AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 9/15/22

RESOLUTION NO. FILE NO.220506

1	[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Shovel Ready: Best Practices and Collaboration to Improve San Francisco's Capital Construction Program]
2	Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
3	
4	and recommendations contained in the 2021-2022 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled
5	"Shovel Ready: Best Practices and Collaboration to Improve San Francisco's Capital
6	Construction Program;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted
7	findings and recommendations through her department heads and through the
8	development of the annual budget.
9	
10	WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of
11	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
12	Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
13	WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
14	recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
15	county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
16	and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
17	response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
18	which it has some decision making authority; and
19	WHEREAS, Under San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(a), the Board of
20	Supervisors must conduct a public hearing by a committee to consider a final report of the
21	findings and recommendations submitted, and notify the current foreperson and immediate
22	past foreperson of the Civil Grand Jury when such hearing is scheduled; and
23	WHEREAS, In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(b),
24	the Controller must report to the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of
25	

1	recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters that were considered at a public hearing held
2	by a Board of Supervisors Committee; and
3	WHEREAS, The 2021-2022 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Shovel Ready: Best
4	Practices and Collaboration to Improve San Francisco's Capital Construction Program"
5	("Report") is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 220505, which is
6	hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
7	WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
8	to Finding Nos. F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, and F8, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1, R2, R3,
9	R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, and R9, contained in the subject Report; and
10	WHEREAS, Finding No. F1 states: "Without a clear project manager with full
11	responsibility and authority, the contractor performance evaluation database project lacked
12	sufficient momentum to be completed, fully adopted and used;" and
13	WHEREAS, Finding No. F2 states: "The existing project team and Chapter 6
14	departments failed to implement the database in a timely manner, delaying the benefits it
15	could provide in improving construction quality, meeting budgets and timelines, and improving
16	contractor relationships;" and
17	WHEREAS, Finding No. F3 states: "Chapter 6 departments failed to enter performance
18	evaluations into the database, thus negating its value;" and
19	WHEREAS, Finding No. F4 states: "Prior updates to Section 6.26 of the Administrative
20	Code excluded language that the database <i>must</i> be used to evaluate contractors going
21	forward;" and
22	WHEREAS, Finding No. F5 states: "In designing and developing the database, the
23	project team neglected to add the technical capability to see who consults the database,
24	making it difficult to hold departments accountable for using the database;" and

1	WHEREAS, Finding No. F6 states: "When evaluators omit 'Lessons Learned' entries in
2	that data field, the evaluations lack the most critical information to help inform future
3	contractor selections;" and
4	WHEREAS, Finding No. F8 states: "The database fails to provide a way for non-
5	Chapter 6 departments to provide feedback on both contractors and Chapter 6 department
6	performance, resulting in no accountability for either the contracting department or the
7	contractor;" and
8	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R1 states: "We recommend that by 6/15/22 the
9	Mayor specify which department shall manage and have responsibility and authority for the
10	contractor performance evaluation database to improve compliance, monitoring and
11	consistent use. We further recommend that the director of the specified department appoint
12	the project manager by 6/30/22;" and
13	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R2 states: "We recommend that by 9/30/22, the
14	database project manager specified in R1 complete implementation, training sessions and 'go
15	live' workshops with all Chapter 6 departments;" and
16	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R3 states: "We recommend that by 12/31/2022, the
17	Mayor require that all Chapter 6 departments to begin submitting evaluations into the
18	database;" and
19	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R4 states: "We recommend that by 12/31/2022, the
20	Mayor explicitly directs all Chapter 6 departments to consult the database when selecting
21	contractors;" and
22	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R5 states: "We recommend that by 6/30/2023 the
23	project manager update the database technology to include the capability to hold evaluators
24	accountable by observing who is using the database and when;" and
25	

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R6 states: "We recommend that by 6/30/2023, the
project manager update the database technology to require the 'Lessons Leaned' data field
be filled out before an evaluation can be marked 'complete;" and
WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R7 states: "We recommend that by 6/30/2023, the
project manager include sections in the database to cover contractor compliance with the SIP
program;" and
WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R8 states: "We recommend that by 6/30/2023, the
project manager expand the database to include input from non-Chapter 6 departments
receiving construction services from Chapter 6 departments;" and
WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R9 states: "We recommend that starting in FY
2022-2023, the City Services Auditor Department within the Controller's Office conduct
performance audits of the City construction program every two years focusing on use of best
practices, collaboration, and other successes and challenges. The Controller's report from
2014 can serve as a template;" and
WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of
Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
Court on Finding Nos. F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, and F8, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1,
R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, and R9 contained in the subject Report; now, therefore, be it
RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F1; and, be it
FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
of the Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F2; and, be it
FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
of the Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F3; and, be it

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
2	of the Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F4; and, be it
3	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
4	of the Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F5; and, be it
5	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
6	of the Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F6; and, be it
7	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
8	of the Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F8; and, be it
9	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
10	No. R1 will not be implemented by the Board of Supervisors because the Board does not
11	have jurisdiction, and that the Board hereby urges the Department of Public Works to assign a
12	project manager by December 31, 2022; and, be it
13	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
14	No. R2 will not be implemented by the Board of Supervisors because the Board does not
15	have jurisdiction, and that the Board hereby urges the Department of Public Works to hold
16	necessary outreach and training sessions with all Chapter 6 departments by December 31,
17	2022 and to present an implementation report to the Board by March 31, 2023; and, be it
18	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
19	No. R3 will not be implemented by the Board of Supervisors because the Board does not
20	have jurisdiction, and that the Board hereby urges all Chapter 6 departments to begin
21	submitting evaluations into the database by December 31, 2022; and, be it
22	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
23	No. R4 has not been implemented but will be implemented and that the Board of Supervisors
24	will introduce an ordinance by December 31, 2022 amending the Administrative Code to
25	

1	require all Chapter 6 departments to consult contractor performance evaluations when
2	selecting contractors; and, be it
3	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
4	No. R5 requires further analysis and urges the Department of Public Works to report to the
5	Board of Supervisors by March 31, 2023 on the feasibility of updating the database
6	technology to include the capability to observe who is using the database and when or to
7	present alternative methods of increasing accountability for evaluators in using the database;
8	and, be it
9	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
10	No. R6 requires further analysis and urges the Department of Public Works to report to the
11	Board of Supervisors by March 31, 2023 on the feasibility of updating the database
12	technology to require the "Lessons Learned" field to be filled out before the evaluation can be
13	marked complete or to present alternative methods of collecting this information from Chapter
14	6 departments; and, be it
15	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
16	No. R7 the recommendation will not be implemented because the maintenance of a
17	customized database by the Public Utilities Commission for Social Impact Partnership (SIP)
18	projects does not impede that or other Chapter 6 departments' ability to also submit entries to
19	the Contractor Performance Evaluation Database for those and other applicable public works
20	projects; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. R8 will not be implemented because the Contractor Performance Evaluation Database is designed to collect information about construction contractors that are managed directly by Chapter 6 departments, and because other forums including the Capital Planning Committee

25

21

22

23

1	are available for Chapter 6 and non-Chapter 6 departments to coordinate and improve
2	construction management practices; and, be it
3	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
4	No. R9 has been implemented by the Controller's City Services Auditor in its FY22-23 work
5	plan; and, be it
6	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
7	implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads
8	and through the development of the annual budget.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	