

DIST-CO-RTE: 04-ALA-84

PM/PM: 10.8/18.0

EA or Fed-Aid Project No.: 04-2A332

Other Project No. (specify): 0414000039

Project Title: State Route 84 Niles Canyon Medium-Term Safety Improvements Project

Environmental Approval Type: EIR/FONSI

Date Approved: 1/18/2018

Reason for Consultation (23 CFR 771.129), check one:

- □ Project proceeding to next major federal approval
- ⊠ Change in scope, setting, effects, mitigation measures, requirements
- \Box 3-year timeline (EIS only)

 \Box N/A (Re-Validation for CEQA only)

Description of Changed Conditions: The final project design determined that project construction would require additional temporary construction easement and fee take from some property owners than was described in the Final EIR. The additional area was studied and permitted prior to the project RTL milestone, and was included in the revalidation prepared at RTL. The change in ROW requirements does not affect environmental impacts or commitments.

NEPA CONCLUSION - VALIDITY

Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information:

- ☐ The original environmental document or CE remains valid. No further documentation will be prepared.
- ☑ The original environmental document or CE is in need of updating; further documentation has been prepared and ⊠ is included on the continuation sheet(s) or
 □ is attached. With this additional documentation, the original ED or CE remains valid.

Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) \Box Yes \Box No

☐ The original document or CE is no longer valid.

Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) \Box Yes \Box No Supplemental environmental document is needed. \Box Yes \Box No New environmental document is needed. \Box Yes \Box No (If "Yes," specify type:

CONCURRENCE WITH NEPA CONCLUSION

I concur with the NEPA conclusion above.

Brian Gassner	06/30/2022
Signature: Environmental Branch Chief	Date
Jack Siauw (Jun 30, 2022 12:56 PDT)	06/30/2022
Signature: Project Manager/DLAE	Date

)

CEQA CONCLUSION (Only mandated for projects on the State Highway System.)

Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information, the following conclusion has been reached regarding appropriate CEQA documentation:

- □ Original document remains valid. No further documentation is necessary.
- ☑ Only minor technical changes or additions to the previous document are necessary.
 ☑ An addendum has been or will be prepared and is ☑ included on the continuation sheets or □ will be attached. It need not be circulated for public review. (CEQA Guidelines, §15164)
- □ Changes are substantial, but only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous document adequate. A Supplemental environmental document will be prepared, and it will be circulated for public review. (CEQA Guidelines, §15163)
- □ Changes are substantial, and major revisions to the current document are necessary. A Subsequent environmental document will be prepared, and it will be circulated for public review. (CEQA Guidelines, §15162)

(Specify type of subsequent document, e.g., Subsequent FEIR):

 \Box The CE is no longer valid. New CE is needed. \Box Yes \Box No

CONCURRENCE WITH CEQA CONCLUSION

I concur with the CEQA conclusion above.

Brian Gassner	06/30/2022
Signature: Environmental Branch Chief	Date
Jack Siauw (Jun 30, 2022 12:56 PDT)	06/30/2022
Signature: Project Manager/DLAE	Date

CONTINUATION SHEET(S)

Changes in project design, e.g., scope change; a new alternative; change in project alignment.

Since the Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment with a Finding of No Significant Impact (EIR/FONSI) was issued, the final project design determined that the Project required additional Temporary Construction Easement (TCE) and less right of way (ROW) fee take from some property owners to construct.

The TCE on one of the private properties increased in order to install the Cable Net Drapery System. On another private property, Golden Gate Primitive Baptist Church, the TCE increased to allow for access and staging at Stonybrook, and to provide access to planting areas that will need to be monitored.

Additional TCE was required from the County of Alameda to install the Cable Net Drapery System, to pave and restripe the existing Pleasanton-Sunol Road, and to provide access to planting areas that will need to be monitored.

Additional fee take from the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) was required to accommodate a utility easement for the PG&E overhead line anchor cables.

This additional ROW requirement was studied and permitted prior to the project listing, and included in the revalidation prepared at RTL. However, the additional ROW fee take and TCEs were not specifically documented in the first re-validation for the project. This re-validation seeks to remedy the administrative discrepancy in the environmental record.

		EIR/EA	ROW need in final
Private Property (sq. feet)	Fee	None	Plans None
	гее	None	None
	TCE	9,400	None
Private Property (sq. feet)	Fee	42,000	39,616
	TCE	4,000	11,367
Private Property (sq. feet)	Fee	None	None
	TCE	11,348	11,830
County of Alameda	Fee	None	None
(sq. feet)	TCE	7,350	33,295
SFPUC (sq. feet)	Fee	18,190	19,415
	TCE	16,325	16,150
Union Pacific Railroad	Fee	2,390	None
	TCE	None	None
Total	Fee	62,580	59,031
	TCE	48,423	72,642

Revised Right-of-Way Requirements for SFPUC

Changes in environmental setting, e.g., new development affecting traffic or air quality.

There have not been any changes in environmental setting since project approval.

Changes in environmental circumstances, e.g., a new law or regulation; change in the status of a listed species.

There have not been any changes in environmental circumstances since project approval.

Changes to environmental impacts of the project, e.g., a new type of impact, or a change in the magnitude of an existing impact.

There have not been any changes in environmental impacts of the project since completion of the revalidation at RTL.

Changes to avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures since the environmental document was approved.

There have not been any changes to avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures since completion of the revalidation at RTL.

Changes to environmental commitments since the environmental document was approved, e.g., the addition of new conditions in permits or approvals. When this applies, append a revised Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) as one of the Continuation Sheets.

There have not been any change to environmental commitments since completion of the revalidation at RTL.

2a332 nepa-ceqa-revalidation-form-a11y (7)

Final Audit Report

2022-06-30

Created:	2022-06-30
Ву:	Juliane Smith (s149272@dot.ca.gov)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAALbcPqDrcxi5uqGAxkH8m_AOUYs4kA7ns

"2a332 nepa-ceqa-revalidation-form-a11y (7)" History

- Document created by Juliane Smith (s149272@dot.ca.gov) 2022-06-30 - 7:15:50 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Brian Gassner (brian.gassner@dot.ca.gov) for signature 2022-06-30 - 7:17:19 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Brian Gassner (brian.gassner@dot.ca.gov) 2022-06-30 - 7:45:55 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Brian Gassner (brian.gassner@dot.ca.gov) Signature Date: 2022-06-30 - 7:46:13 PM GMT - Time Source: server
- Document emailed to Jack Siauw (jack.siauw@dot.ca.gov) for signature 2022-06-30 7:46:15 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Jack Siauw (jack.siauw@dot.ca.gov) 2022-06-30 - 7:55:20 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Jack Siauw (jack.siauw@dot.ca.gov) Signature Date: 2022-06-30 - 7:56:06 PM GMT - Time Source: server
- Agreement completed.
 2022-06-30 7:56:06 PM GMT

