[Urging the Department of Human Resources to Enhance the Competitiveness of Police 1 Recruitment Bonuses and the Police Commission to Develop a Full-Duty Staffing Plan 2 3 Resolution urging the Department of Human Resources to explore ways to adopt a 4 policy to automatically match top police recruitment bonuses offered by law 5 enforcement agencies in Northern California that compete for new and laterally hired police officers; urging the Police Commission to develop a sworn staffing plan to 6 7 achieve within 48 months recommended full-duty police staffing levels; and urging 8 continued improved efficiencies in the recruitment and hiring of prospective San 9 Francisco Police Department officers. 10 11 WHEREAS, Despite considerable efforts in recent years to remedy San Francisco's 12 shortage in police staffing, the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) continues to face a 13 worsening understaffing crisis, with the most recent count of 1,537 full-duty SFPD officers now 14 significantly short of the current recommended overall sworn staffing level of 2,182; and 15 WHEREAS, According to SFPD's latest budget presentation to the Police Commission, 16 the total number of sworn SFPD officers now eligible for retirement is 478 far outpacing the combined total of new recruits or lateral transfers hired from outside law enforcement 17 18 agencies to pass SFPD field training, which over the last two calendar years has not exceeded 21 police officers annually; and 19 20 WHEREAS, San Francisco's current police staffing is at an historically unprecedented 21 low in modern times, with full-duty sworn staffing numbers now significantly below the 22 previous low point of 1,657 full-duty officers, which was reached in 2014; and 23 WHEREAS, Police staffing shortages are not unique to San Francisco and reflect 24 increasingly dire national and statewide trends, with a National Public Radio report last week 25 attributing "to staffing shortages" why longer police response times are being observed in data

collected in a survey of 15 cities, including San Francisco; and a recent Los Angeles Times
 report describing the police staffing crisis in some Northern California jurisdictions as
 "catastrophic"; and

WHEREAS, Well-intended efforts in recent years by the Board of Supervisors, Mayor,
San Francisco Police Commission, and voters to remedy chronic police understaffing in our
City have thus far continued to fall short in adequately incentivizing interest from sufficient
numbers of prospective new recruits or lateral transfers from competing law enforcement
agencies to meet San Francisco's urgent demand for more police officers; and

9 WHEREAS, The most recent of these efforts was Proposition E, a Police Staffing
10 Charter Amendment in the November 3, 2020, Consolidated General Election, which by 71%
11 of San Francisco voters approved based on its promise to "remove the outdated mandatory
12 minimum police staffing requirement, and establish a regular process to set police staffing
13 levels based on data and the needs of our communities"; and

WHEREAS, The 2020 Charter Amendment represented the culmination of a long and
participatory process that began with a 2016 policy analysis by the Board of Supervisors'
Budget and Legislative Analyst, which concluded that the methodology for SFPD's staffing
"should be based on a workload-based assessment that accounts for department-specific
conditions, as well as a comprehensive examination of historical workload data"; and

WHEREAS, In March 2017, the Board of Supervisors passed without opposition
Resolution No. 63-17, "Urging the San Francisco Police Commission to Convene a Task
Force on Strategic Police Staffing," entreating the San Francisco Police Commission to
develop a broadly representative Task Force on Strategic Police Staffing to "implement a
comprehensive, multi-disciplinary approach to determining staffing levels based on different
factors, including studies on calls for service, crime data, officer workload, how deployment is
determined, retirees, injuries, demographics, language needs, and population size"; and

WHEREAS, In May 2018, the City Controller's Office's City Performance Unit conducted research into public safety industry best practices, which included interviews with police staffing experts and a review of applicable literature, and concurred that an appropriate framework for police staffing should be based on workload targets, with a "rough guideline" being one-third of officers' time "spent on calls for service," one-third of officers' time "for officer-initiated and administrative tasks," and one-third of officers' time devoted to "uncommitted patrol time for community policing" and

8 WHEREAS, In early 2019, the San Francisco Police Department engaged Matrix 9 Consulting Group, Ltd. ("Matrix") to conduct an independent and comprehensive staffing 10 analysis of SFPD, relying on Matrix's expertise in having conducted more than 350 such 11 studies for law enforcement agencies in the United States and Canada; and

12 WHEREAS, In March 2020, Matrix released its 293-page report following an 13 exhaustive fact-finding and analytical endeavor that included: (1) on-site interviews "with 14 SFPD leadership, managers in each departmental functional area, many unit supervisors and 15 line staff throughout the Department"; (2) "specific input from the San Francisco Police 16 Officers' Association"; (3) input and feedback from meetings held with "[then-Board President 17 Norman] Yee, the City Controller's Office, the District Attorney's Office and others"; (4) "data 18 collection and analysis across every service area in order to understand workloads, staff availability, and staffing needs"; and (5) an "iterative and interactive process" in which Matrix 19 20 "reviewed findings at several levels within the department and city, including the Police 21 Commission, an internal steering committee within SFPD as well as the executive team, 22 Supervisor Yee, representatives from the Mayor's Office, and the Staffing Task Force, which 23 is comprised of representatives from the Controller's Office and community members"; and 24 WHEREAS, Matrix concluded in its March 2020 report that its independently 25 recommended minimum sworn staffing level for SFPD was 2,176 officers; and that the 2021

update required under the 2020 Proposition E Police Staffing Charter Amendment was a
 modest upward revision to 2,182 officers; and

3 WHEREAS, Notwithstanding the enormous amount of work done by City leaders, 4 police commissioners, SFPD members and contractors in recent years to address our City's 5 worsening crisis in police understaffing, SFPD's sworn staffing levels have failed even to 6 move in the right direction toward the recommended 2,182-officer minimum; and 7 WHEREAS, Even against the backdrop of widely reported national trends in police 8 staffing shortages, San Francisco is being out-competed by multiple law enforcement 9 agencies in Northern California with hiring bonuses and other incentives for new recruits and 10 lateral transfers, according to data provided recently to the Board of Supervisors, and that 11 representative examples of agencies that currently surpass SFPD's \$5,000 lateral signing 12 bonus program include the following: 13 A \$40,000 structured bonus for lateral transfers to the Redding Police • 14 Department; 15 A \$30,000 signing bonus for lateral transfers to the Alameda Police Department; 16 A \$30,000 structured bonus for lateral transfers to the Dixon Police Department; 17 A \$30,000 signing bonus for lateral transfers to the San Mateo Police 18 Department: 19 A \$20,000 signing bonus for lateral transfers and \$10,000 signing bonuses for 20 new recruits and academy graduates to the Hayward Police Department; 21 A \$20,000 signing bonus for academy graduates and lateral transfers to the 22 Vacaville Police Department; 23 A \$15,000 signing bonus for academy graduates and lateral transfers to the 24 BART Police Department; and 25

A \$10,000 signing bonus for new recruits and lateral transfers to the Daly City
 Police Department, among others; and

WHEREAS, Although recruitment bonuses and salaries are only one factor in decisions
that law enforcement professionals and their families make in choosing a jurisdiction to pursue
their careers, they are a factor that San Francisco should not yield to law enforcement
agencies in competing jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, Chronic understaffing in SFPD creates needlessly expensive and wasteful
inefficiencies, with budgetary savings that derive from vacant police officer positions more
than offset by mounting needs for overtime pay to address operational staffing shortages and
myriad unforeseen public safety imperatives; and

WHEREAS, Public opinion polling of San Franciscans by EMC Research between April 27 and May 3, 2022, for the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce's annual Dignity Health CityBeat Poll identified an overwhelming public demand for City leaders to prioritize police staffing in ways that included: 80% support for "increasing the number of police officers on the street in high crime areas"; 75% support for "increasing the number of police officers on the street in busy areas"; and 77% support for "Expanding community-based police work in neighborhoods"; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco's City Charter provides that the Mayor, through the Human
 Resources Director, is responsible for management and administration of all labor relations of
 the City, including bargaining with employees through their recognized employee
 organizations regarding salaries, working conditions, benefits and other terms and conditions

of employment, which would include establishing bonus matching provisions for police

23 recruitment; and

- 24
- 25

WHEREAS, DHR and the San Francisco Police Department have in recent months
 reformed processes and generally improved efficiencies in the recruitment and hiring of police
 officers in San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges DHR to explore ways to adopt a
policy to automatically match top police recruitment bonuses offered by law enforcement
agencies in Northern California that compete for new and laterally hired police officers; and,
be it

8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the San Francisco Police 9 Commission, in coordination with SFPD, to develop a plan for achieving within 48 months the 10 recommended full-duty police staffing level contemplated in 2020's Proposition E, and to 11 report back to the Board of Supervisors in time for citywide elections in 2024 if a revised 12 Charter Amendment is necessary to timely achieve recommended staffing levels; and, be it 13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges DHR, SFPD, and the 14 San Francisco Police Commission to continue collaborative efforts to improve efficiencies in 15 recruiting and hiring prospective police officers in the City and County of San Francisco. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25