	File No.	220998
--	----------	--------

Committee Item No. <u>5</u> Board Item No. <u>24</u>

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date Oct. 17, 2022

Date October 25, 2022

Cmte Board

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700 Information/Vacancies (Boards/Commissions) Public Correspondence
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)

Completed by: _	Victor Young	Date	Oct 12, 2022
Completed by:	-	Date	

FILE NO. 220998

PREPARED IN COMMITTEE 10/17/2022

MOTION NO.

1	[Appointment, Entertainment Commission - Stephen Jon Torres]
2	
3	Motion appointing Stephen Jon Torres, term ending July 1, 2026, to the Entertainment
4	Commission.
5	
6	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does
7	hereby appoint the hereinafter designated person to serve as a member of the Entertainment
8	Commission, pursuant to the provisions of Charter, Section 4.117, for the term specified:
9	Stephen Jon Torres, seat 2, succeeding Steven Lee (term expired), must represent the
10	interests of entertainment associations or groups, for the unexpired portion of a four-year term
11	ending July 1, 2026.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Save Fo	M MAA	
JAVE EL		



Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 (415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-7714

Print Form

• •	ommissions, Committees, & Task Forces
Name of Board, Commission, Committe	ee, or Task Force:
Seat # or Category (If applicable):	t #2 District:
Name:	
	Zip: <u>94107</u>
	Occupation: Event producer
Work Phone:	Employer:
428 11th st. Sf	Zip: <u>94103</u>
Business E-Mail:	
the Charter must consist of elector San Francisco. For certain other residency requirement. Check All That Apply:	1 (a)2, Boards and Commissions established by ors (registered voters) of the City and County of bodies, the Board of Supervisors can waive the Yes IN No I If No, where registered:
	No If No, place of residence:
represent the communities of interesethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual ories)1, please state how your qualifications st, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ntation, gender identity, types of disabilities, e qualities of the City and County of San
with some of the biggest venues in Sar produced every type of event from per- nightclub shows. I've hired hundreds o residents. I've supported countless sf k	ents in San Francisco for almost 20 years. I've worked n Francisco and worked with some of the smallest. I've sonal development seminars, food festivals and f staff members & talent that are San Francisco based small businesses in all backgrounds. I've also last 20 years. Hosting hundreds of thousands of people ty.

Business and/or professional experience:

H My professional and business experience has now span over a 20 year career. As far as business I've worked as a marketing director for some of the biggest venues San Francisco has opened up from infusion lounge, empire room and temple sf. I have relationships with every venue that's relevant to the genre of parties I've produced. I've also created relationships with corporate brands like the warriors giants and 49ers. I've also produced events with and for huge chains like the w hotel. I'm a professional programmer meaning I can take any venue and create their calendar of events based on their needs. Live shows is part of my day to day and work with every major artist across the country.

Civic Activities:

I have several civic activities I help sf based non profit organizations produce fundraisers, volunteer in several non profit activities like feeds and donations. Promote the importance of registering to vote and actually going out to vote.

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment?

Yes No

For appointments by the Board of Supervisors, appearance before the RULES COMMITTEE is a requirement before any appointment can be made. *(Applications must be received 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)*

Date: 7/20/2021 Applicant's Signature: (required) Anthony schlander

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

<u>Please Note</u>: Your application will be retained for one year. Once Completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:			
Appointed to Seat #:	Term Expires:	_ Date Seat was Vacated:	

CALIFORNIA FORM	700	
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES	COMMISSION	

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS COVER PAGE A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink.	
AME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST)	(MIDDLE)
Office, Agency, or Court	
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)	
Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable	Your Position
► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (De	o not use acronyms)
Agency:	Position:
Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)	
State	Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
Multi-County	County of
City of	
Type of Statement (Check at least one box)	
Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021.	Leaving Office: Date Left//(Check one circle.)
-or- The period covered is//, th December 31, 2021 .	The period covered is January 1, 2021 , through the date of leaving office.
Assuming Office: Date assumed//	The period covered is/, through the date of leaving office.
Candidate: Date of Election and office	e sought, if different than Part 1:
. Schedule Summary (must complete) ► Total no	umber of pages including this cover page:
Schedules attached	
Schedule A-1 - Investments – schedule attached	Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached
Schedule A-2 - Investments – schedule attached Schedule B - Real Property – schedule attached	Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached
or- None - No reportable interests on any schedule)
	CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)	
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
()	
I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknow	ave reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contain owledge this is a public document.
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of	
Date Signed	Signature
(month, day, year)	(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

	SCHE	DUL	E A-1	CALIFORNIA FORM 700
	Inve	stme	ents	FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
	Stocks, Bonds, (Ownership Intere			
	Investments			
	Do not attach brokera	<u> </u>		
	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	•	NAME OF BUSINESS E	NTITY
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTIC	N OF THIS BUSINESS
	FAIR MARKET VALUE		FAIR MARKET VALUE	
	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000		\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
	\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	0 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other		NATURE OF INVESTME Stock Othe	
	(Describe) Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499		Dortnorshin Incom	(Describe) ne Received of \$0 - \$499
	Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)			he Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST D	ATE:
	//21//21		/ /21	/ /21
	ACQUIRED DISPOSED		ACQUIRED	DISPOSED
•	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	┨┝╸	► NAME OF BUSINESS E	NTITY
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTIC	N OF THIS BUSINESS
	FAIR MARKET VALUE		FAIR MARKET VALUE	
	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000		\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
	\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	0 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other		NATURE OF INVESTME Stock Othe	r
	(Describe) Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)			(Describe) ne Received of \$0 - \$499 ne Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST D	ATE:
	/ / 21 / / 21		/ / 21	/ / 21
	ACQUIRED DISPOSED		ACQUIRED	DISPOSED
►	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		► NAME OF BUSINESS E	NTITY
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTIC	N OF THIS BUSINESS
	FAIR MARKET VALUE		FAIR MARKET VALUE	
	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000		\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
	\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other		NATURE OF INVESTME Stock Othe	
	Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)		•	te Received of \$0 - \$499 te Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST D	ATE:
	/ /21 / /21		// 21	//21
	ACQUIRED DISPOSED		ACQUIRED	DISPOSED

Comments: ____

SCHEDULE A-2 Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

Name

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST
Name	Name
Address (Business Address Acceptable) Check one Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	Address (Business Address Acceptable) Check one Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999 /_/21/21/21 \$10,001 - \$100,000 /_/21J21 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999 /21 \$2,000 - \$10,000 /21 \$10,001 - \$100,000 ACQUIRED \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Partnership Sole ProprietorshipOther	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Partnership Sole ProprietorshipOther
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST) 	 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME <u>TO</u> THE ENTITY/TRUST)
\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
None _{or} Names listed below	None or Names listed below
 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one box: 	 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one box:
INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY	INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property	Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property
Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property	Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000 IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$10,001 - \$100,000 //21 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED Over \$1,000,000 DISPOSED	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000
NATURE OF INTEREST Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership	NATURE OF INTEREST Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership
Leasehold Other	Leasehold Other
Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task F	orce: Entertainment Commission	
Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 2		
Casey Allen Lowdermilk		
	Zip Code:	
	Occupation: Assistant General Manager	
Work Phone:	Employer:	
Business Address: 99 Grove St.	Zip Code: 94102	
Business Email:	netent.cc Home E	
Pursuant to Charter Section $4.101(a)(2)$ Boards	and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of	

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ■ No □ 18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ■ No □ If No, place of residence:

Pursuant to Mayoral Order, members of boards/commissions are required to be Covid-19 vaccinated and attend inperson meetings.

Covid-19 Vaccinated: Yes ■ No □

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

During the pandemic shutdown, my work with the San Francisco Venue Coalition (SFVC) successfully advocated for emergency financial relief for independent venues and promoters. This created the SF Music & Entertainment Recovery Fund distributing \$3M to 74 eligible venues which not only helped to keep these venues open, but more importantly kept their staff employed. As a representative of the leadership of SFVC, it's been inspiring for me to connect with and advocate for over 40 venues in the City who have never had a seat at the table or a cause to rally behind prior to the pandemic. SFVC is proud to represent venues in 7 Supervisorial Districts that employ staff in all Districts and the greater Bay Area. It's estimated that SFVC venues employ 1,200+ of our neighbors and represent a broad diversity in ethnicity, race and sexual orientation. Additionally, I have lived in San Francisco and worked in the live music industry for 15 years.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

I currently work with Another Planet Entertainment (APE) as the Assistant General Manager at Bill Graham Civic Auditorium, having been in this role since 2017. Born of COVID-19, I've assumed duties around Government Affairs and Community Engagement as outlined further in the following section. Additional roles within APE since 2014 have included Festival Operations (Outside Lands, Life is Beautiful, Treasure Island Music Festival) and House Manager (Greek Theatre at UC Berkeley, Fox Theater - Oakland). Prior to APE, I spent six seasons as a Festival Producer with High Sierra Music. Since 2018, I've served on the University of the Pacific Music Management Advisory Board, my undergraduate degree program.

Civic Activities:

The COVID-19 pandemic shutdown inspired me to focus on advocacy for our industry. As the first to close and last to reopen, it was clear that venues have never had to organize or advocate to government for their survival. Venue advocacy has given me the opportunity to host a press conference with Congressional Representatives, present to the Entertainment Commission, Small Business Commission and State Assembly Arts Committee, participate in countless meetings with elected officials and leaders at all levels of government and engage on a wide variety of important topics for our industry including noise ordinances, music venue liquor licenses, and emergency financial relief. This level of support and advocacy for our industry is so critical to maintain beyond this pandemic moment. I'm proud of this work and I hope to bring this spirit of advocacy to the Entertainment Commission.

- Co-Founder, San Francisco Venue Coalition (July 2020, Present)

- Co-Founder & President, California Chapter of the National Independent Venue Association (November 2020 - Present)

- Precinct Captain, National Independent Venue Association (July 2020 - Present)

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ■ No □

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: _ 07/28/2022

_____ Applicant's Signature (required): _

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

<u>Please Note</u>: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _

(3/2/2022)

Print

Clear

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS COVER PAGE A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink. NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE) Lowdermilk Casey Allen 1. Office, Agency, or Court Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) **Entertainment Commission** Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Your Position **Open Seat - Industry** ▶ If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms) Agency: ____ Position: ____ 2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box) State Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction) Multi-County County of City of San Francisco Other 3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box) **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, **2021**, through Leaving Office: Date Left _____ 1 December 31, 2021. (Check one circle.) -or-The period covered is January 1, 2021, through the date of The period covered is _____/____, through leaving office. December 31, 2021. -or-Assuming Office: Date assumed ____/___/ The period covered is _____ __/____/____, through the date of leaving office. Candidate: Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _ 4. Schedule Summary (must complete) ► Total number of pages including this cover page: 2 Schedules attached Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached -or- None - No reportable interests on any schedule 5. Verification MAILING ADDRESS CITY ZIP CODE STREET STATE I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. September 2, 2022 Date Signed Signature (month, day, year) (File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)



Name

Casey Lowdermilk

► 1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Another Planet Entertainment LLC	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
1815 Fourth St Ste C, Berkeley, CA 94710	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Venue Management / Promoter	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
Assistant General Manager - Bill Graham Civic Aud.	
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
Sale of	Sale of
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)	(Real property, car, boat, etc.)
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more	Commission or Rental Income, <i>list each source of \$10,000 or more</i>
(Describe)	(Describe)
Other (Describe)	Other(Describe)
(Describe)	(Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE	TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	% 🗌 No	one
	SECURITY FOR LOAN	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	None	Personal residence
	Real Property	Street address
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD		Street address
\$500 - \$1,000		City
\$1,001 - \$10,000		-
\$10,001 - \$100,000	Guarantor	
OVER \$100,000	Other	
		(Describe)

Comments:

Clear

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: Entertainment Commission				
Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 2				
Full Name: James Dustin Durham				
CA94609				
Marketing Manager				
Work Phone: 833-424-2538 x 711 Employer: Chalet Restaurant Group				
Business Address: 1411 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA Zip Code: 94608				
Business Email: dustin@chaletrestaurant: Home Email:				
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement. Resident of San Francisco: Yes \square No \blacksquare If No, place of residence: Oakland, CA 18 Years of Age or Older: Yes \blacksquare No \square				
Pursuant to Mayoral Order, members of boards/commissions are required to be Covid-19 vaccinated and attend in- person meetings.				
Covid-19 Vaccinated: Yes ■ No □				
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:				
LGBT Community, lived in SF for 13 years and represented the communities of Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39 and North Beach via NPO Boards, Marketing Committees, and Community Benefit District Chairs. As a formerly houseless resident of SF, I'm now on the Leadership Council at Compass Family Services, assisting at-risk and				

get back on my feet. I am also an Ambassador (and former co-chair) at the SF Chamber of Commerce, as well as served as an Ambassador for SF Travel Association.

homeless families - giving them the same opportunities I was given to

Business and/or Professional Experience:

Marketing Manager, Chalet Restaurant Group:

Represent 5 restaurants in various cities and communities in the Bay Area. Promote and develop various community events, including concerts, picnics, family day, Easter egg hunts, etc. Grow NPO involvement to assist the communities surrounding our restaurants.

Manager, Walk to End Alzheimer's, SF

- Plan and execute one of the largest National events for the Alzheimer's Association, the San Francisco Walk to End Alzheimer's. (2,700 attendees)

Civic Activities:

Leadership Council - Compass Family Services Marketing Committee - Fisherman's Wharf CBD Marketing Committee - Pier 39 Merchants Association Board Member (former) - North Beach Citizens Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association Planning Committee, SF Pride (under Gary Virginia)

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes \Box No

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: 3/28/2022

Applicant's Signature (required): _

Dustin Durham

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

<u>Please Note</u>: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____Date Vacated: __

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS **COVER PAGE** A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in	(FIRST)		(MIDDLE)	
Durham	James	i	Dustin	
I. Office, Agency,	or Court			
Agency Name (Do I				
Board of Super				
Division, Board, Dep	artment, District, if applicable	Your	Position	
Entertainment (Commission	En	tertainment Commission	er
► If filing for multiple	e positions, list below or on an attachmen	nt. (Do not use acronyms)		
Agency:		Pos	ition:	
2. Jurisdiction of	Office (Check at least one box)			
State			dge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Jud atewide Jurisdiction)	ge, or Court Commissioner
Multi-County			unty of	
City of San Fr		Oth		
3. Type of Stater	nent (Check at least one box)			
Annual: The p	eriod covered is January 1, 2021, through the 31, 2021 .	n 🗌 Le	eaving Office: Date Left (Check one	
	eriod covered is///	, through] The period covered is January leaving office. r-	1, 2021, through the date of
Assuming Offic	e: Date assumed//		The period covered is/_ the date of leaving office.	, through
Candidate: Da	te of Election 7/1/2022 and	d office sought, if different t	than Part 1:	
I. Schedule Sum	mary (must complete) 🕨 To	otal number of pages	including this cover pag	e:
Schedules at	tached			
Schedule A-	1 - Investments – schedule attached	Schedule	C - Income, Loans, & Business	Positions - schedule attached
Schedule A-	2 - Investments – schedule attached	Schedule	D - Income - Gifts - schedule a	ttached
Schedule B	- Real Property – schedule attached	Schedule	E - Income – Gifts – Travel Pay	ments - schedule attached
or 🗖 Mana				
5. Verification	lo reportable interests on any sch	leaule		
MAILING ADDRESS	STREET	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
	ess Recommended - Public Document)		01112	
	noble diligence in propering this statemer		tement and to the best of my kno blic document.	wledge the information containe
	tached schedules is true and complete.	I acknowledge this is a put		
herein and in any at				
herein and in any at	ached schedules is true and complete.			

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force:	San Francisco Entertainment Commission			
Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualification	ns): Seat #2			
Full Name: Jonathan Larner				
	Zip Code: 94107			
	Zip Code: 94107			
Work Phone:	Employer: Self			
Business Address: 628 Divisadero Stre				
Business Email: jon@theindependentsf.con				
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Coresidents of the City and County of San Francisco who a authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supe	re 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code			
Resident of San Francisco: Yes ■ No □ If No, place of residence: 18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ■ No □				
Pursuant to Mayoral Order, members of boards/commis person meetings.	ssions are required to be Covid-19 vaccinated and attend in-			
Covid-19 Vaccinated: Yes ■ No □				

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

I have been a small business owner in San Francisco, operating nightlife and entertainment venues, for 19 years.

I moved to San Francisco in the spring of 1992, already with a deep love for live music, and a strong desire to work in the entertainment industry. My first foray into live music as a vocation was managing a fan club ticketing platform for several touring bands. The effort to further integrate the deep connection between a performer and their fan base anchored the egalitarian nature of live music for me. A band and their community have a strong bond, which contributes to the bonds of the larger community, and being a small part of that has always been a core component of my value system. That community, created and fostered within the live music industry, is a huge part of the social fabric of our society. Not only does this industry support many great jobs, but venues are also the places where people come together to connect and find community.

As a long-term resident and business owner in San Francisco, I have a vested interest in my community, the people living in it, and how I can contribute to, and support both. When I with my partners initially opened The Independent on the Divisadero corridor in 2004, we carefully considered how we could best integrate into the neighborhood, and made sure we hired a team that represented the community. Those considerations have been integral to each of my businesses' success. Collectively, my venues employ more than 125 people, many of whom have been with us since inception, growing into larger and more responsible roles over time. Many women, minorities, and members of the LGBTQ community hold leadership and management roles in my venues, which further integrates us into the social fabric of our community.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

In 2003, my partners and I bought The Justice League, and after several months of renovation, opened The Independent in February 2004. I was the original General Manager of the business, I hired our initial staff, and put the foundations of systems and processes that we still use today. I also developed our unique outlook on what a successful event looks like, performance coaching our hospitality-focused staff to ensure that each show is iconic. The Independent does an average of 275 live music events each year, and to date has hosted over 4500 shows. We employ over 75 full and part-time employees, many of which have worked with us since we opened in 2004. I am currently the operating partner at The Independent.

In 2009, I opened Laffitte Restaurant with my chef/partner. The restaurant was located on Pier 5 on the Embarcadero in San Francisco. I was the operating partner and opening General Manager and worked closely with The San Francisco Port to retrofit this important historic Pier location. Lafitte sadly closed its doors in 2013.

In 2016, I took over as General Manager and Partner at The Pearl, a gorgeous private event venue in the Dogpatch neighborhood of San Francisco. The Pearl does an average of 150 private events each year. We employ over 25 full and part-time employees. I am currently the General Manager and partner at The Pearl.

In 2019, I joined the ownership group at The Swedish American Hall and Cafe Du Nord. The Swedish American Hall is a historic mixed-use event space and live music venue in the heart of the Castro. The building is a Historic Landmark, which was built in 1907 and has been a place of public assembly and entertainment for almost 115 years. The Swedish American Hall and Cafe Du Nord do an average of 150 live music events, and 50 private events each year, and together have over 25 full and part-time employees. My current role is Operating Partner. I oversee the operation and the team running the business.

In addition to running and overseeing the operations of three entertainment venues, I mentor young professionals in the local entertainment/event industry, all of whom actively contribute to the San Francisco entertainment community, and hope to open their own venues someday.

Civic Activities:

My civic involvement in San Francisco began early in the 1990s, when as a graduate student at the University of San Francisco, in the School of Education MFT program, I volunteered in a low fee counseling center, in addition to multiple Bay Area schools in the role of a school-based family counselor. I worked almost exclusively with at-risk children and families in an effort to bring counseling and mental health services to the community.

Later, once my career in hospitality was on firm footing, I joined the advisory council at La Cocina to help create more opportunities for women and minority-owned small businesses to grow and thrive in San Francisco. As a member of that council, I contributed to the formation and growth of several new businesses that are still thriving today.

For the last 20 years as a resident of Dogpatch, I have taken an active role in the health and vibrancy of my neighborhood. I actively participated in supporting the creation of Progress Park and the growth of community activities in Dogpatch Arts Plaza. I am also a vocal contributor to the growing dynamism of this neighborhood, aiding several of the new mixed-use developments happening here, most notably Pier 70 and the Dogpatch Power Station. Helping them to create community-oriented events and programming during the development phases as well as integrating them into the future of these properties.

Most recently, as a member of both the Independent Venue Association and the San Francisco Venue Coalition, I took an active role in guiding the entertainment community in San Francisco to safe harbor during a pandemic that resulted in our industry being closed by the Health Order for more than 18 months. The IVA and SFVC are both brand new lobbying groups comprised of the owners of local independently owned live music venues. Both groups are part of the larger nationwide #saveourstages effort that resulted in business-saving grant programs on the local, state, and national levels. This was an effort that contributed to the resiliency and ultimate continuance of most, if not all, of the locally owned independent venues in the City, as well as nationwide.

And finally, I have taken an active role as a mentor to young professionals in the local entertainment/event industry for many years. I am currently mentoring multiple emerging entrepreneurs, all of whom actively contribute to the San Francisco entertainment community, and hope to someday open their own venues.

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ■ No □

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

_{Date:} 6/21/22

_____ Applicant's Signature (required): _____

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

<u>Please Note</u>: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____Date Vacated: _____

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Print

Clear

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS COVER PAGE A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink. NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE) Jon Larner 1. Office, Agency, or Court Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) San Francisco Entertainment Commission Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Your Position Commissioner ▶ If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms) Agency: ____ Position: _____ 2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box) State Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction) Multi-County County of City of San Francisco Other 3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box) Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2021, through Leaving Office: Date Left ____/_ (Check one circle.) December 31, 2021. -or-The period covered is January 1, 2021, through the date of The period covered is _____/____, through leaving office. December 31, 2021. -or-The period covered is _____/____, through Assuming Office: Date assumed ____/___/ the date of leaving office. Candidate: Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: ___ 4. Schedule Summary (must complete) Total number of pages including this cover page: Schedules attached Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached Schedule A-1 - Investments – schedule attached Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached -or- None - No reportable interests on any schedule 5. Verification MAILING ADDRESS CITY ZIP CODE STREET STATE I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Signature Date Signed (month, day, year) (File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

	SCHED Invest		
	Stocks, Bonds, a (Ownership Interes		Other Interests Name
	Investments m	ust b	pe itemized.
_	Do not attach brokerag	e or i	financial statements.
►	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
	BANK AMER CORP		ALLSTATE CORP
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
	bank		insurance
	FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$100,000 Over \$1,000,000		FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$100,000 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other (Describe)		NATURE OF INVESTMENT
	□ Partnership □ Income Received of \$0 - \$499 □ Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)		Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
	// 21 // 21 ACQUIRED DISPOSED		//21//21 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
►	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
	FIRST REPUBLIC BANK		BANK AMER CORP
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
	bank		bank
	FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other		NATURE OF INVESTMENT
	(Describe) □ Partnership □ Income Received of \$0 - \$499 □ Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)		(Describe) Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499 ☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
	// 21 // 21 ACQUIRED DISPOSED		//21//21 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
►	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
	JP MORGAN		AIG
	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS		GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
	bank		insurance
	FAIR MARKET VALUE		FAIR MARKET VALUE
	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000		\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other (Describe)		NATURE OF INVESTMENT
	Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)		Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)
	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:		IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
	//21		//21//21 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _

SCHEDULE A-2 Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts



Name

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST
The Independent LLC	CDN, LLC
Name	Name
628 Divisadero Street SF, CA 94117 Address (Business Address Acceptable)	2174 Market St, San Francisco, CA 94114 Address (Business Address Acceptable)
Check one	Check one
Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS Live-Music-Venue	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS Live Music Venue
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 _//21 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED Over \$1,000,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Partnership Sole Proprietorship Other	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Partnership Sole Proprietorship Other
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Managing Partner	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Managing Partner
2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME <u>TO</u> THE ENTITY/TRUST)	 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)
\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
► 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)	► 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)
None or Names listed below	None or Names listed below
 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one box: INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY 	 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one box: INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property	Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property
Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property	Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000 //21 \$10,001 - \$100,000 //21 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED Over \$1,000,000 DISPOSED	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000
NATURE OF INTEREST Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership	NATURE OF INTEREST
Leasehold Other	Leasehold Other
Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

Name

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME The Pearl ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	
601 19th Street SF, CA 94107	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	
Private Event Space	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	
General Manager	
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income	e - Business Position Only
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,000	\$10,000
S10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000 OVER \$10,001 - \$100,000	00,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS	S RECEIVED
Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income Solary Spouse's or registered domestic (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 1 Schedule A-2.)	10% or greater use
Sale of Sale of	
(Real property, car, boat, etc.) (Real property, car, b	oat, etc.)
Loan repayment	
Commission or Rental Income, <i>list each source of \$10,000 or more</i> Commission or Rental Income, <i>list each</i>	ch source of \$10,000 or more
(Describe) (Describe)	
Other Other	
(Describe) (Describe)	

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE		TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	%	None	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	SECURITY FOR L	OAN	sidence
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	Real Property _		Street address
□ \$500 - \$1,000 □ \$1,001 - \$10,000	-		City
\$10,001 - \$100,000	Guarantor		
OVER \$100,000	Other		(Describe)

Comments:



Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 (415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-5163 BOS-Appointments@sfgov.org

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board, Commission, Committee, or Task Force:									
3						District	West	ern Additi	
	Minister Antrina Crawford								
							Zip:	94115	
		Occur	pation: Mir	nister/Te	xtile Desig	gn Artis	st		
Work Phone: (559)7	724-2259		oyer:	and the second					
Business Address:	4025 N Fruit Ave						Zip:	93705	
Business E-Mail:	shunnisings@yahoo.com		Home E-	-Mail:					

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older. For certain bodies, the Board of Supervisors can waive the residency requirement.

Check All That Apply:

Resident of San Francisco: Yes No If No, place of residence:



18 years of age or older: Yes Vo

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

lam an Artist, Singer, Minister born and raised in San Francisco. I attended SanFrancisco Schools from FamilyService Preschool, Golden Gate Elementary, Hancock Cooper, Raphael Weill, Ben Franklin Middle, McAteer High, My.Zion T.A.P.P and John Adams Community College. Iam familiar with all areas of the city and I understand the needs of every community from Safety to Education. I feel that my skills and knowledge could do alot here in SanFrancisco as I can identify, address a d help solve problems such as homeless referrals, help with foreigners who just arrived and need direction , Create positions that would allow groups of 4 to stand at bus stops and assist people with/without disabilitieswith gwtting on and off the buses and also bring entertainment(via Music &Art) to different neighborhoods. Iam outgoing with a huge personality and the ability to bring people together from different backgrounds , cultures and ethnicities.

Business and/or professional experience:

Ive sang with local Jazz, Blues & Reggae Bands Iam the owner of Kissed By Oshun Coffeebean infused Skin care Products and I just started another business LIQUID HEAD sf where Art & Fashion Collide.Iam an Ordained Minister who is also into Pole Fitness and Boxing.Ive also been a Caretaker for over 20 years in SF up until Sept.2019 around April of 2020 is when things slowed down for me but I survived so here Iam looking to get busy sharing my plans and ideas for the City and County of San Francisco. My Grandmother My mom myself my daughter and her daughters are all proud San Franciscans.

Business and/or professional experience:

Ive sang with local Jazz, Blues & Reggae Bands lam the owner of Kissed By Oshun Coffeebean infused Skin care Products and I just started another business LIQUID HEAD sf where Art & Fashion Collide.lam an Ordained Minister who is also into Pole Fitness and Boxing.lve also been a Caretaker for over 20 years in SF up until Sept.2019 around April of 2020 is when things slowed down for me but I survived so here lam looking to get busy sharing my plans and ideas for the City and County of San Francisco. My Grandmother My mom myself my daughter and her daughters are all proud San Franciscans.

Civic Activities:

N/A for now

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment?

Yes No

Appointments confirmed by the Board of Supervisors require an appearance before the Rules Committee. Once your application is received, the Rules Committee Clerk will contact you when a hearing is scheduled. (Please submit your application 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)

Date: July 9,2021 Applicant's Signature: (required)

Antrina Crawford

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

<u>Please Note</u>: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:			1
Appointed to Seat #:	Term Expires:	Date Seat was Vacated:	

california form 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS COVER PAGE

Date Initial Filing Received

A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type o			
NAME OF FILER	(LAST)	(FIRST)	(MIDDLE)
Crawford		Rev. Antrina	* Lavonne
1. Office, A	Agency, or Court		
Agency Na	me (Do not use acronyms)		
	ncisco Board Of Supervisors		
Division, B	oard, Department, District, if applicable		Your Position
Entertai	nment Commission		
 If filing 	for multiple positions, list below or on an	attachment. (Do not use	acronyms)
Agency:			Position:
Agency.			Fosition
2. Jurisdia	ction of Office (Check at least on	e box)	
State			Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
Multi-C	ounty		County of
K City of	SanFrancisco		Other
0 T	0		
	Statement (Check at least one bo		
_	al: The period covered is January 1, 20 December 31, 2019.	19, through	Leaving Office: Date Left/
-0	The period covered is/ December 31, 2019.	/, through	The period covered is January 1, 2019, through the date of leaving office.
Assur	ning Office: Date assumed	,	The period covered is/, through the date of leaving office.
🛒 Candi	date: Date of Election July 1,2021	and office sought, i	if different than Part 1:
4. Schedu	le Summary (must complete	► Total number (of pages including this cover page:
	dules attached		
	Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule	attached	Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached
H	Schedule A-2 - Investments – schedule		Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached
	Schedule B - Real Property - schedule		Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached
5. Verificat	one - No reportable interests on	any schedule	
MAILING AD		CITY	STATE ZIP CODE
	Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)		
			93705
			•
			dge the information contained
	in any attached schedules is true and c		
I certify u	nder penalty of perjury under the laws	of the State of Californi	a that the foregoing is true and correct.
Data C'	ed July 9,2021	*	
Date Signo	(month, day, year)	50	gnature

SCHEDULE A-1 Investments FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSI		
Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests		
(Ownership Interest is	Rissed by Oshun Concebean	
Investments mus Do not attach brokerage o		
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
Kissed By Oshun	N/A	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
Creates Coffeebean infused Moisturizers		
FAIR MARKET VALUE	FAIR MARKET VALUE	
\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other	
Other (Describe) Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	Coscribe) Partnership Income Received of \$50 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
/ / 19 / / 19 ACQUIRED DISPOSED	//_19//_19 ACQUIRED DISPOSED	
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
Liquid Head Sf (Art &Fashion)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
My Paintings are printed on clothing&Household items		
FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Ver \$1,000,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	NATURE OF INVESTMENT	
Stock Other (Describe) Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	Stock Other Describe) Partnership Income Received of \$50 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
/ / 19 / 19 ACQUIRED DISPOSED	/ / 19 / 19 ACQUIRED DISPOSED	
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
FAIR MARKET VALUE	FAIR MARKET VALUE	
\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$2,000 - \$10,000	
S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other	
Construction (Describe) Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	Obscribe) Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
ACQUIRED DISPOSED	19 ACQUIRED DISPOSED	
Comments: Businesses started June 18,2021		

SCHEDULE A-2 Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Rev.Antrina Crawford

▶ 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST
Kissed By Oshun Coffeebean Infused Skincare	Liquid Head Sf art & fashion
Name 4025 N. Fruit Ave.#211 Fresno Ca 93705	Name 4025 N.Fruit Ave.#211 Fresno .Ca 93705
Address (Business Address Acceptable)	Address (Business Address Acceptable)
Check one	Check one
Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	Trust, go to 2 Susiness Entity, complete the box, then go to 2
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS I create Coffeebean infused moisturizers	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS I paint my art is transferred to clothing&household items
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED DISPOSED Over \$1,000,000 DISPOSED	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$10,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED 000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Partnership Sole Proprietorship Other Other	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Partnership Sole Proprietorship Other Other
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Owner	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Owner
▶ 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME <u>TO</u> THE ENTITY/TRUST)	► 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)
\$10,001 - \$100,000 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$\$\vee\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$
INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary)	INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary)
◆ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED <u>BY</u> THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one bax:	► 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one hor:
N/A	N/A
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property	Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property
Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property	Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$\$10,000 \$10,000 \$\$10,001 - \$100,000 \$\$10,001 - \$100,000 \$\$00,001 - \$100,000 \$\$00,001 - \$1,000,000 \$\$00,000
NATURE OF INTEREST Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership	NATURE OF INTEREST
Leasehold Yrs. remaining Other	Leasehold Yrs. remaining Other
Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached
Comments: Businesses started June 18,2021	EBP/ Form 700 Set-stuly 4.2 /2010/2020

SCHEDULE B Interests in Real Property

(Including Rental Income)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Rev.Antrina Crawford

	A second statement of the statement of the statement planet strength statement of the st
ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS	ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
N/A	N/A
CITY	CITY
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000 191919 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 19191910SPOSED Over \$1,000,000 1610SPOSED NATURE OF INTEREST 00vership/Deed of Trust	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000 // 19. \$10,001 - \$100,000 // 19. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000 NATURE OF INTEREST Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
Leasehold Yrs. remaining Other	Leasehold Yrs. remaining Other
IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED	IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
\$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000	S10,001 - \$100,000
SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.	SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

_

NAME OF LENDER*	NAME OF LENDER*
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER
INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)	INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)
% 🔣 None	% None
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000	S10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
Guarantor, if applicable	Guarantor, if applicable
Comments:	

SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

Name

► 1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000	S10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
Sale of	Sale of
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)	(Real property, car, boat, etc.)
Loan repayment	Loan repayment
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more	Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more
(Describe)	(Describe)
Other	Other
(Describe)	(Describe)

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE		TERM (Months/Years)
	%	None	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)		_	
	SECURITY FOR	LOAN	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	None	Personal res	sidence
	Real Property		
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	Real Property .		Street address
\$500 - \$1,000			City
\$1,001 - \$10,000			
\$10,001 - \$100,000	Guarantor		
OVER \$100,000	Other		
			(Describe)
Comments:			

SCHEDULE D Income – Gifts

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION Name Rev.Antrina Crawford

NAME OF SOURC	E (Not an Acronym,)	► NAME	E OF SOURC	E (Not an Acronym)	
ADDRESS (Busine	ss Address Acceptal	ble)	ADDR	RESS (Busine	ss Address Acceptab	le)
BUSINESS ACTIV	ITY, IF ANY, OF SC	DURCE	BUSI	NESS ACTIV	ITY, IF ANY, OF SO	URCE
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	DATE	(mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
//	s			 	\$ \$	
NAME OF SOURC	CE (Not an Acronym))	► NAME	E OF SOURC	E (Not an Acronym)	
ADDRESS (Busine	ss Address Accepta	ble)	ADDR	ESS (Busine	ss Address Acceptat	le)
BUSINESS ACTIV	ITY, IF ANY, OF SC	DURCE	BUSI	NESS ACTIV	ITY, IF ANY, OF SO	URCE
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	DATE	(mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
	s				\$	
	s				\$	
	\$				\$	
NAME OF SOURC	CE (Not an Acronym))	► NAME	E OF SOURC	E (Not an Acronym)	
ADDRESS (Busine	ss Address Acceptai	ble)	ADDR	RESS (Busine	ss Address Acceptat	le)
BUSINESS ACTIV	ITY, IF ANY, OF SC	DURCE	BUSI	NESS ACTIV	ITY, IF ANY, OF SO	URCE
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	DATE	(mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
	\$				\$	
	s				\$	
	s				\$	
Comments:						

SCHEDULE E Income – Gifts Travel Payments, Advances, and Reimbursements



Rev.Antrina Crawford

- Mark either the gift or income box.
- Mark the "501(c)(3)" box for a travel payment received from a nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization
 or the "Speech" box if you made a speech or participated in a panel. Per Government Code
 Section 89506, these payments may not be subject to the gift limit. However, they may result
 in a disqualifying conflict of interest.
- · For gifts of travel, provide the travel destination.

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)	► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)
N/A	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
CITY AND STATE	CITY AND STATE
501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
DATE(S):AMT: \$	DATE(S):// AMT: \$
► MUST CHECK ONE: Gift -or- Income	► MUST CHECK ONE: Gift -or- Income
Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel	Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel
Other - Provide Description	Other - Provide Description
If Gift, Provide Travel Destination	If Gift, Provide Travel Destination
▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)	▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
CITY AND STATE	CITY AND STATE
501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
DATE(S)://	DATE(S)://
MUST CHECK ONE: Gift -or- Income	MUST CHECK ONE: Gift -or- Income
Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel	Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel
Other - Provide Description	Other - Provide Description
If Gift, Provide Travel Destination	If Gift, Provide Travel Destination
Comments:	

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force:			
Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications)	Seat No. 2 (Industry Representative)		
Stephen Jon Torres			
	Zip Code: 94110		
	on: Bartender/ Community Rep.		
Work Phone: 415.864.9470	Employer: Twin Peaks Tavern		
	Zip Code: 94114		
Business Email: <u>n/a</u>			
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.			
Resident of San Francisco: Yes ■ No □ If No, pl 18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ■ No □	ace of residence:		
Pursuant to Mayoral Order, members of boards/commission person meetings.	ons are required to be Covid-19 vaccinated and attend in-		

Covid-19 Vaccinated: Yes ■ No □

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

I am a twenty-two year veteran of the San Francisco entertainment and nightlife industry in which I have worked as server, bartender, manager, promoter and community activist. Specifically, as a queer person of colour working in this industry I am fortunate to have enjoyed the beauty, historical legacy, and camraderie of our industry, but have also experienced the challenges, barriers, and abuses many of us in this industry and city at large experience. I feel that representation of indsutry workers in addition to that of owners and proprieters is essential to this industry's future health and sustainability.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

In addition to my experience in the entertainment and nightlife industry. I have worked professionally as a freelance and staff journalist for various publications in San Francisco.

Through my work as a journalist, a promoter, and as a nightlife community organizer, I eventually came to serve on the Executive Board of the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Demorcratic Club from 2012 to 2019.

In 2020, I was elected to the Advisory Board of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District where I have served as Secretary and Executive Co-Chair.

Paramount to the work of the district is the presrvation and continuation of LGBTQ culture through the lenses of diversity, inclusion, equity, and accesibility. Especially during the pandemic, we saw great need for these components in health access advocacy (Castro LGBTQ Community Hub) as well as many of the other issues facing LGBTQ workers in queer nightlife. The health and well being of communities directly correlate with cultural health, and by extension, the nightlife and entertainement industry.

Civic Activities:

I was elected to the Advisory Board of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, where I served ast Secretary of the Board (March 2020- December 2021) and Executive Co-Chair from January 2022 until present. I served as Castro LGBTQ Hub Captain of COVID-19 Vaccination and Testing in 2021.

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ■ No □

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: July 13, 2022 _____ Applicant's Signature (required):

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: Term Expires: Date Vacated:

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS COVER PAGE

A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Ple	ease type or print i	n ink.			
NA	ME OF FILER (LAST)	(FIRST)		(MIDDLE)	
Т	orres	Step	hen	Jon	
1.	Office, Agenc	y, or Court			
	Agency Name (Do	o not use acronyms)			
	San Francisco	Entertainment Commission	Seat 2		
	Division, Board, De	partment, District, if applicable		Your Position	
	► If filing for multi	ple positions, list below or on an attachn	nent. (Do not use acror	nyms)	
	Agency:			Position:	
2.	Jurisdiction of	of Office (Check at least one box)			
	State		[Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, Statewide Jurisdiction)	ge, or Court Commissioner
	Multi-County			County of San Francisco	
	City of San I			Other	
3.	Type of State	ement (Check at least one box)			
	Annual: The Dece	period covered is January 1, 2021, throu ember 31, 2021 .	ıgh [Leaving Office: Date Left	
		period covered is///////	, through	The period covered is January leaving office.	1, 2021 , through the date of
	Assuming Of	fice: Date assumed//		The period covered is/_ the date of leaving office.	, through
	Candidate:	Date of Election a	and office sought, if diffe	erent than Part 1:	
4.	Schedule Su	mmary (must complete)	Total number of pa	ages including this cover page	٥,
	Schedules a				
	X Schedule /	A-1 - Investments – schedule attached	X Sche	edule C - Income, Loans, & Business I	Positions – schedule attached
		A-2 - <i>Investments</i> – schedule attached		edule D - Income – Gifts – schedule a	
		3 - <i>Real Property</i> – schedule attached		edule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payr	
-	or- 🗌 None -	No reportable interests on any s	chedule		
5.	Verification				
	MAILING ADDRESS	STREET Idress Recommended - Public Document)	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
	Dusiness of Agency Au	areas recommended - Fublic Documenty			
	herein and in any	attached schedules is true and complete	Lacknowledge this is	a public document	
	-	nalty of perjury under the laws of the	-		\mathbf{N}
	Date Signed	September 16, 2022	Signatu	re	Photo -
_		(month, day, year)		(File the originally signed paper stated) nent with your filing official.)
	Print	Clear			PPC Form 700 - Cover Page (2021/2022) a.gov • 866-275-3772 • www.fppc.ca.gov

SCHEDULE A-1 CALIFORNIA FORM 700		
Inves	tments FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION	
	Ind Other Interests Name	
	st is Less Than 10%) nust be itemized.	
	e or financial statements.	
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
Principal		
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
Financial Investment		
FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000	
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	
	NATURE OF INVESTMENT	
(Describe)	Stock Other (Describe)	
Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499	Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499	
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
//21/_/21 ACQUIREDDISPOSED	//21	
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
FAIR MARKET VALUE	FAIR MARKET VALUE	
\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	NATURE OF INVESTMENT	
(Describe)	(Describe) ☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499	
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
ACQUIRED DISPOSED	ACQUIRED DISPOSED	
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
FAIR MARKET VALUE	FAIR MARKET VALUE	
\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	NATURE OF INVESTMENT	
Stock Other (Describe)	Stock Other (Describe)	
Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499	Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (<i>Report on Schedule C</i>)	
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
/ /21 / /21	// <u>21</u> / <u></u> /21	
ACQUIRED DISPOSED	ACQUIRED DISPOSED	

Comments: _

SCHEDULE A-2 Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

CALIFORNIA FORM FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST
Name	Name
Address (Business Address Acceptable) Check one Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	Address (Business Address Acceptable) Check one Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999 /21 \$2,000 - \$10,000 /21 \$10,001 - \$100,000 ACQUIRED \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$0 - \$1,999
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	NATURE OF INVESTMENT
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME <u>TO</u> THE ENTITY/TRUST) 	 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME <u>TO</u> THE ENTITY/TRUST)
\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.) None or Names listed below 	 ► 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.) None or Names listed below
► 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED <u>BY</u> THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one box:	 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST Check one box:
	INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property	Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property
Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property	Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000 ///21 \$10,001 - \$100,000 ///21 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED Over \$1,000,000 DISPOSED	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000 ///21 \$10,001 - \$100,000 ///21 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED Over \$1,000,000 DISPOSED
NATURE OF INTEREST	NATURE OF INTEREST
Leasehold Other	Leasehold Other
Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments: .

Print

SCHEDULE B Interests in Real Property

(Including Rental Income)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

Name

► ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS	► ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS				
CITY	CITY				
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: \$2,000 - \$10,000 /21 \$10,001 - \$100,000 /21 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED Over \$1,000,000				
NATURE OF INTEREST	NATURE OF INTEREST				
Yrs. remaining Other IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED \$ \$	Yrs. remaining Other IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000 SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more. None				
	I lending institution made in the lender's regular course of without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows:				
NAME OF LENDER*	NAME OF LENDER*				
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)				
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER				
INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)	INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)				
	HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD \$500 - \$1,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000				

Guarantor, if applicable

Print

Comments:

Guarantor, if applicable

Clear

FPPC Form 700 - Schedule B (2021/2022) advice@fppc.ca.gov • 866-275-3772 • www.fppc.ca.gov Page - 11

SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

Name

1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED			
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME			
Twin Peaks Tavern	San Francisco LGBT Center			
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)			
401 Castro Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94110	1800 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94102			
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE			
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION			
Hourly employee	COVID-19 Community Response Captain (hourly)			
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Onl			
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$500 - \$1,000 \$ 1,001 - \$10,000			
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED			
Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)			
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)			
Sale of	Sale of			
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)	(Real property, car, boat, etc.)			
Loan repayment	Loan repayment			
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more	Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more			
(Describe)	(Describe)			
Other (Describe)	Other (Describe)			

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE		TERM (Months/Years)	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	%	None		
	SECURITY FOR LOAN			
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	None	Personal res	idence	
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	Real Property		Street address	
\$500 - \$1,000			City	
\$1,001 - \$10,000	Guarantor			
\$10,001 - \$100,000				
OVER \$100,000	Other		Describe)	

Comments:

Clear
SCHEDULE D Income – Gifts

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

Name

 NAME OF SOURCE (Not an A San Francisco LGBT (ADDRESS (Business Address 1800 Market Street, S BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE 07 21 22 475.0 	Center Acceptable) an Francisco, California 94102 ; OF SOURCE DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S) 0 Mo.food stipend 21-22	► NAME OF SOURCE (ADDRESS (Business A BUSINESS ACTIVITY, DATE (mm/dd/yy) V	Address Acce	eptable)
1800 Market Street, S BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S) Mo.food stipend 21-22	BUSINESS ACTIVITY,		· · ·
DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S) Mo.food stipend 21-22		, IF ANY, OF	SOURCE
()))	0 Mo.food stipend 21-22	DATE (mm/dd/yy) V		
07 21 22 475.0		11	ALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
/\$		\$		
/\$				
/\$				
► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an A	Acronym)	► NAME OF SOURCE ((Not an Acron	nym)
ADDRESS (Business Address)	Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business A	Address Acce	ptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY,	, IF ANY, OF	SOURCE
DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	DATE (mm/dd/yy) V.	ALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
/\$		/		
/\$		/		
/\$				
► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an A	Acronym)	► NAME OF SOURCE ((Not an Acron	nym)
ADDRESS (Business Address	Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business A	Address Acce	ptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY,	, IF ANY, OF	SOURCE
DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	DATE (mm/dd/yy) V	ALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
/\$		\$		
/\$		\$		
/\$		/ \$.		

Comments: ___

Clear

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

The below listed summary of seats, term expirations and membership information shall serve as notice of **vacancies**, **upcoming term expirations** and information on currently held seats, appointed by the Board of Supervisors. Appointments by other bodies are listed, if available. Seat numbers listed in **bold** are open for immediate appointment. However, you are able to submit applications for all seats and your application will be maintained for one year, in the event that an unexpected vacancy or opening occurs.

Seat #	Appointing Authority	Seat Holder	Term Ending	Qualification
1	BOS	Theodora "Dori"	7/1/23	Must represent the interests of
		Caminong		City neighborhood associations or
				groups, for a four-year term.
2	BOS	Steven Lee <u>(Resigned</u>	7/1/22	Must represent the interests of
		<u>05/23/22)</u>		entertainment associations or
				groups, for a four-year term.
3	BOS	Laura Thomas	7/1/25	Must represent the interests of the
				public health community, for a
				four-year term
4	Mayor	Al Perez	7/1/25	Must represent interests of city
				neighborhood associations/groups,
				for a four-year term.
5	Mayor	Ben Bleiman	7/1/23	Must represent interests of
				entertain associations/groups, for
				a four-year term.
6	Mayor	Cynthia "Cyn" Wang	7/1/23	Must represent interests of urban
				planning community, for a four-
				year term.
7	Mayor	Lt. David Falzon	7/1/26	Must represent interest of law
				enforcement community, for a
				four-year term.

Membership and Seat Qualifications

The Mayor's nominations are subject to Board of Supervisors approval/rejection within 60 days; if no action is taken the nomination is deemed approved.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (BOS) APPLICATION FORMS AVAILABLE HERE

- English https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application.pdf
- <u>中文 https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application_CHI.pdf</u>
- Español https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application_SPA.pdf
- Filipino https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application_FIL.pdf

(For seats appointed by other Authorities please contact the Board / Commission / Committee / Task Force (see below) or the appointing authority directly.)

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Rules of Order 2.19 (Motion No. 05-92) all applicants applying for this body must complete and submit, with their application, a copy (**not original**) of Form 700, Statement of Economic Interests. Applications will not be considered if a copy of Form 700 is not received.

FORM 700 AVAILABLE HERE (Required)

https://www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html

Please Note: Depending upon the posting date, a vacancy may have already been filled. To determine if a vacancy for this Commission is still available, or if you require additional information, please call the Rules Committee Clerk at (415) 554-5184.

Applications and other documents may be submitted to BOS-Appointments@sfgov.org

Next Steps: Applicants who meet minimum qualifications will be contacted by the Rules Committee Clerk once the Rules Committee Chair determines the date of the hearing. Members of the Rules Committee will consider the appointment(s) at the meeting and applicant(s) may be asked to state their qualifications. The appointment of the individual(s) who is recommended by the Rules Committee will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for final approval.

The Entertainment Commission shall consist of seven (7) members, comprised of three (3) members appointed by the Board of Supervisors and four (4) members nominated by the Mayor.

Each nomination by the Mayor shall be subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors and shall be the subject of a public hearing and vote within 60 days. If the Board of Supervisors fails to act on a mayoral nomination within 60 days from the date the nomination is transmitted to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the nominee shall be deemed approved.

Of the four (4) members nominated by the Mayor:

- One (1) member must represent the interests of City neighborhood associations or groups;
- One (1) member must represent the interests of entertainment associations or groups;
- One (1) member must represent the interests of the urban planning community; and
- One (1) member must represent the interests of the law enforcement community.

Of the three (3) members appointed by the Board of Supervisors:

• One (1) member must represent the interests of City neighborhood associations or groups;

- One (1) member must represent the interests of entertainment associations or groups; and
- One (1) member must represent the interests of the public health community.

To stagger the terms, the initial appointments to the commission shall be as follows: the Mayor nominates two members to serve terms of four years, one member to serve a term of three years and one member to serve a term of two years. Of the three remaining members, the Board of Supervisors shall appoint one member to serve a term of four years, one member to serve a term of three years and one member to serve a term of two years. All terms of initial appointees to the commission shall be deemed to commence upon the same date which shall be the date upon which the last of the seven initial appointees assumes office. Thereafter, all appointments and reappointments shall be for a term of four years.

The Entertainment Commission shall: 1) assist entertainment organizers and operators to apply for necessary permits; 2) promote responsible conduct; 3) promote the City's entertainment industry; 4) promote the use of City facilities; 5) foster harm reduction policies; 6) develop "good neighbor policies"; 7) mediate disputes between persons affected by entertainment events and establishments and the operators of such establishments; 8) issue entertainment related permits; 9) plan and coordinate City services for major events; and 10) provide information regarding venues and services appropriate for events and functions ancillary to conventions.

- Reports: Prepare and submit to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors: 1) within one year from July 6, 2002, and not less than five years thereafter, a report analyzing the Commission's effectiveness; 2) an annual report by March 1st regarding its activities for the preceding year; and 3) within one year from July 6, 2002, and annually thereafter, a report analyzing fee revenue.
- Authority: Charter, Section 4.117 (Prop F, November 2002 Election) and Administrative Code, Chapter 90 (Ordinance Nos. 164-02; 242-05; and 100-13)

Sunset Date: None

Contact: Entertainment Commission 49 South Van Ness, Suite 1482 San Francisco, CA 94103 (628) 652-6030 entertainment.commission@sfgov.org

Last Updated: July 27, 2022

GENDER ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS





City and County of San Francisco London N. Breed Mayor

Department on the Status of Women Emily M. Murase, PhD Director



Acknowledgements

The data collection and analysis for this report was conducted by Public Policy Fellow Diana McCaffrey with support from Policy and Projects Director Elizabeth Newman, Associate Director Carol Sacco, and Director Emily Murase, PhD, at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women.

The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women would like to thank the various policy body members, Commission secretaries, and department staff who graciously assisted in collecting demographic data and providing information about their respective policy bodies.

San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

President Debbie Mesloh Vice President Breanna Zwart Commissioner Shokooh Miry Commissioner Carrie Schwab-Pomerantz Commissioner Andrea Shorter Commissioner Julie D. Soo

Emily M. Murase, PhD, Director Department on the Status of Women

This report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <u>https://sfgov.org/dosw/gender-analysis-reports</u>.

Contents

Table of Figures
Executive Summary4
I. Introduction
II. Gender Analysis Findings
A. Gender
B. Race and Ethnicity11
C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender14
D. LGBTQ Identity16
E. Disability Status16
F. Veteran Status17
G. Policy Bodies by Budget18
H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics
I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees
III. Conclusion
IV. Methodology and Limitations23
Appendix

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019	8
Figure 2: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies	8
Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentages of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2	
	9
Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 20	015
	10
Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019	10
Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of People of Color's Representation of Policy Bodies	11
Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019	12
Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to	2017,
2015	12
Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2	2017,
2015	
Figure 10: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019	14
Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies	14
Figure 12: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2019	
Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019	15
Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019	16
Figure 15: LGBTQ Population of Appointees, 2019	16
Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017	
Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019	
Figure 18: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender, 2017	17
Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019	17
Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards v	vith
Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019	18
Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019	
Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019	19
Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019	20
Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019	20
Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019	
Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017	26
Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017	

Executive Summary

In 2008, San Francisco voters overwhelmingly approved a City Charter Amendment (section 4.101) establishing as City policy for the membership of Commissions and Boards to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population, and that appointing officials be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates. Additionally, it requires the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every two years.

The 2019 Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards includes more policy bodies such as task forces, committees, and advisory bodies, than previous analyses, which were limited to Commissions and Boards. Data was collected from 84 policy bodies and from a total of 741 members mostly appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney.¹ The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. The second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do *not* submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. This report examines policy bodies and appointees both comprehensively as a whole and separately by the two categories.

The 2019 Gender Analysis evaluates the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies.

Key Findings

Gender

- Women's representation on policy bodies is 51%, slightly above parity with the San Francisco female population of 49%.
- Since 2009, there has been a small but steady increase in the representation of women on San Francisco policy bodies.

10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

¹ "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf, (August 25, 2017).

Race and Ethnicity

- People of color are underrepresented on policy bodies compared to the population. Although people of color comprise 62% of San Francisco's population, just 50% of appointees identify as a race other than white.
- While the overall representation of people of color has increased between 1
 2009 and 2019, as the Department collected data on more appointees, the representation of people of color has decreased over the last few years. The percentage of appointees of color decreased from 53% in 2017 to 49% in 2019.

of People of Color on Policy Bodies 60% 57% 53% 50% 48% 50% 46% 45% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 2015 2009 2013 2017 2011 2019 (n=401) (n=295) (n=419) (n=269) (n=469) (n=713) Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

10-Year Comparison of Representation

As found in previous reports, Latinx and Asian groups are underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the population. Latinx individuals are 14% of the population but make up only 8% of appointees. Asian individuals are 31% of the population but make up only 18% of appointees.

Race and Ethnicity by Gender

- On the whole, women of color are 32% of the San Francisco population, and 28% of appointees. Although still below parity, 28% is a slight increase compared to 2017, which showed 27% women of color appointees.
- Meanwhile, men of color are underrepresented at 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.



- Both White women and men are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies.
 White women are 23% of appointees compared to 17% of the San Francisco population.
 White men are 26% of appointees compared to 20% of the population.
- Black and African American women and men are well-represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Black women are 9% of appointees compared to 2.4% of the population, and Black men are 5% of appointees compared to 2.5% of the population.
- Latinx women are 7% of the San Francisco population but 3% of appointees, and Latinx men are 7% of the population but 5% of appointees.
- Asian women are 17% of the San Francisco population but 11% of appointees, and Asian men are 15% of the population but just 7% of appointees.

10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies

Additional Demographics

- Out of the 74% of appointees who responded to the survey question on LGBTQ identity, 19% identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, nonbinary, queer, or questioning, and 81% of appointees identify as straight/heterosexual.
- Out of the 70% of appointees who responded to the question on disability, 11% identify as having one or more disabilities, which is just below the 12% of the adult population with a disability in San Francisco.
- Out of the 67% of appointees who responded to the question on veteran status, 7% have served in the military compared to 3% of the San Francisco population.

Proxies for Influence: Budget & Authority

- Although women are half of all appointees, those Commissions and Boards with the largest budgets have fewer women and especially fewer women of color. Meanwhile, women exceed representation on Boards and Commissions with the smallest budgets and women of color reach parity with the population on the smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards.
- Although still underrepresented relative to the San Francisco population, there is a larger percentage of people of color on Commissions and Boards with both the largest and smallest budgets compared to overall appointees.
- The percentage of total women is greater on Advisory Bodies than Commissions and Boards. Women are 54% of appointees on Advisory Bodies and 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards. However, the percentages of people of color and women of color on Commissions and Boards exceed the percentages of people of color and women of color on Advisory Bodies.

Appointing Authorities

Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 52% people of color, and 30% women of color, which is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointments and total appointments.

	Women	People of Color	Women of Color	LGBTQ	Disability Status	Veteran Status
San Francisco Population	49%	62%	32%	6%-15%*	12%	3%
Total Appointees	51%	50%	28%	19%	11%	7%
10 Largest Budgeted Commissions & Boards	41%	55%	23%			
10 Smallest Budgeted Commissions & Boards	52%	54%	32%			
Commissions and Boards	48%	52%	30%			
Advisory Bodies	54%	49%	28%			

Demographics of Appointees Compared to the San Francisco Population

Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019, *Note: Estimates vary by source. See page 16 for a detailed breakdown.

I. Introduction

Inspired by the 4th UN World Conference on Women in Beijing, San Francisco became the first city in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination (CEDAW), an international bill of rights for women. The CEDAW Ordinance was passed unanimously by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and signed into law by Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. on April 13, 1998.² In 2002, the CEDAW Ordinance was revised to address the intersection of race and gender and incorporate reference to the UN Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Race Discrimination. The Ordinance requires City Government to take proactive steps to ensure gender equity and specifies "gender analysis" as a preventive tool to identify and address discrimination. Since 1998, the Department on the Status of Women has employed this tool to analyze the operations of 10 City Departments using a gender lens.

In 2007, the Department on the Status of Women conducted the first gender analysis to evaluate the number of women appointed to City Commissions and Boards. The findings of this analysis informed a City Charter Amendment developed by the Board of Supervisors for the June 2008 Election. This City Charter Amendment (Section 4.101) was overwhelmingly approved by voters and made it city policy that:

- The membership of Commissions and Boards are to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population,
- Appointing officials are to be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates, and
- The Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every 2 years.

The 2019 Gender Analysis examines the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies primarily appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. This year's analysis included more outreach to policy bodies as compared to previous analyses that were limited to Commissions and Boards. As a result, more appointees were included in the data collection and analysis than even before. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney. The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission, and the second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do not submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission of methodology and limitations can be found at the end of this report on page 23.

² San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 33.A.

http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited? f=templates\$fn=default.htm\$3.0\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca\$anc=JD_Chapter33A.

II. Gender Analysis Findings

Many aspects of San Francisco's diversity are reflected in the overall population of appointees on San Francisco policy bodies. The analysis includes 84 policy bodies, of which 823 of the 887 seats are filled leaving 7% vacant. As outlined below in the summary chart, slightly more than half of appointees are women, half of appointees are people of color, 28% are women of color, 19% are LGBTQ, 11% have a disability, and 7% are veterans.

Appointee Demographics	Percentage of Appointees
Women (n=741)	51%
People of Color (n=706)	50%
Women of Color (n=706)	28%
LGBTQ Identified (n=548)	19%
People with Disabilities (n=516)	11%
Veteran Status (n=494)	7%

Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

However, further analysis reveals underrepresentation of particular groups. Subsequent sections present comprehensive data analysis providing comparison to previous years, detailing the variables of gender, race/ethnicity, LGBTQ identity, disability, veteran status, and policy body characteristics of budget size, decision-making authority, and appointment authority.

A. Gender

On San Francisco policy bodies, 51% of appointees identify as women, which is slightly above parity compared to the San Francisco female population of 49%. The representation of women remained stable at 49% from 2013 until 2017. This year, the representation of women increased by 2 percentage points, which could be partly due to the larger sample size used in this year's analysis compared to previous years. A 10-year comparison shows that the representation of women appointees has gradually increased since 2009 by a total of six percentage points.





Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figures 3 and 4 analyze Commissions and Boards. Figure 3 showcases the five Commissions and Boards with the highest representation of women appointees as compared to 2015 and 2013. The Children and Families (First Five) Commission and the Commission on the Status of Women are currently comprised of all women appointees. This finding has been consistent for the Commission on the Status of Women in 2015 and 2017. While the Ethics Commission has 100% women appointees, much more than 2015 and 2017, its small size of five appointees means that minimal changes in its demographic composition greatly impacts percentages. This is also the case for other policy bodies with a small number of members. The Library Commission and the Commission on the Environment are fourth and fifth on the list at 71% and 67% women, respectively, with long standing female majorities on each.





Out of the Commissions and Boards in this section, 23 have 40% or less women. The five Commissions and Boards with the lowest representation of women are displayed in Figure 4. The lowest percentage is found on the Board of Examiners where currently *none* of the 13 appointees are women. Unfortunately, demographic data is unavailable for the Board of Examiners for 2017 and 2015. Next is the Building Inspection Commission at 14%, which is a decrease of female representation compared to 2017 and 2015. The Oversight Board of Community Investment and Infrastructure, Fire Commission, and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force also have some of the lowest percentages of women at 17%, 20%, and 27%, respectively. Unfortunately, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force did not participate in previous

27%, respectively. Unfortunately, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force did not par analyses and therefore demographics data is unavailable for 2017 and 2015.

Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of women. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. Figure 9 below displays the five Advisory Bodies with the highest and the five with the lowest representations of women. The Workforce Community Advisory Committees has the greatest representation of women at 100%, followed by the Office of Early Care and Education Citizen's Advisory Committee at 89%. The Advisory Bodies with the lowest percentage of women are the Urban Forestry Council at 8% of the 13-member body and the Abatement Appeals Board at 14% of the 7-member body.

Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019



10

B. Race and Ethnicity

Data on racial and ethnic identity was collected for 706, or 95%, of the 741 surveyed appointees. Although half of appointees identify as a race or ethnicity other than white or Caucasian, people of color are still underrepresented compared to the San Francisco population of 62%. The representation of people of color has increased since 2009 but has decreased following 2015. The number of appointees analyzed increased substantially in 2017 and 2019 compared to 2015, and these larger data samples have coincided with smaller percentages of people of color. The percentage decrease following 2017 could be partially due to the inclusion of more policy and advisory bodies, as the representation of people of color on Commissions and Boards dropped only slightly from 53% in 2017 to 52% in 2019.



Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies

The racial and ethnic breakdown of policy body members compared to the San Francisco population is shown in Figure 7. This analysis reveals underrepresentation and overrepresentation in San Francisco policy bodies for certain racial and ethnic groups. Half of all appointees are white, an overrepresentation by more than 10 percentage points. The Black and African American community is well represented on appointed policy bodies at 14% compared to 5% of the population of San Francisco. Characterizing this as an overrepresentation is inaccurate given the representation of Black or African American people on policy bodies has been consistent over the years while the San Francisco population has declined over the same period.³ Furthermore, the most recent nationwide estimate for the Black or African American population is 13%, which is nearly equal to the 14% of Black or African American appointees present on San Francisco policy bodies.⁴

Considerably underrepresented racial and ethnic groups on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population are individuals who identify as Asian or Latinx. While Asians are 31% of the San Francisco population, they only make up 18% of appointees. While the Latinx population of San Francisco is 14%, only 8% of appointees are Latinx. Although there is a small population of Native

³ Samir Gambhir and Stephen Menendian, "Racial Segregation in the Bay Area, Part 2," *Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society* (2018).

⁴ US Census Bureau, 2018, Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045218.

Americans and Alaska Natives in San Francisco of 0.4%, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.



Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019

The next two graphs illustrate Commissions and Boards, and Advisory Bodies with the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. As shown in Figure 8, the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure remained at 100% from 2017, while the Juvenile Probation Commission has returned to 100% this year after a dip in 2017. Next is the Health Commission, Immigrant Rights Commission, and Housing Authority Commission at 86%, 85%, and 83%, respectively. Percentages of people of color on both the Health Commission and the Housing Authority Commission increased following 2015, and have remained consistent since 2017.

Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

There are 23 policy bodies that have 40% or less appointees who identified a racial and ethnic category other than white. Although the Public Utilities Commission has two vacancies, *none* of the current appointees identify as people of color. The Historic Preservation Commission and Building Inspection Commission are both at 14% representation for people of color. The Building Inspection Commission had a large drop from 43% in 2015, with the percentage of people of color decreasing to 14% in 2017 and remaining at this percent for 2019. Lastly, the War Memorial Board of Trustees and City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission have 18% and 20%, respectively.





Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. All members of the Workforce Community Advisory Committee are people of color. People of color comprise 80% of the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee, and 75% of appointees on the Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority, and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board. Out of the five Advisory Bodies with the lowest representation of people of color, the Ballot Simplification Committee and the Mayor's Disability Council have 25% appointees of color, and the Abatement Appeals Board has 14% appointees of color. The Urban Forestry and the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee have no people of color currently serving.





Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender

White men and women are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies, while Asian and Latinx men and women are underrepresented. While women of color continue to be underrepresented at 28% compared to the San Francisco population of 32%, this is a slight increase from 2017 which showed 27% women of color. Meanwhile, men of color are 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.

Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies



The following figures present the breakdown for appointees and the San Francisco population by race and ethnicity and gender. White men and women are overrepresented, holding 27% and 23% of appointments, respectively, compared to 20% and 17% of the population, respectively. Asian men and women are both greatly underrepresented with Asian women making up 11% of appointees compared to 17% of the population while Asian men comprise 7% of appointees and 15% of the population. Latinx men and women are also underrepresented, particularly Latinx women, who are 3% of appointees and 7% of the population, while Latinx men are 5% of appointees and 7% of the population. Black or African American men and women are well-represented with Black women comprising 9% of appointees and Black men comprising 5% of appointees. Pacific Islander men and women, and multiethnic women also exceed parity with the population. Although Native American men and women make up only 0.4% of San Francisco's population, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.









Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

D. LGBTQ Identity

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) identity data was collected from 548, or 75%, of the 741 surveyed appointees, which is much more data on LGBTQ identity compared to previous reports. Due to limited and outdated information on the population of the LGBTQ community in San Francisco, it is difficult to adequately assess the representation of the LGBTQ community. However, compared to available San Francisco, larger Bay Area, and national data, the LGBTQ community is well represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Recent research estimates the national LGBT population is 4.5%.⁵ The LGBT population of the San Francisco and greater Bay Area is estimated to rank the highest of U.S. cities at 6.2%,⁶ while a 2006 survey found that 15.4% of adults in San Francisco identify as LGBT⁷.

Of the appointees who responded to this question, 19% identify as LGBTQ and 81% identify as straight or heterosexual. Of the LGBTQ appointees, 48% identify as gay, 23% as lesbian, 17% as bisexual, 7% as queer, 5% as transgender, and 1% as questioning. Data on LGBTQ identity by race was not captured. Efforts to capture data on LGBTQ identity by race for future reports would enable more intersectional analysis.



Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019





E. Disability Status

Overall, 12% of adults in San Francisco have one or more disabilities, and when broken down by gender, 6.2% are women and 5.7% are men. Disability data for transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable. Data on disability was obtained from 516, or 70%, of the 714 appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 516 appointees, 11.2% reported to have one

https://news.gallup.com/poll/234863/estimate-lgbt-population-rises.aspx.

percentage.aspx?utm_source=Social%20Issues&utm_medium=newsfeed&utm_campaign=tiles.

⁵ Frank Newport, "In U.S., Estimate of LGBT Population Rises to 4.5%," GALLUP (May 22, 2018)

⁶ Gary J. Gates and Frank Newport, "San Francisco Metro Area Ranks Highest in LBGT Percentage," *GALLUP* (March 20, 2015) https://news.gallup.com/poll/182051/san-francisco-metro-area-ranks-highest-lgbt-

⁷ Gary J. Gates, "Same Sex Couples and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual Population: New Estimates from the American Community Survey," *The Williams Institute on Sexual Orientation Law and Public Policy, UCLA School of Law* (2006).

or more disabilities, which is near parity with the San Francisco population. Of the 11.2% appointees with one or more disabilities, 6.8% are women, 3.9% are men, 0.4% are trans women, and 0.2% are trans men.

Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017



Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019



F. Veteran Status

Overall, 3.2% of the adult population in San Francisco has served in the military. There is a considerable difference by gender, as male veterans are 3% and female veterans are 0.2% of the population. Data on veteran status was obtained from 494, or 67%, of appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 494 appointees who responded to this question, 7.1% have served in the military. Like the San Francisco population, there is a large difference by gender, as men comprise 5.7% and women make up only 1.2% of the total number of veteran appointees. Of participating appointees, 0.2% of veterans are trans women. Veteran status data on transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable.







Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

G. Policy Bodies by Budget

This report also examines whether policy bodies with the largest and smallest budget sizes and other characteristics are demographically representative of the San Francisco population. In this section, budget size is used as a proxy for influence. Although this report has expanded the scope of analysis to include more policy bodies compared to previous reports, this section of analysis was limited to Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members file financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the demographics for the spectrum of budgetary influence of policy bodies with decision-making authority in San Francisco.

Overall, appointees from the 10 largest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 55% people of color, 41% women, and 23% women of color. Appointees from the 10 smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 54% people of color, 52% women, and 32% women of color. Although still below parity with the San Francisco population, the representation of people of color on both the largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies is greater than the percentage of people of color for all appointees combined (50%). For women and women of color, their representation meets or exceeds parity with the population on the 10 smallest budgeted bodies. However, it falls far below parity for the 10 largest budgeted bodies. The representation of total women and women of color is greater on smaller budgeted policy bodies by 27%, and 39%, respectively.



Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Body	EV19 10 Rudget	Total	Filled	Women	Women	People
Body	FY18-19 Budget		seats	women	of Color	of Color
Health Commission	\$2,200,000,000	7	7	29%	14%	86%
Public Utilities Commission	\$1,296,600,000	5	3	67%	0%	0%
MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission	\$1,200,000,000	7	7	57%	14%	43%
Airport Commission	\$1,000,000,000	5	5	40%	20%	40%
Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure	\$745,000,000	5	5	60%	60%	100%
Police Commission	\$687,139,793	7	7	43%	43%	71%
Health Authority (Plan Governing Board)	\$666,000,000	19	15	33%	27%	47%
Human Services Commission	\$529,900,000	5	5	40%	0%	40%
Fire Commission	\$400,721,970	5	5	20%	20%	40%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	\$334,700,000	7	7	43%	14%	57%
Total	\$9,060,061,763	72	66	41%	23%	55%

Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019

Body	FY18-19 Budget	Total Seats	Filled Seats	Women	Women of color	People of Color
Rent Board Commission	\$8,543,912	10	9	44%	11%	33%
Commission on the Status of Women	\$8,048,712	7	7	100%	71%	71%
Ethics Commission	\$6,458,045	5	4	100%	50%	50%
Human Rights Commission	\$4,299,600	12	10	50%	50%	70%
Small Business Commission	\$2,242,007	7	7	43%	29%	43%
Civil Service Commission	\$1,262,072	5	4	50%	0%	25%
Board of Appeals	\$1,072,300	5	5	40%	20%	40%
Entertainment Commission	\$1,003,898	7	7	29%	14%	57%
Assessment Appeals Board No.1, 2, & 3	\$663 <i>,</i> 423	24	18	39%	22%	44%
Youth Commission	\$305,711	17	16	56%	44%	75%
Total	\$33,899,680	99	87	52%	32%	54%

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics

The comparison of the two policy body categories in this section provides another proxy for influence, as Commissions and Boards whose members file disclosures of economic interest have greater decisionmaking authority in San Francisco than Advisory Bodies whose members do not file economic interest disclosures. The percentages of total women, LGBTQ people, people with disabilities, and veterans are larger for total appointees on Advisory Bodies. However, the percentages of women of color and people of color on Commissions and Boards slightly exceeds the percentages of women of color and people of color on Advisory Bodies.



Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019

I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees

Figure 24 compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color for appointments made by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointments are more diverse, and consist of more women, women of color, and people of color compared to Supervisorial appointments. Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people of color, while Supervisorial appointments are 48% women, 24% women of color, and 48% people of color. The total of all approving authorities combined average out at 51% women, 28% women of color, and 50% people of color. This disparity in diversity between Mayoral and Supervisorial appointments may be due in part to the appointment section process for each authority. The 11-member Board of Supervisors only sees applicants for specific bodies through the 3-member Rules Committee or by designees, stipulated in legislation (e.g. "renter," "landlord," "consumer advocate"), whereas the Mayor typically has the ability to take total appointments into account during selections, and can therefore better address gaps in diversity.



Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

III. Conclusion

Since the first gender analysis of Commissions and Boards in 2007, the representation of women appointees on San Francisco policy bodies has gradually increased. The *2019 Gender Analysis* finds the percentage of women appointees is 51%, which slightly exceeds the population of women in San Francisco.

When appointee demographics are analyzed by gender and race, women of color continue to be underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population. Most notably underrepresented are Asian women who make up 17% of the population but only 11% of appointees, and Latinx women who make up 7% of the population but only 3% of appointees. Additionally, men of color are underrepresented relative to their San Francisco population, primarily Asian and Latinx men.

Furthermore, when analyzing the demographic composition of larger and smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards, women are underrepresented on those with the largest budgets, and overrepresented or reach parity with the population on smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards. These two trends are amplified for women of color appointees. Women comprise 41% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, which is 8 percentage points below the population, and women of color comprise 23% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, 9 percentage points below their San Francisco population. Comparatively, women are 52% of total appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies, and women of color are 32% of appointees, which is equal to the San Francisco population. However, the issue of largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies does not seem to impact the representation of people of color. People of color make up 55% of appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies and 54% of appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies compared to 50% of total appointees. Nonetheless, these percentages still fall below the San Francisco population of people of color at 62%.

In addition to using budget size as a proxy for influence, this report analyzed demographic characteristics of appointees on Commissions and Boards who file disclosures of economic interest and have decision-making authority, and appointees on Advisory Bodies who do not file economic interest disclosures. Over half (54%) of appointees on Advisory Bodies are women, while 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards are women. Although 48% is only slightly below the San Francisco population of women, women comprise a decently higher percentage of appointees on Advisory Bodies compared to Commissions and Boards.

This year's report features more data on LGBTQ identity, veteran status, and disability than previous gender analyses. The *2019 Gender Analysis* found a relatively high representation of LGBTQ individuals on San Francisco policy bodies. For the appointees that provided LGBTQ identity information, 19% identify as LGBTQ with the largest subset being gay men at 48%. It is recommended for future gender analyses to collect LGBTQ data by race and gender to provide additional intersectional analysis. The representation of appointees with disabilities is 11%, just below the 12% population. Veterans are highly represented on San Francisco policy bodies at 7% compared to the veteran population of 3%.

Additionally, this report evaluates and compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color appointees by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointees include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people

of color, which overall is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointees and total appointees.

This report is intended to advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and other appointing authorities, as they select appointments for policy bodies of the City and County of San Francisco. In spirit of the 2008 City Charter Amendment that establishes this biennial Gender Analysis report requirement and the importance of diversity on San Francisco policy bodies, efforts to address gaps in diversity and inclusion should remain at the forefront when making appointments in order to accurately reflect the population of San Francisco.

IV. Methodology and Limitations

This report focuses on City and County of San Francisco Commissions, Boards, Task Forces, Councils, and Committees that have the majority of members appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors and that have jurisdiction limited to the City. The gender analysis reflects data from the policy bodies that provided information to the Department on the Status of Women through digital and paper survey.

Data was requested from 90 policy bodies and acquired from 84 different policy bodies and a total of 741 appointees. A Commissioner or Board member's gender identity, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability status, and veteran status were among data elements collected on a voluntary basis. Data on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, or questioning (LGBTQ) identity, disability, and veteran status of appointees were incomplete or unavailable for some appointees but are included to the extent possible. As the fundamental objective of this report is to surface patterns of underrepresentation, every attempt has been made to reflect accurate and complete information in this report. Data for some policy bodies was incomplete, and all appointees who responded were included in the total demographic categories. Only policy bodies with full data on gender and race for all appointees were included in sections comparing demographics of individual bodies. It should be noted that for policy bodies with a small number of members, the change of a single individual greatly impacts the percentages of demographic categories. As such, these percentages should be interpreted with this in mind.

The surveyed policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney document entitled *List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute.*⁸ This document separates San Francisco policy bodies into two different categories. The first category includes Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission, and the second category encompasses Advisory Bodies whose members do not submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. Depending on the analysis criteria in each section of this report, the surveyed policy bodies and appointees are either examined comprehensively as a whole or examined separately in the two categories designated by the Office of the City Attorney.

Data from the U.S. Census 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates provides a comparison to the San Francisco population. Figures 26 and 27 in the Appendix display these population estimates by race/ethnicity and gender.

⁸ "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf, (August 25, 2017).

Appendix

Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019⁹

Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019	Total	Filled		14/	Women	People
Policy Body	Seats	Seats	FY18-19 Budget	Women	of Color	of Color
Abatement Appeals Board	7	7	\$76,500,000	14%	0%	14%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	7	7	\$334,700,000	57%	33%	57%
Airport Commission	5	5	\$1,000,000,000	40%	50%	40%
Arts Commission	15	15	\$37,000,000	67%	50%	60%
Asian Art Commission	27	27	\$30,000,000	63%	71%	59%
Assessment Appeals Board No.1	8	5	\$663,423	20%	0%	20%
Assessment Appeals Board No.2	8	8	-	50%	75%	63%
Assessment Appeals Board No.3	8	4	-	50%	50%	50%
Ballot Simplification Committee	5	4	\$0	75%	33%	25%
Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee	12	9	\$0	33%	100%	67%
Board of Appeals	5	5	\$1,072,300	40%	50%	40%
Board of Examiners	13	13	\$0	0%	0%	46%
Building Inspection Commission	7	7	\$76,500,000	14%	0%	14%
Child Care Planning and Advisory Council	25	19	\$26,841	84%	50%	50%
Children and Families Commission (First 5)	9	8	\$28,002,978	100%	75%	75%
Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee	11	10	\$155,224,346	50%	80%	75%
Citizen's Committee on Community Development	9	8	\$39,696,467	75%	67%	63%
City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission	5	5	\$0	60%	33%	20%
Civil Service Commission	5	4	\$1,262,072	50%	0%	25%
Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure	5	5	\$745,000,000	60%	100%	100%
Commission on the Aging Advisory Council	22	15	\$0	80%	33%	31%
Commission on the Environment	7	6	\$27,280,925	67%	50%	50%
Commission on the Status of Women	7	7	\$8,048,712	100%	71%	71%
Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee	11	11	\$3,000,000	82%	33%	45%
Eastern Neighborhoods Citizens Advisory Committee	19	13	\$0	38%	40%	44%
Elections Commission	7	7	\$15,238,360	57%	25%	29%
Entertainment Commission	7	7	\$1,003,898	29%	50%	57%
Ethics Commission	5	4	\$6,458,045	100%	50%	50%
Film Commission	11	11	\$0	55%	67%	50%
Fire Commission	5	5	\$400,721,970	20%	100%	40%
Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority	7	6	\$0	50%	67%	75%

⁹ Figure 25 only includes policy bodies with complete data on gender for all appointees. Some bodies had incomplete data on race/ethnicity of appointees. For these, percentages for people of color are calculated out of known race/ethnicity.

Policy Body	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY18-19 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color
Health Authority (Plan Governing Board)	19	15	\$666,000,000	33%	80%	50%
Health Commission	7	7	\$2,200,000,000	43%	50%	86%
Health Service Board	7	6	\$11,632,022	33%	0%	50%
Historic Preservation Commission	7	7	\$53,832,000	43%	33%	14%
Housing Authority Commission	7	6	\$60,894,150	50%	100%	83%
Human Rights Commission	12	10	\$4,299,600	60%	100%	70%
Human Services Commission	5	5	\$529,900,000	40%	0%	40%
Immigrant Rights Commission	15	13	\$0	54%	86%	85%
In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority	13	9	\$70,729,667	44%	50%	56%
Juvenile Probation Commission	7	6	\$48,824,199	33%	100%	100%
Library Commission	7	7	\$160,000,000	71%	40%	57%
Local Homeless Coordinating Board	9	9	\$40,000,000	56%	60%	75%
Mayor's Disability Council	11	8	\$0	75%	17%	25%
Mental Health Board	17	15	\$184,962	73%	64%	73%
MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority	7	7	\$1,200,000,000	57%	25%	43%
Commission						
Office of Early Care and Education Citizens' Advisory	9	9	\$0	89%	50%	56%
Committee			4747 000 000	4 = 0 (4.0.00(670/
Oversight Board (COII)	7	6	\$745,000,000	17%	100%	67%
Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee	17	13	\$0	46%	17%	8%
Planning Commission	7	6	\$53,832,000	50%	67%	33%
Police Commission	7	7	\$687,139,793	43%	100%	71%
Port Commission	5	5	\$192,600,000	60%	67%	60%
Public Utilities Citizen's Advisory Committee	17	13	\$0	54%	14%	31%
Public Utilities Commission	5	3	\$1,296,600,000	67%	0%	0%
Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board	7	6	\$0	33%	100%	67%
Public Utilities Revenue Bond Oversight Committee	7	5	\$0	40%	50%	40%
Recreation and Park Commission	7	7	\$230,900,000	29%	50%	43%
Reentry Council	24	23	\$0	43%	70%	70%
Rent Board Commission	10	9	\$8,543,912	44%	25%	33%
Residential Users Appeal Board	3	2	\$0	0%	0%	50%
Retirement System Board	7	7	\$95,000,000	43%	67%	29%
Sentencing Commission	13	13	\$0	31%	25%	67%
Small Business Commission	7	7	\$2,242,007	43%	67%	43%
SRO Task Force	12	12	\$0	42%	25%	55%
Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee	16	15	\$0	67%	70%	80%
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force	11	11	\$0	27%	67%	36%
Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group	11	7	\$0	43%	67%	43%
Treasure Island Development Authority	7	6	\$18,484,130	50%	N/A	N/A

Policy Body	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY18-19 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color
Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Citizens Advisory	17	13	\$0	54%	N/A	N/A
Board						
Urban Forestry Council	15	13	\$153,626	8%	0%	0%
Veterans Affairs Commission	17	11	\$0	36%	50%	55%
War Memorial Board of Trustees	11	11	\$18,185,686	55%	33%	18%
Workforce Community Advisory Committee	8	4	\$0	100%	100%	100%
Youth Commission	17	16	\$305,711	56%	78%	75%

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019.

Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017

Race/Ethnicity	То	tal
	Estimate	Percent
San Francisco County California	864,263	-
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	353,000	38%
Asian	295,347	31%
Hispanic or Latinx	131,949	14%
Some other Race	64,800	7%
Black or African American	45,654	5%
Two or More Races	43,664	5%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	3,226	0.3%
Native American and Alaska Native	3,306	0.4%

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017

Race/Ethnicity	Total		Female		Male	
	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent
San Francisco County California	864,263	-	423,630	49%	440,633	51%
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	353,000	38%	161,381	17%	191,619	20%
Asian	295,347	31%	158,762	17%	136,585	15%
Hispanic or Latinx	131,949	14%	62,646	7%	69 <i>,</i> 303	7%
Some Other Race	64,800	7%	30,174	3%	34,626	4%
Black or African American	45,654	5%	22,311	2.4%	23,343	2.5%
Two or More Races	43,664	5%	21,110	2.2%	22 <i>,</i> 554	2.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	3,226	0.3%	1,576	0.2%	1,650	0.2%
Native American and Alaska Native	3,306	0.4%	1,589	0.2%	1,717	0.2%

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

City and County of San Francisco Department on the Status of Women 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240 San Francisco, California 94102 sfgov.org/dosw dosw@sfgov.org 415.252.2570



Juanita MORE! 1035 Sutter Street San Francisco, CA 94109

October, 14, 2022

SF Entertainment Commission Recommendation Letter for Stephen Torres

Dear Supervisors Aaron Peskin, Connie Chan, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Juanita MORE! I am writing this letter of record on behalf of Stephen Torres to support his appointment to Seat No. 2 of the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

I have known Mr. Torres for many years now. I have observed his work within our community and his dedication to the preservation and centering of LGBTQ culture while also bringing it forward through diversity, equity, and inclusion.

I first came to know Mr. Torres through his work organizing events to bring nightlife workers together through shared goals and then as he joined leadership within the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club. While at the club, he incorporated legacy queer spaces into club operations and policy.

During his tenure at the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, he married community needs and responses with this community's history and preservation components. Through his advocacy and work with District Director Tina Aguirre, the Castro LGBTQ Hub came to life. It has provided low-barrier access to health and wellness services our community needs, predominantly COVID-19 testing and vaccination deployment, which had not previously been identified as a need for our community.

I have partnered with Mr. Torres in the past and can speak to his work ethic and coalition-building ability. I hope you consider his appointment to this commission and how important it is to have the voice of an LGBTQ Latino representative of our nightlife community.

Loads of Love, Juanita MORE!



From:	Ammo Eisu
To:	<u>Young, Victor (BOS)</u>
Subject:	Stephen Torres: SF Entertainment Commission
Date:	Friday, October 14, 2022 3:22:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Peskin, Chan & Mandelman (c/o Mr. Victor Young),

I am writing to voice my passionate support of Stephen Torres (he/him) who is being considered for the open seat of the SF Entertainment Commission. I believe Stephen is an ideal candidate for this position because of his decades of work in the community as well as his authentic interest in keeping San Francisco nightlife inclusive, accessible, and safe for all communities.

Stephen has the unique experience of serving SF nightlife in so many different capacities from bartending to running the SF Barworkers Ball for 10 years to serving as Events and Fundraising Chair for the Milk Club as well as serving on the Advisory Board of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District. In those roles, he has consistently demonstrated that bringing together the SF community and amplifying marginalized voices such as those who identify as Queer and Trans People of Color (QTPOC) are essential in keeping the spaces of SF nightlife safe and thriving.

As a member of the QTPOC community, I trust no one else more than Stephen to be able to collaborate and communicate with a multitude of parties to affect meaningful progress for SF nightlife -- just as he has done in initiating the Castro LGBTQ COVID-19 Community Hub which provides services to vulnerable communities, holding various community happy hours merging nightlife spaces with other community spaces such as art galleries and legacy queer spaces, and in being a strong voice in local political organizations such as the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club.

Thank you for your time, and for hearing my unequivocal support of Stephen Torres for San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

Best, Ammo Eisu



October 13, 2022

Dear Board of Supervisors Rules Committee and BOS President Walton,

Jon Larner has been a friend, donor, and supporter of La Cocina for many years. I am writing to detail some of the ways that he has supported our organization through the years and to wholeheartedly support his candidacy for the open Board of Supervisors appointed seat available on the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

Jon is a true San Franciscan, he cares deeply about the city, its culture and history and in particular the small businesses that make it the unique and beloved place that it is. Jon himself is a small business owner so he knows at his core how challenging and rewarding small business ownership is. La Cocina's mission is something that he supports with every aspect of who he is. He makes a point to support our graduate restaurants, hires our caterers, and is deeply committed to supporting and creating opportunities for small business owners more broadly.

Jon actually opened The Independent as a venue just one year before La Cocina opened our doors. In years since, Jon has donated too many tickets to count to often sold-out shows at The Independent for our auctions and raffles, raising cash funds for our organization through his generosity.

Jon also directly supported our San Francisco Street Food Festival which ran from 2009 – 2019 and welcomed as many as 100,000 people initially to the Mission and later to the Dogpatch neighborhoods. Jon wore many different hats over the years as volunteer, advocate, and he directly supported us in securing outdoor music permits, DJs and musicians. Jon knows how complicated it can be to plan and execute these kinds of events, particularly any of the musical elements, and he always approached our staff's naivete about everything...from how many speakers one would need to how long it might take to wait for news about a permit with kindness and patience. Jon has always been happy to share his expertise, perspective and connections with us. In all of our organization's work with Jon the many, many years of experience he has had in this profession and his passion for both his work as well as for the ways in which the arts enrich our city have always been abundantly apparent.

La Cocina has hosted our fundraising events including our Gala dinner and *Voices From The Kitchen* at both The Pearl, and The Swedish American Hall. Again, thanks to Jon's generosity, we've been able to minimize the cost of those events with venue sponsorships, volunteers to help with setup, AV & Music, and breakdown. Having a supporter like Jon, who himself has so many connections that he then channels to support the city, and the city's small businesses is truly invaluable.

When Jon reached out to say he was looking for a role on the Entertaiment Commission I could truly think of nobody more perfect for the role. Thank you for your consideration.

Warmly,

1 etrilanda

Leticia Landa Deputy Director

La Cocina 2948 Folsom St. San Francisco, CA 94110

got light.

October 15, 2022

Board of Supervisors Rules Committee ATTN: Supervisor Peskin Supervisor Chan Supervisor Mandelman BOS Board President Shamann Walton

Re: Letter of Support | Jon Larner

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors Rules Committee:

I am incredibly excited and honored to write a brief letter in support of Jon Larner's candidacy for the open BOS appointed Industry seat on the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

I am one of the Owners & Lead Designers of a San Francisco based event design & production company called "Got Light". Got Light has been in business for over 20 years and is recognized as one of the Bay Area's leaders in event design & production services for a wide array of events, from civic functions to SF museum openings, SF social & performing arts galas, and much more. We are also proud to be certified by the National LGBT Chamber of Commerce and ranked one of the top 10 LGBTQ-owned businesses in the Bay Area for 9 years running.

I have known Jon as both a San Francisco neighbor and a General Manager of a variety of thriving small businesses. Specifically, Jon and I have worked side by side since 2016 to build and develop The Pearl as a premier event venue in the booming Dogpatch neighborhood. Got Light consulted heavily on the production infrastructure of The Pearl and is proud to be its exclusive Lighting & AV provider for what's now a substantial total of 664 events since 2016 (and counting)!

Jon's leadership at The Pearl set the gold standard for the redevelopment of the Dogpatch. Furthermore, The Pearl itself has set the bar for multi-purpose event venues in the Bay Area. His influence here not only helped to energize an entire neighborhood, but it has helped to energize an entire event community. Frankly, his influence has helped foster Got Light's own success and tremendous growth. Our inroads and exclusivity with The Pearl have challenged us to expand our own services and opened countless opportunities both at the venue and beyond.
got light.

While it's easy to cite all the amazing accomplishments of Jon Larner (and there are countless more), I'd be remiss to not mention what really separates Jon from others in the industry...

Jon is one of the most passionate (and compassionate) people I've ever met. His enthusiasm and excitement for his work is contagious. He is hilarious. He is blunt. He is genuine. He is smart, an absurdly quick problem solver, and he brings a refreshing burst of incredible energy. He operates with zero ego and no agenda for himself. His only goal is giving back to others and building community.

Jon is one of those unsung heroes of the Bay Area entertainment industry. He has given so much of himself to the industry and the community. My only regret is that we didn't meet much earlier in both of our careers!

Hearing about this opportunity for Jon feels like a natural next step in his career. Having Jon represent us on the Entertainment Commission will bring so much good to San Francisco, to the community, to the industry, to the employees of the industry, and to the Commission itself. It's a win for everyone across the board.

Thank you for taking the time to read and thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Jon Retsky Owner & Lead Designer got light. www.got-light.com 415-902-6872 jon@got-light.com



October 13, 2022

Dear Board of Supervisors Rules Committee and BOS President Walton,

Jon Larner has been a friend, donor, and supporter of La Cocina for many years. I am writing to detail some of the ways that he has supported our organization through the years and to wholeheartedly support his candidacy for the open Board of Supervisors appointed seat available on the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

Jon is a true San Franciscan, he cares deeply about the city, its culture and history and in particular the small businesses that make it the unique and beloved place that it is. Jon himself is a small business owner so he knows at his core how challenging and rewarding small business ownership is. La Cocina's mission is something that he supports with every aspect of who he is. He makes a point to support our graduate restaurants, hires our caterers, and is deeply committed to supporting and creating opportunities for small business owners more broadly.

Jon actually opened The Independent as a venue just one year before La Cocina opened our doors. In years since, Jon has donated too many tickets to count to often sold-out shows at The Independent for our auctions and raffles, raising cash funds for our organization through his generosity.

Jon also directly supported our San Francisco Street Food Festival which ran from 2009 – 2019 and welcomed as many as 100,000 people initially to the Mission and later to the Dogpatch neighborhoods. Jon wore many different hats over the years as volunteer, advocate, and he directly supported us in securing outdoor music permits, DJs and musicians. Jon knows how complicated it can be to plan and execute these kinds of events, particularly any of the musical elements, and he always approached our staff's naivete about everything...from how many speakers one would need to how long it might take to wait for news about a permit with kindness and patience. Jon has always been happy to share his expertise, perspective and connections with us. In all of our organization's work with Jon the many, many years of experience he has had in this profession and his passion for both his work as well as for the ways in which the arts enrich our city have always been abundantly apparent.

La Cocina has hosted our fundraising events including our Gala dinner and *Voices From The Kitchen* at both The Pearl, and The Swedish American Hall. Again, thanks to Jon's generosity, we've been able to minimize the cost of those events with venue sponsorships, volunteers to help with setup, AV & Music, and breakdown. Having a supporter like Jon, who himself has so many connections that he then channels to support the city, and the city's small businesses is truly invaluable.

When Jon reached out to say he was looking for a role on the Entertaiment Commission I could truly think of nobody more perfect for the role. Thank you for your consideration.

Warmly,

1 etrilanda

Leticia Landa Deputy Director

La Cocina 2948 Folsom St. San Francisco, CA 94110

Bimbo's 365 Club

October 16, 2022

Dear Supervisor Peskin,

I am writing in support of Jon Larner's candidacy for the open BOS appointed Industry seat on the San Francisco Entertainment Commission. As a small business and venue owner myself, I recognize Jon's commitment to San Francisco and the local entertainment industry. His venues are both iconic and essential to what makes San Francisco a vibrant and unique place. With Jon on the SF Entertainment Commission, I trust that his experience, leadership skills, and support of small businesses and neighborhood initiatives will make for a better San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best. Marini

Michael Cerchiai Owner Bimbo's 365 Club

BIMBO'S 365 CLUB 1025 Columbus Avenue. San Francisco, CA 94133 415.474.0365 | info@bimbos365club.com www.bimbos365club.com

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan, and Mandelman,

My name is Daniel Nicoletta and I am writing to you' in support of Stephen Torres for Seat No.2 on the Entertainment Commission. In my view, Stephen very much reminds me of the caring demonstrated by my mentor the late Supervisor Harvey Milk.

I came to know Mr. Torres through his work while he was on the Executive Board of the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club and I am grateful for his consistent dedication to the preservation and centering of LGBTQ culture and the needs of our communities.

Stephen has continued this advocacy through his leadership in the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, such as the activation of COVID-19 response in the community and efforts to preserve vulnerable and treasured LGBTQ legacy spaces.

Please approve Mr. Torres for Seat No.2 on the Entertainment Commission, I am confident Stephen will bring the much needed perspectives of a gay Latino industry worker and community leader.

Sincerely,

Daniel Nicoletta

Daniel Nicoletta 1223 NW Prospect Ave Grants Pass, Oregon, 97526 415-310-3072

owlmandanny@gmail.com

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://dannynicoletta.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmN2IyO WFmYzFhMjQxNDczMzlkYTMzMGVhOTIwOTQ2ZDo2OmVlMmI6Y2E2MmQwYmU0OGU3 OTVmNmZkODQ4ZjA5Njk4NDRjMTgwZTgyYmRiMjA3NTU2OGRjMTE5MGRmMThhYzUz OGJhYTp0OlQ

Dear Supervisors Aaron Peskin, Connie Chan and Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing in support of the appointment of Mr. Stephen TORRES to the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

I have worked closely with Stephen in his role at the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District and have found him to be an engaged advocate and community organizer, especially for the queer and Latino communities.

Stephen is an experienced stakeholder in the nightlife and entertainment industry, and his appointment would offer greater diversity, equity, inclusion and accessibility to the Entertainment Commission.

Thank you for your consideration, Karalyn Monteil

Karalyn Monteil President & CEO

SAN FRANCISCO HERITAGE HAAS-LILIENTHAL HOUSE 2007 FRANKLIN STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109 On Ramaytush Ohlone Land

+1 (415) 830-1095 (cell phone) +1 (415) 441-3000 x15 (office)

kmonteil@sfheritage.org She/Her/Hers

SF HERITAGE

www.sfheritage.org Join SF Heritage Now Follow us on Instagram To: Supervisor Peskin, Supervisor Chan, and Supervisor Mandelman

My name is Cleve Jones, and I write to support the appointment of Stephen Torres to the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

The commission tasked with regulation and oversight for the city's important entertainment industry benefits from representation of our city's diverse communities. A gay Latino nightlife worker, Mr. Torres will bring a well-informed voice to the work of this commission.

I have known Mr. Torres for many years and have witnessed his dedication to advance the various communities he represents. For the past decade he has been employed at the historic Twin Peaks Tavern and worked to provide a space that is safe, inclusive and welcoming to residents as well as visitors from around the world. His commitment to the safety and wellbeing of industry workers goes back twenty years to his earliest days in this field. While employed at the famous Mission District bar, El Río, he initiated an annual bar employees ball where industry workers to came together to socialize and find access to services and organizations addressing the complex challenges faced by these workers and their families.

The nightlife industry contributes enormously to San Francisco but remains significantly unregulated with serious issues of inequity and occupational hazards for a workforce typically unrepresented by labor unions. Since the pandemic, these workers have faced an even more uncertain future.

During his tenure on the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District Board, Mr. Torres has made every effort to address the current needs of our community while securing the preservation of our past. From advocacy on legacy Queer spaces to ensuring access to COVID-19 testing and vaccinations, his track record illustrates a comprehension and understanding of this industry, our communities, and the many challenges that face those within them.

Mr. Torres is also a thoughtful and kind man who loves San Francisco. I am delighted to recommend him to you.

Sincerely,

Cleve Jones

From: To: Subject: Date: Anthony Presents Yours, Wester (BOS) Consideration of application for entertainment seat #2 + letters of Recommendation Sunday, October 16, 2022 9-48-40 PM

This message is from addide the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening

I hope this email finds you well, and you had a great weekend. In per the small finds you well, and you had a great weekend.
I am so thatful for the exportantily and consideration for Soat 2 on the Entertainment Commission. I look forward to meeting with you tomorrow.
I am completely overwhelmed and numbered by the amount of outreads and support letters. The letters will include recommendation from:
* Former entertainment Commission # 2 and . Since the
Boogstook, Soate Soa, Dak is owner to be to be a mount of outreads and support letters. The letters will include recommendation from:
* Former entertainment Commission # 2 and . Since the
Boogstook, Soate Soa, Dak is owner to be to be
Soard Soate, Brow, Brow,

The individuals from the list show have verbally committed to sending their letters to me, but with the consideration email received Thursday evening, all have been busy working the venues they own and represent. I currently have received support letters from Danny Hai and Rudy Corpuz, and will receive the other recomme week. Please utilize this link where all letters will be stored by werne and organization. indations this

Please let me know if you have any questions for me before tomorrow's hearing. I will see you then! https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1cPEZcZRk_2ZcoO2/9pnsSUbLX9QD4FCP

Best, Anthony Schlander Event Planner | Entrepreneur

EVENT Human ; Jahapapaname G Andangbergensame @Sandaze @Socialfictub https://url.avanan.click/v2___Www.AnthonyPresents.com____YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzzwODM2YmMsZTg5NmNiMTEyMzRiNGUSNGI2NDEwYTYzZgs20mboTQ60TUwZDU2NjMsZGUwYjE4NzFizGU50TE INGExY2I2NjQ1NmZmNDUwZTI2Ym110DQ0M2I0ZWYsZDYyOTRJMDQ2NDp600Q https://url.avanan.click/v2___Www.AnthonyPresents.com___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzzwODM2YmMsZTg5NmNiMTEyMzRiNGUSNGI2NDEwYTYzZgs20mboTQ60TUwZDU2NjMsZGUwYjE4NzFizGU50TE INGExY2I2NjQ1NmZmNDUwZTI2Ym110DQ0M2I0ZWYsZDYyOTRJMDQ2NDp600Q

STEVEN LEE VENTURES

250 Clara Street. Unit 1 San Francisco, CA 94107 DL: 415.720.4208 11am - 11pm Email: Steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com

October 17, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Rules Committee Supervisor Chair Aaron Peskin Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Re: Anthony Schlander,

Good morning Supervisors,

I have known Anthony Schlander for over 20 years, when he was a young event promoter at my former nightclub, the Glas Kat. He was one of my monthly event hosts on a Thursday night from 2003 until 2011, Not an easy task to keep that position for so long. Since then, I have witnessed his growth in the entertainment industry and community non-profit work. Anthony is a team builder and works very hard to make his grassroots venue projects a success story. Organizing, operating and securing venues that have occupancies of 200 plus are not easy tasks. To be an Entertainment Commissioner that represents Industry, it is important to have the on the ground experience, experience to mediate conflicts to solve problems, and must take public safety policies seriously to protect both venue patrons and neighborhoods a priority. Anthony Schlander has done his fair share of this by implementing all these priorities at his own business successfully. Therefore, it is my opinion he is ready to give his personal time to "publicly serve" for the City and County of San Francisco.

Please consider Anthony Schlander to be considered the next Commissioner, seat 2 on the Entertainment Commission, that I was privileged to represent on for the last 10 years. If you have any questions, please contact me at the number or text above.

Sincerely,

Steven Lee **STEVEN LEE VENTURES** www.stevenleeventures.com steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com

Port Commission of San Francisco Commissioner / <u>www.sfport.com</u>

Lion's Den Ventures, LLC Co-Founder / Shareholder www.lionsdenbarandlounge.com

•

Sam Wo Ventures, LLC Co-Founder / Shareholder / <u>www.samworestaurant.com</u>

Chinese Railroad Workers Memorial Project Founder / Director / www.crrwmemorialproject.com

California Music and Culture Association Board Member / <u>www.cmacsf.com</u>

From:	Jen Reck
То:	<u>Young, Victor (BOS)</u>
Subject:	Public Comment - Rules Committee October 17th
Date:	Monday, October 17, 2022 8:14:31 AM

Dear Supervisors Chan, Mandelman, and Peskin -

I am writing to support Stephen Torres' appointment to Seat No. 2 on the San Francisco Entertainment Commission. As a long-time nightlife worker with many years of community organizing experience, Mr. Torres is an ideal candidate for this position. His experience working in nightlife offers him first-hand knowledge of the challenges the San Francisco entertainment industry is facing and how to support its positive growth. Torres offers a perspective that would be unique on the Entertainment Commission and one that is deeply important – a worker's perspective. Entertainment Commission seats have often been held by major nightlife business owners rather than workers. Including the perspective of workers is imperative – the industry depends on them for its survival and expansion. Nightlife work is physically demanding and the working conditions unfortunately often exploitative. As the industry seeks to bounce back after the pandemic, it is even more vital that the work conditions are ones that will attract employees. Stephen Torres, as a Latinx gay man, offers an important perspective on industry equity that will highly benefit the Entertainment Commission.

Torres' history of San Francisco community work situates him well for this position. He has extensive experience creating events to support diverse nightlife workers, organizing work in the Harvey Milk Democratic Club, and leadership and community mobilization experience on the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District Advisory Board. This background makes him familiar with San Francisco's political context and provides him experience responding to community needs and developing policy strategies. His organizing work in queer BIPOC communities provides him knowledge that would very much complement and strengthen the Entertainment Commission's equity work.

As a community member, I very much appreciate the important work the Entertainment Commission does for our city. Stephen Torres' appointment to the commission will build on the legacy of that work and provide a vital perspective and set of skills. I support Stephen Torres' appointment to Seat No. 2 on the commission without hesitation, and I urge you to do the same. In Community,

Jen Reck, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor of Sociology and Sexuality Studies, SFSU Castro LGBTQ Advisory Board member and Land Use Co-Chair

From:	DJ Bus Station John
To:	Young, Victor (BOS)
Cc:	Stephen Torres
Subject:	Supervisors Aaron Peskin, Connie Chan and Rafael Mandelman c/o Clerk of the Committee re: Appointment of Stephen Torres to SF Entertainment Commission / Seat #2
Date:	Monday, October 17, 2022 9:03:24 AM

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

Hi, I'm DJ Bus Station John, creator of SF's longest-running LGBTQ+ weekly dance party The Tubesteak Connection at venerable Aunt Charlie's Lounge in the Tenderloin, DISCO DADDY @ The SF Eagle, and many other clubs over the past 20+ years.

I've long counted on Stephen Torres as a friend and advocate for not only nightlife, but for keeping the spirit of old-school San Francisco alive, something I've strived to do in my own club endeavors. At Stephen's invitation, I've many times DJ'd both the Bar Workers' Ball and Harvey Milk Birthday celebrations he's organized over the years, and I've been continually impressed by his passion for our nightlife community, and his work ethic when it comes to organizing and getting things done. I can't think of anyone who'd be a better addition to the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

Cheers & thanks, DJ Bus Station John

STEVEN LEE VENTURES

250 Clara Street. Unit 1 San Francisco, CA 94107 DL: 415.720.4208 11am - 11pm Email: Steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com

October 17, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Rules Committee Supervisor Chair Aaron Peskin Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Re: Anthony Schlander,

Dear Supervisors,

I have known Anthony Schlander for over 20 years, when he was a young event promoter at my former nightclub, the Glas Kat. He was one of my monthly event hosts on a Thursday night until 2011, not easy to keep that position. Since then, I have witnessed his growth in the entertainment industry and community non-profit work. Anthony is a team builder and works very hard to make his grassroots venue projects a success story. Organizing, operating and securing venues that have occupancies of 200 plus are not easy tasks. To be an Entertainment Commissioner that represents Industry, it is important to have the on the ground experience, experience to mediate conflicts to solve problems, and must take public safety policies seriously to protect both venue patrons and neighborhoods a priority. Anthony Schlander has done his fair share of this by implementing all these priorities at his own business successfully. Therefore, it is my opinion he is ready to give his personal time to "publicly serve" for the City and County of San Francisco.

Please consider Anthony Schlander to be considered the next Commissioner, seat 2 on the Entertainment Commission, that I was proud to serve for the last 10 years. If you have any questions, please contact me at the number or text above.

Sincerely,

Steven Lee STEVEN LEE VENTURES www.stevenleeventures.com steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com

Port Commission of San Francisco Commissioner / <u>www.sfport.com</u>

Lion's Den Ventures, LLC Co-Founder / Shareholder www.lionsdenbarandlounge.com

٠

Sam Wo Ventures, LLC Co-Founder / Shareholder / <u>www.samworestaurant.com</u>

Chinese Railroad Workers Memorial Project Founder / Director / www.crrwmemorialproject.com

California Music and Culture Association Board Member / <u>www.cmacsf.com</u>

Could you add this to my packet please.



1038 Howard Street · San Francisco, CA 94103

www.unitedplayaz.org

October 14, 2022

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is Rudy Corpuz Jr. I am the Founder and Director of United Playaz, a violence prevention and leadership development organization committed to providing youth with positive role models and activities to engage in as an alternative to involvement with gangs, drugs and other high risk behaviors. I am writing this letter on behalf of Anthony Schlander.

I have known Anthony for many years and I am in full support of him being appointed to the Entertainment Commission. I believe that Anthony is intimately in tune with what safe and successful entertainment events should look like in San Francisco. I believe Anthony will take his service very seriously and will be a diligent and thoughtful Commissioner. District Six is in my home neighborhood and I have watched him transform the venue from a sad, underutilized event space into a thriving, asset in our community. Anthony also has deep ties to community and I believe is a trend setter in how entertainment venues can support nonprofits and community groups. I know Anthony to be a man of his word, a conscientious promoter and venue owner and an all around great guy. I fully support his appointment to the Entertainment Commission and believe his service would be an asset to San Francisco as a whole.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or require additional information at rudy@unitedplayaz.org.

In peace,

Rudy Corpung f.

Rudy Corpuz Jr. Founder/ Director

https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1cPEZcZRk_2ZcoO2f9pnsSUbLX9QD4FCP-

Best, Anthony Schlander Event Planner / Entrepreneur (650) 307-7001

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this electronic e-mail and any accompanying attachment(s) is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail, and delete the original message and all copies from your system.

On Oct 17, 2022, at 9:07 AM, Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org> wrote:

Greetings,

If you wish to add participants, please reach out to the Clerk of the Committee.

You have received a **Microsoft Teams Videoconferencing Meeting** invitation to the Rules Committee meeting as there is legislation on the agenda that affects your department (for Department Heads) or (staff liaisons) or you are an applicant for appointment.

Even if you do not anticipate attending, you may want to accept the invitation to be present in case a member of the Rules Committee has a question on your scheduled item, or you change your mind. As you may already know, Teams Meeting may only be sent out prior to the meeting occurring and cannot be sent out once the meeting begins.

If you are unsure on whether your attendance is needed, go to the website and review the Agenda or confer with the Chair or the Clerk or Secretary of the body on any expectations.

DO NOT forward this invitation

DO NOT distribute the conference number or access code.

Individuals who wish to watch this meeting can watch on SFGovTV Cable 26, 28, 78 or 99 (depending on provider) or on SFGovTV.org.

Individuals who wish to provide Public Comment can do so by dialing 1(415) 655-0001 and enter Meeting ID: 2494 799 4152 ##

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device <u>Click here to join the meeting</u>

Meeting ID: 238 813 249 988 Passcode: 8Mg6rF Download Teams | Join on the web

Or call in (audio only)

<u>+1415-906-4659,412504556#</u> United States, San Francisco Phone Conference ID: 412 504 556# <u>Find a local number | Reset PIN</u>

Learn More | Meeting options

Dear Supervisors Aaron Peskin, Connie Chan and Rafael Mandelman:

I am writing in support of the appointment of Stephen Torres to the Entertainment and Arts Commission of San Francisco. In the over 20 years I have known Stephen, the one thing that has been constant is his love of San Francisco, deep care for the LGBTQ and POC community, and commitment to the culture and nightlife that makes the City unique.

I watched him spend countless hours as a young bartender, criss-crossing the City to engage bar workers in a network that culminated in his annual Barworkers' Ball for no other reason than he believed it was important to bring people together and create authentic community. He continued to produce many parties over the years to create intentional socal space for LGBTQ Latinx as San Francisco's demographics rapidly changed. He has been an advocate from the beginning, finding ways to strengthen relationships, offer visibility to musicians and performers and create nurturing, joyful spaces.

Stephen continues to be a driving force in the community and it has been inspiring to watch him evolve into more formal community work with his years at the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club. He has grown into a respected leader in the community currently as an Advisory Board Member of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District. His leadership in spearheading the Castro LGBTQ COVID-19 Community Hub during the pandemic was critical in providing culturally competent access to community members and industry workers in the Castro. I continue to be impressed with how he works to bring stakeholders together to address challenges and serve the community.

San Francisco needs *real* representation of industry workers with a deep understanding of the nuances of the industry and the people that keep it running. This is a Commission that influences their places of employment, community policies and the industry as a whole. Stephen's representation of Queer, Latin-e,a-x,o communities is a valuable and necessary asset to the Comission as it moves forward during these challenging times.

Sincerely, Tanya Díaz

Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman

I am writing you in support of appointing Stephen Torres to the No. 2 Seat for Entertainment Commission. Stephen has a long history of advocating for LGBTQ+ and POC community members in the Castro District and is a great candidate for the Entertainment Commission.

Thank you,

Corey Hallman Teamsters Local 856 Representative <u>www.teamsters856.org</u> (415) 867-2116

Hi Victor and anyone else reading this.

Broke-Ass Stuart here. I run <u>BrokeAssStuart.com</u>, one of the Bay Area's leading arts, culture, and nightlife publications.

I'm writing to endorse Stephen Torres for of the Entertainment Commission.

I've known Stephen for at least 15 years and we met through our love of nightlife. Back then Stephen was throwing the Bar Worker's Ball, which was a yearly celebration of everyone who worked in the service industry. He invited me to host the event and we've worked closely ever since.

Over the past 15 years, Stephen has been a contributor to my website, often covering nightlife and nightlife issues, usually with a focus on Queer establishments and events. But he hasn't limited himself to just that beat. Stephen has written about and advocated for nightlife and entertainment of all kinds.

On top of the work he's done with me, Stephen has an extensive list of bonafides including:

- A long history of organizing and centering of LGBTQ and POC culture and advocacy

- Running the San Francisco Barworkers Ball for ten years in response to an absence of industry workers parties by and for industry workers.

- Hosting a number of events and fundraisers like *¡Chicharrones!* and *The Mexican Radio* to provide social spaces for LGBTQ Latine,-a,-x,-o community members.

- He served as Events & Fundraising Chair for the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club for 8 years and during this time focused on finding ways of preserving LGBTQ activism history.

- He has served on the Advisory Board of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District in order to represent queer Latine,-a, -x, -o voices as well as nightlife workers voices in the district's development of policies recognizing the disparities of representation, equity, access, diversity and inclusion in the community.

I think Stephen would be an incredible asset to the Entertainment Commission. I hope you do too.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks!

Stuart

r-in-Cheap.	Broke-Ass	Stuart
)	or-in-Cheap,	or-in-Cheap, Broke-Ass

photo 2	Email: Stuart@BrokeAssStuart.com Website: BrokeAssStuart.com Sign up for my email list!: <u>http://bit.ly/V3FqRk</u> Learn about my patreon page: <u>http://bit.ly/2hwLCPg</u>
Get your own	email signature

From:	Daniel H. Galindo
To:	Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject:	Statement of Support for Stephen Torres" appointment to the Entertainment Commission
Date:	Monday, October 17, 2022 8:01:48 PM

C/O Clerk of the Committee

Dear Supervisors Chan, Mandelman, and Peskin:

I write this email in support of Stephen Torres' appointment to the Entertainment Commission. I have personally known Stephen for many years, over which he has demonstrated himself to be a tireless advocate and community organizer centering his efforts on issues impacting the LGBTQ and POC communities. Stephen devotes much of his time to community leadership where he champions equity, diversity, and inclusion. For example, as Events & Fundraising Chair for the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club for 8 years, Stephen endeavored to preserve LGBTQ activism and history, using both to inform policy and current activism.

I also know him professionally through our work on the Land Use Committee of the Castro LGBT Cultural District. In his role as a member of the Cultural District's advisory board, Stephen can be counted on being a voice of reason, progressivism, and process. Stephen joined the Cultural District to represent queer Latine,-a, -x, -o voices as well as nightlife workers' voices in the Cultural District's development of policies recognizing the disparities of representation, equity, access, and diversity and inclusion in the community. To this end he spearheaded the creation of the Castro LGBTQ COVID-19 Community Hub to directly provide culturally competent access to vulnerable community members and industry workers in the Castro. The Community Hub has alleviated the crush of community members trying to access services at neighboring community COVID-19 hubs such as in the Mission and Western Addition by increasing access for vulnerable community members, including queer elders, those living with HIV, POC, trans and non-binary folks in the Castro where they reside or rely on to obtain care and services.

As a Commissioner, Stephen will prioritize the development of equitable policies that will foster the growth and preservation of the best San Francisco's entertainment industry has to offer. In doing so, Stephen will approach his work with creativity and vision, rather than merely reacting to industry trends or states of emergency. San Francisco's entertainment is presently poised to rebound following the pandemic and to do so policies must benefit the individuals that do the entertaining; the workers of this industry. Stephen will faithfully represent their interests and ensure San Francisco remains a world class city that people love to live and play in.

Sincerely,

Daniel H. Galindo (he/him/his & él) Law Office of Daniel H. Galindo

Main: (628) 210-5001 | Direct/Mobile: (628) 210-5007 galindo@danielhgalindo.com | www.danielhgalindo.com

Our office will be operating entirely remotely until further notice. In-person meetings will be accommodated by appointment only. **Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6(e), any document that may be served by mail must be served electronically at galindo@danielhgalindo.com and chao@danielhgalindo.com.**

PRIVILEGE & CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential or otherwise legally protected from disclosure and/or distribution. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately. Any unauthorized review, disclosure, distribution, or copying of this message by an unintended recipient is strictly prohibited.