File No.	230231_	Committee Item No.	
		Board Item No. 33	

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _ Board of Sup	ervisors Meeting	Date: Date:	March 7, 2023
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analys Youth Commission Report ntroduction Form Department/Agency Cover Let MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		
OTHER			
	Senate Bill No. 593 02/15/23 CSAC/LCC Confirmation Emai	I 03/01/2	3
Prepared by: Prepared by:	Arthur Khoo	Date: Date:	March 2, 2023

1	[Supporting California Senate Bill No. 593 (Wiener) and Urging State Action to Increase Funding for Affordable Replacement Housing in San Francisco]
2	r unumg for / morador replacement riodoling in Garri randices]
3	Resolution supporting California Senate Bill No. 593, introduced by State Senator Scott
4	Wiener, to authorize additional tax increment funding for affordable replacement
5	housing in the City and County of San Francisco.
6	
7	WHEREAS, on February 15, 2023, State Senator Scott Wiener introduced Senate Bill
8	No. 593 which would authorize, subject to local and state review, the Successor Agency to the
9	Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco (commonly known as the
10	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure or "OCII") to use a portion of
11	redevelopment tax increment revenues to finance the construction of 5,842 affordable
12	dwelling units in San Francisco; and
13	WHEREAS, Senate Bill No. 593's authorization of tax increment financing would be a
14	valuable tool to help meet the daunting affordable housing production goals set forth in San
15	Francisco's recently passed, State-approved, Housing Element as it would provide significant
16	amounts of affordable housing construction funding in the near term secured by property tax
17	revenues; and
18	WHEREAS, The Housing Element states that San Francisco has an unmet housing
19	need at every income level and mandates the creation of more than 82,000 units within the
20	City with approximately 46,000 of these units are targeted to extremely low- and moderate-
21	income households. To meet these affordability targets will require a substantial increase in
22	public funding to cover the gap between the cost of development and operations and the
23	reduced revenue due to lower rents and prices.
24	

1	WHEREAS, The Housing Element Objective 4.A at 47, estimates that meeting these
2	housing goals will require a range of additional investments for affordable housing from
3	between \$1.3 billion in 2023 and \$2.5 Billion in 2031; and
4	WHEREAS, On February 1, 2023, Governor Newsom trumpeted the California
5	Department of Housing and Community Development ("HCD") certification of San Francisco's
6	Housing Element 2022 Update and stated, that this "demonstrates our commitment to tackling
7	this housing crisis head-on by providing unprecedented funding and resources."
8	WHEREAS, On February 1, 2023, Governor Newsom announced that the California
9	Department of Housing and Community Development ("HCD") had certified San Francisco's
10	Housing Element 2022 Update and stated, among other things, that this "announcement
11	demonstrates our commitment to tackling this housing crisis head-on by providing
12	unprecedented funding and resources." Office of Governor Newsom, Governor Newsom
13	Announces Certification of San Francisco's Plan for 82,000 Homes in the Next Eight Years;
14	and
15	WHEREAS, Under Senate Bill No. 593, to facilitate prudent financial decision-making,
16	the amount and timing of OCII's financing of particular affordable housing projects would
17	occur over several years and would require approvals by the Successor Agency Commission,
18	its Oversight Board, the Board of Supervisors, and the California Department of Finance; and
19	WHEREAS, The Housing Element acknowledges that prior land use decisions and
20	other government actions in San Francisco, including federally-funded urban renewal
21	programs in the 1960s and 1970s carried out by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency
22	("Redevelopment Agency"), contributed to the current housing crisis, widespread
23	displacement of low-income households and the inequitable availability of housing for
24	American Indian, Black and other people of color; and

1	WHEREAS, Prior to 1976, state law did not require redevelopment agencies to replace
2	affordable housing units destroyed or removed by redevelopment action. In 1975, the state
3	legislature adopted Chapter 970, Statutes of 1975, to obligate redevelopment agencies to
4	replace destroyed units with an equal number of units at affordable cost. Chapter 970 became
5	effective on January 1, 1976; and
6	WHEREAS, Prior to 1976, the Redevelopment Agency destroyed 14,207 units of
7	affordable housing and replaced only 7,498 of those units at affordable cost, leaving a
8	shortfall of 6,709 affordable units; and
9	WHEREAS, In the year 2000, the Redevelopment Agency sought and received state
10	legislative authority to continue funding for the development of affordable housing that would
11	replace those units destroyed and not replaced prior to 1976. Senate Bill No. 2113 (Burton)
12	(Statutes 2000, chapter 661) ("SB 2113"). SB 2113 required, among other things, that HCD
13	review and confirm the Redevelopment Agency's pre-1976 destruction of affordable dwelling
14	units; and
15	WHEREAS, In 2003, HCD certified that the Redevelopment Agency had a net loss of
16	6,709 affordable units that the Agency must replace (the "Replacement Housing Obligation");
17	and
18	WHEREAS, From 2005-2009, the Board of Supervisors adopted a series of ordinances
19	to implement SB 2113 and authorize the Redevelopment Agency to continue receiving
20	redevelopment tax increment for the Replacement Housing Obligation. (Ordinance No. 15-05
21	(Jan. 21, 2005); No. 115-07 (May 18, 2007); No. 316-08 (Dec. 19, 2008); and No. 256-09
22	(Dec. 18, 2009)); and
23	WHEREAS, On February 1, 2012, the State of California dissolved statewide all
24	redevelopment agencies and transferred certain obligations and rights to successor agencies,
25	including OCII; and

1	WHEREAS, At the time of its dissolution, the Redevelopment Agency had an
2	outstanding balance of 5,842 affordable units that needed to be funded and developed under
3	its Redevelopment Housing Obligation; and
4	WHEREAS, OCII and the City have persistently sought state approval through
5	administrative and legislative actions to fund the Replacement Housing Obligation, but to date
6	have not been unsuccessful; and
7	WHEREAS, The California Department of Finance has not approved replacement
8	housing as an enforceable obligation under Redevelopment Dissolution Law and Governor
9	Brown vetoed legislation that would have provided the redevelopment financing authority.
10	(Governor Brown, Veto of Senate Bill No.1404 (Leno) (Sep. 29, 2014)); now, therefore, be it
11	RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors strongly urges the
12	California Legislature to approve Senate Bill No. 593 and authorize the Successor Agency to
13	the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco to use tax increment
14	financing to fulfill the Replacement Housing Obligation; and, be it
15	FURTER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors strongly urges
16	Governor Gavin Newsom to support Senate Bill No. 593 and sign it into law upon its passage;
17	and, be it
18	FURTHER RESOLVED, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors requests the Clerk of
19	the Board to submit a copy of this Resolution to Governor Newsom, Senator Wiener,
20	Assemblymembers Phil Ting and Matt Haney.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### **Introduced by Senator Wiener**

(Coauthor: Assembly Member Haney)

February 15, 2023

An act to amend Section 34177.7 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to housing.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 593, as introduced, Wiener. Redevelopment: successor agency debt: City and County of San Francisco.

Existing law dissolved redevelopment agencies and community development agencies as of February 1, 2012, and provides for the designation of successor agencies to, among other things, wind down the affairs of the dissolved redevelopment agencies and make payments due for enforceable obligations. Existing law, among other powers granted to successor agencies generally, additionally vests the successor agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco with the authority, rights, and powers of that former redevelopment agency solely for the purpose of issuing bonds or incurring other indebtedness, subject to the approval of the oversight board of the successor agency, to finance the construction of affordable housing and infrastructure required by specified development agreements. Under existing law, these bonds and indebtedness are considered indebtedness incurred by the dissolved redevelopment agency secured by moneys deposited in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund established for that agency.

This bill would expand this authority to include bonds issued and other indebtedness incurred to finance the replacement of certain demolished affordable housing units, subject to specified conditions, including that the assisted units remain affordable to, and occupied by,

 $SB 593 \qquad \qquad -2-$ 

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

persons and families of low- and moderate-income and extremely low and very low income households for at least 55 years for rental units and 45 years for owner-occupied units.

This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the City and County of San Francisco.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the 2 following:
- 3 (a) Under the authority granted by the Legislature in Senate Bill 4 No. 2113 (Chapter 661 of the Statutes of 2000) and Senate Bill
- 5 No. 701 (Section 3 of Chapter 782 of the Statutes of 2002), the
- 6 former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San
- 7 Francisco (hereafter "former redevelopment agency") sought, prior
- 8 to its dissolution, to redress the demolition of a substantial number
- 9 of residential dwelling units affordable to very low, low-, and
- moderate-income households during the agency's earlier urban renewal efforts.
  - (b) In 2003, the Department of Housing and Community Development determined that the former redevelopment agency had an unmet affordable housing replacement need resulting from its demolition of 14,207 units and replacement of only 7,498 units in pre-1976 project areas. The department certified "a net loss of 6,709 units the Agency must replace."
  - (c) In authorizing the former redevelopment agency's replacement of units, the Legislature found that San Francisco's early redevelopment activities, including the removal of previously existing dwelling units serving a lower income population, compounded the effects of the private market that have led to the city's housing crisis (Section 1 of Chapter 661 of the Statutes of 2000).
  - (d) Prior to its dissolution, the former redevelopment agency exceeded the housing production goals of the Community Redevelopment Law (Part 1 (commencing with Section 33000) of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code) and developed over 12,000 units of affordable housing. Nonetheless, it was only able to approve and develop 867 replacement units out of the total 6,709

-3— SB 593

units that the Department of Housing and Community Development had certified. When the Legislature dissolved redevelopment agencies by enactment of Chapter 5 of the First Extraordinary Session of the Statutes of 2011, the former redevelopment agency had an outstanding balance of 5,842 affordable units that needed to be replaced.

- (e) After dissolution of the former redevelopment agency, the Oversight Board for the City and County of San Francisco confirmed, by Resolution No. 5-2012 (April 10, 2012), the unfulfilled replacement housing obligations of the former redevelopment agency and approved the successor agency's expenditures of funds to fulfill those obligations, but the Department of Finance disapproved those expenditures on the grounds they were inconsistent with the wind down of redevelopment agencies.
- (f) In 2015, the Legislature authorized the successor agency to the former redevelopment agency to issue bonds and incur other indebtedness secured by the property tax revenues available in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund to finance certain enforceable obligations that the Department of Finance had approved, but this legislation did not include authorization for funding of the replacement housing obligation (Sections 9 and 27 of Chapter 325 of the Statutes of 2015).
- (g) San Francisco faces a continuing shortage of affordable housing for low- and moderate-income households. The San Francisco Planning Department reports that "San Francisco and the Bay Area are currently in the midst of a housing affordability crisis unprecedented in their history. Increases in housing prices and displacement pressures have been a long-term trend, driven by policy decisions first established decades ago and amplified by regional and national economic trends. Over the last 5 years, the crisis has intensified as the region's high-wage employment base has grown while regional housing production has not kept pace" (San Francisco Housing Needs and Trends Report (July 2018)).
- (h) The San Francisco residential real estate market is one of the most expensive in the United States. In September 2022, RealtyHop reported that the median-priced home in San Francisco was \$1,388,500. A household earning the city's median household income of \$126,117 would have to spend almost 67% of its yearly income to afford the median priced home. While the national home

SB 593 —4—

ownership rate is approximately 64 percent, only approximately 36 percent of San Franciscans own their own home. The majority of market-rate homes for sale in San Francisco are priced out of the reach of low- and moderate-income households. In 2022, the average rent was \$3,340, which is affordable to households earning over \$133,600. The Black population of San Francisco, with a median household income of less than \$35,000 has little chance of being able to purchase or rent a home in the city. These factors contribute to a heavy demand for affordable housing in the city that the private market cannot meet.

- (i) Some of the 7,498 affordable units constructed to replace the units removed as a result of urban renewal are no longer available at affordable housing cost to low- and moderate- income households because the income restrictions have expired. In addition, some of these units are in need of substantial rehabilitation or replacement if they are to continue to provide decent and safe affordable housing and address the housing needs of those displaced by urban renewal. For example, Freedom West Homes is a 382 unit housing cooperative constructed in 1974 to replace a portion of the housing units removed from the Western Addition Redevelopment Project Area. It suffers from deterioration and its affordability restrictions have expired.
- (j) The removal of units as a result of urban renewal contributed to the significant decline in the Black population in San Francisco from a high of 13% of the population prior to the urban renewal project to the current 5.3%. The continued lack of affordable housing in the city further exacerbates this decline.
- (k) San Francisco's housing situation is unique, in that median rents and sales prices are among the highest in the United States even though it has committed a significant amount of local funds to assist affordable housing development. A special law is necessary and a general law cannot be made applicable within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV of the California Constitution because of the unique circumstances relating to the replacement of affordable housing demolished by the former redevelopment agency.
- (*l*) It is the intent of the Legislature to authorize, subject to compliance with redevelopment dissolution law (Part 1.8 (commencing with Section 34161) and Part 1.85 (commencing with Section 34170) of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code),

\_5\_ SB 593

the successor agency to the former redevelopment agency to issue bonds or incur other indebtedness for the purpose of financing the construction of replacement affordable housing units demolished and not replaced by the former redevelopment agency and the replacement of the obsolete replacement units that were constructed previously. The procedures and standards in the redevelopment dissolution law, as amended, will govern the issuance of bonds or incurrence of other indebtedness by the successor agency and will supersede those provisions in Chapter 661 of the Statutes of 2000 requiring approval of the Department of Housing and Community Development. These bonds or other indebtedness may be secured by property tax revenues available in the successor agency's Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund from those project areas that generated tax increments for the former redevelopment agency upon its dissolution, if the revenues are not otherwise obligated.

(m) It is further the intent of the Legislature that the successor agency to the former redevelopment agency, to the greatest extent feasible, replace the amount of lost units, as previously certified by the Department of Housing and Community Development, according to the formulas in Section 33413 of the Health and Safety Code.

- SEC. 2. Section 34177.7 of the Health and Safety Code is amended to read:
- 34177.7. (a) (1) In addition to the powers granted to each successor agency, and notwithstanding anything in the act adding this part, including, but not limited to, Sections 34162 and 34189, the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco shall have the authority, rights, and powers of the Redevelopment Agency to which it succeeded solely for the purpose of issuing bonds or incurring other indebtedness to finance:
- (A) The affordable housing required by the Mission Bay North Owner Participation Agreement, the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement, the Disposition and Development Agreement for Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 1, the Candlestick Point-Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 2 Disposition and Development Agreement, and the Transbay Implementation Agreement.
- Agreement.
  (B) The infrastructure required by the Transbay Implementation
  Agreement.

**SB 593** -6-

1 (C) The replacement of the remaining 5,842 units of demolished 2 affordable housing that were initially included in the total 3 replacement housing obligation described in Chapter 661 of the 4 Statutes of 2000 and subsequently certified by the Department of 5 Housing and Community Development. To satisfy this replacement housing obligation and reduce the outstanding balance of units to 6 7 be replaced, the successor agency may assist housing projects 8 previously assisted by the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco but with expired, or threatened expiration of, affordability restrictions, provided that any successor agency 10 assistance shall be conditioned on the requirement that the assisted 11 units remain affordable to, and occupied by, persons and families 12 of low- and moderate-income and extremely low and very low 13 14 income households for the longest feasible time, but not less than 15 55 years for rental units and 45 years for owner-occupied units. 16

(2) The

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- (2) (A) For purposes of financing the obligations described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1), the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco may pledge to the bonds or other indebtedness the property tax revenues available in the successor agency's Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund that are not otherwise obligated.
- (B) For purposes of financing the replacement housing obligation described in subparagraph (C) of paragraph (1), the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco may pledge to the bonds or other indebtedness the property tax revenues that remain available for distribution to the City and County of San Francisco, as a taxing entity, from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund, after all preexisting legal commitments and statutory obligations funded from that revenue, excluding the replacement housing obligation described in this section, are made pursuant to Part 1.85 (commencing with Section 34170) of Division 24. Property tax revenues allocated to the successor agency pursuant to this subparagraph for the replacement housing obligation shall not include any moneys that, notwithstanding the replacement housing obligation described in this section, are payable to local agencies other than the City and County of San Francisco, school districts that maintain kindergarten and grades 1 to 12, inclusive,

\_7\_ SB 593

community college districts, or the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund, pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) of Section 34183.

1 2

- (b) Bonds issued pursuant to this section may be sold pursuant to either a negotiated or a competitive sale. The bonds issued or other indebtedness obligations incurred pursuant to this section may be issued or incurred on a parity basis with outstanding bonds or other indebtedness obligations of the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco and may pledge the revenues pledged to those outstanding bonds or other indebtedness obligations to the issuance of bonds or other obligations pursuant to this section. The pledge, when made in connection with the issuance of bonds or other indebtedness obligations under this section, shall have the same lien priority as the pledge of outstanding bonds or other indebtedness obligations, and shall be valid, binding, and enforceable in accordance with its terms.
- (c) (1) Prior to issuing any bonds or incurring other indebtedness pursuant to this section, the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco may subordinate to the bonds or other indebtedness the amount required to be paid to an affected taxing entity pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 34183, provided that the affected taxing entity has approved the subordinations pursuant to this subdivision.
- (2) At the time the agency requests an affected taxing entity to subordinate the amount to be paid to it, the agency shall provide the affected taxing entity with substantial evidence that sufficient funds will be available to pay both the debt service on the bonds or other indebtedness and the payments required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 34183, when due.
- (3) Within 45 days after receipt of the agency's request, the affected taxing entity shall approve or disapprove the request for subordination. An affected taxing entity may disapprove a request for subordination only if it finds, based upon substantial evidence, that the successor agency will not be able to pay the debt service payments and the amount required to be paid to the affected taxing entity. If the affected taxing entity does not act within 45 days after receipt of the agency's request, the request to subordinate shall be deemed approved and shall be final and conclusive.

SB 593 —8—

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

(d) An action may be brought pursuant to Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 860) of Title 10 of Part 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure to determine the validity of bonds or other obligations authorized by this section, the pledge of revenues to those bonds or other obligations authorized by this section, the legality and validity of all proceedings theretofore taken and, as provided in the resolution of the legislative body of the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco authorizing the bonds or other indebtedness obligations authorized by this section, proposed to be taken for the authorization, execution, issuance, sale, and delivery of the bonds or other obligations authorized by this section, and for the payment of debt service on the bonds or the payment of amounts under other obligations authorized by this section. Subdivision (c) of Section 33501 shall not apply to any such action. The department shall be notified of the filing of any action as an affected party.

- (e) Notwithstanding any other law, including, but not limited to, Section 33501, an action to challenge the issuance of bonds or the incurrence of indebtedness by the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco shall be brought within 30 days after the date on which the oversight board approves the resolution of the agency approving the issuance of bonds or the incurrence of indebtedness under this section.
- (f) The actions authorized in this section shall be subject to the approval of the oversight board, as provided in Section 34180. Additionally, the oversight board may direct the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco to commence any of the transactions described in subdivision (a) so long as the agency is able to recover its related costs in connection with the transaction. After the agency, with approval of the oversight board, issues any bonds or incurs any indebtedness pursuant to subdivision (a), the oversight board shall not unilaterally approve any amendments to or early termination of the bonds or indebtedness. If, under the authority granted to it by subdivision (h) of Section 34179, the department either reviews and approves or fails to request review within five business days of an oversight board approval of an action authorized by this section, the scheduled payments on the bonds or other indebtedness shall be listed in the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

-9- SB 593

and shall not be subject to further review and approval by the department or the Controller. The department may extend its review time to 60 days for actions authorized in this section and may seek the assistance of the Treasurer in evaluating proposed actions under this section.

- (g) Any bonds or other indebtedness authorized by this section shall be considered indebtedness incurred by the dissolved redevelopment agency, with the same legal effect as if the bonds or other indebtedness had been issued, incurred, or entered into prior to June 28, 2011, in full conformity with the applicable provisions of the Community Redevelopment Law that existed prior to that date, shall be included in the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco's Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule, and shall be secured by a pledge of, and lien on, and shall be repaid from moneys deposited from time to time in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund established pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 34172, as provided in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 34183. Property tax revenues pledged to any bonds or other indebtedness obligations authorized by this section are taxes allocated to the successor agency pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 33670 and Section 16 of Article XVI of the California Constitution.
- (h) The successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco shall make diligent efforts to ensure that the lowest long-term cost financing is obtained. The financing shall not provide for any bullets or spikes and shall not use variable rates. The agency shall make use of an independent financial advisor in developing financing proposals and shall make the work products of the financial advisor available to the department at its request.
- SEC. 3. The Legislature finds and declares that a special statute is necessary and that a general statute cannot be made applicable within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV of the California Constitution because of the unique circumstances relating to the replacement of affordable housing demolished by the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco, as described in Section 1 of this act.

 From:
 Hsu, Melody (BOS)

 To:
 BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: RE: PESKIN Resolution - Urging State Action to Increase Funding for Affordable Replacement Housing in San

Francisco

Date: Wednesday, March 1, 2023 2:05:53 PM

Attachments: 20230SB593 99.pdf

image001.png

Hi Jocelyn,

The changes are acceptable-thanks!

Confirming that organizations such as the California State Association of Counties, and League of California Cities, have not taken a position on these bills.

Please find SB 593 attached.

Thank you!

Melody Hsu | 徐美

Legislative Aide | 市參事助理

Office of Board President Aaron Peskin|市參事佩斯金辦公室

Office: 415-554-7450 Direct: 415-554-7419 melody.hsu@sfgov.org

Sign up to receive our newsletter here!

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, March 1, 2023 1:48 PM

**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <br/>
<br/>
| Sos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Hsu, Melody (BOS) <br/>
| Melody.hsu@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <a lisa.somera@sfgov.org>

Cc: Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** RE: PESKIN Resolution - Urging State Action to Increase Funding for Affordable Replacement

Housing in San Francisco

Hi Melody,

Also provide a PDF copy of SB 593 as well. Thank you!

### **Jocelyn Wong**

Legislative Clerk

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163

jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) < bos.legislation@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, March 1, 2023 1:47 PM

**To:** Hsu, Melody (BOS) < melody.hsu@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <br/><br/>bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <a href="mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org">alisa.somera@sfgov.org></a>

Cc: Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <<u>sunny.angulo@sfgov.org</u>>

Subject: RE: PESKIN Resolution - Urging State Action to Increase Funding for Affordable Replacement Housing in San Francisco

Hi Melody,

Please see attached for proof of edits made to the titles of the Resolution. Kindly review and confirm the edits are acceptable.

Per Board Rule 2.8.2, please confirm that organizations such as the California State Association of Counties, and League of California Cities, have not taken a position on these bills. If they have, please provide a copy of their statement for completeness of the file

Thank you,

#### **Jocelyn Wong**

Legislative Clerk San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163 jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org (VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Hsu, Melody (BOS) <melody.hsu@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2023 3:42 PM

**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) < bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)

<alisa.somera@sfgov.org>

Cc: Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <<u>sunny.angulo@sfgov.org</u>>

Subject: PESKIN Resolution - Urging State Action to Increase Funding for Affordable Replacement

Housing in San Francisco

Good afternoon -

Please find Supervisor Peskin's resolution [Urging State Action to Increase Funding for Affordable Replacement Housing in San Francisco] for introduction today, along with Introduction Form, attached.

This item is routine and non-contentious in nature, and of no special interest.

Best. Melody

Melody Hsu | 徐美 Legislative Aide | 市參事助理

Office of Board President Aaron Peskin|市參事佩斯金辦公室

Office: 415-554-7450 Direct: 415-554-7419

melody.hsu@sfgov.org Sign up to receive our newsletter here!

# **Introduction Form**

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I here	by sub	mit the following item for introduction (select only one):		
	1.	For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)		
	2.	Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference) (Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)		
	3.	Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee		
	4.	Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires"		
	5.	City Attorney Request		
	6.	Call File No. from Committee.		
	7.	Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)		
	8.	Substitute Legislation File No.		
	9.	Reactivate File No.		
	10.	Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on		
The r		d legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):		
THC p	-	mall Business Commission    Youth Commission    Ethics Commission		
		lanning Commission   Building Inspection Commission   Human Resources Department		
Cana				
Gene	rai Pian Y 🗆	Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):  The second sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):  The second sec		
(Note		nperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)		
Spons	sor(s):			
		, Safai, Melgar, Chan, Engardio, Ronen, Mandelman, Dorsey, Preston, Walton		
Subje	ect:			
Long	Title o	r text listed:		
20118				
		$\sim$ $\wedge$		
		Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:		