

---

Thank you for your testimony, confirming receipt and inclusion to file.

BOS- Communications, 220249.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marvis Phillips <marvisphillips@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 18, 2022 2:03 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>


Subject: Re: Tell the Supervisors it's time to be bold on climate

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Land Use & Transportation Committee Supervisor's

Reference: File No. 220249: Please support and pass this important measure to help the Lower Income Community's and its residents, to "Decarbonization our homes/rooms" Since a majority of us live in Residential Hotels or small apartments it is essential that we live in the healthiest environments possible! Voting to support this measure and send it to the full Board for review and approval is a vital step, in improving the home environment of San Francisco's most vulnerable citizens! Thank you in advance! Marvis J. Phillips (43 year resident Tenderloin) + Board Chair: TENDERLOIN/SOMA/WSOMA COMMUNITY PLANNERS: + Community Watch Block Captain (Tenderloin) (SF SAFE, INC){the civilian branch of the San Francisco Police Department}!

On Fri, Jul 15, 2022 at 6:24 PM Joni (SF Climate Emergency Coalition)
<info@sfclimateemergency.org> wrote:



Important opportunity for your voice!

Hello Marvis J.,

Here's that update and reminder you've been waiting for.

Update

Thanks to advocacy from so many of us, the \$2.6 million for the Department of the Environment (SFE) to get the Climate Equity Hub up and running remains in the budget for the first year (the second year will have to be negotiated all over again anyway). Unfortunately, the little we got (\$700K) for the SFPUC (not SFE) to initiate an affordable-housing electrification pilot has disappeared. We will not give up; we will simply try again.

Reminder



Monday, July 18th, 1:30 pm start, BoS Land Use & Transportation Committee (Chair Melgar, Peskin, Preston).

The hearing, called by Supervisor Mar on building decarbonization, includes a 10-minute presentation by SFE on the status and plans for the Building Operations sector of the Climate Action Plan. Supervisor Mar wants to bring this topic to greater prominence so that the Supervisors will understand the complex issues involved in retrofitting existing buildings.

We can demand that the City's climate actions are swift and equitable, and that officials be held accountable for completing them. Make public comments 3 ways:

1. Via email - TODAY or at least before the meeting begins Monday. Put in your subject line **File # 220249**. Send to:

- Clerk of the Committee: Erica.Major@sfgov.org
- Myrna Melgar (Chair): Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org
- Aaron Peskin (member): Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org
- Dean Preston (member): Dean.Preston@sfgov.org
- Gordon Mar: Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org

2. In-person Monday - City Hall, Legislative Chamber, Room 250. Item #6 probably will not come up before 2:30 or 3pm. [Here's the agenda](#), which includes detailed instructions for participation. Those who show up in person get to speak first. People lined up to speak makes a great impression - but whatever you can do is fine.

3. By calling in to the meeting - 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2485 132 7480 # # When it's time to comment, press *3 to enter the speaker line. Don't mute yourself - your phone will be automatically muted and then unmuted when it's your turn to talk.

Some talking points (mention your district):

- We need our Supervisors to figure out how to fund building electrification, now. We are one of the wealthiest cities in the world so we have no excuse not to provide the necessary funding.
- Getting methane ("natural" gas) out of our buildings is a critical part of our Climate

Action Plan. Unless we do it, while making sure *everyone* has the wherewithal to achieve a safe and healthy place to live, we can't make our climate goals.

- This city is behind the curve on climate action already. The Supervisors must be bold and focus on the actions necessary to stave off the worst consequences of climate destruction.
- The recent horrifying anti-climate actions of the Supreme Court and Senator Joe Manchin make it all the more obvious that we can't depend on the feds right now. It's up to us.

Onward climate soldiers!

SF Climate Emergency Coalition

Sent via ActionNetwork.org. To update your email address, change your name or address, or to stop receiving emails from San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, please [click here](#).

--

Marvis J. Phillips
Board Chair
District 6 Community Planners

From: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Dave Rhody](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Bldg. Electrification #220249 / Land Use & Trans. Committee 7/18/22
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:25:59 PM

Received and filed into the official hearing Board File No. 220449. Thank you!

BOS – c pages, 220249.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Dave Rhody <dave@rhodyco.com>

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2022 3:58 PM

**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Bldg. Electrification #220249 / Land Use & Trans. Committee 7/18/22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Date: July 15, 2022

To: Supervisors / Land Use & Transportation Committee

Re: Building Electrification / Item #220249

Nothing is more important for the future of San Francisco than climate action. As SFEEnvironment has reported, "energy use from buildings in San Francisco contributes to 41% of the citywide GHG pollution, and natural gas is responsible for 87% of the building GHG emissions."

Please, do everything in your power to initiate, support and enact legislation that continues San Francisco's building decarbonization. And I ask that you empower the Department of Environment (SFEEnvironment) to monitor and enforce new building electrification codes.

My thanks to Supervisor Gordon Mar for taking a leading role on building electrification and my thanks to you for recognizing the urgency of climate action.

-Dave Rhody  
1594 45th Ave.  
San Francisco, CA 94122  
[Climate Reality Leader](#),  
[Climate Reality Project](#)



**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [David Harrison](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: BOMA SF Comment Letter for Hearing on Climate Action Plan Building Operations Component, #220249  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 2:46:31 PM

---

Thanks, confirming receipt and inclusion to the file.

BOS – c pages, 220249.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Harrison <DavidH@boma.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2022 4:54 PM

To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

Subject: BOMA SF Comment Letter for Hearing on Climate Action Plan Building Operations Component, #220249

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Erica,

Please find the attached letter for Monday's Land Use and Transportation Committee hearing, file #220249. Thank you.

All the best,

David Harrison

Manager of Government and Public Affairs

[BOMA San Francisco](#)

(202) 262-5860 (Mobile)

davidh@boma.com

From: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Nancy Haber](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Hearing 220249 - Building electrification strategies in the Climate Action Plan
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 2:16:17 PM

Thank you for your testimony, I have added it to Board File No. 220249.

BOS – Please add to c pages, 220249.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Nancy Haber <nancyhaber38@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2022 1:12 PM

**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Hearing 220249 - Building electrification strategies in the Climate Action Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Supervisors,

I want to thank Supervisor Mar for requesting this hearing on plans for forging ahead with total Building Electrification in San Francisco, as detailed by the SF Department of the Environment based on our Climate Action Plan. As a climate activist and SF resident of District 7, I appreciate the work Director Jue and the Department staff have done in moving forward with the implementation of our updated and comprehensive CAP.

Our buildings and appliances emit 40% of our total GHG emissions in SF and represent a huge opportunity to mitigate immediate environmental dangers in SF and meet our climate goals by electrification. It is imperative that we act as quickly and as aggressively as possible to stave off the ever increasing dangers of climate change, affecting us right now in the polluted air we breathe both inside (methane and other poisonous gases from gas appliances) and outside our homes. While we are confronted every day with these dangers, it is all too easy to put off action in the face of what seem to be more pressing issues or too great an expense, but the truth is that climate change and environmental collapse affect every aspect of our lives: our health, social and environmental inequalities, certainly our economy; and these problems become ever more expensive to solve with any delay. We cannot afford to wait any longer to use all our wealth, talent, and political courage to address our climate emergency and immediate air pollution!

I appreciate all the work our Board of Supervisors has done to this point to help the City address climate change and environmental problems, and help move us

towards a just transition to a liveable City. I urge you to keep your focus on empowering SFE and our urgent need to electrify our buildings, and be unstinting in your efforts to support the Department and the CAP with funding, legislation, and all resources at your disposal.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Haber  
SF District 7



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 5 Letters regarding File No. 220703  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:38:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [5 Letters regarding File No. 220703.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 5 letters regarding File No. 220703.

**File No. 220703** - Human Services Agency - Agreements with Hotels Providing Non-Congregate Shelter to Persons Experiencing Homelessness

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Ken Horan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** re hotel extensions file number 220703  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 5:00:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Empty the damned hotels now. They're filled with unaccountable, uncontrollable people who are an ongoing hazard to everyone in the vicinity.

Show some respect to the hard working, tax paying citizens of SOMA. How would you like these people living in your neighborhood?

**From:** [Mark Andrus](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** re hotel extensions file number 220703  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 3:04:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Empty the damned hotels now. They're filled with unaccountable, uncontrollable people who are an ongoing hazard to everyone in the vicinity.

-Mark



**From:** [Reese Isbell](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Paulino, Tom \(MYR\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Rhorer, Trent \(HSA\)](#); [McSpadden, Shireen \(HOM\)](#); [Carroll, Maryellen \(DEM\)](#); [Cohen, Emily \(HOM\)](#); [Simmons, Noelle \(HOM\)](#); [Kaplan, Daniel \(HSA\)](#)  
**Subject:** proposed extensions of HSA's hotel booking agreements  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 4:56:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Walton and Supervisors:

I write regarding the proposed extension legislation, File #220703, Item #29 on the agenda for July 19, 2021 of the Board of Supervisors, which would authorize the Human Services Agency (HSA) to once again extend their hotel booking agreements.

I reside next to two of the current hotels and have witnessed the complete lack of support to the neighborhood's public safety and cleanliness. The security provided for the hotels by HSA, highlighted by the HSH spokesperson at the Budget & Finance Committee hearing last week as 24/7, is limited to 'on-site'. 'On-site' means the security does not, and refuses to, attend to any matters outside of the perimeter of the hotel's land, even when it's directly at the entryways.

Fentanyl drug dealers continue to congregate outside of these hotels' perimeters, preying on the homeless residents inside. The dealers are surrounding these hotels because they now have easy City-supported access to them. Neighborhood residents and businesses are simply told to 'call the police' or other City departments while HSA and the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) do nothing about the drug markets and remarkably unsafe conditions generated in the neighborhood.

The recent addition, referenced by HSH staff at the Budget & Finance hearing last week, of 'street ambassadors who patrol areas around the SIP hotels... to create a new sense of neighborhood safety' are not in fact working on public safety for the neighborhood. They are providing outreach to those on the street; they are not security ambassadors. Outreach to people on the street is indeed a noble activity, however, it does not address the need for security and public safety measures those of us in the neighborhood have been calling for regarding the safety of the neighborhood itself.

Further, the dealers have food delivered directly outside these hotels, by their own caterers, which they share with the City's sheltered individuals in order to entice them to buy/use. These food deliveries, and additional paraphernalia by all of those now congregating around the hotels, lead to large piles of trash all over the neighborhood-- which HSA and HSH also do nothing about.

In the specific case of my neighborhood's Americania hotel, HSA continued to allow open-air drug markets to operate over the last year on the actual City-run property of the hotel via the parking spaces on Minna. Only recently, given our neighborhood's public outcry, did HSA then 'resolve' the situation by building a fence to block the parking spaces. The drug dealers

still operate, and have their meals catered, directly in front of the fence. The fence only serves to keep the dealers and their operations off the City-run property directly.

These comments and concerns expressed are not regarding the issue of homelessness generally or the individuals experiencing it. I write to speak to the ongoing lack of attention by the City, through these HSA contracts, to the surrounding neighborhoods they are entering.

I urge the Board, and the City, to address the public safety concerns of the neighborhoods where these contracts are held, or not extend the contracts. I also urge the City to take responsibility for the physical, financial, and emotional damages they have made to the residents, businesses, and neighborhoods surrounding the City-contracted hotels.

Thank you,

Reese Aaron Isbell  
588 Minna, Unit 301  
San Francisco, CA 94103

**From:** [Reese Isbell](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Paulino, Tom \(MYR\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Rhorer, Trent \(HSA\)](#); [McSpadden, Shireen \(HOM\)](#); [Carroll, Maryellen \(DEM\)](#); [Cohen, Emily \(HOM\)](#); [Simmons, Noelle \(HOM\)](#); [Kaplan, Daniel \(HSA\)](#); [Scott, William \(POL\)](#); [Lazar, David \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Tullock, Cristel \(ADP\)](#); [Falvey, Timothy \(POL\)](#); [Martin, Luke \(POL\)](#); [Izaguirre, Romina](#); [Kosta, Christopher \(POL\)](#); [SFPD Southern Station, \(POL\)](#); [Adami, Steve \(ADP\)](#); [Pletsch, Destiny \(ADP\)](#); [Cedric Akbar](#); [hadrianmal@yahoo.com](#); [Beth Stokes](#); [Chris Callandrillo](#); [Bruss, Andrea \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Neighborhood petition re proposed extensions of HSA's hotel booking agreements  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 9:35:01 PM  
**Attachments:** [Neighborhood Petition July 2022.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Walton and Supervisors:

Please see the attached Neighborhood Petition signed by 70 neighbors, residents, small businesses, renters, home owners, property managers, housing organizations, and local community-based groups in the neighborhood surrounding the Americania Hotel and the Good Hotel in South of Market.

The petition is regarding the proposed extension legislation, File #220703, Item #29 on the Board's agenda, which would authorize the Human Services Agency (HSA) to once again extend their hotel booking agreements.

Additionally, I've attached a photo from today. This is actually a relatively smaller version of the ongoing open air drug markets which persist in front of the fence of the Americania hotel. The fence was built to keep the drug markets from continuing on the City-run hotel's parking spaces.

The neighborhood still has the drug markets, while HSH and HSA have simply walled themselves, and their sense of responsibility, off from them.



reese

**From:** [Joseph Mark Andrus](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** hotel extensions file number 220703  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 5:01:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To elaborate on my earlier comment: the proper place to house addicts is NOT in the middle of SOMA a block from sixth street. The two hotels have created a great center of drug-trafficking activity, and are providing residents an easy and ample supply of fentanyl and other harmful substances.

Proper location of this type of facility is well outside a population center, in a restricted environment where these people can actually recover from their addictions rather than be preyed upon by drug dealers in the city.

I would fully support any bond issue to create such a facility. I understand the area around Hetch Hetchy has a good deal of city-owned land that would be well-suited to the type of isolated treatment/recovery facility that would actually help rather than further harm the residents and everyone nearby.

-Mark

> On Jul 18, 2022, at 3:04 PM, Mark Andrus <[djmarksf@gmail.com](mailto:djmarksf@gmail.com)> wrote:

>

> Empty the damned hotels now. They're filled with unaccountable, uncontrollable people who are an ongoing hazard to everyone in the vicinity.

>

> -Mark

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: California Retailers Assn support for camera monitoring legislation  
**Date:** Friday, July 22, 2022 10:28:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Outlook-edntuodm.png](#)  
[CRA Support letter SF Camera Monitoring Leg.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the California Realtor's Association regarding File No. 220606.

**File No. 220606** - Administrative Code - Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Rachel Michelin <RMichelin@calretailers.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2022 7:35 PM  
**To:** BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Ryan Allain <ryan@calretailers.com>  
**Subject:** California Retailers Assn support for camera monitoring legislation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see attached letter. If you have any questions or need additional information please don't hesitate to contact me directly.

Rachel

***Rachel Michelin***  
President & CEO  
1121 L Street, #607  
Sacramento, CA 95814

P:916/443-1975



@rachelemichelin

@CRAgovtaffairs



July 14, 2022

Honorable London Breed  
Mayor, San Francisco  
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl #200  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Breed,

I am writing on behalf of the members of the California Retailers Association in strong support of your sponsored legislation that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crimes. We view this as another tool in the toolbox for retailers and law enforcement to combat retail theft in San Francisco.

Currently, police are barred from accessing or monitoring, even temporarily, live video that is owned or operated by third parties unless there are "exigent circumstances" -- this is defined as circumstances that involve an imminent danger of serious physical injury or death and if this standard is not met, the police cannot access live video even on a temporary basis. This intentional blinding of law enforcement does not make sense and it does not make retail employees or customers safer. I am concerned that under current law, SFPD is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent retail crimes except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, retail theft, and burglaries - many of the things we have witnessed in the city over the past several years. We encourage the Board of Supervisors to consider your sponsored legislation, that would give law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crimes.

I am aware of the discussions around possible amendments to this legislation to remove the ability to monitor private cameras as part of an active criminal investigations. CRA strongly supports the proposed legislation to move forward, as written, without amendments. The original language provides guardrails and transparency to ensure this information is not used for purposes not outlined in the legislation but will quickly become a valuable tool for retail and law enforcement to work together to protect retail employees and customers who live, work and shop in San Francisco.

Retailers have witness organized crime in San Francisco, whether it's mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside -- these are all criminal activities that law enforcement can address more effectively if they have access to information that third parties, such as retailers, can provide to help deter and combat criminal activity.

Retailers support the strong guardrails, included in this legislation, to prevent the misuse of this technology including the right of the owner or operator to refuse any request for access, a mandate for written requests for access that are auditable upon demand, training for command level staff as well as any officer who would have access to video, and explicitly barring using video to target anyone for exercising their First Amendment rights.

In addition, we believe this legislation can also allow video footage to be used as evidence to exonerate individuals who may be wrongly accused and help police and prosecutors ensure that they are holding the correct persons responsible.

San Francisco retail employees and consumers want to be safe when shopping in stores, eating in restaurants or using the unique venues that San Francisco is famous for. The California Retailers Association is ready to collaborate with all individuals looking for solutions to retail theft/ORC and we applaud you for this bold step to protect the diverse communities in San Francisco.

Thank you for your leadership on this issue. I look forward to collaborating with you and members of the Board of Supervisors on innovative ways to combat retail theft so we can protect San Francisco's employees, customers and the communities they live and work in.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss in more detail, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at 916/443-1975.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Rachel Michelin', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Rachel Michelin  
President + CEO  
California Retailers Association

CC: Members, San Francisco County Board of Supervisors