1	[Authorizing the Acquisition of Real Property by Eminent Domain for Transbay Transit Center Program - 85 Natoma Street No. 2]
2	riogram ou ratoma ou out ro. 2]
3	
4	Resolution authorizing the acquisition of real property commonly known as 85 Natoma
5	Street No. 2, San Francisco, California (Assessor's Block No. 3721, Lot No. 110) by
6	eminent domain for the public purpose of constructing the Transbay Transit Center
7	Program; adopting environmental findings under the California Environmental Quality
8	Act (CEQA), CEQA Guidelines, and Administrative Code Chapter 31; and adopting
9	findings of consistency with the General Plan and City Planning Code Section 101.1.
10	
11	WHEREAS, The Transbay Transit Center Program (the "Project") consists of, among
12	other things, a new Transit Center at the current site of the Transbay Terminal in the City and
13	County of San Francisco (the "City"); a temporary terminal on the block bounded by Main,
14	Beale, Folsom, and Howard Streets; reconstructed bus ramps from the Transit Center to the
15	San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; an offsite bus storage/layover area under Interstate 80 on
16	the two blocks bounded by Perry, Stillman, 2nd, and 4th Streets; a Caltrain station near 4th
17	and Townsend Street; and the Transbay Redevelopment Plan; and
18	WHEREAS, The Project's primary objectives include connecting multiple modes of
19	transit; extending Caltrain and High Speed Rail into the new downtown Transit Center;
20	increasing transit use and reducing travel time; and creating a new transit-oriented
21	neighborhood around the Transit Center; and
22	WHEREAS, The Project will provide a critical transportation improvement, including a
23	modern regional transit hub connecting eight Bay Area counties and the State of California
24	through eleven transit systems; and
25	

1	WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 25350.5 and 37350.5 authorize the
2	City's Board of Supervisors (this "Board") to acquire any property necessary to carry out any
3	of the powers or functions of the City by eminent domain; and
4	WHEREAS, The real property commonly known as 85 Natoma Street No. 2, San
5	Francisco, California (Assessor's Block 3721, Lot 110) (the "Subject Property"), which is more
6	particularly described in Exhibit A and shown in Exhibit B, is required for the construction of
7	the Project; and
8	WHEREAS, On April 22, 2004, the City's Planning Commission (the "Commission")
9	certified in Motion No. 16773 that the Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental
10	Impact Report and Section 4(f) Evaluation ("Final EIS/EIR") for the Project was in compliance
11	with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31 of the City Administrative Code. The Fina
12	EIS/EIR and Motion No. 16773 are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File
13	No and are incorporated by reference; and
14	WHEREAS, On April 22, 2004, in Resolution No. 2004-11, the Peninsula Corridor Join
15	Powers Board also certified the Final EIS/EIR and made findings similar to those of the
16	Commission with regard to CEQA and the CEQA guidelines. Resolution No. 2004-11 is on
17	file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No and is incorporated by
18	reference; and
19	WHEREAS, On April 20, 2004, in Resolution No. 45-2004, the San Francisco
20	Redevelopment Agency also certified the Final EIS/EIR and made findings similar to those of
21	the Commission with regard to CEQA and the CEQA guidelines. Resolution No. 45-2004 is
22	on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No and is incorporated by
23	reference; and
24	WHEREAS, On April 22, 2004, the Board of Directors of the Transbay Joint Powers
25	Authority ("TJPA"), by Resolution No. 04-004, approved the components of the Project within

1	its jurisdiction and adopted CEQA Findings, including a Statement of Overriding
2	Considerations and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. Resolution No. 04-004 is
3	on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No and is incorporated by
4	reference; and
5	WHEREAS, On June 15, 2004, this Board adopted Motion No. 04-67, in Board File No.
6	040629, affirming the Commission's decision to certify the Final EIS/EIR. Motion No. 04-67 is
7	on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No and is incorporated by
8	reference; and
9	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors in Resolution No. 612-04, adopted
10	environmental findings in relation to the Transbay Terminal, Caltrain Downtown Extension,
11	and Transbay Redevelopment Plan. Copies of said Resolution and supporting materials are in
12	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 41079. The Board of Supervisors, in Ordinance
13	No. 124-05 as part of its adoption of the Transbay Redevelopment Plan, adopted additional
14	environmental findings. Copies of said Ordinance and supporting materials are in Clerk of the
15	Board of Supervisors File No. 50184. Said Resolution and Ordinance and supporting
16	materials are incorporated by reference herein for the purposes of this Resolution; and
17	WHEREAS, The Board of Directors of the TJPA have approved addenda to the Final
18	EIS/EIR as follows: Addendum No. 1 (Resolution No. 06-011) (June 2, 2006); Addendum No.
19	2 (Resolution No. 07-013) (April 19, 2007); Addendum No. 3 (Resolution No. 08-003)
20	(January 17, 2008); Addendum No. 4 (Resolution No. 08-039) (October 17, 2008); and
21	Addendum No. 5 (Resolution No. 09-019) (April 9, 2009) (collectively, "Addenda"). The
22	Addenda and adopting resolutions are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File
23	No and are incorporated by reference; and
24	WHEREAS, The Final EIS/EIR files and other Project-related Planning Department
25	files are available for review by this Board and the public. The Planning Department files are

available at 1660 Mission Street.	Those files are part of the record before this Board and are
incorporated by reference; and	

WHEREAS, The City's Planning Department has found that the acquisition of the Subject Property for the Project is consistent with the General Plan and the Eight Priority Policies of the City Planning Code Section 101.1 to the extent applicable; and

WHEREAS, The City and TJPA staff obtained appraisals of the Subject Property in compliance with California Government Code Section 7267 et seq. and all related statutory procedures for possible acquisition of the Subject Property, and submitted a joint offer to the Subject Property owner of record to purchase the Subject Property as required by California Government Code Section 7267.2; and

WHEREAS, On October 14, 2010, the TJPA's Board of Directors adopted Resolution No. 10-045, in which it found that (a) the public interest and necessity require the Project; (b) the Project is planned to maximize the public good and minimize private injury; (c) the Subject Property is necessary for the Project; (d) the City and the TJPA have made a sufficient offer of purchase and complied with all procedural prerequisites to the exercise of eminent domain; and (e) acquisition of the Subject Property for the Project will fulfill the mandates of various State and City laws, including San Francisco Proposition H-Downtown Caltrain Station (November 1999), San Francisco Proposition K-San Francisco Transportation Sales Tax (November 2003), California Public Resources Code Section 5027.1(a), and California Streets and Highways Code Sections 2704.04(b) and 30914(c), all of which concern reconstruction of the new Transit Center on the site of the existing Transbay Terminal and the new Transit Center's accommodation of a Caltrain extension and high speed passenger rail line; and

WHEREAS, In adopting Resolution No. 10-045, the TJPA Board of Directors recommended that this Board adopt a Resolution of Necessity to condemn the Subject

Property and initiate eminent domain proceedings to acquire the Subject Property for the
Project; and

WHEREAS, In adopting Resolution No. 10-045, the TJPA Board of Directors also found that in the event that the City elects to adopt a Resolution of Necessity and initiate eminent domain proceedings to acquire the Subject Property, the TJPA will bear the costs of litigating any eminent domain action, and will provide all compensation ordered by the court for the condemned Subject Property; and

WHEREAS, This Board finds and determines that each person whose name and address appears on the last equalized County Assessment Roll as an owner of the Subject Property has been given notice and a reasonable opportunity to appear and be heard on this date on the matter referred to in California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1240.030 in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1245.235; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That this Board, having reviewed and considered the Final EIS/EIR and Addenda, and the record as a whole, finds that the action taken herein is within the scope of the Project and activities evaluated in the Final EIS/EIR and Addenda, that the Final EIS/EIR and Addenda are adequate for use by this Board for the action taken herein, and adopt the Addenda for purposes of the action taken herein; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Board finds that since the Final EIS/EIR and Addenda were finalized, there have been no substantial Project changes and no substantial changes in Project circumstances that would require major revisions to the Final EIS/EIR and Addenda due to new significant environmental effects or an increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts, and there is no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the Final EIS/EIR and Addenda; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Board hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by
reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings of the Planning Department that the
acquisition of the Subject Property is consistent with the General Plan and the Eight Priority
Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That by at least a two-thirds vote of this Board under California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 1240.030 and 1245.230, this Board finds and determines each of the following:

- 1. The public interest and necessity require the Project;
- 2. The Project is planned and located in the manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and the least private injury;
 - 3. The Subject Property is necessary for the Project;
- 4. The offer required by California Government Code Section 7267.2 has been made to the owner of record of the Subject Property; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to take all steps necessary to commence and prosecute proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of record of the Subject Property and the owner or owners of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the Project, to the extent such proceedings are necessary, and is authorized and directed to take any and all actions or comply with any and all legal procedures to obtain an order for immediate or permanent possession of the Subject Property, as described in Exhibit A and shown in Exhibit B, in conformity with existing or amended law; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Board adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, each of the findings made by the TJPA in adopting Resolution No. 10-045 on October 14, 2010.

1	RECOMMENDED:
2	REAL ESTATE DIVISION, GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY
3	By:
4	Amy Brown Director of Real Estate
5	Director of recar Lotate
6	
7	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
8	DETAILED OF FIELD OF FREEDRINGS
9	By: Kristen A. Jensen
10	Deputy City Attorney
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	