## Recommendation 1:

## Rezone all the City's RH-1 zoning districts to RH-2.



RH-1(D), RH-1(S) \& RH-1 districts

## Recommendation 2:

Increase the density exception on corner lots from four units to six units.


## Recommendation 3:

## Adopt a local alternative to SB 9.

Two possible tracks for projects that meet the City's housing goals. Both tracks grant:

- Reduction of rear yard requirement with four units;
- Exempt from 311 notice and 317;
- Subject to objective design standards; and
- Lot split allowed on corner lots.

The "owner occupied" path additionally grants:

- Ability to bypass condo restrictions; and
- Historic Evaluation fee waiver.


## Recommendation 4:

Explore establishing a fee on single-family homes larger than 4,000 sq. ft.

## Recommendation 5:

Increase funding to supportive housing programs.

## Recommendation 6:

Amend the proposed Ordinances to comply with Senate Bill 10's technical requirements.


## Recommendation 7:

㔷
Pursue the adoption of objective residential design standards.

## Recommendation 8:

Recommend amendments to the Subdivision
Code for projects that meet certain requirements, to apply to form condos via new construction pathway, even for existing units being retained.



OPTION A


## Current Building Standards

|  | RH-1(D) | RH-1 | RH-1(S) | RH-2 | RH-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height \& Bulk Limits | 35 ft high for $100 \%$ residential buildings, 40 ft high for buildings with non-residential uses |  |  | 40ft high | 40ft high |
| Front Setback | Required. Based on average of adjacent properties or if subject property has a Legislated Setback. When front setback is based on adjacent properties, in no case shall the required setback be greater than 15 ft |  |  |  |  |
| Rear Yard | $30 \%$ of lot depth, but in no case less than 15ft |  |  | $45 \%$ of lot depth or average of adjacent neighbors. If averaged, no less than $25 \%$ or 15 ft , whichever is greater |  |
| Side Yard | Required for lots wider than 28 ft . |  | Not required |  |  |
| Front Setback Landscaping | Required. At least 50\% of Front Setback shall be permeable to increase storm water infiltration and 20\% of Front Setback shall be unpaved and devoted to plant material |  |  |  |  |
| Usable Open Space Minimum per Dwelling Unit | 300 sqft if pri com | 0 sqft if | 300sqft for the 1st unit and 100sqft for the minor 2nd unit if private, and 400sqft for the 1st unit and 133sqft for the 2nd unit if common | 125sqft if private, and 166 sqft if common | 100sqft if private, and 133sqft if common |

Proposed Local Alternative


| SB 9 |  |  |  | Proposed Local Alternative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proposal | Lot Split | No Lot Split | Non-Owner Occupied | Owner Occupied or Owned by Nonprofit |
|  | Where it Applies | RH-1, RH-1(D), \& RH-1(S) |  | All RH Districts |  |
|  | Subject to 317 | No |  | No |  |
|  | Subject to 311 | No |  | No |  |
|  | Residential Design Guidelines | Objective Standards Only |  | Objective Standards Only |  |
|  | CEQA Review | No |  | Yes |  |
|  | Fee Waiver for | No |  | No | Yes |
|  | Condo Conversion Process | Depends on the project |  | Condominiums may be formed as part of new construction, however, owners of nonowner occupied units would remain ineligible to apply for condominium conversion of non-owner occupied units under a new provision of the Subdivision Code enacted under this ordinance | Owner may apply to form condos via new construction pathway, even for existing units being retained (would require a new provision of the Subdivision Code enacted under this Ordinance) |
| ALL OTHER PROJECTS in ANY RH DISTRICT: Up to 2 units allowed plus ADU's for all projects as of right, with up to 4 units on interior lots allowed and 6 units on corner lots allowed for projects not also seeking a density bonus. $30 \%$ rear yard allowed if building at least 4 units. Regular Planning Code processes (311, 317, RDG's, etc.) apply. <br> A fee would be charged for projects in RH districts proposing new construction of a single-family home, or expansion of an existing single-family home that would result in a unit 4,000sqft or more. The amount of the fee should be based on both nexus and feasibility studies and should be distributed to the Down Payment Assistance Fund. <br> *Not required if owned by a non-profit |  |  |  |  |  |




|  |  |  | SB 9 |  | Supervisor Mandelman's Proposed Ordinances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proposal | Lot Split | No Lot Split | ```Corner Lots Only (Board File No. 210564)``` | All Lots (Board File No. 210866) |
|  |  | Where it Applies | RH-1, RH-1(D) | ), \& RH-1(S) | RH-1, RH-1(D), \& R | H-1(S), RH-2, \& RH-3 |
| $\square$ |  | Maximum Density | 2 units on each new lot + ADUs if allowed by local Ordinance | 2 units + ADUs | 4 units | + ADU'S |
|  |  | Minimum Lot Size | 1,200 sq ft for each new lot ( $2,400 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ total) | no minimum lot size required | Stan | dard |
| (1) |  | Owner Occupancy Requirement | Owner "Intent to Occupy" 3 years post lot split approval | No owner occupancy requirement pre/post project | No owner occupancy pro | requirement pre/post ject |
|  |  | Required Rear Yard Setback | -No building standa would prevent two, 80 -4ft rear yard setbac local | rds are allowed that 00sqft units per parcel $k$ can be required by dinance | RH-3 Building Stand | dards (45\% rear yard) |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | Unit Proportionality | None | None |  | one |
| $\square$ |  | Increase Density | An SB 9 development per | must include 2 units lot | Must be more than ba | base allowable density |
|  |  | Eligibility | -Has not been tenant years prior to filing the owner occupi -Will not demolish a r a unit with an Ellis Act last 15 -Is not a Historic Reso or in a Hist | occupied for at least 3 application (could be ied or vacant) <br> ent-controlled unit, or ct eviction within the 5 years <br> ource under Article 10 oric District | May not also seek bonus under Se | or receive a density ec. 206.5 or 206.6 |
| $)$ |  | Subject to 317 | N | o |  | Yes |
|  |  | Subject to 311 | N | o |  | Yes |
|  |  | Residential Design Guidelines | Objective RD S | Standards only | Residential De | esign Guidelines |
|  | 8 | CEQA Review | N | 0 |  | es |
|  | ¢ | Fee Waiver for Historic Evaluation | N | o |  | No |
|  |  | Condo Conversion Program | Depends on the project | Depends on the project | Subject to condo co proposals retaining | nversion process for g an existing unit(s) |

[^0]The Way It Would Be:

|  | BF\# 211202 (Mar) | BF\# 211234 (Safai) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zoning Districts | All RH Districts | RH-1, RH-1(D), RH-2, \& RH-3 |
| Density Exception | 4 units per lot (not including ADU's) | 4 units per lot (inclusive of ADU's) |
| Eligible <br> Lots | - All <br> - Total of 121,429 parcels | - Must be within 1 mile of a major transit stop ${ }^{3}$ <br> - 2,500 sqft <br> - Total of 79,850 parcels (See Exhibit C for map) |
| Eligible Projects | - Is not receiving another density bonus <br> - Each unit over base density must have at least 2 bedrooms, and; <br> - Each unit over base density must be rent controlled, and; <br> - All units over base density must be affordable at 100\% AMI for both rental and owner | - Will result in at least 3 dwelling units <br> - Is not receiving another density bonus <br> - Any units proposed for demolition must be replaced <br> - Must be proposing new construction or additions to existing structures <br> - Must be $100 \%$ residential <br> - Must include at least 1 affordable unit: <br> - Rental @ 110\% AMI max, or Owner @ 140\% AMI max, and; <br> - At least 2 units contain 1 or more bedrooms, and; <br> - Subject to rent control <br> - No unit smaller than min. size set by CA TCAC |
| Additional <br> Exceptions <br> for Eligible <br> Projects | RH-3 building standards apply | - Rear yard reduction to no more than $25 \%$ or 15 ft (whichever is greater)* <br> - Exposure reduction to no less than 25 ft in each direction* <br> - RM-1 open space standards apply <br> - Priority Processing (180 days)* <br> - No Neighborhood Notice (311 Notice) <br> - No Discretionary Review <br> - Minor alleviations from Residential Design Guideline massing standards at discretion of Planning Director <br> - Up to $15 \%$ additional alleviations from open space, rear yard, and exposure at discretion of Planning Director |

[^1]
## Sup. Safai: Eligible Parcels Map




[^0]:    le No. 210564 \& 210866

[^1]:    *With additional restrictions/conditions

