ц	AB 481 Recommended Edits	pg.	Supervisor		
# R1	Section A.4 "Purpose": Change the following sentence "A remotely controlled unmanned machine that operates on the ground, which is utilized to enhance the safety of the community and officers." to read: "A remotely controlled unmanned machine that operates on the ground, which is utilized to enhance the safety of the community and officers by providing ground support and situational awareness for law enforcement operations."	number 3	Supervisor Peskin	SFPD Response Included/Accepted redline	SFPD Ex
R2	Section A.5 "Authorized Use": Change the following sentence "The robots listed in this section shall not be utilized outside of training and simulations, criminal apprehensions, critical incidents, exigent circumstances, executing a warrant or during suspicious device assessments." to read: "The robots listed in this section shall not be utilized outside of training and simulations, criminal apprehensions, critical incidents, exigent circumstances, or during suspicious device assessments. Robots shall not be used as a Use of Force against any person."	3	Peskin	Revised and accepted	 SFPD has revised to read: "The robots listed in this section shall not b critical incidents, exigent circumstances, executing a warrant or durin force option when risk of loss of life to members of the public or office SFPD" Robots are often used as an alternative to a TAC officer approaching a between a potentially dangerous situation and an officer's body. Rob options such chemical agents. This deployment would fall under a "us ability to create distance during some of the more dangerous and pre address scenarios where mass casualties are a potential and must be option to mitigate those mass casualties.
R3	 Section B.5 "Authorized Use": Change from "To protect and safely transport SFPD personnel to active scenes. Used by Tactical and Specialist Teams" to read: "To protect and safely transport SFPD personnel to active scenes. Used only by Tactical and Specialist Teams when authorized by Command officers for: mobile physical cover in incidents involving actual or threatened violence or when reasonable suspicion, based on articulable facts, exists that violence will occur using firearms or explosives; could Vehicle blocking for incidents involving armed and unresponsive persons in vehicles; Medical emergencies and disaster responses, when the armored vehicle's capabilities are necessary to prevent loss of life. Each deployment/use shall be logged with all deployment details and reported to the Assistant Chief of Operations or designee to fulfill annual reporting requirements." 	5	Peskin	Revised and accepted	SFPD has revised to read: "To protect and safely transport SFPD perso Used only by Tactical and Specialist Teams when authorized by comm • mobile physical cover in incidents involving actual or threatened vio that violence will occur using firearms or explosives; or any other dea • Vehicle blocking for incidents involving armed and unresponsive pe • Medical emergencies and disaster responses, when the armored ve Changing "command staff" to "commissioned officers" which are rank may result in lives lost. SFPD TAC requires ability to be agile and deplo We have moved the sentence relating to logging and reporting to the
R4	Section C.5 "Authorized Use": deletion of "Battering ram on the BearCat may be used during a search/arrest warrant service after the prior approval of a magistrate."	6	Peskin	Revised and accepted	SFPD revised authorized use to read: "Entry apparatus on the BearCar suspects. Authorized to be used in high-risk tactical situations where de-escalar options would jeopardize the safety of the public and officers. Used by Tactical and Specialist Teams See comments in Bear Cat, above"
R5	Section D.6. "Fiscal Impact": Is the Ford E-350 Van, 1992 still in use?	7	Peskin	Yes	
R6	Section E.4. "Purpose": add "if negotiation, de-escalation or other alternatives to entry are not possible." to the end of para 1.	8	Peskin	Included/Accepted redline	
R7	Section E.5. "Authorized Use": include "Each deployment/use shall be logged with all deployment details and reported to the Assistant Chief of Operations or designee to fulfill annual reporting requirements."	9	Peskin	Revised and accepted	Moved this to the Annual Report section of the us policy so it applies

t be utilized outside of training and simulations, criminal apprehensions, iring suspicious device assessments. Robots will only be used as a deadly fficers are immanent and outweigh any other force option available to

ng a home during a high risk search warrant. The robot creates distance Robots can also be used to deploy a breaching apparatus or less lethal "use of force" action. The recommended edit would remove SFPD's precarious situations TAC officers encounter. LAEs must be prepared to be thwarted. In some cases deadly force against a threat is the only

ersonnel to active scenes.

- mmissioned officers:
- violence or when reasonable suspicion, based on articulable facts, exists deadly weapon;
- persons in vehicles;
- vehicle's capabilities are necessary to prevent loss of life."

ank of Lieutenant and above as time wasted with too much bureaucracy eployed quickly.

the "Annual Report" Section of this policy

Cat may be used to allow personnel to enter or extract victims or

alation methods were unsuccessful or would be futile and other force

ies to all items.

#	AB 481 Recommended Edits	pg. number	Supervisor	SFPD Response	SFPD Ex
R8	Section F.2. "Quantity": Request for model names	10	Peskin	Included/Accepted redline	While model/make names are not clearly required by AB 481/Gov Copolicy, we have updated to include the model names when available
R9	Section F.5 "Authorized Use": include "Each deployment/use shall be logged with all deployment details and reported to the Assistant Chief of Operations or designee to fulfill annual reporting requirements."	10	Peskin	Revised and accepted	Moved this to the Annual Report section of the use policy so it applie
R10	Section F.6.: "Fiscal Impact": "need to specify if this is a bundle or if purchased separately costs"	10	Peskin	Included/Accepted redline	confirmed that this cost is associated with a bundle.
R11	Section F.7.: "Legal and Procedural Rules": Tactical Unit Order 11-02: Use of Chemical Agent where is this public? Tactical Unit Order 21-02: Pepperball Systems where is this public?	11	Peskin	Will be posted publicly	Per Ca Penal Code § 13650, SFPD must post on its website all current made available during a PRA. The Unit Order should be posted subje safety or reveal tactical information. The department is reviewing, re
R12	Section G.1: "Description": needs model name	11	Peskin	Included/Accepted redline	While model/make names are not clearly required by AB 481/Gov Copolicy, we have updated to include the model name "LRAD-Model 10
R13	Section G.1: "Description": Revised description to delete the direction beam of sound as the department is no longer allowed to use in this way. Now the description reads as follows:	11	SFPD	Included/Accepted redline	
	"LRAD -Model 100X: A device that can be used as a specialized loudspeaker system that produces sound at a high power for directional communication communicating at a distance. LRAD sound is directionally focused toward the intended individual person or groups."				
R14	Section G.4. "Purpose": change the purpose from "LRAD is used as a safe deterrent against hostile crowds or individuals committing violent acts: to read as follows:	11	Peskin	Revised and accepted	The Department revised the Purpose to read as follows: "LRAD is an a communicate to the public, suspect(s), hostile crowds, or during disa
	"LRAD is used as a safe deterrent against hostile crowds or individuals committing violent acts evidence shows it can lead to hearing loss/not safe"				
R15	Section G.5. "Authorized use": change "LRADs may only be utilized as a public address system for commercial purposes. Any other use is not authorized." to read as follows: "LRADs may only be utilized as a public address system only for (1) Disaster or city-wide emergency management or (2) rescue operations including missing or lost persons at no louder than 120db." Regarding the sentence "Any other use is not authorized."- If we use this not authorized language here than we have to use it in other places. Also this conflicts with stated purpose	11	Peskin	Revised and accepted	 SFPD revised the language to read as follows: "LRADs may only be ut emergency management (2) rescue operations including missing or lo communicate to suspect(s)." SFPD deleted the following sentence: "Any other use is not authorize SFPD removed the previous required approvals as the system will no
R16	Section G.5."Authorized Use": include "Each deployment/use shall be logged with all deployment details and reported to the Assistant Chief of Operations or designee to fulfill annual reporting requirements."	12	Peskin	Revised and accepted	Moved to the Annual Report section of the use policy so it applies to
R17	Section G.7. "Legal and Procedural Rules": Tactical Unit Order 21-01: Use of Extended Range Impact Weapons During Crowd Control -Not publicly available? Tactical Unit Order 04-03 Use of Chemical Agents ? Not publicly available?	12	Peskin	Will be posted publicly	Per Ca Penal Code § 13650, SFPD must post on its website all current made available during a PRA. The Unit Order should be posted subje safety or reveal tactical information. The department is reviewing an

Code § 7070 and not readily available for all products listed in this use ble.

plies to all items.

ent standards polices and operating procedures that would otherwise be bject to redactions any information that could compromise the officer's redacting if necessary and will publicly post.

Code § 7070 and not readily available for all products listed in this use I 100X".

an amplified communication device used by law enforcement agencies to isaster management."

utilized as a public address system only for (1) Disaster or city-wide r lost persons (3) Dispersal Orders or (4) During Critical Incident to

rized" no longer utilize the deterrent tones.

to all items.

ent standards polices and operating procedures that would otherwise be bject to redactions any information that could compromise the officer's and will post online.

#	AB 481 Recommended Edits	pg. number	Supervisor	SFPD Response	SFPD Ex
R18	Section H.4. "Purpose": Deleted "Nationwide, violent offenders outgun law enforcement and high-powered weapons continue to be weapon of choice for violent offenders confronting law enforcement and innocent civilians. In 2016, long guns (rifles, etc.) were used in 40% of firearms related line- of -duty deaths. From 1988 to -August 2019, more than 85% of mass public shootings have occurred in gun-free zones, like the workplace, schools, churches, and shopping malls where law enforcement may be in the vicinity and waiting for SWAT deployment is not timely. "	13	Peskin	Declined	This narrative explains why law enforcement agencies started using t the relevance to law enforcement use.
R19	Section H. Specialized Firearms: Delete in its entirety	14	SFPD	Accepted	AB 481 defines this section as: "Specialized firearms and ammunition Sections 30510 and 30515 of the Penal Code, with the exception of si that are issued to officers, agents, or employees of a law enforcement this section in the May 16, 2022 draft as the Range and TAC defined to had not received confirmation from general counsel at that time. As 481 use policies, the Department included in the July draft for added general counsel that this use policy should exclude these weapons if Upon review of additional recommendations provided by Supervisors members and confirmed that these weapons have remained standar- issued to members. There are LEA's that allow their members to proc reimbursement, for use during active duty. This is not the case for SF
R20	Section H.5. "Authorized Use": Change the use from "During large critical incidents/active shooter or incidents where an armed suspect is threatening the public or officers and where there may be a need to defeat body armor. To be used to effectively control a scene with increased distance between officer and subject allowing more time to deploy other force options." to read as follows: "During active shooter or incidents where an armed suspect with body armor is threatening the public." Peskin highlighted with a question: "To be used to effectively control a scene with increased distance between officer and subject allowing more time to deploy other force options."	14	Peskin	Declined	As written this recommendation could limit all officers, including path suspect has body armor on. Knowledge or confirmation of body armor as lethal cover for ERIW deployment when distance is more advantag and able to be used at greater distances they are more effective at ac subjects which limits the potential of injuring bystanders or other unit
R21	Section H.5. "Authorized Use": include "Each deployment/use shall be logged with all deployment details and reported to the Assistant Chief of Operations or designee to fulfill annual reporting requirements."	14	Peskin	Revised and accepted	Moved this to the Annual Report section of the us policy so it applies
R22	Section I.4. "Purpose": delete "This can potentially prevent a deadly force encounter." and include "if de-escalation or negotiation is unsuccessful.	15	Peskin	Declined	SFPD has slightly revised the end of para 1 of the purpose to read: "The bean bag shotgun also allows officers to confront an armed or d deadly force encounter as the alternative is the use of a department Use of firearm is not dependent on unsuccessful de-escalation or neg will not be used but it does decrease the likelihood. Using a less leth of force.
R23	Section I.4. "Purpose": delete "When deployed, they provide a less lethal force option and significantly reduce the risk of injury to citizens, suspects, and police officers." -Not a purpose	15	Peskin	Declined	As chemical agents are defined as less lethal force options by law enf the use from the policy.

g these types of weapons. It is important for the public to understand

ion of less than .50 caliber, including assault weapons as defined in of standard issue service weapons and ammunition of less than .50 caliber nent agency or a state agency.". The Department did not initially include ed these as "standard issue service weapons", however the Department As other LEA's across California began to include this category in their AB ed transparency. Recently the Department received confirmation from if the Chief of Police defined them as "standard issue service weapons". sors and by community members, the Chief of Police conferred with dard issue service weapons as they are procured by the Department and rocure these types of weapons on their own, and are eligible for SFPD and as such, this section has been deleted.

batrol, to only use these weapons when they know an active shooter or mor is not a feasible expectation. These firearms are also currently used tageous to officers. As these weapons are more accurate than handguns, t addressing incidents where high-powered weapons are being used by unintended targets.

ies to all items.

or dangerous suspect at a longer distance. This can potentially prevent a nt issued firearm."

negotiation. Use of a less lethal tools does not guarantee that a firearm ethal is an alternative to firing a service weapon but is still considered use

enforcement agencies, deleting this sentence eliminates the purpose of

#	AB 481 Recommended Edits	pg. number	Supervisor	SFPD Response	SFPD E>
R24	Section I.4, "Purpose": include "Chemical agents shall not be used to disperse any assembly, protest or demonstration, except as permitted under California Penal Code Section 13652(b). Projectile launch platforms and their munitions shall not be aimed or fired at a person's head, neck, throat or vital organs nor fired closer than 20 feet nor shall then be used against children, elderly persons or persons only engaged in passive resistance."	15	Peskin	Revised and accepted	SFPD modified this revision slightly to align with department policy: ' demonstration, except as permitted under California Penal Code Sec be aimed nor fired closer than 15 feet or intentionally be aimed at a used in the following circumstances (unless the use of deadly force is position where a fall is likely to cause serious injury or death, or the s the 40mm ERIW within 15 feet unless an exigent circumstance arises when an officer reasonably believes, based on specific and articulabl the safety of the involved officers(s)."
R25	Section 1.5 "Authorized use": Delete "De-escalation tool not likely to inflict serious injury."	16	Peskin	Accepted	
R26	Section 2. Definitions: change text from "Exigent Circumstances: An emergency involving imminent danger of death or serious physical injury to any person or destruction of property or evidence that requires the immediate use of equipment subject to the provisions of AB 481." : to read as follows: "Exigent Circumstances: An emergency involving imminent danger of death or serious physical injury to any person"	17	Peskin	Revised and accepted	The recommended definition mirrors the definition in SF Admin Code impacts around PII collection and data sharing. The equipment subje control unusually dangerous and spontaneous events where typical S would prohibit SFPD TAC from addressing hostile crowds destroying or city hall). The proposed revision does not align with the SFPD acce Police Commission. The acceptance of the language would necessitat requiring additional review from the Department of Police Accountal SFPD and most law enforcement agencies defer to exigent circumsta evidence or lean on the reasonable belief of an officer that immediat from this definition and the inclusion of language pulled from approx
R27	Section 3- Acquiring or seeking funds: Change section to read as follows: "Should stock of equipment listed in this Use Policy has reached significantly low levels, has been exhausted, needs maintenance or replacing, or if there exists an objectively reasonable expectation that stock may reach significantly low levels, the Department shall immediately notify the may purchase new stock without additional Board of Supervisors. approval to maintain essential availability for the Department's needs public safety needs. The Department is authorized to acquire additional stock of items listed in this Use Policy from other law enforcement agencies of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services ("CalOES") in the event of an designated emergency when approved by the Chief of Police or designee"	18	Peskin	Revised and accepted	The Department agrees to notify the BOS of low stock, however the I maintain or purchase replacements of current inventory. The depart approved equipment . The section now reads as follows: "When stock of equipment listed in exhausted, needs maintenance or replacing, or if there exists an obje levels, the Department shall immediately notify the Board of Supervi procured by the Department."
R28	Section 4- Compliance & Sanctions: Add the following language: "AB481 requires this policy to include "the mechanisms to ensure compliance with the military equipment use policy, including which independent persons or entities have oversight authority." The San Francisco Board of Supervisors has ultimate oversight authority over compliance wit this policy."	18	Peskin	Revised and accepted	This use policy, if approved by ordinance, will only be applicable to S policies, procedures and trainings are not overseen by the Board of S ensure compliance with the military equipment use policy but does r all facets of compliance. The BOS does not have authority to disciplin not have oversight over discipline proceedings. The BOS does however determine whether each type of equipment identified in the approved Gov Code 7071(d). The BOS then has the authority to either renew, collack of use compliance. The Department has modified the requested included in the Annual Report section (p. 20).
R29	Section 4- Compliance & Sanctions: Add the word "complaints" in the concerns and inquiries paragraph.	19	Peskin	Accepted	

y: "Chemical agents shall not be used to disperse any assembly, protest or ection 13652(b). Projectile launch platforms and their munitions shall not a person's head, neck, throat, heart, or spine. The ERIW should not be e is appropriate): elderly, children, where a subject is in an elevated e subject is known or appears to be pregnant. Officers should not deploy ses. Exigent Circumstance Exception - An exigent circumstance arises able facts, that a threat exists to a person's safety, the safety of others, or

bede 19b but that legislation's concern is focused on the civil liberties object to AB 481 are not collecting PII and are instead used to disperse or al SFPD patrol responses are not sufficient. The recommended language og buildings or property (ex: Jan 6th insurrection, seizing an SFPD station accepted definition listed in several DGOs that were approved by the tate multiple revisions to Department policies, manuals and trainings tability and subsequent Police Commission hearings leading to adoption. stance definitions that include property destruction, destruction of iate action is necessary. SFPD offers to delete "destruction of evidence" roved DGOs.

ne language is unclear whether the notification allows the department to artment proposed language that clearly defines the authority to purchase

d in this Use Policy has reached significantly low levels, has been bjectively reasonable expectation that stock may reach significantly low rvisors when new stock, maintenance or replacements have been

o SFPD members. SFPD member compliance to written directives, of Supervisors. Gov Code 7070(d)(6) asks the LAE to list the mechanism to as not name the governing body (BOS) as having ultimate authority over oline SFPD members of non-compliance with Department policy and does ever have the authority to, based on review of an annual report, by by body was used according to approved standards as set forth in any, disapprove or modify the authorization for the use policy to resolve the ed language to adhere to Gov Code 7072. The new language has been

#	AB 481 Recommended Edits	pg. number	Supervisor	SFPD Response	SFPD Ex
	Section 5-Collaboration with Outside Law Enforcement Agencies: Change "Equipment not listed in this Law Enforcement Use of Equipment Policy shall not be used by any other law enforcement agency or member in this jurisdiction unless there is an approved interagency operation in compliance with SFPD DGO 5.14, an exigent circumstance or the equipment subject to the provisions of AB 481 is approved for use in accordance with this policy." to read as follows: "Military Equipment not listed in this Law Enforcement Use of Equipment Policy shall not be used by any other law enforcement agency or member in this jurisdiction unless the equipment subject to the provisions of AB 481 is approved for use in accordance with this policy."	19	Peskin	Revised and accepted	The Department does not refer to any of the equipment listed in the as de-escalation or rescue tools and were not provided to SFPD by th actions of other LEAs in this jurisdiction and as such cannot prohibit 481. It is not feasible to seek BOS approval before the emergency use response to an urgent or large scale event requiring public safety int language: "Equipment not listed in this Law Enforcement Use of Equipment Po member in this jurisdiction unless there is an approved interagency of requiring deployment of mutual aid partners or the equipment subjet this policy."
R31	Section 6-Annual Reporting: Subsection (1), include a summary of which equipment was used, the frequency of use, and the purpose of its use, and the outcome of the incident, including whether injuries were sustained	20	Chan	Accepted	
R32	Section 6-Annual Reporting: Subsection (1), include the date, time and location(s) of such use together with report and incident numbers.	20	Peskin	Declined	The summary already requires the frequency and reason for use. The present an administrative burden that SFPD cannot commit to as this will require additional FTEs to track. The data itself may also reveal s
R33	"SFPD's proposed policy leaves many of its weapons with very loosely defined authorized use. These could allow weapons that have a non-escalatory or non-lethal purpose be authorized for use outside that purpose. In addition to limiting these broad authorizations, two additional recommendations: 1. Clearly identify which weapons may be used for Use of Force (including lethal force) 2. Make any use that is not authorized by policy be prohibited"	all	American Friends Service Committee	Revised and accepted	The Department has listed the SFPD DGO 5.01 Use of Force in the "le equipment that may be involved with use of force incidents. If DGO 9 equipment is not considered or tracked under use of force. Listing prohibitions are not required per AB 481 and creating authori where SFPD cannot respond to critical incidents that the Departmen in advance. Domestic terrorism, active shooters, large scale emergen can impact a large metropolitan area like San Francisco and its law e instances.
R34	Align receipt of annual report with annual budget process. San Francisco should follow neighboring cities Berkeley and Oakland in setting a specific delivery date of its annual report (which the state law only requires to be delivered "within one year of approval"). Oakland requires the first annual report be delivered by March 15. By setting a similar March deadline, SFPD will not only be able to avoid a rush before the last minute, it will also be able to deliver a smaller initial report, confirm earlier in the process whether it is tracking all the information required by law, and provide context for its requested budget for the next fiscal year.	20	American Friends Service Committee	Declined	The SF City and County annual budget cycle begins for Departments require SFPD to provide an "annual report" two or three months afte Oakland and Berkely are used as examples to support this recommer Militarized Equipment Ad Hoc Committee is still convening meetings Manual 709, the annual report section 709.7 states that BPD annual annually thereafter for as long as the military equipment is available the recommendation's assertion of budget alignment. As a reminder, the SFPD proposed use policy represents items the SF possession dating back to the 90s and early mid-2000s. The estimate ammunition is approx. \$111,000 and paid for through the operationa the Department does not see a clear benefit to the public by providin does not align with the AB 481 annual report requirement and does 7071(e)(1).
R35	Include the following in the annual report: How many personnel devoted and how many hours toward training on each weapon? What was the cost of that personnel time? How many hours were devoted by all personnel towards cleaning rifles? Towards cleaning or maintenance on each weapon? Were any of the above at an overtime rate?	20	American Friends Service Committee	Declined	SFPD does not have Human Resource Management System (HRMS) to There is no code for "cleaning weapons", "training to use weapons", Training Cycle, which is required by officers to take on a two-year cy on the activities listed in this recommendation as officers do not trace where projects can be charged to specific clients according to an agree members are salary employees of the City & County of SF, paid by th hours, which means there is no compelling reason to track in the sug

he proposed use policy as "military equipment" as most if not all are used the federal government. The Department has no authority to define the it outside agency or mutual aid agencies use of equipment defined by AB use of equipment owned by outside agencies as the use would be in nteragency collaboration. The Department proposes the following

Policy shall not be used by any other law enforcement agency or y operation in compliance with SFPD DGO 5.14, an exigent circumstance oject to the provisions of AB 481 is approved for use in accordance with

The date/time/incident and report numbers included in the annual report his would require a manual search through crime data warehouse and I specific information leading to victims and witness identification.

"legal and procedural rules" category under each respective type of O 5.01 is not listed in that legal and procedural rules section, the

prized uses that are too narrow may create unintended consequences ent or this governing body cannot pre-determine, pre-define or imagine encies and other exigent circumstances are not daily occurrences, but renforcement agency will need to be nimble and prepared in those

ts in February of each year and ends in July. This recommendation would fter BOS approval of the AB 481 use policy.

nendation yet Oakland's AB 481 policy is still in draft form as their gs to discuss. According to the Berkeley Police Department draft policy, Jal report will be submitted within one year of city council approval, and Je for use, pursuant to Government Code § 7072. This does not align with

SFPD acquired before January 2022. Many items have been in SFPD's ated annual cost to the department for maintenance or related onal budget and not identified though an itemized list. Because of this, ding a supplemental report that will not provide itemized information, es not clearly align with BOS annual review pursuant to Government Code

i) time codes to delineate the work sworn members do while on duty. ", or "maintaining weapons". SFPD's Advanced Officer/Continued cycle, is coded as regular work hours. There is no way to track or report rack their time by billable hour codes. Billable hours are for services greed upon hourly rate (ex. freelancers and attorneys). As sworn the General Fund, there are not multiple ways to bill for regular work uggested manner.

#	AB 481 Recommended Edits	pg. number	Supervisor	SFPD Response	SFPD Ex
R36	Section 3- Acquiring or Seeking Funds: SFPD's proposed policy would allow SFPD to acquire equipment without prior Board of Supervisor approval if it has an unanticipated reduced in any of its stock. If this happens, this is exactly the situation in which more oversight is needed, not less. The public has a right to know why that supply was unexpectedly depleted, how it was used, and whether to expect similar levels of use in the future. As the law states: "The public has a right to know about any funding, acquisition, or use of military equipment by state or local government officials, as well as a right to participate in any government agency's decision to fund, acquire, or use such equipment." Unrestricted restocking moves counter to the public transparency provided by the law	18	American Friends Service Committee	Revised and accepted	The proposed AB 481 use policy does not allow SFPD to purchase all This section applies to items listed in the proposed policy itself and a annual review by the BOS. Pursuant to AB 481, the annual report rec equipment, including acquisition, personnel, training, transportation what source funds will be provided for the equipment in the calenda The proposed use policy allows the department to maintain, replace approved by the BOS) without additional BOS hearings and approval authorize all requisitions for the purchase of materials, supplies and equipment listed in this use policy range between \$1,000- \$300,000, \$111,000. Individual purchases at these amounts are not typically un department procurements estimated to exceed \$10 million. If BOS a 481, the SFPD Department Head/Chief of Police should maintain the department needs. It is the Department's opinion that AB 481 consic incur ongoing costs relating to approved equipment, as this informat review.

all equipment subject to the provisions of AB 481 without BOS approval. d are already in SFPD's current inventory and once approved subject to requires SFPD to include the "total annual cost for each type of on, maintenance, storage, upgrade, and other ongoing costs, and from dar year following submission of the annual equipment report." ce or purchase ammunitions or equipment (if the overall use policy is vals. AB 481 does not change a Department Head's charter authority to nd equipment required by the Department. The costs of most of the 00, while the annual maintenance costs for all items is approximately under the purview of the BOS. The BOS must, however, approve all city 6 approves continued use of current inventory of equipment subject to AB he authority to approve procurement or maintenance costs for his/her sidered law enforcement agencies needs to maintain, upgrade and/or nation is already required in annual report and governing body annual