File	No.	11	00	7	6
------	-----	----	----	---	---

Comm	ittee	item	No	7	***************************************
Board	Item	No		10-	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Budget and Finance Committee	Date: Fe	<u>bruary 9, 2011</u>
	ervisors Meeting	Date	2/15/11
Cmte Boar	d Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Ethics Form 126		
	Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter at MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	is needed	
Completed Completed			ary 4, 2011 10-1)

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

19

25

[Establishing Monthly Contribution Amount to Health Service Trust Fund]

Resolution establishing monthly contribution amount to Health Service Trust Fund.

WHEREAS, Under Section A8.423 of Appendix A to the City Charter, the Health Service Board (the "HS Board") is required to conduct a survey of the ten counties in the State of California, other than the City and County of San Francisco, having the largest populations to determine the average contribution made by each such county toward the providing of health care plans, exclusive of dental or optical care, for each employee of such county; and,

WHEREAS, Under Section A8.423, the HS Board is required to certify to the Board of Supervisors "the average contribution" as determined by the survey; and,

WHEREAS, According to the California Department of Finance, the ten most populous counties in the State of California other than San Francisco (in descending order of population) are: Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, Santa Clara, Alameda, Sacramento, Contra Costa and Fresno (collectively, the "Survey Counties"); and,

WHEREAS, According to the survey of each of the Survey Counties which was completed on January 13, 2011, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 110076, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein, the HS Board has determined that "the average contribution" is the sum of five hundred three dollars and ninety-four cents (\$503.94); and,

WHEREAS, The HS Board has certified "the average contribution" to the Board of Supervisors as required by Charter Section A8.423; now, therefore, be it

Supervisor Chu **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

RESOLVED, That the certification by the HS Board of "the average contribution" is hereby accepted and shall constitute the monthly amount to be contributed to the Health Service Trust Fund for Fiscal Year 2011-2012 under the Charter.

Supervisor Chu BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Items 6 and 7 Files 11-0069 & 11-0076 Department(s):

Health Service System (HSS)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objective

- The proposed ordinance (File 11-0069) would amend Section 16.703 of the City's Administrative Code, to (a) approve the FY 2011-2012 health plans offered by the Health Service System (HSS) to its members and (b) set the employer's and members' contributions for the monthly health plan premiums.
- The proposed resolution (File 11-0076) would establish the monthly employer contribution to the Health Service System Trust Fund for FY 2011-2012. The monthly employer contribution is based on the results of the ten-county survey conducted by the Health Service Board, as required by the Charter.

Fiscal Impact

Based on the results of the ten-county survey conducted by the Health Service Board, the
employer's FY 2011-2012 contribution to the Health Service System Trust Fund is \$503.94
per member per month, which is an increase of \$31.09 or 6.6 percent from the FY 2010-2011
employer's contribution of \$472.85 per member per month.

Policy Issues

- Implementation of the Federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) of 2010, effective July 1, 2011, increases healthcare coverage and increases the monthly health plan premiums for all three health plans.
- The City and County will bear a substantial and increasing proportion of the costs for the two HMO providers, Kaiser and Blue Shield, with City employees bearing a significantly smaller percentage of the costs.

Recommendations

Approve the proposed ordinance and resolution.

MANDATE STATEMENT/BACKGROUND

Under Section A8.423 of the City Charter, the Health Service Board is required to (a) conduct a survey of the ten most populous California counties each year, excluding San Francisco, and (b) determine and set the employer's contribution for member health plans' monthly premiums, which is equal to the average of the contributions made by each of the ten counties.

The Health Service Board oversees the Health Service System and adopts the annual health plans and employer and member¹ contributions to monthly premiums. The Health Service System (HSS) administers non-pension benefits, including health, dental, vision, and other benefits that may be available to City employees, such as life and disability insurance.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

<u>File 11-0069</u>: The proposed ordinance would amend Section 16.703 of the City's Administrative Code to (a) approve the FY 2011-2012 health plans offered by the Health Service System (HSS) to its members and (b) set the employer's and members' contributions for the monthly premiums of the City Health Plan, Kaiser, and Blue Shield plans.

The Health Service Board approved these plans and employer's and members' contributions to the plans' monthly premiums on January 13, 2011.

File 11-0076: The proposed resolution would approve the FY 2011-2012 employer's contribution to the Health Service System Trust Fund² of \$503.94 per member per month, which is an increase of \$31.09 or 6.6 percent from the FY 2010-2011 employer's contribution of \$472.85 per member per month. The proposed FY 2011-2012 employer's monthly contribution is based on the results of the ten-county survey, as shown in Attachment I, provided by HSS.

THE FY 2011-2012 HEALTH, DENTAL AND VISION PLANS' MONTHLY PREMIUMS

Proposed Monthly Premiums and Benefits for the Health Plans and Vision Plans

In FY 2011-2012, HSS will offer three health plans, including one self-funded health plan, the City Health Plan, and two plans provided through third-party insurers, Kaiser and Blue Shield. The City Health Plan is a preferred provider organization, or PPO, which provides services through a network of providers. Both Kaiser and Blue Shield are health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, which provide services through a closed panel of providers. HSS will offer one vision plan provided through third-party insurer, VSP Vision.

¹ HSS employers include the City and County of San Francisco, the San Francisco Unified School District, the San Francisco Community College District, and the San Francisco Superior Court. HSS members are active and retired employees of these employers, their dependents, and members of eligible boards and commissions. Dependents include children, spouses, domestic partners, surviving spouses of deceased employees, and other legal dependents.

² Under the Charter, the Health Service System Trust Fund receives all contributions and pays all health plan expenses.

As of January 1, 2011, there are approximately 56,932 active and retired HSS members who currently receive health benefits through the City, as shown in Table 1 below.³

Table 1: Active and Retired HSS Members

	Active Employees	Retired Employees	Total	Percent
City Health Plan	1,228	5,495	6,723	11.81%
Kaiser	17,207	9,525	26,732	46.95%
Blue Shield	17,230	6,247	23,477	41.24%
Total	35,665	21,267	56,932	100.00%

Source: Health Service System, as of January 1, 2011

Monthly Premium Increases for the Employer and Employees: Both the employer and members contribute to the total monthly premium. The proposed FY 2011-2012 monthly premiums for the three health plans and the vision plan are shown in Table 2 below for single employees (without dependents). Additional premium information for active and retired employees are further detailed in Attachment II, provided by HSS. Members enrolled in one of the three HSS health plans also receive vision benefits. The monthly VSP Vision premium for employees is included in the total monthly premium for each of the three health plans, shown in Table 2 and in Attachment II.

Table 2: Comparison of FY 2010-2011 and FY 2011-2012 for the Medical and Vision Monthly Premiums for Single Employees

	Proposed FY 2011- 2012	FY 2010- 2011	Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change
City Health Plan	1,110.87	\$926.66	\$184.21	19.9%
Kaiser	505.22	481.69	23.53	4.9%
Blue Shield	589.40	593.73	4.33	-0.7%

Source: Health Service System

As shown in Table 2 above, the total City Health Plan monthly premium for single employees is increasing by \$184.21 or 19.9 percent, from \$926.66 in FY 2010-2011 to \$1,110.87 in FY 2011-2012. As noted on page 1 of the January 25, 2011 letter to the Board of Supervisors from Mercer (Attachment III), the HSS consultant and actuary, the monthly premium for single employees under the City Health Plan "represents the best estimate of future expenditures based on the information available at the time they were developed".

Also shown in Table 2 above, (a) the total Blue Shield monthly premium for single employees is decreasing by \$4.33 or 0.7 percent, from \$593.73 in FY 2010-2011 to \$589.40 in FY 2011-2012, and (b) the total Kaiser monthly premium for single employees is increasing by \$23.53 or 4.9 percent, from \$481.69 in FY 2010-2011 to \$505.22 in FY 2011-2012. As noted on page 1 of Attachment III, the insured premiums and administrative fees agreed to with Kaiser and Blue Shield "represent a fair price given the services provided and the risks insured".

³ HSS has a total of approximately 109,237 members of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Unified School District, Superior Court, and the Community College District.

HSS is making several substantive changes in each of the three health plans to comply with provisions of the Federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (PPACA), which will be effective in FY 2011-2012. (See Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 in the Policy Issues section below.) Consequently, as noted on page 2 of Attachment III, the health plans' monthly premiums were presented and adopted by the Health Services Board.

The Health Service Board recommends one additional change to the FY 2011-2012 City Health Plan, an increase in the non-formulary drug copayment from \$35 to \$45 for retail and from \$70 to \$90 for Mail Order to incentivize members to use generic drugs.

Monthly Premium Increases for the Employer: The increases and decreases to the monthly premiums for single employees (without dependents) of the three health plans, as shown in Table 2 above, are shared by both the employer and the members. As shown in Table 3 below and also further detailed in Attachment IV, provided by HSS, the monthly increase to the employer's contribution is \$31.09 or 6.58 percent. As noted above, the increase in the employer's FY 2011-2012 contribution is based on the results of the ten-county survey. As shown in Table 3 below and also further detailed in Attachment IV, there would be significant reductions in employee contributions for both Kaiser and Blue Shield health plans in FY 2011-2012.

Table 3: Comparison of FY 2010-2011 and FY 2011-2012 Medical and Vision Monthly Premiums for Single Employees by Employer and Employee Contribution

	Total Contribution	·	Employee	Contribution			Employer (Contribution	
	Proposed FY 2011-2012	Proposed FY 2011- 2012	FY 2010- 2011	Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change	Proposed FY 2011- 2012	FY 2010- 11	Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change
City Health Plan	\$1110.87	\$606.93	\$453.81	\$153.12	33.74%	\$503.94	\$472.85	\$31.09	6.58%
Kaiser	\$505.22	\$1.28	\$8.84	-\$7.56	-85.52%	\$503.94	\$472.85	\$31.09	6.58%
Blue Shield	\$589.40	85.46	120.88	-\$35.42	-29.30%	\$503.94	\$472.85	\$31.09	6.58%

Source: Health Service System

Proposed Monthly Premiums and Benefits for the Dental Plans

In FY 2011-2012, HSS will offer three dental plans including one PPO plan, the Delta Dental, and two HMO plans, DeltaCare USA and UHC Dental. The Delta Dental Plan is a dental PPO with a network of preferred providers. The Delta Dental plan is (a) self-insured through HSS for active members and (b) fully insured for retirees. The DeltaCare USA and UHC Dental Plans are dental HMOs with a closed panel of providers and are fully insured plans. Retirees pay for their individual dental coverage, such that there is no employer contribution for retiree dental plans.

Employers, including only the City and the Superior Court (not including the San Francisco Unified School District and the Community College District), pay the dental plan premiums for active members. The employer contributes the average cost of employees' monthly dental plan premiums. As shown in Table 4 and in Attachment V, the employer's contribution for dental benefits increased from \$131.94 per member per month in FY 2010-2011 to \$137.70 per member per month in FY 2011-2012, an increase of \$5.76 per month, or approximately 4.4 percent.

Table 4: Comparison of FY 2010-2011 and FY 2011-2012 for the Dental Monthly

	Proposed FY 2011- 2012	FY 2010- 2011	Increase	Percent Change
Delta Premier, DeltaCare USA and UHC Dental.	\$137.70	\$131.94	\$5.76	4.4%

Source: Health Service System

FY 2011-2012 CONTINGENCY AND STABILIZATION POLICIES AND AMOUNTS

In October 2007, the Health Service Board approved policies designating a portion of the Health Service System Trust Fund balance to: (a) provide contingencies for HSS's self-funded City Health Plan and self-funded employee dental plan and (b) stabilize City Health Plan and employees' dental plan premium increases by including prior years' premium revenue surpluses or shortfalls when calculating required premiums in the new plan year.

Contingency Fund: The Contingency Fund is intended to protect against shortfalls in the Health Service System Trust Fund's claims reserve for the self-funded City Health Plan and employee dental plan, resulting from higher than expected claims compared to premium payments. For the past three fiscal years, HSS has reviewed the contingency policy amount annually to assess the adequacy of the contingency to meet potential claims liability, and will increase the contingency amount as necessary.

As shown in Table 5 below, the Contingency Fund amount has increased every year since FY 2008-2009.

Table 5: Contingency Fund Increases

	City Health Plan	Dental Plan	Total	Increase	Percent Change
FY 2008-2009	\$10,200,000	\$3,000,000	\$13,200,000		
FY 2009-2010	\$10,700,000	\$3,100,000	\$13,800,000	\$600,000	4.54%
FY 2010-2011	\$10,800,000	\$3,300,000	\$14,100,000	\$300,000	2.17%
FY 2011-2012	\$10,900,000	\$3,600,000	\$14,500,000	\$400,000	2.84%

Source: Health Service System

According to Ms. Robin Courtney, Chief Financial Officer of HSS, and shown in Table 5 above, HSS will increase the total contingency amount by \$400,000, or 2.84 percent, from \$14,100,000 in FY 2010-2011 to \$14,500,000 for FY 2011-2012. The increases to the contingency amounts has already been included in the FY 2011-2012 City Health Plan and dental monthly premiums as listed in Table 2 above and Table 4 above.

Stabilization Policy: In addition to the Contingency Fund, the Health Service Board has adopted a stabilization policy. Under this stabilization policy, HSS will incorporate the City Health Plan's

actual premium revenue⁴ surpluses⁵ or shortfalls⁶ from a prior audited year, over a period of three years, when calculating required premiums in the new plan year.

In FY 2010-2011 the Health Service Board approved a \$1,200,000 surplus stabilization amount for the City Health Plan. This stabilization amount of \$1,200,000 was included when calculating the monthly premiums for FY 2010-2011. The Health Service System has calculated and the Health Service Board has approved a \$1,000,000 shortfall stabilization amount for FY 2011-2012. This \$1,000,000 stabilization amount has been incorporated into the calculations for determining FY 2011-2012 monthly premiums.

In FY 2010-2011 the Health Service Board approved a \$1,300,000 shortfall stabilization amount for the Delta Dental Plan. This stabilization amount of \$1,300,000 was included when calculating the monthly premiums for FY 2010-2011. The Health Service System has calculated and the Health Service Board has approved a \$2,500,000 shortfall stabilization amount for the Delta Dental Plan for FY 2011-2012. This \$2,500,000 stabilization amount has been incorporated into the calculations for determining FY 2011-2012 monthly premiums.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Fiscal Impact of the FY 2010-2011 Health Plan Premium Contributions is Not Known

The Budget and Legislative Analyst notes that based on the City's FY 2010-2011 existing monthly premium rates, the total cost for FY 2010-2011 health and dental premium contributions to the Health Service System was \$323,116,874, including City General Fund costs of \$185,248,468, as approved in the FY 2010-2011 budget.

According to the Controller's Office, the City's total estimated cost for FY 2011-2012 health and dental premium contributions to the Health Service System Trust Fund was not available as of the writing of this report. The Controller's Office is waiting for additional information from Health Service Systems, which is needed to calculate these costs, including those to the City's General Fund.

⁴ Premium revenue is the actual amount of monthly premium collected less the actual amount of health expenses and administrative costs claimed.

⁵ Premium revenue surpluses are when more money is collected in monthly premiums than health expenses and administrative costs expended.

⁶ Premium revenue shortfalls are when less money is collected in monthly premiums than health expenses and administrative costs expended.

Health Service System Trust Fund Balance

The FY 2009-2010 financial audit, conducted by a private auditing firm, KPMG LLP, found that the Health Service System Trust Fund in FY 2009-2010 had increased by \$800,000, or 5.97 percent, from FY 2008-2009, as shown below.

Fund Balance as of June 30, 2010 ⁷	\$14,200,000
Fund Balance as of June 30, 2009	\$13,400,000
Increase	\$800,000

According to the Ms. Courtney, this increase in the Trust Fund balance resulted from (a) an increase of \$1,600,000 in revenues from performance guarantee penalties, (b) increase of \$900,000 in interest income and (c) an increase in fair market value of assets offset by a shortfall in contributions of \$1,700,000.

POLICY ISSUES

Implementation of the Federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) of 2010 Increases Healthcare Coverage and Increases the Monthly Health Plan Premiums

The Federal PPACA has established several requirements affecting medical, dental, and vision coverage which go into effect on July 1, 2011. The first requirement mandates dependent children be eligible for healthcare coverage until their 26th birthday and impacts health, dental, and vision coverage. The second requirement mandates that no lifetime dollar limits be placed on essential health benefits and primarily impacts the City Health Plan. The third requirement mandates that no annual dollar limits be placed on essential health benefits and impacts all health plans. The fourth requirement mandates that preventive services provided within the health network be fully covered with no deductibles or co-pays and impacts all health plans. The fifth requirement mandates that medicals plans update their claims and appeals process to comply with new standards established under the PPACA and impacts all health plans. As a result of these requirements, as shown in Table 6 below, premium rates for all three health plans have increased.

⁷ Does not include the Contingency Fund amount.

Plan	Percent Change for Active Employees/Non-Medicare Retirees	Percent Change for Medicare Retirees
The City Health Plan	1.4%	1.0%
Kaiser	0.5%	2.89
Blue Shield	0.2%	0.99

City and County of San Francisco Bears a Substantial Portion of the Costs for Both HMO Providers

Based on Table 1 above, over 88.2 percent of active and retired City employees opt into one of the two HMOs, either Kaiser or Blue Shield, with the remaining 11.8 percent using the City Health Plan. As shown above in Table 2, in FY 2011-2012 the total cost of medical and vision monthly premiums for (a) Blue Shield would decrease by \$4.33 or 0.73 percent, (b) Kaiser would increase by \$23.53 or 4.89 percent, and (c) City Plan would increase by \$184.21 or 19.88 percent.

Yet, as shown in Table 3 above, the City and County will bear an increased proportion of the costs for Blue Shield and Kaiser, while the City employees' contributions in these two HMOs decreases. For FY 2011-2012, employee contributions for Kaiser will decrease 85.52 percent to \$1.28 per month per employee out of the total monthly cost of \$505.22. Similarly, as shown in Table 3 above, employee contributions for Blue Shield will decrease 29.30 percent to \$85.46 per month per employee out of the total monthly cost of \$589.40. For FY 2011-2012, employee contributions for the City Health Plan will rise by 33.74 percent. Although, as shown in Table 3 above, the employer contributions for all three plans would rise by 6.58 percent, as shown in Table 7 below, the City will pay 99.75 percent of the costs for Kaiser employees, and 85.5 percent of the costs for Blue Shield employees.

Table 7: Comparison of Employer and Employee Contributions for FY 2011-2012 Medical and Vision Monthly Premiums for Single Employees

	Total Contribution	Employee Contribution	Employee Contribution Percentage of Total Contribution	Employer Contribution	Employer Contribution Percentage of Total Contribution
City Health Plan	\$1,110.87	\$606.93	54.64%	\$503.94	45.36%
Kaiser	\$505.22	\$1.28	0.25%	\$503.94	99.75%
Blue Shield	\$589.40	\$85.46	16.92%	\$503.94	85.50%

The Budget and Legislative Analyst therefore notes that based on the current system for determining employer's (City's') contribution, determined by the results of a 10-county survey as explained above, the City continues to bear an unusually large and growing proportion of the costs of HMO care. Given the increasing concern regarding health and pension benefit costs, the Board of Supervisors may want to consider submitting a charter amendment to the electorate to revise the way the employer's (City's) costs are calculated to more equitably share the costs of health care between employees and their employer (City).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Approve the proposed ordinance and resolution.

10-County Survey

10-County Amount - Change from 2010-11 to 2011-12

	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2010-11	
1 Los Angeles	\$478.56	\$457.56	4.60%
2 San Diedo	406.00	364.00	11.50%
3 Orange	434.41	383.75	13.20%
4 Riverside	513.02	488.44	2.00%
5 San Bernardino	399.70	397.51	0.60%
6 Santa Clara	655,97	608.44	7.80%
7 Alamada	541.06	521.89	3.70%
s Sacramento	637.98	561.35	13.70%
o Contra Costa	521.90	495.15	5.40%
10 Fresho	450.80	450.43	0.10%
40-County Average	\$503.94	\$472.85	6.57%

City Health Plan – Full Monthly Premium Equivalent

		201	2011-12			
	Before Claims Stabilization and Closedown Amount	Claims Stabilization ²	After Claims Flex-Funded Stabilization and Closedown Amount Closedown Amount	After Claims Stabilization and Closedown Amount	Dollar Change³	Percent Change ³
Employee Only	\$ 1,096.47	\$ 14.40	, Ю	\$1,110.87	\$184.21	19.9%
Employee + 1 Dependent	2,150.39	28.25	0.00	2,178.64	366.64	20.2%
Employee + 2 or more Dependents	3,016.11	39.62	0.00	3,055.73	512.97	20.2%
Retiree without Medicare	1,271.02	16.70	0.00	1,287.72	218.33	20.4%
Retiree and Spouse without Medicare	2,499.47	32.84	00.0	2,532.31	434.82	20.7%
Retiree with Medicare	376.92	4.97	00.00	381.89	14.01	3.8%
Refiree and Spouse with Medicare	720.18	9.48	00.00	729.66	27.97	4.0%
		201	2010-11			
					•	
	Before Claims			After Claims		
	Stabilization and Closedown Amount	Claims Stabilization ²	Flex-Funded Stabilization and Closedown Amount Closedown Amount	Stabilization and Closedown Amount		· .
Employee Only	\$939.01		\$1.62	\$926.66		
Employee + 1 Dependent	1,836.16	(27.32)	3.16	1,812.00		
Employee + 2 or more Dependents	2,576.67	(38.34)	4.43	2,542.76	· · ·	
Retiree without Medicare	1,083.66	(16.13)	1.86	1,069.39		_e
Retiree and Spouse without Medicare	2,125.46	(31.63)	3.66	2,097.49	* : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	į C
Retiree with Medicare	372.79	(5.55)	0.64	367.88		· I
Retiree and Spouse with Medicare	711.05	(10.58)	1.22	701.69		.

¹ Rates shown include medical, pharmacy, vision, expense, claims stabilization, and the federal Medicare Part D subsidy. The 2010-2011 plan year was the final year for the Pacificare Flex Funded closedown.

Mercer

² Reflects claims stabilization amount pursuant to the Board's Self-Funded Plan Funding Policy

³ Change after Claims Stabilization and Flex Funded Closedown Amount.

HWOS - FLI MONTHLY Premium Equivalent

Exhibit 4
HMOs - Change in Full Monthly Premium Equivalent

Azorezosa izi inin isin isin izini izizonezo mazorezo izizizi izi interpreta izi perenten izizi izizi izizizi i		2011-12		2010-11		
	Before Flex- Funded Closedown	Flex-Funded Closedown Amount	After Flex- Funded Closedown	After Flex- Funded Closedown	Dollar Change ²	Percent Change ²
Slue Shield						,
Employee Only	\$ 589.40		\$589.40	\$593.73	(\$4.33)	-0.7%
Employee + 1 Dependent	1.177.81	00.00	1,177.81	1,186.46	(8.65)	% 2.0-
Employee + 2 or more Dependents	1,666.17	0.00	1,666.17	1,678.40	(12.23)	-0.7%
Refire without Medicare	1.308.44	0.00	1,308,44	1,318.34	(06.6)	%8.0 -
Defines and Spains without Medicare	1.896.85	0.00	1,896.85	1,911.07	(14.22)	-0.7%
Politos with Medicare	378.81	0.00	378.81	383.84	(5.03)	-1.3%
Refire and Shouse with Medicare	756.60	00.0	756.60	766.65	(10.05)	-1.3%
Kaicar					,	
Employee Only	\$ 505.22	9	\$505.22	\$481.69	\$23.53	4.9%
Employee 4.1 Dependent	1.009.42	00.0	1,009.42	962.34	47.08	4.9%
Employee + 2 or more Dependents	1.427.91	0.00	1,427.91	1,361.29	66.62	4.9%
Defined without Medicare	1.014.87	0.00	1,014.87	967.56	47.31	4.9%
Define and Chaire without Medicare	1.519.07	0.00	1,519.07	1,448.22	70.85	4.9%
Dotton with Modicare	355.13	0.00	355.13	346.99	8.14	2.3%
Politice and Spouse with Medicare	709.24	0.00	709.24	692.94	16.30	2.4%
TOTAL OF COURSE THE TANKS					•	

Rates shown include HMO premium, vision, and expense components. The 2010-2011 plan year was the final year for the PacifiCare Flex Funded closedown and included in the 2010-2011 rates.

Dated January 25, 2011

² Change from the 2010-11 full monthly premium equivalent

Vision Benefits

Exhibit 7

VSP Vision Plan - Change in Full Monthly Cost

Member Contributions	Core Plan Buy-up Plan	Dollar Percent Change Change 2011-12	0.21 5.9% 6.09	0.43 6.0% 12.19		0.21 5.9% 6.09	0.43 6.0% 12.19	0.21 5.9% 6.09	0.43 6.0% 12.1(
Men	Core	2010-11 ¹	\$3.57	7.15	10.12	\$3.57	7.15	\$3.57	7.15
		2011-121	\$3.78	7.58	10.73	\$3.78	7.58	\$3.78	7.58
			Employee Only	Employee + 1 Dependent	Employee + 2 or more Dependents	Retiree without Medicare	Retiree and Spouse without Medicare	Retiree with Medicare	Retiree and Spouse with Medicare

¹ Core plan rates are built into the Rates & Benefits process and included in medical total premium rates. Vision plan rates are under a 36 month rate guarantee for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014.

² Buy-up Plan introduced in 2011-12 plan year. Contributions are 100% paid by employees. Contributions represent the difference between the Core Plan and the total premium cost of the Buy-up plan.

Rhys E

, FIA, ASA, MAAA

MERCER

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4156 +1 415 743 8758 Rhys.Evans@mercer.com

Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

January 25, 2011

Subject: 2011-12 Plan Benefits, Rates, and Contributions

Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

This letter serves to document our position as the consultant and actuary to the Health Service System (HSS) in regards to the recently completed rate and contribution setting process. This process was conducted under the direction of the Rates and Benefits Committee (the "Committee") of the Health Service Board. The rates, benefits, and contributions presented herein were approved by the full Health Service Board (the "HS Board") during their meeting on January 13, 2011.

In our opinion, the process was completed in a complete and thorough manner. In particular, it is our opinion that:

- The insured premiums and administrative fees agreed to with HSS's vendors represent a fair price given the services provided and the risks insured.
- The premium equivalents set for the HSS self funded programs (City Health Plan and Active Dental benefits) represent our best estimate of future expenditures based on the information available at the time they were developed.
- Existing Trust Fund assets are expected to be sufficient to protect the HSS trust against adverse claims experience.

City Contributions Under the 10-County Survey

According to the City Charter, the City's contribution towards medical benefits is determined by the results of a survey of the amount of contributions provided by the ten most populous counties in California. This survey is conducted annually by HSS Staff. For the 2011-12 plan year, the survey determined that the average monthly contribution increased 6.57% from \$472.85 to \$503.94. Exhibit 1 of the attachment presents the individual responses from this survey.

Page 2
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010

On March 23, 2010, President Barack Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (PPACA). The law establishes health reform that expands access to coverage over the next several years. For compliance with PPACA, a number of plan changes are required for the 2011-12 plan year. A summary of these changes follows:

- Dependent children will be eligible for healthcare coverage until their 26th birthday. This applies regardless of whether the child is married, qualifies as a tax dependent or is a full-time student. This applies to medical, dental, and vision coverage.
- No lifetime dollar limits on essential health benefits. Medical plan can no longer limit the dollar amount of benefits that it will reimburse over an enrolled person's lifetime for essential health benefits. This primarily impacts the City Plan.
- Restrict annual dollar limits on essential health benefits. There will no longer be dollar limits on essential health benefits. This impacts all medical plans.
- Provide mandated preventive services in network with no cost-sharing. Routine
 preventive care services that are received from in-network providers will be 100%
 covered by all medical plans, with no deductibles or copays.
- Establish and provide notice of internal and external appeals procedure. Medical plans are required to update their claims and appeals process to comply with new standards under PPACA.

Below is a summary of the impact on the premium rates from the changes highlighted above:

2 10.28 45.00 (C.) (C.) (C.) (C.)	Actives / Non-Medicare	Medicare Retirees
Plan	Retires	
The City Plan	+1.4%	+1.0%
Kaiser	+0.5%	+2.8%
Blue Shield	+0.2%	+0.9%

Page 3
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

Rates, Contributions, and Benefits for the City Health Plan

The City Plan is a self-funded plan administered by United Health Care (UHC). The medical and pharmacy monthly premium equivalent costs were set based on recent experience, with costs developed separately for actives, retirees without Medicare and retirees with Medicare based on group-specific experience. Additionally, we provided a retrospective analysis of historical rates and experience to examine the actual cost trends evident in the City Health Plan's recent claims data. These analyses were considered in conjunction with overall industry and normative data when determining the premium levels for the 2011-12 plan year (all analyses are on the www.myhss.org website as public documents).

As part of the annual Rates and Benefits process, the Committee, HSS and Mercer review the continued appropriateness and competitiveness of the benefit design for the City Plan. The HS Board was presented with many options and, in addition to the changes required by PPACA, adopted the following benefit changes for the City Plan:

 Increase the non-formulary drug copayment from \$35 to \$45 for Retail and from \$70 to \$90 for Mail Order; to incentivize members to use generic drugs

This estimated impact on non-Medicare premium equivalent rates was (0.2%) and on Medicare premium equivalent rates was (0.7%).

The UHC administration fees were increased by 1.5% from the prior year. The HSS administration load remains unchanged.

Exhibit 2 of the attachment summarizes the change in full monthly premium equivalents for the City Health Plan. Included in the premium equivalent rate, pursuant to the HS Board's Self Funded Plans' Funding Policy, is the application of the claims stabilization amount (see below). The closedown amount for the PacifiCare Flex Funded plan is no longer applicable, beginning in the 2011-12 plan year.

Exhibit 3 of the attachment summarizes the change in employee and retiree contributions for the City Health Plan. These contributions were determined in accordance with the City Charter. The exhibit does not include any City contributions negotiated for specific groups in addition to the 10-County amount.

Rates, Contributions, and Benefits for HMOs

Similar to the 2010-11 plan year, two HMOs are offered to HSS members

Page 4
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

Kaiser

Kaiser requested a premium increase of 5.1% for actives and retirees without Medicare. For retirees with Medicare, the requested premium increase was 2.5%.

Non-Staff Model HMO

A comprehensive vendor search was performed on the non-staff model HMO plan offering. The process was initiated in August 2010 and proposals received from the following vendors on September 29:

- Blue Cross of California
- Blue Shield of California
- Chinese Community Health Plan.
- Health Net of California

The vendor search process was constructed to follow a two phased approach. During the first phase, the Selection Panel convened to score the proposals would identify the finalist vendors that, with the HS Board's direction, Mercer would negotiate final terms. The Selection Panel would then score the results of these negotiations and identify the vendor to be recommended to the HS Board as the successful non-staff model HMO vendor for the 2011-12 plan year.

Mercer completed its analysis of the proposals received over October and presented its findings to the Selection Panel at a meeting convened in the HSS offices on November 10. Based on the compiled scoring of the proposals by the Selection Panel, Blue Shield and Health Net were identified as the two vendors with the highest scores that would proceed to the finalist negotiations phase of the vendor search process. The results of this initial phase of the process were presented to the HS Board at the November Board meeting.

Subsequent to the November Board meeting, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) issued a letter to Health Net, immediately suspending enrollment of new members in their Medicare Advantage plan. Reasons cited included:

- Failure to demonstrate that corrective actions were taken from an August 2010 CMS audit
- Corrective actions were primarily around the administration of the Medicare Pharmacy
 Part D plan and non-compliance with CMS policies

Page 5
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

Due to the CMS sanctions applied to Health Net, it was determined by the HSS Director, in consultation with Chair of the Rates & Benefits Committee, to include Blue Cross of California as a third vendor finalist

On December 10, the finalist vendors provided Mercer with a response to follow-up questions developed by HSS and Mercer; including those raised at the November 18 Board meeting. The Selection Panel convened on January 5 to score the second and final phase of the vendor selection process. Based on this second phase scoring, Blue Shield was identified as the successful vendor to be recommended to the HS Board. The HS Board voted to approve Blue Shield as the 2011-12 non-staff model HMO vendor at the January Board meeting.

Blue Shield's initial proposal requested a 0.0% increase across all plans (actives, retirees without Medicare, and retirees with Medicare). This proposal was subsequently reduced to a (0.25%) decrease following negotiations

HMO Plan Design Changes

As part of the annual Rates and Benefits process, the Committee, HSS and Mercer review the continued appropriateness and competitiveness of the benefit design for the HMOs. The benchmark information (available on www.myhss.org website) reviewed suggested that certain sections of the plan design were outdated and did not reflect the impact from the continued high healthcare trends. The HS Board was presented with many options and adopted the following benefit changes for the Blue Shield (as Kaiser already has the desired incentives in their plan design, there were no plan design changes adopted for Kaiser outside those required to meet the requirements of PPACA):

Increase hospital per admit copayment from \$100 to \$150; to incentivize members to use outpatient surgery when possible

Increase non-formulary drug copayment from \$35 to \$45 for Retail and from \$70 to \$90 for Mail Order; to incentivize members to use generic drugs

Inclusion (at an additional cost in aggregate of less than +0.2% to the premium rates) of transitional residential recovery services and residential rehabilitation under Chemical Dependency; to equalize with benefits currently offered by the Kaiser plan

As a result of the changes, 2011-12 active and non-Medicare retiree premiums were reduced by (0.35%) and the Medicare retiree premiums were reduced by (0.96%).

Page 6
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

Exhibit 4 of the attachment summarizes the full monthly HMO cost for the 2011-12 plan year. The application of the PacifiCare Flex Funded plan's closedown amount is not longer applicable, beginning in the 2011-12 plan year.

Contributions for HMO members were determined in accordance with the City Charter. Exhibits 5 and 6 of the attachment summarize changes in contributions for actives and retirees respectively.

The above exhibits do not include any City contributions negotiated for specific groups in addition to the 10-County amount.

Close out of PacifiCare Flex Funded Plan

During the 2009-10 plan year, the HS Board approved the process that the close out amount on the PacifiCare Flex Funded plan be amortized over two plan years across all remaining medical plans (City Health Plan, Kaiser & Blue Shield). Each plan was allocated a proportion of the close out liability based on their anticipated aggregate premium cost over the year of amortization.

The close-out liability has now been fully settled and as such, no further adjustments to the remaining plans' required contributions are necessary beginning in the 2011-12 plan year.

Rates and Benefits for the Vision Plan

Members enrolled in any medical plan offered by HSS also receive vision benefits through VSP. The cost of the vision benefit is a component of the cost of the medical plan and has been included in the rate exhibits referenced above. In addition to the core vision plan, beginning in July 1, 2011 members will have the option to elect a buy-up vision plan with enhanced vision benefits. Members are responsible to contributing 100% of the cost difference between the core and buy-up vision plan.

The vision plans are fully insured plans. As a result of a competitive renewal process, the final VSP requested premium rate increase was 6.0% for the core vision plan, with a rate guarantee through the end of the 2013-14 plan year. During the rates and benefit process, VSP has offered two plan enhancements to the core and buy-up vision plans, with no impact on premium rates. Below is a summary of the plan enhancements that were adopted by the HS Board:

Page 7
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

- Implement a \$60 cap for contact lens fitting and evaluation charges to the member, applies only if member goes to a preferred VSP provider (negligible impact on premiums)
- Upgrade reimbursement schedule for out-of-network claims from a non-VSP provider (negligible impact on premiums)
 - Increase exam from \$40 to \$50
 - Increase Frames from \$55 to \$70

Exhibit 7 in the attachment summarizes the VSP vision plan costs for the core and buy-up plans.

Rates, Contributions, and Benefits for Dental Plans

Three dental plans are offered to HSS members: Delta Dental PPO, Delta Care USA and UHC Dental (formerly known as Pacific Union). The Delta Dental PPO plan is a dental PPO with a network of preferred providers while the other two plans are dental HMOs with closed panels of providers. The City pays the cost of dental benefits for employees, while retirees pay the full cost of their dental benefits.

The Delta Dental PPO plan for active employees is self-insured and administered by Delta Dental Plan of California. Future plan costs are projected based on the City employees' claim experience. Delta Dental's fee for claim administration remains unchanged from the 2010-11 fee and is guaranteed until the end of the 2012-13 plan year.

The Delta Dental PPO plan for retirees, Delta Care USA dental plans for employees and retirees, and UHC dental plans for employees and retirees are all fully insured. The rates for the Delta Dental PPO plan for retirees remain unchanged from the 2010-11 rates. The rates for the DeltaCare dental plan and UHC dental plan for employees and retirees also remain unchanged from the 2010-11 plan year.

As part of the annual Rates and Benefits process, the Committee, HSS and Mercer review the continued appropriateness and competitiveness of the benefit design for the active dental PPO plans and also compared the plan designs of the active to the retirees. The information (available on www.myhss.org website) reviewed suggested that certain sections of the plan design were outdated and the active plan did not align with the retiree plan. The HS Board adopted the following benefit changes for the active Delta Dental PPO plan:

 Include a third cleaning for pregnant women (estimated +0.4% impact on premiums or \$200K a year)

Page 8
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

 Add an Implant benefit subject to the \$2,500 annual per person plan maximum (estimated +2.6% impact on premiums or \$1.1M a year). This benefit will be provided at the same member cost sharing level as currently available for crowns.

The City's per-employee contribution for dental benefits is based on the average cost of coverage for all employees. The monthly contribution for 2011-12 will be \$137.70 per employee per month, an increase of 4.4% over the \$131.94 per employee per month contributed for the 2010-11 plan year. Included in this per employee rate, pursuant to the HS Board's Self Funded Plans' Funding Policy, is the application of the claims stabilization amount of approximately \$2.5 million (equivalent to \$7.25 per employee per month) of accrued deficit, which represents the shortfall of contributions received from employers compared to the costs incurred over the 2009-10 plan year.

Exhibit 8 in the attachment summarizes the changes in cost for active employee dental benefits.

City retirees who elect dental benefits have three plans to choose from. Benefits and rates differ from those for active employees. Exhibit 9 in the attachment summarizes the changes in cost for retirees' dental benefits.

Summary of Projected 2011-12 Plan Year Costs

Set out below is a summary of how projected 2011-12 aggregate HSS plan costs are distributed across the different plans available to employees and retirees. Costs are shown only for those plans where the employers subsidize the total premium cost. The premium costs associated with the Vision Plan are embedded in the medical plans' costs.

Page 9
January 25, 2011
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

		Distribution of Aggregat	le Plan Costs (\$millions)	
	Aggregate Plan Cost	Employers' (incl. bargained conts.) Contributions	Members' Contributions	Member Contributions as a % of Aggregate Costs
The City Plan	\$78.8	\$62.6	\$16.2	20.5%
% Increase	13.5%	10.5%	26.7%	
Kalser ¹	\$273.3	\$249,8	\$23.5	8.6%
% Increase	4.5%	5.0%	(0.1%)	
Blue Shield ¹	\$294.1	\$255.1	\$39.0	13.3%
% Increase	(0.8%)	1.9%	(15.4%)	
Employee Dental	\$47.5	\$47.5	\$0.0	0.0%
% Increase	4.4%	4.4%	0.0%	
Total	\$693.7	\$615.0	\$78.7	11.3%
% Increase	3.1%	4,2%	(4.5%)	

The overall estimated increase of 3.1% compares favorably with the corresponding 2010-11 plan year estimated increase of 9.3%. Similarly, this year's projected aggregate cost increase also compares favorably with available benchmark information. Based on the recently published 2010 National Survey of Employer Sponsored Health Plans¹, anticipated 2011 post-benefit change cost increases within the governmental employers category is 6.3%. Within the category focusing on Northern California employers only (both public and private combined), the corresponding anticipated 2011 increase is 9.1%.

Established in 1986, Mercer's *National Survey of Employer-Sponsored Health Plans* is the nation's largest, most authoritative annual survey on the topic of health benefits. The survey uses a national probability sample of U.S. employers with 10 or more employees (including local and state governments) stratified by employer size and region to ensure a representative mix of employers. Each year around 3,000 employers participate.

Page 10 January 25, 2011 Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

Conclusion

Mercer would be pleased to answer any questions or provide clarification about the information included in this letter to any interested parties.

Sincerely,

. hr. Evam

Rhys Evans, FIA, ASA, MAAA

Copy:

Members of the Health Service Board Catherine Dodd, Lisa Ghotbi, Robin Courtney, Health Service System Gerry Murphy, Donna Kinsman, Mercer

Enclosure

c:\documents and settings\rhys-evens\rmy documents\bos letter 2011-12 py_final.doc

City Health Plan - Employee, Refiree, and Employer Contributions

Exhibit 3
City Health Plan - Change in Monthly Employee, Retiree, and Employer Contributions

		Member Contributions	tributions		Ш	Employer' Contributions	ntributions	
			Dollar	Percent			Dollar	Percent
201	2011-12	2010-11	Change	Change	2011-12	2010-11	Change	Change
Employee Only \$ 6	60.93	\$453.81	\$153.12	33.7%	\$ 503.94	\$472.85	\$31.09	%9.9
pendent	1,674.70	1,339,15	335.55	25.1%	503.94	472.85	31.09	%9'9
oendents	2,551.79	2,069.91	481.88	23.3%	503.94	472.85	31.09	%9.9
	303.46	226.90	76.56	33.7%	984.26	842.49	141.77	16.8%
out Medicare	925.75	740.95	184.80	24.9%	1,606.56	1,356.54	250.02	18.4%
Retiree with Medicare	1	*		N/A	381.89	367.88	14.01	3.8%
ith Medicare	173.88	166.90	6.98	4.2%	555.78	534.79	20.99	3.9%

Excludes additional negotiated contributions which apply to certain collectively bargained employees

HMOs - Employee and Employer Contributions

Exhibit 5
HMOs - Change in Monthly Employee and Employer Contributions

			!	-				•
		Member Contributions	ntributions			Employer¹ Contributions	ntributions	
	2011-12	2010-11	Dollar Change	Percent Change	2011-12	2010-11	Dollar Change	Percent Change
Blue Shield								
Employee Only	\$ 85.46	6 \$120.88	(\$35.42)	-29.3%	\$ 503.94	\$472.85	\$31.09	6.6%
Employee + 1 Dependent	673.87	7 713.61	(39.74)	-5.6%	503.94	472.85	31.09	6.6%
Employee + 2 or more Dependents	1,162.23	3 1,205.55	(43.32)	-3.6%	503.94	472.85	31.09	6.6%
Kaiser								
Employee Only	\$ 1.2	28 \$8.84	(\$7.56)	-85.5%	\$ 503.94	\$472.85	\$31.09	6.6%
Employee + 1 Dependent	505.48	489.49	15.99	3.3%	503.94	472.85	31.09	6.6%
Employee + 2 or more Dependents	923.97	97 888.44	35.53	4.0%	503.94	472.85	31.09	6.6%

Excludes additional negotiated contributions which apply to certain collectively bargained employees

Dated January 25, 201

Exhibit 6
HMOs -- Change in Monthly Retiree and Employer Contributions

		Member Contributions	tributions			Employer Contributions	ntributions	
				\$000 E			Telloc	Dercent
	2011-12	2010-11	Change	Change	2011-12	2010-11	Change	C Targe
Blue Shield								
Retire without Medicare	\$ 42.73	\$60,44	(\$17.71)	-29.3%	\$ 1,265.71	\$1,257,90	\$7.81	%9 0
Refire and Shouse without Medicare	336.93	356.80	(19.87)	-5.6%	1,559.92	1,554.27	5.65	0.4%
Potitoe with Medicare				N/A	378.81	383.84	(5.03)	-1.3%
Retiree and Spouse with Medicare	188.89	191.40	(2.51)	-1.3%	567.71	575.25	(7.54)	-1.3%
Kaiser				:				
Retires without Medicare	\$ 0.64	\$4.42	(\$3.78)	-85.5%	-85.5% \$ 1,014.23	\$963.14	\$51.09	5.3%
Refire and Spoise without Medicare	252.74	244.75	7.99	3.3%	1,266.33	1,203.47	62.86	5.2%
Dottroe with Medicare			1	N/A	355.13	346.99	8.14	2.3%
Define and Spoise with Medicare	177.05	172.97	4.08	2.4%	532.19	519.97	12.22	2.4%
Total of a speak man market							,	age

Dental Benefits - Active Employees

Dental Plans - Change in Monthly Cost for Active Employees **Exhibit 8**

		2011-12			2010-11			
	Before			Before			,	
	Claims	Claims	After Claims Claims	Claims	Claims	After Claims	!'	• ;
	Stabilization	Stabilization	Stabilization Stabilization	Stabilization	Stabilization	Stabilization Stabilization	Dollar	Percent
	Amount	Amount1	Amount	Amount Amount	Amount1	Amount ¹ Amount	Change ²	Change ²
Composite City Contribution ³								
All Employees	\$130.45	\$7.25	\$137.70	\$137.70 \$128.30	\$3.64	\$131.94	\$5.76	4.4%

Total claims stabilization amount of approximately \$0.1 million and \$2.5 million applied to the 2010-11 and 2011-12 plan years respectively, pursuant to the Board's Self Funded Plans' Funding Policy

² Change from the 2010-11 composite rate, after the claims stabilization amount

guaranteed until the end of the 2012-13 plan year. The fully insured Delta Care USA plan premiums are guaranteed until the end of the 2012-13 plan (formally known as Pacific Union). The Delta Dental PPO Plan is self-insured by the City, with administrative fees unchanged from 2008-09 and 3 The composite rate reflects composites of the self-insured Delta Dental PPO and the two fully insured plans: Delta Care USA and UHC Dental year. The UHC Dental plan premiums remain unchanged from 2009-10 and are guaranteed until the end of the 2011-12 plan year

Dated January 25, 2011



Memorandum

DATE:

January 24, 2011

TO:

Supervisor Carmen Chu

Board of Supervisors

FROM:

Catherine J. Dodd, PhD, RN

Edhenn Joh Director, Health Service System

RE:

Annual Certification of 10-County Amount Pursuant to Section A8.423 of Appendix A to the

City Charter

Attached are the following documents relating to the above matter:

- 1. Certification to the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to Section A8.423 of Appendix A to the City Charter, of "the Average Contribution" as Determined by the Ten-County Survey Required under Such Charter Section, as adopted by the Health Service Board on January 13, 2011; and
- 2. Proposed Resolution Establishing Monthly Contribution Amount to Health Service Trust Fund.

We are happy to provide you with any additional reports or materials you may need in connection with the enclosed ordinance.

Attachs.

Members, Health Service Board (w/electronic attachs.) (via email) CC:

Erik Rapoport (w/electronic attachs.) (via email)

Ben Rosenfield (w/electronic attachs.) (via email)

Rhys Evans (w/electronic attachs.) (via email)

[2011 Certification of "the Average Contribution" Under Ten-County Survey]
CERTIFICATION TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, PURSUANT TO SECTION A8.423
OF APPENDIX A TO THE CITY CHARTER, OF "THE AVERAGE CONTRIBUTION" AS
DETERMINED BY THE TEN-COUNTY SURVEY REQUIRED UNDER SUCH CHARTER
SECTION.

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section A8.423 of Appendix A to the City Charter, the Health Service Board (the "Board") is required to conduct a survey of the ten counties in the State of California, other than the City and County of San Francisco, having the largest populations to determine the average contribution made by each such county toward the providing of health care plans, exclusive of dental or optical care, for each employee of such county; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to such Charter Section, the Board is required to certify to the Board of Supervisors "the average contribution" (as such term is defined in such Charter Section) as determined by such survey; and

WHEREAS, According to the State of California Department of Finance, the ten most populous counties in the State of California other than San Francisco (in descending order of population) are: Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, Santa Clara, Alameda, Sacramento, Contra Costa and Fresno (collectively, the "Survey Counties"); and

WHEREAS, Under the survey of each of the Survey Counties which was completed on January 13, 2011 (a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A hereto and made a part hereof), the Board has determined that "the average contribution" is the sum of **five** hundred three dollars and ninety-four cents (\$503.94); and

WHEREAS, The Board desires to certify "the average contribution" to the Board of Supervisors as required under Section A8.423 of Appendix A to the City Charter; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board hereby certifies to the Board of Supervisors that

(a) the Board has conducted and completed as of January 13, 2011, a survey of the Survey

Counties as required under Section A8.423 of Appendix A to the City Charter; and (b) "the

average contribution" (as such term is defined in such Charter Section) determined under

such survey is the sum of five hundred three dollars and ninety-four cents (\$503.94); and,

be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board hereby authorizes the Director of the Health Service System, to provide to or to execute and deliver to the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the Board, such further information, certificates, assurances or other documents as the Board of Supervisors may require in connection with the current survey and certification required under Section A8.423 of Appendix A to the City Charter.

EXHIBIT A

Copy of Survey

Health Service System

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

A TENERAL SAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

The Process

for each county, the amount contributed by the employer for employee-only coverage under each of the county's medical plans. The The City Charter specifies that the City and County of San Francisco survey the 10 most populous counties in California and collect, survey includes contributions made for employees exclusive of any contributions made for employees' dependents.

and we then average the averages to arrive at the 10-county survey amount. The City is obligated by Charter to contribute the 10-county We use the information gathered from the 10-county survey to compute an average increase in employer contributions for each county, survey amount toward the cost of employees' medical benefits.

To help put the county contribution amounts into context, we also collect information on premium increases data on select plan design elements such as co-pays for office visits and emergency rooms, charges for hospital stays and prescriptions and deductibles

The Results and Observations

employers' toward health care plans in FY 2010-11. This increase is consistent with the single digit increases we have experienced The average monthly contribution of \$503.94 for FY 2011-12 is 6.57 percent above the amount of \$472.85 contributed by the since 2006-2007.

The number of plan choices offered by the County's in the survey varies. At 18 offerings, Los Angeles provides members with the largest number of plan choices while San Diego, San Bernardino, and Santa Clara offer only three, the least number of choices.

For FY 2011-12, several Counties dropped and added plan offerings. Alameda dropped their Blue Cross HMO \$5 and \$15 copay plans. Riverside dropped their Blue Shield HMO and Exclusive Care Select POS plan and added the Health Net EPO and PPO.

All counties except for Santa Clara County offer multiple plans from the same healthcare vendors. Additionally, Alameda County offers members a selection of plans from the same vendors based on \$5 and \$15-copays.

While the purpose of the survey is to gather information on the employer contributions, we also collect premium data. In 2011-12, the average increase in premiums for the 10 counties surveyed was 9.23 percent. Alameda experienced the greatest increase at nearly 21 percent and Riverside had a 1 percent decrease PAGE 1

Health Service System CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ж	5	я	ж	ı
_	_	~		-
	а			
	3	٠		
	ч		н	
	4	L	ч	
		×	٠	
	•	2		
		×	2	
	4	•		
	Ξ	-	3	
	•	•	2	
	٠	r		
	L.	r	a	
ы	и			
	8		н	
	ч	а		
			::	
	Ľ	-		
	۲	5	и	
	۰	-	٠	
	и		ď	
	ь		ч	
	ľ	E	۰	
и	b	÷	ч	
н	г	а	٠	
	١	•	4	
u	Ρ		м	
	р	7	'n	
В	ŀ			f
н	ľ	ŧ	H	į
	h		н	
	L		×	ł
E			v	
a	۲	ä	۲	1
ы	à	Ä	٠	ě
×	г	Ľ	_	1
н	e	×	ч	ä
Ħ	I	4	ж	3
В	7	•	٠	۹
и	I	9	ď	ı
В	ú		п	1
и	Ħ	۹	9	١
ž	á	B	н	ı
s	ŕ		ĸ,	٦
E	٠	ŕ	ч	ı
	ď	d	*	3

Rank Gounty 1 Los Angeles			いたがいからしいとことにない		70.007	The state of the s		対抗性の対象を対抗しい			٠.
lgeles	 H	70.070	276.16	316.07	338.55	362.55	383.10	415.91	457.56	478.56	
***	170.06	207.05	262.38	267.86	363.48	305.87	327.00	363.48	364.00	406.00	100
lego	200 01	202 EO	30F R3	374.13	380.63	387.92	338.64	372.44	383.75	434.41	12.75
9	390.87	500.50	21.00	367.69	301 53	462.05	469.65	491.27	488.44	513.02	2
Riverside	223.72	10.072	317.33	222 F7	299 72	313.73	368.67	377.35	397.51	399.70	100
Sernardino	223.35	242.53	280.40	/C'SSS -	438 49	479.93	515.52	563.19	608.44	655.97	100
Santa Clara	236./3	288.18	0444.10	305.35 315.40	342 11	398.35	440.58	497.76	521.89	541.06	200 P.
Nameda	218.30	200.38	2/0.20 21F OF	263.80	422.13	480.54	480.76	516.78	561.35	637.98	- C - V
Sacramento	259.84	308,38	310.60	336.62	366.77	407.86	438.47	470.02	495.15	521.90	100
Contra Costa	503.84	20,702	232.55 245.67	399.71	390.06	432.64	425.58	425.43	450.43	450.80	
Fresho	246.69	281.21	312.90	345,53	373.35	403.14	418.80	449.37	472.85	503.94	\$1,000,00

Increase Over Prior Year		JU EUUC	2002-05	2005.06	2006:07	2007.08	2008:09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Rank County	Z//0Z-US	A 50%	1.40%	14.50%	7.10%	7.10%	5.70%	8.60%	10.00%	4.60%
1 Los Angeles	200%	15.60%	26.20%	2.10%	35.70%	-16.00%	6.90%	11.20%	0.10%	11.50%
2 San Diego	%00 Jc	100%	3 20%	-5.50%	1.70%	1.90%	-12.70%	10.00%	3.00%	13.20%
3 Orange	30.00%	75 20%	15.20%	14.80%	7.40%	18.00%	1.60%	4.60%	%09:0-	5.00%
4 Riverside	30.307e	0/07/0	23 10%	11.80%	-10.10%	4.70%	17.50%	2.40%	5.30%	%09'0
5 San Bernardino	6.90%	9,000%	18 70%	11.80%	14.70%	9.50%	7.40%	9.20%	8.00%	7.80%
6 Santa Clara	13,50%	Z1./U/0	707.7	1 / EO%	× 10%	16.40%	10,60%	13.00%	4.80%	3.70%
7 Alameda	14.00%	21.60%	4.10%	15.00%	16.00%	13.80%	0.00%	7.50%	8.60%	13.70%
8 Sacramento	14,00%	18.90%	7100%	10.50%	%00 b	11.20%	7.50%	7.20%	5,30%	5,40%
9 Contra Costa	807.6	%)05./7	11.30.0	15.50%	-2.40%	10.90%	-1.60%	0.00%	5.90%	0.10%
10 Fresno	.22.40%	9.00%	0/ U.C.	Control of the Contro	To the state of th	76L0 L	2 88%	7.30%	5,23%	6.57%
Average	15.32%	13.99%	11.27%	10.43%	% /O.o	9/ 761				

JANUARY 1.

2011-2012 HEN GOUNTY SURVEY

il ters Angeles count);	20110, 11 Premilim	2011-12 Premium	% +/. 2010	2010-11 County Contribution	Population. 2011 12 County Contribution	PODMENTON 1022 M 1030 Contribution % +/
Wedical Plans	A90 71	515,01	5.00%	490.71	515.01	2.00%
(alser Choices HMU - County Spunsored	408 30	485.55	18.90%	408.30	485.55	18.90%
CIGNA Choices HMO - County sponsored	732 63	871.24	18.90%	573.56	614.86	7.20%
CIGNA Choices POS - county sportsored	646 33	687.63	6.40%	573.56	614.86	7.20%
Blue Cross Prudent Buyer Basic- ALADS	418.41	459.71	%06'6	418.41	459.71	%06.6
Blue Cross CaliforniaCare basic- ALADS	741 71	780.50	5.20%	573.56	614.86	7.20%
Blue Cross Prudent Buyer Premier- ALADS	513 79	552.58	7.50%	513.79	552.58	7.50%
Blue Cross CaliforniaCare Premier - ALAUS	607 OO	703.00	15.80%	573.56	614.86	7.20%
Blue Shield Classic CAPE	363.00	421.00	16.00%	363.00	421.00	16.00%
Blue Shield Lite CAPE	501.00	583.00	16.40%	501.00	583.00	16.40%
Local 1014 Plan - Fire Fignters	766 86	488.04	4.50%	466.86	488.04	4.50%
Kaiser Options - SEIU	00000	255.00	-11,50%	288.00	255.00	-11.50%
Kaiser HMO - Unrepresented	200.00	25500	-11.50%	288.00	255.00	-11.50%
Blue Cross CaliforniaCare HMO - Unrepresented	288.00 425.00	381 00	-12.40%	435.00	381.00	-12.40%
Blue Cross Plus POS - Unrepresented	223.00	197.00	-11.70%	223.00	197.00	-11.70%
Blue Cross Catastrophic - Unrepresented	252.00 554.00	491.00	-11.40%	554,00	491.00	-11.40%
Blue Cross Prudent Buyer PPO - Unrepresented	71017	455.87	8.00.6	418.17	455.87	%00.6
PacifiCare Options HMO - SEIU	410,17			573,56	į.	l g
Pacificare Options PPO - SEIU	1,180.63	042 46			614.86	1
UnitedHealthcare Options PPO - SEIU	ten fil i de le le le consent de calabrate de particular de la calabrate de la	O+O+O	And an all of the second secon	ART RR	478.56	4,60%
Average	515.37	529.20	7.1 0%	20.124		
						54(3)

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

2011-2012 TEN COUNTY SURVEY

1. Los Angeles County: Wedical Plan Design Sumn	nary		
Blue Shield Life	HMO	#500/\$1 000	\$500/\$1,000
Deductible	\$10 Conav	\$25 Copay	60/40 After Ded
Provincials Services	\$50 Copay	\$50 Copay	\$50 Copay
RX	\$5/\$15/\$30	\$5/\$15/\$30	Not Covered
Hospital	No Charge	80/20 After Ded	60/40-After Ded
Blue Shield Classic	HMÖ		Jno and the second second
Deductible	None	009\$/00\$	\$300/\$600
Physicians Services	\$10 Copay	\$20 Copay	60/40 After Ded
Fmergency Room	\$50 Copay	\$50 Copay	, \$50 Copay
AX	\$5/\$15/\$30	\$5/\$15/\$30	Not Covered
Hochital	No Charge	90/10 After Ded	60/40 After Ded
S I IVS piral Brail Effero	HMO		
Tacilleac Deductible	None		
Divisions Connection	\$10 Copav		
Tilysicialis dei vices	\$50 Copav		
Emergency Koom	\$5/\$20		
TXX	No Charge	The first than the first of the first than the firs	
Hospital		PE0:-III	
UnitedHealthcare		#200/#1 FOO	\$1,500/\$3,000
Deductible		ONC'T M/DOSM	
Physicians Services	The state of the s	20% Copay	50% Copay Arter Ded
Emergency Room	and the state of t	20% Copay After Ded	50% Copay After Ded
RX		\$5/\$20/\$35	Not Covered
Hospital		20% Copay After Ded	50% Copay After Ded
Kalegr	Options HMO	Choices HMO	Unrep HMO
Deductible	Nane	None	None
Dhysirlans Samiras	\$10 Copay	\$10 Copay	\$15 Copay
Finarancy Rom	\$50 Copay	\$50 Copay	\$50 Copay
ZX XX	\$5/\$20	92	\$10/\$20
Housing the second of the seco	No Charge	No Charge	No Charge
1100pm			

IANIJARY T.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

i i los Angeles commy, menteal Plan Design St	Immaty -		
	НМО	POS - In	Pos÷0ur
	None	None	\$500/\$1,000
Peduciple	\$10 Copav	\$10 Copay	60/40 After Ded
Physicians Services		\$50 Copav	60/40 After Ded
Emergency Room	45.4500 et	\$5/\$20	60/40 After Ded
RX	07¢/0¢	&FO CopaviDay	60/40 After Ded + \$1,000/Admit
Hospital	No Charge	froughton one	
Blue Gross California Care HMO	ALADS		
Deductible	None	None	
Physicians Services	\$5 Copay	\$15 Copay	
Fmargency Room	\$25 Copay	\$50 Copay	
D V	\$5/\$10	\$10/\$20	
25.00 25.00 25.00 25.00	No Charge	No Charge	
Plus Press Dire BOS	HMO	<u>"In</u>	Ont
DIVE CLOSS II LAST. COMPANION COMPAN	None Note that the second of t	None	\$400/\$800
Deutsteine Dhysicians Sanicas	\$15 Copay	\$25 Copay	70/30 After Ded
Figure 13 Control of the Control of	\$50 Copay	\$50 Copay	\$50 Copay
	\$10/\$20	\$10/\$20	\$10\\$20
Localital	No Charge	80/20	70/30 + \$50U/Admit
Pocal 1014 Plan	HMO		
Deductible	\$200/\$600		A company of the contract of t
Physicians Services	90/10 After Ded		The second secon
Fmergency Room	\$50 Copay	And the second of the second o	The second secon
XX	\$10/\$20/\$30+		
Hospital	90/10 After Ded		
BluerCross	Catastrophic		
Deductible	\$2,000/\$4,000		and the second s
Physicians Services	75/25 After Ded		and the second s
Emergency Room	\$100 Copay		
RX	\$200 Ded Then 75/25		The second secon
Control of the same of the control o	STATE OF LEGISLE		

PAGE 5

INNITADY 12 JOHN

Health Service System

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

2011-2012 TEN COUNTY SURVEY

1. Los Angeles County: Medical Plan Design Su	mmary		というとは、「大学院の大学学の大学学	
Blue Cross Prudent Buyer PP0	ALADS - In	ALABS - Out	UNREPAIN	UNREP - Out
Deductible		\$200/\$600	\$150/\$450	\$400/\$800
Physicians Services	O	70/30 After Ded	\$15 Copay	70/30 After Ded
Emergency Room	i	70/30 After Ded	\$50 Copay Then 90/10	\$50 Copay Then 90/10
RX RX	\$5/\$10	\$5/\$10+	\$10/\$20	\$10/\$20
Hospital	90/10 After Ded	70/30 After Ded	90/10	70/30 After Ded + \$500/Admit
A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE			the same of the sa	

ZONIT ZONZ TENICOUNITY SURVEY

	9.30%	% %	%0	⊱ . %
17.7.6	С	12.60%	12,60%	11.50%
		*****	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	
Port	398.00	410.00	90	00.
	368	410	410,00	406.00
	364.00	! 8	8	00
	364.	364	364.00	364.00
	1 1 1 1 1	:		
		15.10%	8.60%	10.50%
	<u>/</u>	15		2
	Ē		:	To the second se
	12 Prem 398.00	550.16	474.58	474.25
	2011-1 ∞	ີ່ເດ	4	4
			11	- 1
		; ; ;	1	Well- amount
	371 Pre	478.12	437.08	429.00
	2010	:	1	
			;	1 mg 4 mg 1 mg 1 mg 1 mg 1 mg 1 mg 1 mg
		!	;	
		1	;	e Titophologia de Colonidado de La Colon
			: > {	2
		C	TOSS TH	ND SSD
(Bayo Pr	Plans	Al S		- Blue -
Samu	ledical	Kaiser HMU	Anthem - Blue Cross PPU	Anthem - Bille Cross Hivid
		× •	₹ .	ব্রুব

PPO * In \$500/\$600 \$300/\$600 \$50 Copay then 20% \$75 Copay then 20% \$10/\$20/\$35 \$10/\$20/\$35
--

Health Service System

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

	ution % +/-	18.60%	14.10%	8.10%	10.70%	13.20%
Popul	m 2011-12 county Contrib	597.24	319.18	438.46	382.77	434,41
	% H/c 2010-11 County Contribution	503.64	279.77	405.77	345.83	383,75
	% +/- 20	18.70%	18.70%	8.00%	10.70%	13.80%
	2011-12 Premium	625.37	250.15	461.53	402 91	434,99
	2010-11 Premium	526.85	210.74	:	74/100	382.24
20 HE2012 TEN COUNTY SURVEY	3. Orange, County: Medical Plants	Downiad Malluise DDO	rielliefe Welwisch i O	Premiere Sharewell PPU	The state of the s	Kaiser HMO SUFFICE Average

	The second secon	.110
Wellwise PPO	\$300\\$600	\$500/\$1,000
Deductible	90/10	70/30
Physicians beindes	90/10	20/30
Emergency round	20% / 25% / 30%	20% / 25% / 30%
NA Liberital	90/10	70/30
nospiral Sharowell PBO	""	Out
	\$5,000 Per Family	\$5,000 Per Family
Jeducina Canadas	90/10	80/20
riysiciatis del vices	90/10	80/20
	. 50%	20%
X 50:	90/10	07/08
Hospital	OWH	
Cigna	None in the second of the seco	
Doversions Services	\$15 Copay	ener value and the statement and the statement of the sta
Ervardency Room	\$50 Copay	The second section of the second section
	\$10/\$20/\$40	The second second section and the second section of the second second second section s
The smallest factors and the state of the st	\$100 Per Admit	
Hospital Kaiser	HMO	
nations against a season and a s Doctrothle	None	Company and the Company and the Company and Company an
Douglejans Services	\$15 Copay	tion units management was to a same distributed to company to the contract of the company of the
Emergany Room	\$50 Copay	THE CAMPBELL COLUMN TO THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
Ellistens to the second to the	\$10/\$20	The state of the s
TAX.	\$100 Per Admit	per again about a comme principle, rate of administration of the photospheric form of the personal engine for the comment of the personal engine for the personal engine engine for the personal engine for the personal engine

INNERBACT

Health Service System

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

¹ New Plan in 2011-12 to replace the Blue Shield HMO which was discontinued.

² New Plan in 2011-12 to replace the Exclusive Care Select which was discontinued.

FANC TO SOLT

20.00	
97.	
120	
1000	
200 Miles	
S 1950	
N LUMB	
100	
10.5	
Y DOM	
10.57	
MANUE	
9533	
TAIN.	
LAN.	
MARCH 518	
100	
20.00	
10000	
-	
2.42	
A122	
/ SURVE	
رجري	
MAP S	
P. (7)	
1 - U	
200	
7.0	
. iza	
IN	
III	
UM	
SOUTH IN	
OUN	
SOUTH	
GOUINE	
RECOUNT	
TICECULINI	
NICOUNT	
TAIRCOUNT	
INICOUNT	
HENIGOUDAL	
HENROOUNI	
INTERNICOLOUM	
INIUO SINE	
IN WOOD NEED IN	
INIUOONINEURIS	
INTO STATE OF THE	
INDAMENTAL	
INDICATION	
ZONZANIENICOUNI	
MUNDALIENI COUNT	
INDOMESTICATION	
PAON PANTENICOUNT	
NEWSON PARTIES OF THE COUNTY	
IN PAGINZANTANI (COUNT	
TINESON PARTENTOCOUNT	
THE PART OF PARTIES AND SOUTH	
ON NEWSON PARTITION OF THE	
VOITINE SZOTI ZATITÁNI COUNT	

Services \$155/\$30 Copay \$500/\$1,000 \$ Services \$150/\$26/\$50 \$20 Copay 80%. After Ded 80 Room \$100/\$26/\$50 \$65/\$15/\$45 60 Mone \$15 Copay \$15 Copay 60 \$100 Copay \$100 Copay \$100 Copay 80 \$100 Copay \$100 Copay \$100 Copay \$100 Copay \$100 Copay \$100 Copay \$100 Copay \$100 Copay		WH	PPO-In	PPO - Out
colored claims \$15/\$30 Copay \$20 Copay claims \$100 Copay \$0.25/\$45 genery \$100 Copay \$0.25/\$45 that \$100 Copay \$0.25/\$45 that None \$0.20 After Ded ctible \$15 Copay \$15 Copay gency \$100 Copay \$100 Copay gency \$100 Copay \$100 Copay that \$100 Copay \$100 Copay gency \$0.000 \$100 Copay state \$5 Copay \$5 Copay gency \$5 Copay \$5 Copay gency \$0.000 \$0.000 gency \$0.000 \$0.000	HealthNet Dodundible	None	\$500/\$1,000	\$500/\$1,000
### \$100 Copay \$100 KATEN Ded \$5/\$15/\$45 \$5/\$15/\$45 \$100 Katen Ded \$100,\$22,\$50 \$100,\$22,\$50 \$100,\$22,\$60 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$	Dividicians Confices	\$15/\$30 Copay	\$20 Copay	60% Aft Ded
## \$100.00pay \$5/\$15/\$45 ### ### ### ### ### #### #### ########	Empression Doom	\$100 Copav	80% After Ded	80% After Ded
tal		\$10/\$25/\$50	\$5/\$15/\$45	\$5/\$15/\$45
ctible cians Services gency Room ital sive Care icians Services gency Room \$10	TX Turnital	\$100 Copay	80/20 After Ded	60/40 After Ded
ctible cians Services gency Room ital sive Care cians Services gency Room \$10	rospiral Vicos	HMO		
cians Services gency Room ital silve Care ictible icians Services gency Room \$10	Detictible	None Note to the state of the s		
gency Room sive Care citible cians Services gency Room \$10	Physicians Services	\$15 Copay		
ital silve Care citible cicians Services gency Room \$10	Emergency Room	\$50 Copay		
ital Istive Care Inctible Icians Services gency Room \$10	BX STATE OF THE ST	\$10/\$25		
are Services Room \$10	Hospital	\$100 Copay		
Services Room	Exclusive Care	EPO		
Services Room	© Deductible	None		The second secon
cy Room	Physicians Services	\$5 Copay		
	Fmergency Room	\$100/\$250 Copay		The state of the s
	RX	\$5/\$15/\$25		
	Hospital	No Charge		The second secon

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 2011-2012 TEN COUNTY SURVEY

ion: 2,078,149	-/+ % ⊐/uoi	-1.60%	2.30%	-1.60%	%09'0	
Popula	2011-12 County Contribu	405.22	388.67	405.22	399.70	
	0-11 County Contribution	411.67	369.20	411.67	8.40% 397.51	
	% +/- 201	7.30%	8.80%	8.90%	8,40%	
	2011-12 Premium	451.90	401.77	812.11	555.26	
	2010:11 Premium	421.27	:	778.07		
Principle County	Sali selidanijo ovany: . Tr. isi	Medical Flans		Joen Access rimo	Health Net PPO - Health net PPO - The control of	Average

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

335	27.77.6	919	400	~	ē.	
	20	16	1		3	
9		- 20	쮚	, C	ą.	
30	353.7	. Ka	25	- 9	à	559.28
	1570	187	***	· 1	8	N
	1800	. 1		92.	Š	a.
21	16	1	300	· (N	7	×
20	C 40	、翻	AL.	-	ě.	**
***	250	. [2]		Term		
100	Sist.	So	397	12	d.	
	14.	· (M	15.0	-	10	
緣	1725	82	26	0	į.	
2	33	14	0.5		()	
13.	300	9	4.	157.1	3	
	925	- 10		153	7	
1	300	I.	15.	ca.	3	
591	337	- 2		奖	3	
	3380	58	93	0	5	
87	323	- 13	4	18(2)	0	
4	\$20 G	₹ M	Se.	VAL.	И.	
11	200	10	30	8	Z.	
7	0.500	M	100	ી-	X	
900	1.5	D	8	1	4	
ČÝ.	200	H	33	(i)	3	
100	15	. (2)	eur.	à		
30	300		336	160	3	
13.	30.0	1	XC.	47	9	523,06
886	Meg.	- 10	300	Δ	4	$\tilde{}$
	250	- 10		1	3	
	255	`.Je	1.	120	×.	ന
	Car	, N	200	1	냭	\sim
***	48	. 概		cir	3	ıΩ
187	1	. 14	480	31	75	
8	23.0	- 10	繎	33.	1	
	355	` N	ЩΣ		×	
	20.0	. 6		1	Ϋ́	
	\$ 1	. 13	湖	3		
248	195	. 1	28	4		
	37	1		4	16	
50.	120	N	-24	37.	35	
$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$	20.1	, p	(i)	21	4	
30.	300		1	1.77	11.	
	2.5	Ф.	100	p it.	33	
	S.,	ିଲ	œ.	533	1	
	13.	₩	STORY.	165		
	53.00	6.6	co.	200	Ä.	
350	.1		Ξ	100	24	
in.	30.7		85.	.35.	100	
80		. 8	働	3.2		
24	32.7	. 8	GX.	1.0	3.4	
ρŦΘ	-52.3		蜒	94	1	
	200	8.8		33.	4	
-		1	136	14	ng,	
	300		20	130	1	
	\$500	. 8	徽	1 100		
7	200	, I	28	127	33	
1	1800	. 8	Æ.	1.1	1.	
200	200	2.6	93	8.		
œ.	10.7	1.	100	188	1	
-	4	. 1	83.	30	ΙŠ	
	3.5	8.8	30	数.	12	
anne i	1.					
		- 16	MIN.	B 500 i	Жi	
=	340			ä,	3	
3			2			
Ē						
		100			100 mm	
					1000000	
					STATE OF THE STATE OF	
					の行動を表	
		100 MARKET			经过程的	
		の対象を発音の対象			STATE OF THE STATE OF	
					2	
		の対象を発出される。			2 2	c
		が対象を表現の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の対象の				Ç
		の対域を発生が対象の		1.	<u> </u>	IMO
		の対域を発出が分割のでき			Tails	E CM
Potonio ani ani (e)		の対象が最近の対象の対象の			d d d	L L
		など、地方を設立している。これでは、			Gal rigils	a HMO
		などが大ななとうない。			Olcal Figure	L HWO
				- IU.I - ::	eolcal Fialls	Jisar HMO
					Wedical Figure	Asiser HMO
		のでは大きなのでは、 できない ないのう ない			Wedical Figure	Kaiser HMO
No in the Zon in Zon in Social		のでは、	STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		Medical Figure	Kaiser HMO
NO DE LA COMPANION DE LA COMPA					Wedical Figure	Kaiser HMO

6.90% 9.00% **7.80%**

559.28 559.28 849.36 **655.97**

523.06 523.06 779.22 **608.44**

> 9.00% **7.80%**

559.28 849.36 **655.97**

> 779.22 **608.44**

523.06

Valley Health HMO Health Net POS

Average

6.90%

%06.9

%+/f- 2010:11 County Contribution 2011-12 County Contribution %+/f-

lis santa diara County alleureu Plan Design Summent. Kaiser	None	\$5 Copay	S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	No Charge	None				HMO		OUTO CA	\$55 Coped \$5/\$10/\$20	00/106
G. Santa Olara Gounty, Medical Kaiser	Deductible	Physicians Services	Emergency Room		Valley Health	Physicians Services	Emergency Room		Health Net POS	Deductible	Physicians Services	Emergency Room	

IANHARY 13 2011

PAGE 13

509

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

T. Mamoria Commi.					Population: 1,574,857	1,57/4,857
(A)	2010-11 Premium	2011-12 Premium	% 4/- 2010-1	2010-11 County Confribition 2011-12 County Contribution	1-12 County Contribution	-/+ %
) (\$5)	683.82	750.58	808.6	550.34	573.56	4.20%
Kaiser HMO (\$5)	550.34	573.56	4.20%	550.34	573.56	4.20%
Blue Cross HMO (\$5) 1	706.44	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	550.34	•	
Pacificare PPO (\$5)	1,609.92	1,867.50	16.00%	550.34	573.56	4.20%
Pacificare HMO (\$15)	623.42	89.669	12.20%	503.56	524.82	4.20%
Kaiser HMO (\$15)	503.56	524.82	4.20%	503.56	524.82	4.20%
Rhe Cross HMO (\$15) 1	643.94	•	•	503.56		1
Dayficare PPO (\$15)	1.609.92	1,867.50	16.00%	503.56	524.82	4.20%
Dovincere HMO (418)	623.42	699.68	12.20%	561.08	629.72	12.20%
Facilitate Line (415) Kaisar HMO (415)	501.56	524.82	4.60%	453.20	472.34	4.20%
Blue Cross HMO (\$15)1	643.94	•		579.56	•	
Pacificare PPO (\$15)	1,609.92	1,867.50	16.00%	453.20	472.34	4.20%
Average	859.18	1,041.74	21.20%	521.89	541.06	3.70%
1 The Blue Cross HMO was discontinued in 2011-2012.						**************************************

	24	Physicians Services		& X	CIUS						
HM0:-\$5	None	\$5 Copay	\$35 Copay	\$5/\$10/\$35	No Charge	HMO-\$5	None	\$5 Copay	\$5 Copay	\$5/\$5	No Charge
SIS-OMH	None	\$15 Copay	\$50 Copay	\$10/\$25/\$35	No Charge	HM0-\$15	None	\$15 Copay	\$50 Copay	\$15/\$15	No Charge
	The state of the s							And the second s	A CAMPAGNA CONTRACTOR OF THE CAMPAGNA CONTRACTOR CONTRA		

ZODREZODZ TEN GOUNN SURVEK

Spermento contro					Population	N PANSKOTI
Medical Plans Blue Shield HMO 15	2010-11 Premium 744.12	2011-12 Premium 853.26	% +/- 201 14.70%	0-11 County Contribution 20 744.12	011-1 2 county contribution 826.90	%+/- 11.10%
Health Net HMO 15	560.04	643.02	14.80%	560.04	643.02	14.80%
Kaiser HMO 15	498.90	561.54	12.60%	498,90	561.54	12.60%
Blue Shield HDHP PPO	610.62	715.82	17.20%	610.62	715.82	17.20%
Kaiser HDHP HMO	393.08	442.62	12.60%	393.08	442.62	12.60%
energy recent control and control of the control of	561.35	643.25	14,60%	561.35	637.98	13.70%

Blue Shield HWO	None	\$15 Copay	\$50 Copay	\$10/\$20/\$35	No Charge	ΘWH:	None	\$15 Copay	\$35 Copay	\$10/\$20/\$35	No Charge	OWH Comments of the Comments o	None	\$15 Copay	\$35 Copay	\$10/\$20	No Charde
HDHP: PPO: In	\$1,500\\$3,000	80/20	80/20	\$10/\$25/\$40	80/20							HOHP-HWO AND	\$1,500/\$3,000	No Charge After Ded	No Charge After Ded	No Charge After Ded	No Charge Affer Ded
HBHB - PPO - Out:	\$1,500/\$3,000	, 60/40	80/20	\$10/\$25/\$40 + 25%	60/40						SOUTHER TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SE						•

JANUARY 13, 2011

100
0.00
,
V. 1
100
-
C - D
C-01
Fa.ad
97.7
i.
44
OUNTY
OUNT
OUNT
COUNT
COUNTY
V COUNTY
N COUNTY
N COUNTY
EN COUNTY
TEN COUNTY
TEN COUNTY
TEN COUNTY
TEN COUNTY
2 TEN COUNT
I2 TEN COUNT)
12 TEN COUNT
TIN COUNT
012 TEN COUNT
2012 TEN COUNT
2012 TEN COUNT
2012 TEN COUNT
I-2012 TEN COUNTN
1-2012 TEN COUNTY
II-2012 TEN COUNT
ILL 2012 TEN COUNT
OLI-2012 TEN COUNTY
011-2012 TEN COUNTY SURVEY

					Desiration	KENEGATA
9. Contra Costa County:			13 6		1 ates 20 1 12 Califfer Containing	
Medical Plans	2010:11 Premium	2011-12 Premium	TT:0107 :/+%	County Com	FOO OA	6.20%
CCHD plan A	536.75	558.22	4.00%	489.18	16.620	2/24:5
	592.15	618.80	4.50%	515.17	541.21	5.10%
	78171	814.85	4.20%	611.22	616.62	0.90%
Health Net HWO	78171	814.85	4.20%	611.22	616.62	%06.0
Health Net EPU	0.15.7	1.067.02	12.80%	544.25	581.61	6.90%
Helath Net PPO	27.07.Z	638.41	11.50%	444.39	480.55	8.10%
Kaiser HMO	277.22	64033	11.30%	463.33	495.02	6.80%
Blue Shield HMO - PERS	00.110	00.032	700%	463.33	495.02	6.80%
CCHP Plan A Alternate - PERS	633,94	ncreco ;	2006	20000	AOK OO	6 80%
Kaiser HMO - PERS	532.56	546.75	2./0%	463,33	70.00	26000
DEDC Orb	868,17	862.73	%09:0-	463.33	495.02	6.8U%
TENO COIG	508 74	543.72	6.90%	463.33	495.02	6.80%
PERS Choice	OU VOV	527.00	8.90%	463,33	495.02	6.80%
PORAC - PERS	474.00	475.48	0.10%	463,33	474.93	2.50%
PERS Select	4.4.4.5.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	56134	12.20%	463,33	495.02	6.80%
Blue Shield HMO NetValue - PERS	CC'OOC		A COLUMN TO SERVICE AND A COLU	ADRIAN CONTRACTOR AND	521.90	5.40%
Average	627.93	666.49	6.10%	1991		

40.3	Plan A	Plan B		
Deductible	None	None		the second contract of
Physicians Services	No Charge	\$5 Copay		
Emergency Room	No Charge	\$20 Copay		The state of the s
RX	No Charge	\$3 Per Rx		
Hospital	No Charge	No Charge		
Health Net	PMO	EPO	PPO FINANCES	PPO - Out
Control of the contro	None	None	\$250/\$750	\$250/\$750
Physicians Services	\$10 Copay	\$10 Copay	90/10	70/30
Emergency Room	\$25 Copay	\$25 Copay	90/10 Aft \$50 Copay	90/10 Aff \$50 Copay
X	\$10/\$20/\$35	\$10/\$20/\$35	\$5/\$5	\$5/\$5
Hospital	No Charge	No Charge	90/10	70/30
Kaiser	HMO			
Deductible	None			
Physicians Services	\$10 Copay			
Emergency Room	\$10 Copay			
7 ×	\$10/\$20			
Hospital	No Charge	And the second s		emperatura de la constitución de
The second secon				

2.4
100
Land

ومصو
Sec. (I
He e i
Deriver of
444
1 200
il soul
300
25
ومصور
кол
<i>a</i>
State of the
4
and the same
8
1500
68° 41
BLA.
20
Mars 8
147
疏过
011-2012 TEN COUNTY SURVRY

Medical Plans Kaiser \$15 HMO Blue Cross HMO	2010	2011-12 Premium %+/- 2010:11 County Contribution 731.45 1.60% 450.80 576.51 16.70% 450.30 700.52 7 50% 450.30	% 4/2 2010. 1.60% 16.70% 7 59%	11:County Contribution 450.30 450.30	n 2011: 12 County Contribution 450.80 450.80 450.80	%#/4 0.00% 0.10% 0.10%
Blue Cross PPO	742.04 423.65	465.68	9.92%	450.30	450.80	0.10%
bille Cross Furro	594.90	642.99	8.08%	450.43	450.80	0.10%

Blue Cross \$3,000/\$6,000 Deductible \$0 Copay	No Charge HDPP0: In \$3,000/\$6,000 \$0.000\$4 After Ded \$0.000\$4 After Ded
Emergency Room \$0 Copay Atter Ded \$0 Copay Rx	
The same of the sa	

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

		5
а	٠.	ė
R	۳.	
2	۳	
200	Ë	
200	Ë	
200	Ě	
200		
200		
200		
200		
200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY.		
THE PERSON NAMED IN		
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1		
THE COURSE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		
THE WHITE STATE OF THE PARTY OF		
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN		
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1		
THE REAL PROPERTY.		
THE STREET STREET		
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO		
THE REAL PROPERTY.		
THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND		
THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND		
THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND		
THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PER		
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		
THE COURSE OF THE PARTY OF THE		
ATTENDED TO STATE OF THE PARTY		
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.		
THE ROOM OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		
THE COURSE OF STREET		
THE WHITE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY		
THE WHITE STREET, STRE		

Chiropractic	Acupuncture	Infertility Treatment	Rx - Mail Order	Rx - Retail	Urgent Care	Office Visits	Ambulance Services	Emergency Room	Hospital (Inpatient)	Annual Deductible	ह्यातिक है।
Not covered	Not covered	50%	\$5/\$15	\$5/\$15	\$15 Copay	\$15 Copay	No charge	\$50 Copay Waived If Admitted	No charge	N/A	Kaiser HMÖ
Not covered	Not covered	50%	\$10/\$25/\$75	\$5/\$15/\$45	\$15 Copay	\$15 Copay	No charge	\$50 Copay Waived If Admitted	No charge	N/A	Blue Shield Access+HMO
Not covered	Not covered	50%	\$10/\$25/\$75	\$5/\$15/\$45	\$15 Copay	\$15 Copay	No charge	\$50 Copay Waived If Admitted	No charge	N/A	Blue Shield NetVālue HMO
80/20-ln 60/40-0ut Limit 15 Visits/Yr*	80/20-In 60/40-Out Limit 15 Visits/Yr*	Not Covered	\$10/\$25/\$75	\$5/\$15/\$45	\$20 Copay-In 60/40-Out	\$20 Copay-In 60/40-Out	80/20	80/20 \$50 Deductible	80/20-In 60/40-Out	\$500/\$1,000	PERS Select PPO
80/20-In 60/40-0ut Limit 15 Visits/Yr*	80/20-ln 60/40-Out Limit 15 Visits/Yr*	Not Covered	\$10/\$25/\$75	\$5/\$15/\$45	\$20 Copay-In 60/40-Out	\$20 Copay-In 60/40-Out	80/20	80/20 \$50 Deductible	80/20-In 60/40-Out	\$500/\$1,000	PERS Choice PPO
90/10-in 60/40-0ut Limit 20 Visits/Yr*	90/10-In 60/40-Out Limit 20 Visits/Yr*	Not Covered	\$10/\$25/\$75	\$5/\$15/\$45	\$20 Copay-In 60/40-Out	\$20 Copay-In 60/40-Out	80/20	90/10 \$50 Deductible	90/10-In 60/40-Out Deductible \$250	\$500/\$1,000	PERS Care PPO

^{*}Combined benefit for acupuncture and chiropractic.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I perform the functions of the Secretary of the Health Service Board, and that the above Resolution was duly adopted and approved by the Health Service Board at a properly noticed meeting on January 13, 2011.

Laini K. Scott