File No	101546
---------	--------

Committee	Item	No.
Board Item	No_	7

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	Date
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date January 11, 2011
Cmte Board	
Motion Resolution Cordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	d/or Report
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is	
Completed by: Joy Lamug Date Completed by: Date	January 6, 2011

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

7

8

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Exemption Determination - 10 Lundys Lane]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the project located at 10 Lundys Lane is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On August 27, 2010 a revision permit (Building Permit No. 2010-08-27-9763) was issued by the Department of Building Inspection for revisions to a previously approved permit for interior and exterior renovation of an existing single family home (the "Project"). The Planning Department had previously determined that the work involved in the prior permit, which permit is final and which is not the subject of this appeal, was exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. Although the Planning Department did not review the revision permit (Building Permit No. 2010-08-27-9763) before it was issued by the Department of Building Inspection, the Planning Department has subsequently reviewed the work associated with that permit and determined that the work is categorically exempt from CEQA. Specifically, in an email dated November 16, 2010 from Zoning Administrator Scott Sanchez to the Appellant, the Planning Department determined that the work associated with the second permit (Building Permit No. 2010-08-27-9763) is also exempt from environmental review. By letter to the Clerk of the Board John de Soto ("Appellant"), received by the Clerk's Office on or around December 2, 2010, appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, On January 11, 2011, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant, and following the public hearing reversed the exemption determination; and

Page 1 12/17/2010

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors reversed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 101543 and in the Planning Department files, which are available for public review by appointment at the Planning Department offices at 1650 Mission Street, and are incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the exemption determination issued by the Planning Department for the Project.