

CEQA Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)
The Great Highway Project		ect	
Case No.			Permit No.
2022-007356ENV			
	ldition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New
Alt	eration	Category B Building)	Construction
Project description for Planning Department approval. The San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) proposes the Great Highway Project, which would implement a pilot program to create a car-free bicycle and pedestrian promenade on weekends, holidays, and a portion of Fridays by restricting private vehicle access to the Upper Great Highway between Lincoln Way and Sloat Boulevard (2.0 miles). When closed to private vehicles, the roadway would become a separated right-of-way promenade for the exclusive use of pedestrians, bicyclists, emergency vehicles, and other permitted vehicles. The roadway would continue to operate as a four-lane vehicular roadway on weekdays from Monday to the Friday closure time. See attachments for a full project description and project plans.			
STEP 1: EXEMPTION TYPE The project has been determined to be exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).			
	Class 1 - Existin	g Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additi	ons under 10,000 sq. ft.
		onstruction. Up to three new single-family resident estructures; utility extensions; change of use under	
	sq. ft. and meets (a) The project is policies as well a (b) The proposes substantially sur (c) The project s (d) Approval of the water quality. (e) The site can	I Development. New Construction of seven or more the conditions described below: seconsistent with the applicable general plan design as with applicable zoning designation and regulation deduction designation and regulation designation and regulation designation and regulation described by urban uses. The project would not result in any significant effects the adequately served by all required utilities and particular planning use only	nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or
	Other Statutory Exemp Bill 288 Eligibility	ntion per Public Resources Code section 21080.25 Checklist	as demonstrated in the attached Senate
		Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b) bility of a significant effect on the environment. FO	• • •

STEP 2: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g. use of diesel construction equipment, backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map)
	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List if box is checked, note below whether the applicant has enrolled in or received a waiver from the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, or if Environmental Planning staff has determined that hazardous material effects would be less than significant. (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map)
	Transportation: Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeology review is required.
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked. Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Average Slope of Parcel = or > 25%, or site is in Edgehill Slope Protection Area or Northwest Mt. Sutro Slope Protection Area: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, or (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area? (refer to The Environmental Planning tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is likely required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Seismic Hazard: Landslide or Liquefaction Hazard Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area, or (4) grading performed at a site in the landslide hazard zone? (refer to The Environmental tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
Com	ments and Planner Signature (optional):

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map) Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. NOT APPLICABLE Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6. STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. 3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 7. **Dormer installation** that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under *Zoning* Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows. 8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a П single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building: and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5. Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6. STEP 5: ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I) П Reclassify to Category C Reclassify to Category A a. Per HRER (No further historic review) b. Other (specify): 2. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 3. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces that do not remove, alter, or obscure character defining features. 4. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 5. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.

	6. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alto features.	er, or obscure character-defining	
	7. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.	g's historic condition, such as historic	
	8. Work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standard (Analysis required):	s for the Treatment of Historic Properties	
	9. Work compatible with a historic district (Analysis required):		
	10. Work that would not materially impair a historic resource	(Attach HRER Part II).	
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Pre	servation Planner MUST sign below.	
	Project can proceed with exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.		
Comm	ents (optional):		
Preser	vation Planner Signature:		
	EP 6: EXEMPTION DETERMINATION BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER		
	No further environmental review is required. The project is	exempt under CEQA.	
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:	
	Approval via majority YES Vote of Board of Supervisors	Ryan Shum	
		09/28/2022	
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31of the Administrative Code.		
	In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.		

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Modified Project Description:			
DE1	TERMINATION IF PROJECT (CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION	
Com	pared to the approved project, w	ould the modified project:	
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;		
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;		
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?		
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?		
If at I	east one of the above boxes is	checked, further environmental review is required	
DET	ERMINATION OF NO SUBSTAN	NTIAL MODIFICATION	
	The proposed modification wor	uld not result in any of the above changes.	
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed to the Environmental Review Officer within 10 days of posting of this determination.			
Planner Name:		Date:	



Eligibility Checklist: Senate Bill 288 (SB288) and Public Resources Code Section 21080.25

Date of Preparation: September 28, 2022

Record No.: 2022-007356ENV, The Great Highway Project

Project Sponsor: Jordan Harrison, San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department

Staff Contact: Ryan Shum, ryan.shum@sfgov.org, (628) 652-7542

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Great Highway project would implement a pilot program to create a car-free bicycle and pedestrian promenade on weekends, holidays, and a portion of Fridays by restricting private vehicle access to the Upper Great Highway between Lincoln Way and Sloat Boulevard (2.0 miles). When closed to private vehicles, the roadway would become a separated right-of-way promenade for the exclusive use of pedestrians, bicyclists, emergency vehicles, and other permitted vehicles. The roadway would continue to operate as a four-lane vehicular roadway on weekdays from Monday to the Friday closure time.

The full project description and additional project information is attached to this checklist as Attachment A. Project plans are included as Attachment B.

Constructed by:	Contracted through:
☐ Public Works	☐ Public Works
☐ SFMTA	☐ SFMTA
⊠ RPD	⊠ RPD

SB288 ELIGIBILITY CHECKLIST

This project, as proposed, would be eligible for a Statutory Exemption per Public Resources Code section 21080.25 as demonstrated below.

Table 1: Project Type Checklist – Public Resources Code Section 21080.25(b) The project must meet at least one project type to qualify for this Statutory Exemption. See Attachment 1 below for definitions of terms.		
\boxtimes	(1) Pedestrian and bicycle facilities, including new facilities. For purposes of this paragraph, "bicycle facilities" include, but are not limited to, bicycle parking, bicycle sharing facilities, and bikeways as defined in Section 890.4 of the Streets and Highways Code.	
	(2) Projects that improve customer information and wayfinding for transit riders, bicyclists, or pedestrians.	
	(3) Transit prioritization projects.	
	(4) On highways with existing public transit service or that will be implementing public transit service within six months of the conversion, a project for the designation and conversion of general purpose lanes or highway shoulders to bus-only lanes, for use either during peak congestion hours or all day.	
	(5) A project for the institution or increase of new bus rapid transit, bus, or light rail service, including the construction of stations, on existing public rights-of-way or existing highway rights-of-way, whether or not the right-of-way is in use for public mass transit.	
	(6) A project to construct or maintain infrastructure to charge or refuel zero-emission transit buses, provided the project is carried out by a public transit agency that is subject to, and in compliance with, the State Air Resources Board's Innovative Clean Transit regulations (Article 4.3 (commencing with Section 2023) of Chapter 1 of Division 3 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations) and the project is located on property owned by the transit agency or within an existing public right-of-way.	
	(7) The maintenance, repair, relocation, replacement, or removal of any utility infrastructure associated with a project identified in items (1) to (6) above, inclusive.	
	(8) A project that consists exclusively of a combination of any of the components of a project identified in items (1) to (7) above, inclusive.	
	(9) A project carried out by a city or county to reduce minimum parking requirements.	

(continued on the following page)



1 belo	Table 2: Other Project Eligibility Criteria – Public Resources Code Section 21080.25(c) roject must meet <u>all</u> the criteria listed below to qualify for this Statutory Exemption. See Attachment ow for definitions of terms. Note: Table 2 does not apply to a project carried out by a city or county to e minimum parking requirements.
X	(1) A public agency is carrying out the project and is the lead agency for the project.
X	(2) The project is located in an urbanized area.
\boxtimes	(3) The project is located on or within an existing public right-of-way (or on property owned by the transit agency per Table 1, Item 6 above).
\boxtimes	(4) The project shall not add physical infrastructure that increases new automobile capacity on existing rights-of-way except for minor modifications needed for the efficient and safe movement of transit vehicles, such as extended merging lanes. The project shall not include the addition of any auxiliary lanes.
\boxtimes	(5) The construction of the project shall not require the demolition of affordable housing units.
\boxtimes	(6) The project would <u>not</u> exceed one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000) in 2020 United States dollars. ¹
	project exceeds \$100,000,000, then Section 21080.25(c)(6) imposes additional requirements. Please consult le Planning Department staff.
In add	Table 3: Project Labor Requirements – Public Resources Code Section 21080.25(d) dition to meeting the criteria in Table 2, the project must meet labor requirements to qualify for a tory Exemption. See Attachment 1 below for definitions of terms. Note: Table 3 does not apply to a ct carried out by a city or county to reduce minimum parking requirements.
	(1) Before granting an exemption under this section, the lead agency shall certify that the project will be completed by a skilled and trained workforce.
	(2) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), for a project that is exempted under this section, the lead agency shall not enter into a construction contract with any entity unless the entity provides to the lead agency an enforceable commitment that the entity and its subcontractors at every tier will use a skilled and trained workforce to perform all work on the project or a contract that falls within an apprenticeship occupation in the building and construction trades in accordance with Chapter 2.9 (commencing with Section 2600) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code.
	(B) Subparagraph (A) does not apply if any of the following requirements are met:
	(i) The lead agency has entered into a project labor agreement that will bind all contractors and subcontractors performing work on the project or the lead agency has contracted to use a skilled and trained workforce and the entity has agreed to be bound by that project labor agreement.
	(ii) The project or contract is being performed under the extension or renewal of a project labor agreement that was entered into by the lead agency before January 1, 2021.
	(iii) The lead agency has entered into a project labor agreement that will bind the lead agency and all its subcontractors at every tier performing the project or the lead agency has contracted to use a skilled and trained workforce.
	A portion of the project would be constructed by SFMTA and/or Public Works Shops and this portion would not require the use of contractors for labor.
\boxtimes	Not Applicable. The project would be entirely constructed by RPD, SFMTA and/or Public Works Shops and would not require the use of contractors for labor.



ATTACHMENT 1: DEFINITIONS

Definitions for terms 1 through 8 are the same as provided in the text of Senate Bill 288.

- (1) "Affordable housing" means any of the following:
 - (A) Housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents or sales prices to levels affordable, as defined in Section 50052.5 or 50053 of the Health and Safety Code, to persons and families of moderate, lower, or very low income, as defined in Section 50079.5, 50093, or 50105 of the Health and Safety Code, respectively.
 - (B) Housing that is subject to any form of rent or price control through a public entity's valid exercise of its police power.
 - (C) Housing that had been occupied by tenants within five years from the date of approval of the development agreement by a primary tenant who was low income and did not leave voluntarily.
- (2) "**Highway**" means a way or place of whatever nature, publicly maintained and open to the use of the public for purposes of vehicular travel. "Highway" includes a street.
- (3) "New automobile capacity" means any new lane mileage of any kind other than sidewalks or bike lanes.
- (4) "Project labor agreement" has the same meaning as defined in paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 2500 of the Public Contract Code.
- (5) "Skilled and trained workforce" has the same meaning as provided in Chapter 2.9 (commencing with Section 2600) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code.
- (6) "**Transit lanes**" means street design elements that delineate space within the roadbed as exclusive to transit use, either full or part time.
- (7) "**Transit prioritization projects**" means any of the following transit project types on highways:
 - (A) Signal coordination.
 - (B) Signal timing modifications.
 - (C) Signal phasing modifications.
 - (D) The installation of wayside technology and onboard technology.
 - (E) The installation of ramp meters.
 - (F) The installation of dedicated transit or very high occupancy vehicle lanes, and shared turning lanes.
- (8) "Very high occupancy vehicle" means a vehicle with six or more occupants.
- (9) For the purpose of this statutory exemption, **bikeway** is defined the same way as in Section 890.4 of the California Streets and Highways Code. "Bikeway" means all facilities that provide primarily for, and promote, bicycle travel. Bikeways shall be categorized as follows:
 - (a) Bike paths or shared use paths (Class I bikeways) provide a completely separated right-of-way designated for the exclusive use of bicycles and pedestrians with crossflows



by motorists minimized.

- (b) Bike lanes (Class II bikeways) provide a restricted right-of-way designated for the exclusive or semi exclusive use of bicycles with through travel by motor vehicles or pedestrians prohibited, but with vehicle parking and crossflows by pedestrians and motorists permitted.
- (c) Bike routes (Class III bikeways) provide a right-of-way on-street or off-street, designated by signs or permanent markings and shared with pedestrians and motorists. In San Francisco, many of these routes are marked with shared lane markings referred to as sharrows.
- (d) Cycle tracks or separated bikeways (Class IV bikeways) promote active transportation and provide a right-of-way designated exclusively for bicycle travel adjacent to a roadway and which are separated from vehicular traffic. Types of separation include, but are not limited to, grade separation, flexible posts, inflexible physical barriers, or on-street parking.
- (10) Pedestrian Facilities as a term is not defined in Senate Bill 288. The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD) is a national standard approved by the Federal Highway Administrator in accordance with Title 23 of the U.S. Code. In the MUTCD, **Pedestrian Facilities** is "a general term denoting improvements and provisions made to accommodate or encourage walking." This definition will be used by San Francisco Planning Department to determine if a project or project component includes a pedestrian facility and meets the eligibility criteria of SB288.



² U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. 2009. *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devises for Streets and Highways*. See page 17. Online at https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/mutcd2009r1r2edition.pdf. Accessed December 21, 2020

Attachment A: Great Highway Project Information

Pilot Project Summary

The Great Highway project would implement a pilot program to create a car-free bicycle and pedestrian promenade on weekends, holidays, and a portion of Fridays by restricting private vehicle access to the Upper Great Highway between Lincoln Way and Sloat Boulevard (2.0 miles). When closed to private vehicles, the roadway would become a separated right-of-way promenade for the exclusive use of pedestrians, bicyclists, emergency vehicles, and other permitted vehicles¹. The roadway would continue to operate as a four-lane vehicular roadway on weekdays from Monday to the Friday closure time.

- Promenade: Friday afternoons (exact time of private vehicular closure to be determined) to Monday at 6:00am, plus holidays
- Vehicular Roadway: Monday 6:00am to Friday closure time

At the time the roadway is closed to private motor vehicles, the roadway would become a bicycle and pedestrian promenade used for active transportation modes, including bicycles, walkers, runners, scooter riders, skateboarders, and motorized wheelchairs, etc.

The location of the project is shown in Map 1.

Approval Action and Pilot Period

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors approval of legislation for the pilot (board file number 220875) would constitute the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code section 31.04(h). The pilot would begin upon such legislative approval, which is anticipated Fall 2022 and would end on December 31, 2025, unless extended by ordinance. The project would include data collection during this pilot period, as described below.

Project Background

The Great Highway has been under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Commission since the 1870s. The Upper Great Highway is a four-lane vehicular roadway. There are existing swing gates located at the intersection of Sloat Boulevard and Upper Great Highway to block the northbound lanes and at the intersection of Lincoln Way and Upper Great Highway to block the southbound lanes. The gates are closed when excessive amounts of sand blown onto the road make it unsafe for car travel. An existing multi-use pathway located within the median between the Upper and Lower Great Highway is used by walkers and cyclists. An existing dirt pathway located west of the Upper Great Highway along Ocean Beach is used by walkers.

In April 2020, the roadway was closed to private vehicles by the Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) General Manager under an emergency action. This was in response to the COVID-19-related shelter-in-

¹ Examples of permitted vehicles include official City, State, or federal vehicles being used to perform official City, State, or federal business (e.g., sand removal), intra-park shuttle busses, paratransit vans, and others as defined by the legislation.

place order to provide people more space outdoors while social distancing. In August 2021, the General Manager issued a directive reopening the Upper Great Highway to private vehicles weekdays starting Monday at 6:00am through Friday at 12:00pm, excluding holidays.

The Great Highway extension south of Sloat Boulevard is currently open to vehicular traffic; however, this stretch is planned to be permanently closed to vehicular traffic in 2024 as part of the Ocean Beach Climate Change Adaptation Project (Planning Department case number 2019-020115ENV).

The San Francisco County Transportation Authority conducted a "Great Highway Concepts Evaluation Report" (September 2022) for the long-term future of the Upper Great Highway. This pilot would be an extension of that report and would support pedestrian and bicyclist usage based on an evaluation in the report.²

Pilot Physical Changes:

To create a protected bicycle and pedestrian promenade on weekends and holidays, the project would install new swing gates with road closure signage on Upper Great Highway to restrict private vehicle access. The existing swing gates may be modified for reuse with this project, or removed and replaced.

At the intersection with Sloat Boulevard and Upper Great Highway, the project would install swing gates at the entry of the northbound lanes. The new swing gates would be arranged in a chicane layout (i.e., staggered and on opposite sides of the roadway) at the exit of the south-bound lanes.

At the intersection with Lincoln Way and Upper Great Highway, there are two options being considered, a chicane and the median pass through. With the "chicane" option, the project would install new gates in a chicane layout at the exit of the south-bound lanes. With the "median pass through" option, the project would install swing at the entry of the southbound lanes and about 100 feet south of the exit of the northbound lanes. The project would install a paved segment in the median between the north and southbound lanes just north of the new gates in the northbound lanes. The median pass through would also include hatching in the newly paved median, delineators along the east side, a pair of double yellow lines on each side of median, and thru arrows on the northbound approach to the intersection. The project may install red rectangular pavement markers along the outside of crosswalk facing the intersection. See Existing and Proposed illustrations of the two intersections, attached.

The chicane and median would allow emergency vehicles and other permitted vehicles to access the western-most lanes of the roadway without needing to stop and open the gates. This would allow emergency vehicles to better respond to calls from Ocean Beach and would support the continued safe recreational use of Ocean Beach while enhancing the safe recreational use of the roadway by pedestrians and bicyclists during private vehicular closure times.

² For example, section 2.2 of the report evaluates the bicycle and pedestrian usage of five different concepts for the Great Highway. The section identifies a four-lane roadway for vehicles projected to have the lowest bicycle and pedestrian usage of the concepts (which is pre-COVID-19 conditions), and a timed promenade (which is this pilot) having a medium amount of bicycle and pedestrian usage, or more bicycle and pedestrian usage than a four-lane roadway. https://www.sfcta.org/sites/default/files/2022-09/SFCTA_Great-Highway-Evaluation-Report_2021-07-13_FINAL_a.pdf.

The project would maintain vehicle access on the Great Highway north of Lincoln Way, along the Lower Great Highway, and other areas (e.g., throughout the Sunset District). The project would not change the existing multi-use pathway within the median between the Upper and Lower Great Highway or the dirt path west of Upper Great Highway along Ocean Beach.

Pilot Data Collection

Throughout the duration of the pilot program, RPD and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) staff would collect and publicly report data on pedestrian and cyclist usage and vehicular traffic on the Upper Great Highway and surrounding streets at regular intervals. The pilot does not propose any changes to traffic management (e.g., changing traffic signal timings) or parking. The pilot would collect data on promenade users (detailed list below), conduct public outreach, and conduct network analysis of the broader circulation system to inform recommendations for the future use of the Upper Great Highway, including consideration of data collected because of permanent closure of vehicular traffic on the Great Highway extension south as part of the Ocean Beach Climate Change Adaptation Project (anticipated in 2024). Data collection would include:

- Vehicular traffic counts, speeds, travel times, and turning movements using tube counts, video counts, and/or disaggregated cellular data along the Great Highway and nearby intersections and side streets.
- 2. Bicycle counts using tube counts, video counts, infrared counters, and/or disaggregated cellular data along the Great Highway and nearby intersections and side streets.
- 3. Pedestrian and other mode counts using video counts, infrared counters, observation, and/or disaggregated cellular data along the Great Highway and nearby intersections.
- 4. Length of stay by all modes using cellular data, intercept surveys, and/or public life study methodology.
- 5. Design efficacy and safety assessing whether vehicles are yielding to pedestrians and pedestrians and bicyclists are complying with traffic signals using video data and/or observation.
- 6. Surveys of non-motorized users and drivers; solicit suggestions from all users; solicit user demographics.

RPD and SFMTA would determine exact locations for data collection after the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approval of the pilot.

Map 1: Great Highway Project Location OUTER RICHMOND INNER RICH Great Highway Weekend Promenade FORES Pilot Project WEST PORT ST FRANCIS W PINE LAKE PARK BALBOA TERRACE MOUNT DAVID

LAKESHORE

TPC Harding Park

INGLESIDE TERRACE







