File	No.	110	0123
------	-----	-----	------

Committee	Item	No
Board Item	No.	2 <u>{</u>

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date:	February 8, 2011
Cmte Board			•
	Motion		•
	Resolution		
	Ordinance		
	Legislative Digest	•	
	Budget Analyst Report		
	Legislative Analyst Repo	rt .	
	Youth Commission Repo		
	Introduction Form (for he)
	Department/Agency Cov		
H H	MOU	•	•
	Grant Information Form		•
	Grant Budget		•
	Subcontract Budget		
	Contract/Agreement		
	Award Letter		
	Application		
	Public Correspondence	*	
L	abile correspondence		•
OTHER	(Use back side if addition	nal spa	ce is needed)

Completed by: Annette Lonich Date: February 3, 2011

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

INTRODUCTION FORM

By a member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

ime Stamp or	:		
deeting Date	 	 	

I hereby submit the following item for intro	oduction:	
1. For reference to Committee:		
An ordinance, resolution, mo	otion, or charter amendment.	
X 2. Request for next printed agenda		
3. Request for Committee hearing		
4. Request for letter beginning "Su		
5. City Attorney request.	5	•
6. Call file from Committee.		•
1	written motion).	•
7. Budget Analyst request (attach v 8. Substitute Legislation File Nos	3.	
9. Request for Closed Session		
10. Board to Sit as A Committee of	the Whole	• •
10. Bould to bit do 11 Committee of		
Please check the appropriate boxes. The p	proposed legislation should be for	warded to the
following:	8	•
10110 111115.		
☐ Small Business Commission	☐Youth Commission	
☐ Ethics Commission	□ Planning Commission	, '
	Li I idiimig Commission	
☐ Building Inspection Commission		
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution	not on the printed agenda), use a diffe	erent form.l
Mote. For the imperative Agenda (a resolution	not on the printed against, and a series	,
Sponsor(s): Supervisor Kim		
SUBJECT: Approval of a 90 day extensi-	on for Planning Commission revi	ew for
Planning Code Amendment of Parking Co	ntrols in SoMa and Mission Bay	•
The text is listed below or attached:		
		1
	4.17.5 (4.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.15.	in the Cartain Co
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	por ()	
For Clerk's Use Only:		

Common/Supervisors Form

Revised 4/2/09

	and the control of t
1	[Approving of a 90 Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of Parking Controls in
2	SoMa and Mission Bay]
3	
4	Resolution extending by 90 days the prescribed time within which the Planning
5	Commission may render its decision on a proposed ordinance that would amend the
6	San Francisco Planning Code by amending Sections 151, 151.1, 155, 161, 249.1, 249.23
7	and Part VII of Article 9 (1) to remove minimum parking requirements and establish
8	maximum parking limits in M-1, C-M, and South of Market districts and the Folsom and
9	Main Residential/Commercial and Fourth and Freelon Streets Special Use Districts to
0	make them consistent with those of neighboring districts, (2) to require that non-
1	residential and non-hotel parking in C-3 in the South of Market Mixed Use districts
2	adjacent to Downtown maintain a fee structure which discourages long-term commuter
3	parking, (3) to make parking controls in the Mission Bay Districts that are subject to the
4	Planning Code consistent with requirements of neighboring districts, and making
5	environmental findings, General Plan findings, and other required findings.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On October 26, 2010 Supervisor Daly introduced legislation [Board File
8	No. 101350] to initiate the process amend the Planning Code to adjust parking controls in the
9	SoMa and Mission Bay Areas; and,
20	WHEREAS, On or about November 5, 2010, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
21	referred the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission, which currently is reviewing the
22	proposed ordinance; and,
23	WHEREAS, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d)(3) the Planning
24	Commission has 90 days to render a decision on the legislation and has tentatively scheduled
25	a Commission hearing on February 10, 2011; and.

Supervisor Kim BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1 2/3/2011

1	WHEREAS, the proposed legislation is substantial and would amend the San
2	Francisco Planning Code by amending Sections 151, 151.1, 155, 161, 249.1, 249.23 and
3	Part VII of Article 9 (1) to remove minimum parking requirements and establish maximum
4	parking limits in M-1, C-M, and South of Market districts and the Folsom and Main
5	Residential/Commercial and Fourth and Freelon Streets Special Use Districts to make them
6	consistent with those of neighboring districts, (2) to require that non-residential and non-hotel
7	parking in C-3 in the South of Market Mixed Use districts adjacent to Downtown maintain a
8	fee structure which discourages long-term commuter parking, (3) to make parking controls in
9	the Mission Bay Districts that are subject to the Planning Code consistent with requirements
10	of neighboring districts; and,
11	WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d) may, by
12	resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its
13	decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors
14	initiates; and,
15	WHEREAS, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d)(3) the Planning
16	Commission has 90 days to render a decision on the legislation and has tentatively scheduled
17	a Commission hearing on February 10, 2011; and,
18	WHEREAS, The Planning Department has requested additional time to review the
19	proposed ordinance; now, therefore, be it
20	RESOLVED, That by this resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time
21	within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed ordinance for
22	an additional 90 days,
23	
24	rates ingrederly de dall in station existe a biggioup new tell in when a bar calculated by it is to

25