|  | File No. | 230255 |
|--|----------|--------|
|--|----------|--------|

Committee Item No. <u>4</u> Board Item No. <u>27</u>

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee: | Rules Committee | Date | April 3, 2023 |
|------------|-----------------|------|---------------|
|------------|-----------------|------|---------------|

\_\_\_\_\_

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date April 11, 2023

### **Cmte Board**

|       | Motion<br>Resolution<br>Ordinance<br>Legislative Digest<br>Budget and Legislative Analyst Report<br>Youth Commission Report<br>Introduction Form<br>Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report<br>Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)<br>Grant Information Form<br>Grant Budget<br>Subcontract Budget<br>Contract/Agreement<br>Form 126 - Ethics Commission<br>Award Letter<br>Application<br>Form 700<br>Information/Vacancies (Boards/Commissions)<br>Public Correspondence |
|-------|---|
| OTHER | (Use back side if additional space is needed)   |
|       |   |

| Completed by: | Victor Young | Date | March 29, 2023 |
|---------------|--------------|------|----------------|
| Completed by: | -            | Date |                |

#### AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 4/3/2023 MOTION NO.

| 1  | [Mayoral Reappointment, Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors - Amanda<br>Eaken] |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | Motion approving the Mayor's nomination for the reappointment of Amanda Eaken to              |
| 4  | the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors, term ending March 1, 2027.            |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | WHEREAS, Pursuant to Charter, Section 8A.102, Mayor London Breed has submitted                |
| 7  | a communication notifying the Board of Supervisors of the nomination of Amanda Eaken to       |
| 8  | the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors, received by the Clerk of the Board on |
| 9  | March 7, 2023; and  |
| 10 | WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has the authority to hold a public hearing and              |
| 11 | vote on the appointment within 60 days following transmittal of the Mayor's Notice of         |
| 12 | Appointment, and the failure of the Board to act on the nomination within the 60-day period   |
| 13 | shall result in the nominee being deemed approved; now, therefore, be it                      |
| 14 | MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Mayor's nomination for               |
| 15 | the reappointment of Amanda Eaken to the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of             |
| 16 | Directors, for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending March 1, 2027.                |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

Office of the Mayor san Francisco



LONDON N. BREED MAYOR

#### **Notice of Nomination of Appointment**

March 7, 2023

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 8A.102, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following nomination:

**Amanda Eaken and Steve Heminger** for reappointment to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors for a four year term ending March 1, 2027.

I am confident that Ms. Eaken and Mr. Heminger will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how their reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment nomination, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696.

Sincerely,

London N. Breed Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681 TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141

#### Amanda Eaken, MCP

#### **Natural Resources Defense Council**

Amanda Eaken is Director of Transportation and Climate in the Healthy People and Thriving Communities Program at the Natural Resources Defense Council. In 2008, Ms. Eaken was instrumental in passage of the nation's first law to connect regional planning and climate change, Senate Bill 375 (Steinberg). She was appointed by the California Air Resources Board to serve on the Regional Targets Advisory Committee to review global data on the potential for land use and transportation planning and policy to reduce vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions, and successfully built consensus among diverse stakeholders to set ambitious GHG targets for Round 1 SB 375 implementation in 2010.

She has worked across the state advising metropolitan planning organizations on the creation of Sustainable Communities Strategies to achieve their GHG targets, as well as continued to advocate for legislative change to eliminate barriers to SCS implementation. One of her most important legislative victories was drafting and passage of Senate Bill 743 (Steinberg, 2013) to eliminate automobile delay, defined as Level of Service (LOS), from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). By prioritizing the movement of automobiles as the primary metric for transportation impact analysis under CEQA, LOS has for decades

#### **Relevant Expertise:**

- Transportation Planning and Policy
- Climate Change Policy
- Land Use Planning and Policy
- Regional Planning
- Shared Use Mobility
- Affordable Housing

#### Years of Experience: 18

#### Education:

Master of City Planning, College of Environmental Design, University of California, Berkeley (2005) B.A., Environmental and Evolutionary Biology, Dartmouth College (1999)

incentivized road and highway widening, prevented the creation of safe infrastructure for walking, cycling and transit, and promoted auto-dependent, low-density land use patterns, while serving as a barrier to transit-oriented, infill development.

In June 2014, Ms. Eaken was delighted to help secure 35% of the state's cap and trade revenues ( $\sim$  \$2B/yr.) as a permanent source of revenue to implement SB 375, via funding for affordable housing, transit capital and operations, walking, biking and shared mobility choices.

Ms. Eaken established herself as an early leader in the shared-use mobility sector when she conceptualized *Live.Ride.Share: SoCal's Emerging Mobility Marketplace*, Southern California's first conference to examine shared-use mobility. She is partnering with UC Berkeley's Transportation Sustainability Research Center to lead a first-of-its-kind climate impacts analysis of the Transportation Network Companies Lyft and Uber. The study will document vehicle ownership, VMT/GHG, and mode shift impacts of TNCs in three cities.

In 2017, she was part of a coalition that helped to secure passage of a major affordable housing package in California, including a permanent source of revenue for affordable housing construction. She leads NRDC's advocacy related to land use and transportation planning at the city, state and federal scales.

#### **Professional Experience**

- Director of Transportation and Climate, Natural Resources Defense Council, SF, CA 2015 Present
- Deputy Director, Urban Solutions Program, Natural Resources Defense Council, SF, CA 2013-2015
- Transportation Policy Analyst, Natural Resources Defense Council, San Francisco, CA 2007 2013
- Affordable Housing Developer, Citizens Housing Corporation, San Francisco, CA 2005 2007
- Founder and Steering Committee Member, ClimatePlan, Statewide Coalition of Equity, Land Use, Heath, and Transportation Groups working to implement SB 375, 2007-2017
- Research Associate, Innovative Mobility Research, California Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways (PATH), University of California, Berkeley, 2003-2005
- Project Director, Rails-to-Trails Conservancy, San Francisco, CA, 2000-2003

#### **Relevant Awards, Recognition**

- Next City Vanguard, Selected one of 40 National Urban Leaders for Urban Design Challenge, 2014
- San Francisco Business Times Forty Under 40 Emerging Bay Area Leaders Award, 2013
- President's Sustainability Leadership Award, Southern California Association of Governments, 2013
- Selected as a TEDx Speaker for Global Cities Day, 2012

| CALIFORNIA FORM 700  | EMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS<br>COVER PAGE  |
|--|--|
|  | A Public Document 03/27/2022<br>22:23:53<br>Filing ID:   |
| Please type or print in ink.   | 203066943  |
| IAME OF FILER (LAST)   | (FIRST) (MIDDLE)   |
| Eaken, Amanda  |  |
| . Office, Agency, or Court   |  |
| Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)  |  |
| City and County of San Francisco   | Veur Decition  |
| Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable   | Your Position  |
| Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Di  |  |
| If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachmen  | it. (Do not use acronyms)  |
| Agency:  | Position:  |
| Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)  |  |
| State  | Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)  |
| Multi-County   |  |
| X City of San Francisco  |  |
|  |  |
| Type of Statement (Check at least one box)   |  |
| Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2021 throug<br>December 31, 2021.   | (Check one circle)   |
| The period covered is/, th December 31, 2021.  | nrough O The period covered is January 1, 2021 through the date of leaving office.   |
| Assuming Office: Date assumed///   | _ O The period covered is/, through the date of leaving office.  |
| Candidate:Date of Election and offi  | ice sought, if different than Part 1:  |
| Schedule Summary (must complete)<br>Schedules attached   | al number of pages including this cover page:7   |
| Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached   | I Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached   |
| Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached   | Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached  |
| Schedule B - Real Property – schedule attached   | Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached  |
| Dr-  |  |
| □ None - No reportable interests on any schedu   | ıle  |
| Verification   |  |
| MAILING ADDRESS STREET<br>(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)                                       | CITY STATE ZIP CODE  |
| DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER   | San Francisco CA 94103   |
|  |  |
| I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statemen herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. | It. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained<br>acknowledge this is a public document. |
| I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the St  |  |
| <b>D</b> -(- <b>C</b> )  | O'mature Amanda Falcan   |
| Date Signed 03/27/2022<br>(month, day, year)   | Signature <u>Amanda Eaken</u><br>(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)                               |

060600029-NFH-0029

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

| Name |  |
|------|--|
|------|--|

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY                                   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|--|---|--|
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Consumer Cyclical         FAR MARKET VALUE         Stock       Stock         Stock       Other         Partmenship       Oncome Received of \$50.000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       Stock         Stock       Other         Partmenship       Oncome Received of \$50.000         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | McDonalds   | CVS Health Corp  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE       S10.001 · \$100.000         Stock       Other       Devices         Stock       Other       Devices         Partnership       Income Received of \$50.000       NATURE OF INVESTMENT         Stock       Other       Devices         Partnership       Income Received of \$50.000       Market VALUE         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS                      |  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE       S10.001 · \$100.000         Stock       Other       Devices         Stock       Other       Devices         Partnership       Income Received of \$50.000       NATURE OF INVESTMENT         Stock       Other       Devices         Partnership       Income Received of \$50.000       Market VALUE         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |   |  |
| □ 2000 - \$10,000       □ \$10,001 - \$100,000         □ \$100,001 - \$100,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ \$1,000,000   | Consumer Cyclical   | Healthcare   |
| \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       ○ver \$1,000,000       ○ver \$1,000,000       ○ver \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       ○       ○       ○         Stock       ○       Other       ○       ○         □       Partnership       ○       Income Received of \$0 - \$499       ○       ○         ○       Income Received of \$00 or More (Report on Schedule C)       ○       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT         ∑ Stock       Other         Describe)       Optimized Stock         Partnership       Oncome Received of \$30 - \$499         Oncome Received of \$300 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |   |  |
| ∑ Stock       Other       (Describe)         □ Partnership       Oncome Received of \$50. \$499       (Describe)         □ Partnership       Oncome Received of \$50. or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000                   | Since the second |
|  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT                                      | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0. 5499 ○ Income Received of \$0. 5499 ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report or Schedule C)   IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |   |  |
| ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |   |  |
|  |   | O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |
|  |   |  |
| ACQUIRED       DISPOSED         ACQUIRED       DISPOSED         ACQUIRED       DISPOSED         NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY       Pepsico         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS       GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Consumer Cyclical       FAR MARKET VALUE         S2.000 - \$10.000       Image: Staples         MATURE OF INVESTMENT       Stock         Stock       Other         Oncome Received of \$0 - \$499         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499         Oncome Received of \$00 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:                                 | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| <ul> <li>NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY <ul> <li>Home Depot Inc</li> <li>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS</li> <li>Consumer Cyclical</li> <li>FAR MARKET VALUE</li> <li>S2,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>S10,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>S10,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>S10,000 - \$1,000,000</li> <li>NATURE OF INVESTMENT</li> <li>Stock □ Other (Describe)</li> <li>□ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$50. \$499</li> <li>○ Income Received of \$50. \$10,000</li> <li>S10,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>S10,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>S10,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>S10,001 - \$100,000</li> <li>IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:</li> <li>/ 21</li> <li>/ 21</li></ul></li></ul> | / <u>21</u> <u>04</u> / <u>14</u> / <u>21</u>             | <u> </u>   |
| Home Depot Inc       Pepsico         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS       GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Consumer Cyclical       PAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       (Describe)         Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499       Other         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:       (Describe)         If APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:       If APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:         If APPLICABLE, DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS       State of \$100,001 - \$100,000         State of BUSINESS ENTITY       Alphabet Inc., Class A         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS       Technology         FAIR MARKET VALUE       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$20,001 - \$10,0000       \$100,001 - \$100,000      <   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Consumer Cyclical         FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000         \$100,001 - \$1,00,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT         Stock       Other         (Describe)         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:       //21        /21      21        /21      21        /21      21        /21      21        /21      21        /21      121        /21      121        /21      121        /21      121        /21      121        21      121        21      121  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY                                 | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Consumer Cyclical         FAIR MARKET VALUE         Stock       \$10,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT         Stock       Other         Oncome Received of \$0 - \$499         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:         J21_         ACQUIRED       DISPOSED         NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY         Alphabet Inc., Class C         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Technology         FAIR MARKET VALUE         Stock       Other         Stock       Other         MARKET VALUE         ACQUIRED       DISPOSED         NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY         Alphabet Inc., Class C         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Technology         FAIR MARKET VALUE         Stook       Other         Stook       Other         Other       Class A         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Technology         FAIR MARKET VALUE         Stook       Other         Stook       Other         Other       (Describe)         Oncome Received of \$0.5 \$499         Oncome Received of \$0.5 \$499         Oncome Received of \$0.5 \$49  | Home Depot Inc  | Pepsico  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE         S2,000 - \$10,000       S10,001 - \$100,000         S100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       (Describe)         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499         O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS                      | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE         S2,000 - \$10,000       S10,001 - \$100,000         S100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       (Describe)         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499         O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |   |  |
| S2,000 - \$10,000         S10,001 - \$100,000           S100,001 - \$1,000,000             MATURE OF INVESTMENT         Stock         Other         (Describe)         Oescribe)         Oescribe)         Oescribe)         Oescribe)         Oescribe         Oescribe)         Oescribe   | Consumer Cyclical   | <u></u>  |
| □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       □ Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       □ Over \$1,000,000         □ Partnership       ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499         ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |   |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |   |  |
| ∑ Stock       Other       (Describe)         □ Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499       [Describe]         ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       Partnership       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |   |  |
| □        |   |  |
| □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499         ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499              | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499   |
|  | ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | ○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> )   |
|  |   |  |
| ACQUIRED       DISPOSED  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:                                 | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| <ul> <li>► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY         Alphabet Inc, Class C         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Technology         FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         S100,001 - \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT         Stock         Other</li></ul>  | <b>2121</b>   | <u> </u>   |
| Alphabet Inc, Class C   GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   Technology   FAIR MARKET VALUE   \$2,000 - \$10,000   \$\$100,001 - \$100,000   \$\$100,001 - \$1,000,000   NATURE OF INVESTMENT   \$\$Stock   Other   (Describe)   Partnership   Income Received of \$0 - \$499   Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| Alphabet Inc, Class C   GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   Technology   FAIR MARKET VALUE   \$2,000 - \$10,000   \$\$100,001 - \$100,000   \$\$100,001 - \$1,000,000   NATURE OF INVESTMENT   \$\$Stock   Other   (Describe)   Partnership   Income Received of \$0 - \$499   Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  | NAME OF RUSINESS ENTITY                                   | NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Technology         FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000       X \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       (Describe)         Yeatnership Olincome Received of \$0 - \$499         Olincome Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)   |   |  |
| Technology         FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000       X \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$2 stock         X Stock       Other         (Describe)       (Describe)         Income Received of \$0 - \$499         Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |   |  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000       X \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$2000 - \$10,000         X Stock       Other         (Describe)       (Describe)         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499         Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       Partnership   |   |  |
| <sup>1</sup> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <sup>1</sup> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <sup>1</sup> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <sup>1</sup> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <sup>1</sup> \$100,001 - \$100,000             NATURE OF INVESTMENT <sup>1</sup> Other  | Technology  | Technology   |
| Image: Stock income Received of \$0 - \$499 income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       Image: Over \$1,000,000 income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT       Image: Stock indicating the state of the s   |   |  |
| X       Stock       Other       (Describe)         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         Image: Partnership       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       Partnership       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)   | \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000                  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
| X       Stock       Other       (Describe)         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         Image: Partnership       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       Partnership       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT                                      | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499         Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)   | X Stock Other   | X Stock Other  |
| Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |   | <ul> <li>Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)</li> </ul>  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |   |  |
|  | ,<br>   |  |
| $ \_ / \_ / 21 \_ / \_ / 21 \_ [ ] \_ / \_ / 21 \_ / \_ / 21 \_ ] $  |   |  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

| Name |  |
|------|--|
|------|--|

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
|--|---|
| Amgen Inc  | LAM Research Corp   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
|  |   |
| Healthcare   | Technology  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| X Stock Other  | X Stock Other   |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)  |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedu           | Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) |
| 0 ········ ······· ······· ···········   |   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| / / <b>21</b> / / <b>21</b>  | / / 21 / 21   |
|  |   |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
|  |   |
| Yum China Holdings, Inc<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | Medtronic Plc<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS DUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
| Consumer Cyclical  | Healthcare  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| X       \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 □ Over \$1,000,000  |
|  |   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)  |
| □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedu</i> | le C)   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| <u> </u>   | <u></u>   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
| United Healthgroup Inc   | Dollar Tree   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
|  |   |
| Healthcare   | Consumer Staples  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| X     Stock     Other  | X Stock Other   |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)  |
| □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedu</i> | le C)   |
|  |   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| / / 21 / / 21  |   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |
|  | 11  |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM |  | U |
|-----------------|--|---|
|-----------------|--|---|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|---|--|
| VF Corp   | Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
|   |  |
| Consumer Cyclical   | Healthcare   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000   | \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other   | X Stock Other  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |
| Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)          |
| )   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / <b>21</b> / / <b>21</b>   | / / 21 / / 21  |
|   |  |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|   |  |
| Apple<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | Accenture Plc Ireland Cl A<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Technology  | Technology   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000   | \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
|   |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other   |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)          |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| // <u>21</u> // <u>21</u>   | // <u>21</u> // <u>21</u>  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Illumina Inc  | Colgate Palmolive  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
|   |  |
| Biotechnology   | Consumer Staples   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000   | X \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| x     Stock     Other   | X     Stock     Other  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |
| Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> ) |
|   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / 21 / / 21   | / / 21 / / 21  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| •   | •  |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|---|--|
| Intel Corp  | Walt Disney Co (Holding Co) Disney Com   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Technology  | Consumer Cyclical  |
|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE<br>\$2,000 - \$10,000<br>\$10,001 - \$100,000   | FAIR MARKET VALUE<br>\$2,000 - \$10,000<br>\$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| \$10,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | \$2,000 - \$10,000 \text{\$100,000} \$100,000 \text{\$1,000,000} \$100,000   |
|   |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other   | X Stock Other  |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499  | □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499   |
| ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)   | ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / <b></b> / <b></b> / <b></b> / <b></b> / <b></b> / <b></b> / <b>_</b> 21                                 | <u> </u>   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Microsoft Corp  | Nike Inc Cl B  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Technology  | Consumer Cyclical  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000   | X         \$2,000 - \$10,000         \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| Stop,000 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
|   |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X           Stock   Other   |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |
| Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | Partnership () Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>() Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |
|   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| , , <b>21</b> , , , <b>21</b>   | / / / 21 / / 21  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |  |
|   |  |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Applied Materials   | Costco Wholesale Corp  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Technology  | Consumer Staples   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| X    \$2,000 - \$10,000    \$10,001 - \$100,000   | State St |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other   | X Stock Other  |
|   | (Describe)   |
| <ul> <li>Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)</li> </ul>  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| , , <b>21</b> , , , <b>21</b>   | / / / 21 / / 21  |
| //21//21<br>ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | //21//21   |
|   |  |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

| Name |  |
|------|--|
|------|--|

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|--|--|
| Yum! Brands Inc  | Gilead Sciences  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Congumer Chalical  | Healthcare   |
| Consumer Cyclical  |  |
|  | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000           \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         Over \$1,000,000 | x       \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000   |
|  |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)   |
| Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)            | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> )   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| <b>21 04</b> / <b>14</b> / <b>21</b>   | <u> </u>   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Illinois Tool Works  | Johnson & Johnson  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Industrials  | Healthcare   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 □ Over \$1,000,000   |
|  |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other         Other   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)   |
| Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)            | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> )   |
|  |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / <b>21</b> / / <b>21</b>  | / / /21 / /21  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |  |
|  |  |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Broadcom Inc   |  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Technology   |  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other (Describe)   | Stock Other(Describe)  |
| Partnership () Income Received of \$0 - \$499  | Partnership (Income Received of \$0 - \$499  |
| Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  | Control of the second of |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / <b>21</b> / / <b>21</b>  | / / /21 / /21  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
|  | 11   |

Comments: \_

060600029-NFH-0029

### SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Eaken, Amanda

| 1. INCOME RECEIVED  | ► 1. INCOME RECEIVED   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME  | NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME   |  |  |
| EHDD  | NRDC   |  |  |
| ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)   | ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)  |  |  |
| San Francisco, CA 94111   | New York, NY 10011   |  |  |
| BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE  | BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE   |  |  |
| Architectural Services  | Environmental Advocacy Organization  |  |  |
| YOUR BUSINESS POSITION  | YOUR BUSINESS POSITION   |  |  |
|   | Interim Co-Director, US Cities Climate Challenge   |  |  |
| GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only                                      | GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Onl  |  |  |
| \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000  | S500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000  |  |  |
| □ \$10,001 - \$100,000 X OVER \$100,000   | S10,001 - \$100,000 SOVER \$100,000  |  |  |
| CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED   | CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED  |  |  |
| Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) | X Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income<br>(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) |  |  |
| Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)                   | Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use<br>Schedule A-2.)                     |  |  |
| Sale of (Real property, car, boat, etc.)  | Sale of  |  |  |
| Loan repayment  | Loan repayment   |  |  |
| Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more                             | Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more                                  |  |  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |  |  |
| Other   | Other  |  |  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |  |  |

You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

| NAME OF LENDER*                         | INTEREST RATE   | TERM (Months/Years) |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)   | %               | None                |
| BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER    | SECURITY FOR LO | OAN                 |
| HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD | Real Property _ | Street address      |
| \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000      | Guarantor       | City                |
| \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000     | Other           | (Describe)          |
|   |                 |                     |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_



#### **MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The below listed summary of seats, term expirations and membership information shall serve as notice of **vacancies, upcoming term expirations** and information on currently held seats, appointed by the Board of Supervisors. Appointments by other bodies are listed, if available. Seat numbers listed in **bold** are open for immediate appointment. However, you are able to submit applications for all seats and your application will be maintained for one year, in the event that an unexpected vacancy or opening occurs.

| Seat<br># | Appointing<br>Authority | Seat Holder  | Term<br>Ending | Qualification  |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|----------------|--|
| 1         | Mayor                   | Stephanie Cajina<br>(first term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation)                               | 3/1/26         | At least four of the Directors must<br>be regular riders of the Municipal<br>Railway and must continue to be<br>regular riders during their terms.<br>The directors must possess<br>significant knowledge of, or<br>professional experience in, one or   |
| 2         | Mayor                   | Emanuel Yekutiel<br>(first term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government and<br>finance)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation)                | 3/1/24         | more of the fields of government,<br>finance or labor relations. At least<br>two of the directors must possess<br>significant knowledge of or<br>professional experience in, the<br>field of public transportation.<br>During their terms, all directors |
| 3         | Mayor                   | Amanda Eaken<br>(second term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation)                                  | 3/1/23         | shall be required to ride the<br>Municipal Railway on the average<br>once a week.  |
| 4         | Mayor                   | Steve Heminger<br>(first term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government, finance<br>and labor relations)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation) | 3/1/23         |  |
| 5         | Mayor                   | VACANT   | 3/1/25         |  |

#### **Membership and Seat Qualifications**

| 6 | Mayor | Gwyneth Borden<br>(third term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government, finance<br>and labor relations)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation) | 3/1/26 |
|---|-------|--|--------|
| 7 | Mayor | Fiona Hinze<br>(first term)<br>(Rides Muni and<br>paratransit – yes) pa<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation)              | 3/1/24 |

Seats are nominated by the Mayor, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors.

#### (For seats appointed by other Authorities please contact the Board / Commission / Committee / Task Force (see below) or the appointing authority directly.)

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Rules of Order 2.19 (Motion No. 05-92) all applicants applying for this body must complete and submit, with their application, a copy (**not original**) of Form 700, Statement of Economic Interests. Applications will not be considered if a copy of Form 700 is not received.

#### FORM 700 AVAILABLE HERE (Required)

https://www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html

Please Note: Depending upon the posting date, a vacancy may have already been filled. To determine if a vacancy for this Commission is still available, or if you require additional information, please call the Rules Committee Clerk at (415) 554-5184.

The Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors shall be governed by a Board of seven Directors appointed by the Mayor and confirmed after public hearing by the Board of Supervisors.

The composition of the MTA shall be as follows: At least four of the Directors must be regular riders of the Municipal Railway and must continue to be regular riders during their terms. The directors must possess significant knowledge of, or professional experience in, one or more of the fields of government, finance or labor relations. At least two of the directors must possess significant knowledge in, the field of public transportation. During

their terms, all directors shall be required to ride the Municipal Railway on the average once a week. No person may serve more than three terms as a director.

The Municipal Transportation Agency shall include the Municipal Railway and the Department of Parking and Traffic. The goals of the Agency shall be: 1) to strengthen the MTA's authority to manage its employees; 2) to establish efficient and economical work rules and work practices to meet the public's needs; 3) to protect the Railway's right to select, train, discipline and layoff employees; 4) to ensure that transit vehicles move through City streets safely and efficiently; 5) to value and protect pedestrians and bicyclists; 6) to reduce congestion and air pollution through efficient use of the streets; and 7) to protect the City's economic health by giving priority to commercial deliveries and access to local business.

Directors shall serve four-year terms, provided, however, that two of the initial appointees shall serve for terms ending March 1, 2004; two for terms ending March 1, 2003, two for terms ending March 1, 2002, and one for a term ending March 1, 2001. Initial terms shall be designated by the Mayor. No person may serve more than three terms as a director.

Reports: The agency shall adopt achievement measurements and goals by July 1st of each year and shall regularly publish reports on its attainment of the achievement of its goals. In addition, on a biannual basis an independent quality review of performance shall be conducted by an outside consulting firm and submitted to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the Agency and the Citizen's Advisory Council who shall each hold a public hearing on the report.

Authority: Charter, Sections 8A.100 - 115

Sunset Date: None

Contact: Christine Silva Municipal Transportation Agency 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 646-4770 <u>christine.silva@sfmta.com</u>

Updated: September 16, 2022

# GENDER ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS





City and County of San Francisco London N. Breed Mayor

Department on the Status of Women Emily M. Murase, PhD Director



## Acknowledgements

The data collection and analysis for this report was conducted by Public Policy Fellow Diana McCaffrey with support from Policy and Projects Director Elizabeth Newman, Associate Director Carol Sacco, and Director Emily Murase, PhD, at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women.

The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women would like to thank the various policy body members, Commission secretaries, and department staff who graciously assisted in collecting demographic data and providing information about their respective policy bodies.

San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

President Debbie Mesloh Vice President Breanna Zwart Commissioner Shokooh Miry Commissioner Carrie Schwab-Pomerantz Commissioner Andrea Shorter Commissioner Julie D. Soo

Emily M. Murase, PhD, Director Department on the Status of Women

This report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <u>https://sfgov.org/dosw/gender-analysis-reports</u>.

## Contents

| Table of Figures   |
|--|
| Executive Summary4   |
| I. Introduction  |
| II. Gender Analysis Findings   |
| A. Gender  |
| B. Race and Ethnicity11  |
| C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender14                                    |
| D. LGBTQ Identity16  |
| E. Disability Status   |
| F. Veteran Status17  |
| G. Policy Bodies by Budget18   |
| H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics |
| I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees      |
| III. Conclusion  |
| IV. Methodology and Limitations23                                    |
| Appendix   |

## Table of Figures

| Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019   | 8   |
|--|-----|
| Figure 2: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies                         | 8   |
| Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentages of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 20    |     |
|  | 9   |
| Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 201     | 5   |
|  | 10  |
| Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019                  | 10  |
| Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of People of Color's Representation of Policy Bodies                | 11  |
| Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019            | 12  |
| Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 20 | 17, |
| 2015   | 12  |
| Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 20  | 17, |
| 2015   |     |
| Figure 10: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019       | 14  |
| Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies               | 14  |
| Figure 12: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2019   |     |
| Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019                                      | 15  |
| Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019  | 16  |
| Figure 15: LGBTQ Population of Appointees, 2019  | 16  |
| Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017                      |     |
| Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019                              |     |
| Figure 18: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender, 2017                  | 17  |
| Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019  | 17  |
| Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards wit   | h   |
| Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019  | 18  |
| Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019                     |     |
| Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019                    | 19  |
| Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019         | 20  |
| Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019                    | 20  |
| Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019  |     |
| Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017                            | 26  |
| Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017                 |     |

## **Executive Summary**

In 2008, San Francisco voters overwhelmingly approved a City Charter Amendment (section 4.101) establishing as City policy for the membership of Commissions and Boards to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population, and that appointing officials be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates. Additionally, it requires the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every two years.

The 2019 Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards includes more policy bodies such as task forces, committees, and advisory bodies, than previous analyses, which were limited to Commissions and Boards. Data was collected from 84 policy bodies and from a total of 741 members mostly appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney.<sup>1</sup> The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. The second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do *not* submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. This report examines policy bodies and appointees both comprehensively as a whole and separately by the two categories.

The 2019 Gender Analysis evaluates the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies.

#### **Key Findings**

#### Gender

- Women's representation on policy bodies is 51%, slightly above parity with the San Francisco female population of 49%.
- Since 2009, there has been a small but steady increase in the representation of women on San Francisco policy bodies.

#### **10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies**



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf, (August 25, 2017).

#### **Race and Ethnicity**

- People of color are underrepresented on policy bodies compared to the population. Although people of color comprise 62% of San Francisco's population, just 50% of appointees identify as a race other than white.
- While the overall representation of people of color has increased between 1
   2009 and 2019, as the Department collected data on more appointees, the representation of people of color has decreased over the last few years. The percentage of appointees of color decreased from 53% in 2017 to 49% in 2019.

#### of People of Color on Policy Bodies 60% 57% 53% 50% 48% 50% 46% 45% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 2015 2009 2013 2017 2011 2019 (n=401) (n=295) (n=419) (n=269) (n=469) (n=713) Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

**10-Year Comparison of Representation** 

As found in previous reports, Latinx and Asian groups are underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the population. Latinx individuals are 14% of the population but make up only 8% of appointees. Asian individuals are 31% of the population but make up only 18% of appointees.

#### Race and Ethnicity by Gender

- On the whole, women of color are 32% of the San Francisco population, and 28% of appointees. Although still below parity, 28% is a slight increase compared to 2017, which showed 27% women of color appointees.
- Meanwhile, men of color are underrepresented at 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.



- Both White women and men are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies.
   White women are 23% of appointees compared to 17% of the San Francisco population.
   White men are 26% of appointees compared to 20% of the population.
- Black and African American women and men are well-represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Black women are 9% of appointees compared to 2.4% of the population, and Black men are 5% of appointees compared to 2.5% of the population.
- Latinx women are 7% of the San Francisco population but 3% of appointees, and Latinx men are 7% of the population but 5% of appointees.
- Asian women are 17% of the San Francisco population but 11% of appointees, and Asian men are 15% of the population but just 7% of appointees.

**10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies** 

#### Additional Demographics

- Out of the 74% of appointees who responded to the survey question on LGBTQ identity, 19% identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, nonbinary, queer, or questioning, and 81% of appointees identify as straight/heterosexual.
- Out of the 70% of appointees who responded to the question on disability, 11% identify as having one or more disabilities, which is just below the 12% of the adult population with a disability in San Francisco.
- Out of the 67% of appointees who responded to the question on veteran status, 7% have served in the military compared to 3% of the San Francisco population.

#### Proxies for Influence: Budget & Authority

- Although women are half of all appointees, those Commissions and Boards with the largest budgets have fewer women and especially fewer women of color. Meanwhile, women exceed representation on Boards and Commissions with the smallest budgets and women of color reach parity with the population on the smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards.
- Although still underrepresented relative to the San Francisco population, there is a larger percentage of people of color on Commissions and Boards with both the largest and smallest budgets compared to overall appointees.
- The percentage of total women is greater on Advisory Bodies than Commissions and Boards. Women are 54% of appointees on Advisory Bodies and 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards. However, the percentages of people of color and women of color on Commissions and Boards exceed the percentages of people of color and women of color on Advisory Bodies.

#### **Appointing Authorities**

Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 52% people of color, and 30% women of color, which is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointments and total appointments.

|   | Women | People<br>of Color | Women<br>of Color | LGBTQ   | Disability<br>Status | Veteran<br>Status |
|---|-------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|
| San Francisco Population                  | 49%   | 62%                | 32%               | 6%-15%* | 12%                  | 3%                |
| Total Appointees                          | 51%   | 50%                | 28%               | 19%     | 11%                  | 7%                |
| 10 Largest Budgeted Commissions & Boards  | 41%   | 55%                | 23%               |         |                      |                   |
| 10 Smallest Budgeted Commissions & Boards | 52%   | 54%                | 32%               |         |                      |                   |
| Commissions and Boards                    | 48%   | 52%                | 30%               |         |                      |                   |
| Advisory Bodies                           | 54%   | 49%                | 28%               |         |                      |                   |

#### Demographics of Appointees Compared to the San Francisco Population

Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019, \*Note: Estimates vary by source. See page 16 for a detailed breakdown.

## I. Introduction

Inspired by the 4th UN World Conference on Women in Beijing, San Francisco became the first city in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination (CEDAW), an international bill of rights for women. The CEDAW Ordinance was passed unanimously by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and signed into law by Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. on April 13, 1998.<sup>2</sup> In 2002, the CEDAW Ordinance was revised to address the intersection of race and gender and incorporate reference to the UN Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Race Discrimination. The Ordinance requires City Government to take proactive steps to ensure gender equity and specifies "gender analysis" as a preventive tool to identify and address discrimination. Since 1998, the Department on the Status of Women has employed this tool to analyze the operations of 10 City Departments using a gender lens.

In 2007, the Department on the Status of Women conducted the first gender analysis to evaluate the number of women appointed to City Commissions and Boards. The findings of this analysis informed a City Charter Amendment developed by the Board of Supervisors for the June 2008 Election. This City Charter Amendment (Section 4.101) was overwhelmingly approved by voters and made it city policy that:

- The membership of Commissions and Boards are to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population,
- Appointing officials are to be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates, and
- The Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every 2 years.

The 2019 Gender Analysis examines the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies primarily appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. This year's analysis included more outreach to policy bodies as compared to previous analyses that were limited to Commissions and Boards. As a result, more appointees were included in the data collection and analysis than even before. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney. The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission, and the second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do not submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission of methodology and limitations can be found at the end of this report on page 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 33.A.

http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited? f=templates\$fn=default.htm\$3.0\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco\_ca\$anc=JD\_Chapter33A.

## II. Gender Analysis Findings

Many aspects of San Francisco's diversity are reflected in the overall population of appointees on San Francisco policy bodies. The analysis includes 84 policy bodies, of which 823 of the 887 seats are filled leaving 7% vacant. As outlined below in the summary chart, slightly more than half of appointees are women, half of appointees are people of color, 28% are women of color, 19% are LGBTQ, 11% have a disability, and 7% are veterans.

| Appointee Demographics           | Percentage of Appointees |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Women (n=741)                    | 51%                      |
| People of Color (n=706)          | 50%                      |
| Women of Color (n=706)           | 28%                      |
| LGBTQ Identified (n=548)         | 19%                      |
| People with Disabilities (n=516) | 11%                      |
| Veteran Status (n=494)           | 7%                       |

#### Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

However, further analysis reveals underrepresentation of particular groups. Subsequent sections present comprehensive data analysis providing comparison to previous years, detailing the variables of gender, race/ethnicity, LGBTQ identity, disability, veteran status, and policy body characteristics of budget size, decision-making authority, and appointment authority.

#### A. Gender

On San Francisco policy bodies, 51% of appointees identify as women, which is slightly above parity compared to the San Francisco female population of 49%. The representation of women remained stable at 49% from 2013 until 2017. This year, the representation of women increased by 2 percentage points, which could be partly due to the larger sample size used in this year's analysis compared to previous years. A 10-year comparison shows that the representation of women appointees has gradually increased since 2009 by a total of six percentage points.





Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figures 3 and 4 analyze Commissions and Boards. Figure 3 showcases the five Commissions and Boards with the highest representation of women appointees as compared to 2015 and 2013. The Children and Families (First Five) Commission and the Commission on the Status of Women are currently comprised of all women appointees. This finding has been consistent for the Commission on the Status of Women in 2015 and 2017. While the Ethics Commission has 100% women appointees, much more than 2015 and 2017, its small size of five appointees means that minimal changes in its demographic composition greatly impacts percentages. This is also the case for other policy bodies with a small number of members. The Library Commission and the Commission on the Environment are fourth and fifth on the list at 71% and 67% women, respectively, with long standing female majorities on each.





Out of the Commissions and Boards in this section, 23 have 40% or less women. The five Commissions and Boards with the lowest representation of women are displayed in Figure 4. The lowest percentage is found on the Board of Examiners where currently *none* of the 13 appointees are women. Unfortunately, demographic data is unavailable for the Board of Examiners for 2017 and 2015. Next is the Building Inspection Commission at 14%, which is a decrease of female representation compared to 2017 and 2015. The Oversight Board of Community Investment and Infrastructure, Fire Commission, and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force also have some of the lowest percentages of women at 17%, 20%, and 27%, respectively. Unfortunately, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force did not participate in previous

27%, respectively. Unfortunately, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force did not par analyses and therefore demographics data is unavailable for 2017 and 2015.

## Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of women. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. Figure 9 below displays the five Advisory Bodies with the highest and the five with the lowest representations of women. The Workforce Community Advisory Committees has the greatest representation of women at 100%, followed by the Office of Early Care and Education Citizen's Advisory Committee at 89%. The Advisory Bodies with the lowest percentage of women are the Urban Forestry Council at 8% of the 13-member body and the Abatement Appeals Board at 14% of the 7-member body.

#### Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019



10

#### B. Race and Ethnicity

Data on racial and ethnic identity was collected for 706, or 95%, of the 741 surveyed appointees. Although half of appointees identify as a race or ethnicity other than white or Caucasian, people of color are still underrepresented compared to the San Francisco population of 62%. The representation of people of color has increased since 2009 but has decreased following 2015. The number of appointees analyzed increased substantially in 2017 and 2019 compared to 2015, and these larger data samples have coincided with smaller percentages of people of color. The percentage decrease following 2017 could be partially due to the inclusion of more policy and advisory bodies, as the representation of people of color on Commissions and Boards dropped only slightly from 53% in 2017 to 52% in 2019.



Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies

The racial and ethnic breakdown of policy body members compared to the San Francisco population is shown in Figure 7. This analysis reveals underrepresentation and overrepresentation in San Francisco policy bodies for certain racial and ethnic groups. Half of all appointees are white, an overrepresentation by more than 10 percentage points. The Black and African American community is well represented on appointed policy bodies at 14% compared to 5% of the population of San Francisco. Characterizing this as an overrepresentation is inaccurate given the representation of Black or African American people on policy bodies has been consistent over the years while the San Francisco population has declined over the same period.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, the most recent nationwide estimate for the Black or African American population is 13%, which is nearly equal to the 14% of Black or African American appointees present on San Francisco policy bodies.<sup>4</sup>

Considerably underrepresented racial and ethnic groups on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population are individuals who identify as Asian or Latinx. While Asians are 31% of the San Francisco population, they only make up 18% of appointees. While the Latinx population of San Francisco is 14%, only 8% of appointees are Latinx. Although there is a small population of Native

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Samir Gambhir and Stephen Menendian, "Racial Segregation in the Bay Area, Part 2," *Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society* (2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> US Census Bureau, 2018, Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045218.

Americans and Alaska Natives in San Francisco of 0.4%, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.



Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019

The next two graphs illustrate Commissions and Boards, and Advisory Bodies with the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. As shown in Figure 8, the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure remained at 100% from 2017, while the Juvenile Probation Commission has returned to 100% this year after a dip in 2017. Next is the Health Commission, Immigrant Rights Commission, and Housing Authority Commission at 86%, 85%, and 83%, respectively. Percentages of people of color on both the Health Commission and the Housing Authority Commission increased following 2015, and have remained consistent since 2017.

## Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

There are 23 policy bodies that have 40% or less appointees who identified a racial and ethnic category other than white. Although the Public Utilities Commission has two vacancies, *none* of the current appointees identify as people of color. The Historic Preservation Commission and Building Inspection Commission are both at 14% representation for people of color. The Building Inspection Commission had a large drop from 43% in 2015, with the percentage of people of color decreasing to 14% in 2017 and remaining at this percent for 2019. Lastly, the War Memorial Board of Trustees and City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission have 18% and 20%, respectively.





Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. All members of the Workforce Community Advisory Committee are people of color. People of color comprise 80% of the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee, and 75% of appointees on the Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority, and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board. Out of the five Advisory Bodies with the lowest representation of people of color, the Ballot Simplification Committee and the Mayor's Disability Council have 25% appointees of color, and the Abatement Appeals Board has 14% appointees of color. The Urban Forestry and the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee have no people of color currently serving.





Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

#### C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender

White men and women are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies, while Asian and Latinx men and women are underrepresented. While women of color continue to be underrepresented at 28% compared to the San Francisco population of 32%, this is a slight increase from 2017 which showed 27% women of color. Meanwhile, men of color are 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.

Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies



The following figures present the breakdown for appointees and the San Francisco population by race and ethnicity and gender. White men and women are overrepresented, holding 27% and 23% of appointments, respectively, compared to 20% and 17% of the population, respectively. Asian men and women are both greatly underrepresented with Asian women making up 11% of appointees compared to 17% of the population while Asian men comprise 7% of appointees and 15% of the population. Latinx men and women are also underrepresented, particularly Latinx women, who are 3% of appointees and 7% of the population, while Latinx men are 5% of appointees and 7% of the population. Black or African American men and women are well-represented with Black women comprising 9% of appointees and Black men comprising 5% of appointees. Pacific Islander men and women, and multiethnic women also exceed parity with the population. Although Native American men and women make up only 0.4% of San Francisco's population, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.









#### Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

#### D. LGBTQ Identity

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) identity data was collected from 548, or 75%, of the 741 surveyed appointees, which is much more data on LGBTQ identity compared to previous reports. Due to limited and outdated information on the population of the LGBTQ community in San Francisco, it is difficult to adequately assess the representation of the LGBTQ community. However, compared to available San Francisco, larger Bay Area, and national data, the LGBTQ community is well represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Recent research estimates the national LGBT population is 4.5%.<sup>5</sup> The LGBT population of the San Francisco and greater Bay Area is estimated to rank the highest of U.S. cities at 6.2%,<sup>6</sup> while a 2006 survey found that 15.4% of adults in San Francisco identify as LGBT<sup>7</sup>.

Of the appointees who responded to this question, 19% identify as LGBTQ and 81% identify as straight or heterosexual. Of the LGBTQ appointees, 48% identify as gay, 23% as lesbian, 17% as bisexual, 7% as queer, 5% as transgender, and 1% as questioning. Data on LGBTQ identity by race was not captured. Efforts to capture data on LGBTQ identity by race for future reports would enable more intersectional analysis.



Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019





#### E. Disability Status

Overall, 12% of adults in San Francisco have one or more disabilities, and when broken down by gender, 6.2% are women and 5.7% are men. Disability data for transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable. Data on disability was obtained from 516, or 70%, of the 714 appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 516 appointees, 11.2% reported to have one

https://news.gallup.com/poll/234863/estimate-lgbt-population-rises.aspx.

percentage.aspx?utm\_source=Social%20Issues&utm\_medium=newsfeed&utm\_campaign=tiles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Frank Newport, "In U.S., Estimate of LGBT Population Rises to 4.5%," GALLUP (May 22, 2018)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Gary J. Gates and Frank Newport, "San Francisco Metro Area Ranks Highest in LBGT Percentage," *GALLUP* (March 20, 2015) https://news.gallup.com/poll/182051/san-francisco-metro-area-ranks-highest-lgbt-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Gary J. Gates, "Same Sex Couples and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual Population: New Estimates from the American Community Survey," *The Williams Institute on Sexual Orientation Law and Public Policy, UCLA School of Law* (2006).

or more disabilities, which is near parity with the San Francisco population. Of the 11.2% appointees with one or more disabilities, 6.8% are women, 3.9% are men, 0.4% are trans women, and 0.2% are trans men.

## Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017



Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019



#### F. Veteran Status

Overall, 3.2% of the adult population in San Francisco has served in the military. There is a considerable difference by gender, as male veterans are 3% and female veterans are 0.2% of the population. Data on veteran status was obtained from 494, or 67%, of appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 494 appointees who responded to this question, 7.1% have served in the military. Like the San Francisco population, there is a large difference by gender, as men comprise 5.7% and women make up only 1.2% of the total number of veteran appointees. Of participating appointees, 0.2% of veterans are trans women. Veteran status data on transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable.







#### Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

#### G. Policy Bodies by Budget

This report also examines whether policy bodies with the largest and smallest budget sizes and other characteristics are demographically representative of the San Francisco population. In this section, budget size is used as a proxy for influence. Although this report has expanded the scope of analysis to include more policy bodies compared to previous reports, this section of analysis was limited to Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members file financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the demographics for the spectrum of budgetary influence of policy bodies with decision-making authority in San Francisco.

Overall, appointees from the 10 largest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 55% people of color, 41% women, and 23% women of color. Appointees from the 10 smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 54% people of color, 52% women, and 32% women of color. Although still below parity with the San Francisco population, the representation of people of color on both the largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies is greater than the percentage of people of color for all appointees combined (50%). For women and women of color, their representation meets or exceeds parity with the population on the 10 smallest budgeted bodies. However, it falls far below parity for the 10 largest budgeted bodies. The representation of total women and women of color is greater on smaller budgeted policy bodies by 27%, and 39%, respectively.



## Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

| Body   | FY18-19 Budget  | Total | Filled | Women | Women    | People   |
|--|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|----------|----------|
| Body   | Filo-19 Budget  | Seats | seats  |       | of Color | of Color |
| Health Commission  | \$2,200,000,000 | 7     | 7      | 29%   | 14%      | 86%      |
| Public Utilities Commission                                | \$1,296,600,000 | 5     | 3      | 67%   | 0%       | 0%       |
| MTA Board of Directors and Parking<br>Authority Commission | \$1,200,000,000 | 7     | 7      | 57%   | 14%      | 43%      |
| Airport Commission   | \$1,000,000,000 | 5     | 5      | 40%   | 20%      | 40%      |
| Commission on Community Investment<br>and Infrastructure   | \$745,000,000   | 5     | 5      | 60%   | 60%      | 100%     |
| Police Commission  | \$687,139,793   | 7     | 7      | 43%   | 43%      | 71%      |
| Health Authority (Plan Governing Board)                    | \$666,000,000   | 19    | 15     | 33%   | 27%      | 47%      |
| Human Services Commission                                  | \$529,900,000   | 5     | 5      | 40%   | 0%       | 40%      |
| Fire Commission  | \$400,721,970   | 5     | 5      | 20%   | 20%      | 40%      |
| Aging and Adult Services Commission                        | \$334,700,000   | 7     | 7      | 43%   | 14%      | 57%      |
| Total  | \$9,060,061,763 | 72    | 66     | 41%   | 23%      | 55%      |

#### Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

#### Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019

| Body                                  | FY18-19 Budget     | Total<br>Seats | Filled<br>Seats | Women | Women<br>of color | People<br>of Color |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Rent Board Commission                 | \$8,543,912        | 10             | 9               | 44%   | 11%               | 33%                |
| Commission on the Status of Women     | \$8,048,712        | 7              | 7               | 100%  | 71%               | 71%                |
| Ethics Commission                     | \$6,458,045        | 5              | 4               | 100%  | 50%               | 50%                |
| Human Rights Commission               | \$4,299,600        | 12             | 10              | 50%   | 50%               | 70%                |
| Small Business Commission             | \$2,242,007        | 7              | 7               | 43%   | 29%               | 43%                |
| Civil Service Commission              | \$1,262,072        | 5              | 4               | 50%   | 0%                | 25%                |
| Board of Appeals                      | \$1,072,300        | 5              | 5               | 40%   | 20%               | 40%                |
| Entertainment Commission              | \$1,003,898        | 7              | 7               | 29%   | 14%               | 57%                |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.1, 2, & 3 | \$663 <i>,</i> 423 | 24             | 18              | 39%   | 22%               | 44%                |
| Youth Commission                      | \$305,711          | 17             | 16              | 56%   | 44%               | 75%                |
| Total                                 | \$33,899,680       | 99             | 87              | 52%   | 32%               | 54%                |

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

#### H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics

The comparison of the two policy body categories in this section provides another proxy for influence, as Commissions and Boards whose members file disclosures of economic interest have greater decisionmaking authority in San Francisco than Advisory Bodies whose members do not file economic interest disclosures. The percentages of total women, LGBTQ people, people with disabilities, and veterans are larger for total appointees on Advisory Bodies. However, the percentages of women of color and people of color on Commissions and Boards slightly exceeds the percentages of women of color and people of color on Advisory Bodies.



Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019

#### I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees

Figure 24 compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color for appointments made by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointments are more diverse, and consist of more women, women of color, and people of color compared to Supervisorial appointments. Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people of color, while Supervisorial appointments are 48% women, 24% women of color, and 48% people of color. The total of all approving authorities combined average out at 51% women, 28% women of color, and 50% people of color. This disparity in diversity between Mayoral and Supervisorial appointments may be due in part to the appointment section process for each authority. The 11-member Board of Supervisors only sees applicants for specific bodies through the 3-member Rules Committee or by designees, stipulated in legislation (e.g. "renter," "landlord," "consumer advocate"), whereas the Mayor typically has the ability to take total appointments into account during selections, and can therefore better address gaps in diversity.



Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

# III. Conclusion

Since the first gender analysis of Commissions and Boards in 2007, the representation of women appointees on San Francisco policy bodies has gradually increased. The *2019 Gender Analysis* finds the percentage of women appointees is 51%, which slightly exceeds the population of women in San Francisco.

When appointee demographics are analyzed by gender and race, women of color continue to be underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population. Most notably underrepresented are Asian women who make up 17% of the population but only 11% of appointees, and Latinx women who make up 7% of the population but only 3% of appointees. Additionally, men of color are underrepresented relative to their San Francisco population, primarily Asian and Latinx men.

Furthermore, when analyzing the demographic composition of larger and smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards, women are underrepresented on those with the largest budgets, and overrepresented or reach parity with the population on smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards. These two trends are amplified for women of color appointees. Women comprise 41% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, which is 8 percentage points below the population, and women of color comprise 23% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, 9 percentage points below their San Francisco population. Comparatively, women are 52% of total appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies, and women of color are 32% of appointees, which is equal to the San Francisco population. However, the issue of largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies does not seem to impact the representation of people of color. People of color make up 55% of appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies and 54% of appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies compared to 50% of total appointees. Nonetheless, these percentages still fall below the San Francisco population of people of color at 62%.

In addition to using budget size as a proxy for influence, this report analyzed demographic characteristics of appointees on Commissions and Boards who file disclosures of economic interest and have decision-making authority, and appointees on Advisory Bodies who do not file economic interest disclosures. Over half (54%) of appointees on Advisory Bodies are women, while 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards are women. Although 48% is only slightly below the San Francisco population of women, women comprise a decently higher percentage of appointees on Advisory Bodies compared to Commissions and Boards.

This year's report features more data on LGBTQ identity, veteran status, and disability than previous gender analyses. The *2019 Gender Analysis* found a relatively high representation of LGBTQ individuals on San Francisco policy bodies. For the appointees that provided LGBTQ identity information, 19% identify as LGBTQ with the largest subset being gay men at 48%. It is recommended for future gender analyses to collect LGBTQ data by race and gender to provide additional intersectional analysis. The representation of appointees with disabilities is 11%, just below the 12% population. Veterans are highly represented on San Francisco policy bodies at 7% compared to the veteran population of 3%.

Additionally, this report evaluates and compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color appointees by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointees include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people

of color, which overall is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointees and total appointees.

This report is intended to advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and other appointing authorities, as they select appointments for policy bodies of the City and County of San Francisco. In spirit of the 2008 City Charter Amendment that establishes this biennial Gender Analysis report requirement and the importance of diversity on San Francisco policy bodies, efforts to address gaps in diversity and inclusion should remain at the forefront when making appointments in order to accurately reflect the population of San Francisco.

# IV. Methodology and Limitations

This report focuses on City and County of San Francisco Commissions, Boards, Task Forces, Councils, and Committees that have the majority of members appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors and that have jurisdiction limited to the City. The gender analysis reflects data from the policy bodies that provided information to the Department on the Status of Women through digital and paper survey.

Data was requested from 90 policy bodies and acquired from 84 different policy bodies and a total of 741 appointees. A Commissioner or Board member's gender identity, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability status, and veteran status were among data elements collected on a voluntary basis. Data on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, or questioning (LGBTQ) identity, disability, and veteran status of appointees were incomplete or unavailable for some appointees but are included to the extent possible. As the fundamental objective of this report is to surface patterns of underrepresentation, every attempt has been made to reflect accurate and complete information in this report. Data for some policy bodies was incomplete, and all appointees who responded were included in the total demographic categories. Only policy bodies with full data on gender and race for all appointees were included in sections comparing demographics of individual bodies. It should be noted that for policy bodies with a small number of members, the change of a single individual greatly impacts the percentages of demographic categories. As such, these percentages should be interpreted with this in mind.

The surveyed policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney document entitled *List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute.*<sup>8</sup> This document separates San Francisco policy bodies into two different categories. The first category includes Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission, and the second category encompasses Advisory Bodies whose members do not submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. Depending on the analysis criteria in each section of this report, the surveyed policy bodies and appointees are either examined comprehensively as a whole or examined separately in the two categories designated by the Office of the City Attorney.

Data from the U.S. Census 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates provides a comparison to the San Francisco population. Figures 26 and 27 in the Appendix display these population estimates by race/ethnicity and gender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf, (August 25, 2017).

# Appendix

### Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019<sup>9</sup>

| Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019                            | Total | Filled |                 | 14/10/10/10 | Women    | People   |
|--|-------|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Policy Body  | Seats | Seats  | FY18-19 Budget  | Women       | of Color | of Color |
| Abatement Appeals Board  | 7     | 7      | \$76,500,000    | 14%         | 0%       | 14%      |
| Aging and Adult Services Commission                                  | 7     | 7      | \$334,700,000   | 57%         | 33%      | 57%      |
| Airport Commission   | 5     | 5      | \$1,000,000,000 | 40%         | 50%      | 40%      |
| Arts Commission  | 15    | 15     | \$37,000,000    | 67%         | 50%      | 60%      |
| Asian Art Commission   | 27    | 27     | \$30,000,000    | 63%         | 71%      | 59%      |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.1  | 8     | 5      | \$663,423       | 20%         | 0%       | 20%      |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.2  | 8     | 8      | -               | 50%         | 75%      | 63%      |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.3  | 8     | 4      | -               | 50%         | 50%      | 50%      |
| Ballot Simplification Committee                                      | 5     | 4      | \$0             | 75%         | 33%      | 25%      |
| Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee                    | 12    | 9      | \$0             | 33%         | 100%     | 67%      |
| Board of Appeals   | 5     | 5      | \$1,072,300     | 40%         | 50%      | 40%      |
| Board of Examiners   | 13    | 13     | \$0             | 0%          | 0%       | 46%      |
| Building Inspection Commission                                       | 7     | 7      | \$76,500,000    | 14%         | 0%       | 14%      |
| Child Care Planning and Advisory Council                             | 25    | 19     | \$26,841        | 84%         | 50%      | 50%      |
| Children and Families Commission (First 5)                           | 9     | 8      | \$28,002,978    | 100%        | 75%      | 75%      |
| Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee | 11    | 10     | \$155,224,346   | 50%         | 80%      | 75%      |
| Citizen's Committee on Community Development                         | 9     | 8      | \$39,696,467    | 75%         | 67%      | 63%      |
| City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission                           | 5     | 5      | \$0             | 60%         | 33%      | 20%      |
| Civil Service Commission   | 5     | 4      | \$1,262,072     | 50%         | 0%       | 25%      |
| Commission on Community Investment<br>and Infrastructure             | 5     | 5      | \$745,000,000   | 60%         | 100%     | 100%     |
| Commission on the Aging Advisory Council                             | 22    | 15     | \$0             | 80%         | 33%      | 31%      |
| Commission on the Environment  | 7     | 6      | \$27,280,925    | 67%         | 50%      | 50%      |
| Commission on the Status of Women                                    | 7     | 7      | \$8,048,712     | 100%        | 71%      | 71%      |
| Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee                        | 11    | 11     | \$3,000,000     | 82%         | 33%      | 45%      |
| Eastern Neighborhoods Citizens Advisory Committee                    | 19    | 13     | \$0             | 38%         | 40%      | 44%      |
| Elections Commission   | 7     | 7      | \$15,238,360    | 57%         | 25%      | 29%      |
| Entertainment Commission   | 7     | 7      | \$1,003,898     | 29%         | 50%      | 57%      |
| Ethics Commission  | 5     | 4      | \$6,458,045     | 100%        | 50%      | 50%      |
| Film Commission  | 11    | 11     | \$0             | 55%         | 67%      | 50%      |
| Fire Commission  | 5     | 5      | \$400,721,970   | 20%         | 100%     | 40%      |
| Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority                                 | 7     | 6      | \$0             | 50%         | 67%      | 75%      |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Figure 25 only includes policy bodies with complete data on gender for all appointees. Some bodies had incomplete data on race/ethnicity of appointees. For these, percentages for people of color are calculated out of known race/ethnicity.

| Policy Body   | Total<br>Seats | Filled<br>Seats | FY18-19 Budget  | Women   | Women<br>of Color | People<br>of Color |
|---|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Health Authority (Plan Governing Board)               | 19             | 15              | \$666,000,000   | 33%     | 80%               | 50%                |
| Health Commission                                     | 7              | 7               | \$2,200,000,000 | 43%     | 50%               | 86%                |
| Health Service Board                                  | 7              | 6               | \$11,632,022    | 33%     | 0%                | 50%                |
| Historic Preservation Commission                      | 7              | 7               | \$53,832,000    | 43%     | 33%               | 14%                |
| Housing Authority Commission                          | 7              | 6               | \$60,894,150    | 50%     | 100%              | 83%                |
| Human Rights Commission                               | 12             | 10              | \$4,299,600     | 60%     | 100%              | 70%                |
| Human Services Commission                             | 5              | 5               | \$529,900,000   | 40%     | 0%                | 40%                |
| Immigrant Rights Commission                           | 15             | 13              | \$0             | 54%     | 86%               | 85%                |
| In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority          | 13             | 9               | \$70,729,667    | 44%     | 50%               | 56%                |
| Juvenile Probation Commission                         | 7              | 6               | \$48,824,199    | 33%     | 100%              | 100%               |
| Library Commission                                    | 7              | 7               | \$160,000,000   | 71%     | 40%               | 57%                |
| Local Homeless Coordinating Board                     | 9              | 9               | \$40,000,000    | 56%     | 60%               | 75%                |
| Mayor's Disability Council                            | 11             | 8               | \$0             | 75%     | 17%               | 25%                |
| Mental Health Board                                   | 17             | 15              | \$184,962       | 73%     | 64%               | 73%                |
| MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority          | 7              | 7               | \$1,200,000,000 | 57%     | 25%               | 43%                |
| Commission  |                |                 |                 |         |                   |                    |
| Office of Early Care and Education Citizens' Advisory | 9              | 9               | \$0             | 89%     | 50%               | 56%                |
| Committee   |                |                 | 4747 000 000    | 4 = 0 ( | 4.0.00(           | 670/               |
| Oversight Board (COII)                                | 7              | 6               | \$745,000,000   | 17%     | 100%              | 67%                |
| Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee                  | 17             | 13              | \$0             | 46%     | 17%               | 8%                 |
| Planning Commission                                   | 7              | 6               | \$53,832,000    | 50%     | 67%               | 33%                |
| Police Commission                                     | 7              | 7               | \$687,139,793   | 43%     | 100%              | 71%                |
| Port Commission                                       | 5              | 5               | \$192,600,000   | 60%     | 67%               | 60%                |
| Public Utilities Citizen's Advisory Committee         | 17             | 13              | \$0             | 54%     | 14%               | 31%                |
| Public Utilities Commission                           | 5              | 3               | \$1,296,600,000 | 67%     | 0%                | 0%                 |
| Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board                  | 7              | 6               | \$0             | 33%     | 100%              | 67%                |
| Public Utilities Revenue Bond Oversight Committee     | 7              | 5               | \$0             | 40%     | 50%               | 40%                |
| Recreation and Park Commission                        | 7              | 7               | \$230,900,000   | 29%     | 50%               | 43%                |
| Reentry Council                                       | 24             | 23              | \$0             | 43%     | 70%               | 70%                |
| Rent Board Commission                                 | 10             | 9               | \$8,543,912     | 44%     | 25%               | 33%                |
| Residential Users Appeal Board                        | 3              | 2               | \$0             | 0%      | 0%                | 50%                |
| Retirement System Board                               | 7              | 7               | \$95,000,000    | 43%     | 67%               | 29%                |
| Sentencing Commission                                 | 13             | 13              | \$0             | 31%     | 25%               | 67%                |
| Small Business Commission                             | 7              | 7               | \$2,242,007     | 43%     | 67%               | 43%                |
| SRO Task Force  | 12             | 12              | \$0             | 42%     | 25%               | 55%                |
| Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee      |                | 15              | \$0             | 67%     | 70%               | 80%                |
| Sunshine Ordinance Task Force                         | 11             | 11              | \$0             | 27%     | 67%               | 36%                |
| Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group                  | 11             | 7               | \$0             | 43%     | 67%               | 43%                |
| Treasure Island Development Authority                 | 7              | 6               | \$18,484,130    | 50%     | N/A               | N/A                |

| Policy Body  | Total<br>Seats | Filled<br>Seats | FY18-19 Budget | Women | Women<br>of Color | People<br>of Color |
|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Citizens Advisory | 17             | 13              | \$0            | 54%   | N/A               | N/A                |
| Board  |                |                 |                |       |                   |                    |
| Urban Forestry Council                               | 15             | 13              | \$153,626      | 8%    | 0%                | 0%                 |
| Veterans Affairs Commission                          | 17             | 11              | \$0            | 36%   | 50%               | 55%                |
| War Memorial Board of Trustees                       | 11             | 11              | \$18,185,686   | 55%   | 33%               | 18%                |
| Workforce Community Advisory Committee               | 8              | 4               | \$0            | 100%  | 100%              | 100%               |
| Youth Commission                                     | 17             | 16              | \$305,711      | 56%   | 78%               | 75%                |

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019.

### Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017

| Race/Ethnicity                       | То       | tal     |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|
|                                      | Estimate | Percent |
| San Francisco County California      | 864,263  | -       |
| White, Not Hispanic or Latino        | 353,000  | 38%     |
| Asian                                | 295,347  | 31%     |
| Hispanic or Latinx                   | 131,949  | 14%     |
| Some other Race                      | 64,800   | 7%      |
| Black or African American            | 45,654   | 5%      |
| Two or More Races                    | 43,664   | 5%      |
| Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander | 3,226    | 0.3%    |
| Native American and Alaska Native    | 3,306    | 0.4%    |

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

#### Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017

| Race/Ethnicity                       | Total    |         | Female   |         | Male            |         |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------------|---------|
|                                      | Estimate | Percent | Estimate | Percent | Estimate        | Percent |
| San Francisco County California      | 864,263  | -       | 423,630  | 49%     | 440,633         | 51%     |
| White, Not Hispanic or Latino        | 353,000  | 38%     | 161,381  | 17%     | 191,619         | 20%     |
| Asian                                | 295,347  | 31%     | 158,762  | 17%     | 136,585         | 15%     |
| Hispanic or Latinx                   | 131,949  | 14%     | 62,646   | 7%      | 69 <i>,</i> 303 | 7%      |
| Some Other Race                      | 64,800   | 7%      | 30,174   | 3%      | 34,626          | 4%      |
| Black or African American            | 45,654   | 5%      | 22,311   | 2.4%    | 23,343          | 2.5%    |
| Two or More Races                    | 43,664   | 5%      | 21,110   | 2.2%    | 22 <i>,</i> 554 | 2.4%    |
| Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander | 3,226    | 0.3%    | 1,576    | 0.2%    | 1,650           | 0.2%    |
| Native American and Alaska Native    | 3,306    | 0.4%    | 1,589    | 0.2%    | 1,717           | 0.2%    |

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

City and County of San Francisco Department on the Status of Women 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240 San Francisco, California 94102 sfgov.org/dosw dosw@sfgov.org 415.252.2570 **BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

### MEMORANDUM

Date:March 8, 2023To:Members, Board of SupervisorsFrom:Image Calvillo, Clerk of the BoardSubject:Mayoral Renominations - Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors

On March 7, 2023, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following complete renomination packages, pursuant to Charter, Section 8A.102. These renominations are subject to confirmation by the Board and not effective until the Board takes action.

#### **Renominations to Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors:**

- Amanda Eaken term ending March 1, 2027
- Steve Heminger term ending March 1, 2027

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.2, the Clerk of the Board shall refer these motions to the Rules Committee and work with the Rules Committee Chair to schedule the hearings.

Matt Dorsey- Rules Committee Chair Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy Victor Young - Rules Clerk Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney Tom Paulino - Mayor's Legislative Liaison Tyra Fennell - Director of Appointments

c:



### **MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The below listed summary of seats, term expirations and membership information shall serve as notice of **vacancies, upcoming term expirations** and information on currently held seats, appointed by the Board of Supervisors. Appointments by other bodies are listed, if available. Seat numbers listed in **bold** are open for immediate appointment. However, you are able to submit applications for all seats and your application will be maintained for one year, in the event that an unexpected vacancy or opening occurs.

| Seat<br># | Appointing<br>Authority | Seat Holder  | Term<br>Ending | Qualification  |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|----------------|--|
| 1         | Mayor                   | Stephanie Cajina<br>(first term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation)                               | 3/1/26         | At least four of the Directors must<br>be regular riders of the Municipal<br>Railway and must continue to be<br>regular riders during their terms.<br>The directors must possess<br>significant knowledge of, or<br>professional experience in, one or   |
| 2         | Mayor                   | Emanuel Yekutiel<br>(first term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government and<br>finance)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation)                | 3/1/24         | more of the fields of government,<br>finance or labor relations. At least<br>two of the directors must possess<br>significant knowledge of or<br>professional experience in, the<br>field of public transportation.<br>During their terms, all directors |
| 3         | Mayor                   | Amanda Eaken<br>(second term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation)                                  | 3/1/23         | shall be required to ride the<br>Municipal Railway on the average<br>once a week.  |
| 4         | Mayor                   | Steve Heminger<br>(first term)<br>(Rides Muni – yes)<br>(knowledge/exp. in<br>government, finance<br>and labor relations)<br>(knowledge or exp. in<br>public transportation) | 3/1/23         |  |
| 5         | Mayor                   | VACANT   | 3/1/25         |  |

### **Membership and Seat Qualifications**

| From:    | zrants  |
|----------|---|
| To:      | <u>Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS)</u>   |
| Cc:      | Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)   |
| Subject: | Fwd: Rules Committee April 3, 2023 Hearing re Reappointment of Steve Heminger and Amanda Eaken to SFMTA Board |
| Date:    | Sunday, April 2, 2023 4:31:10 PM  |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Forgive the earlier mistaken email. Send while I was editing. The final sentences were not complete.

April 2, 2023

**Rules Committee:** 

### re: Rules Committee April 3, 2023 Hearing re Reappointment of Steve Heminger and Amanda Eaken to SFMTA Board

Please vote to oppose the reappointments of Steve Heminger and Amanda Taken to the SFMTA Board. This body has shown complete disrespect for the voice of the citizens of San Francisco. They have not upheld their responsibility to abide by the wishes of the community when they vote to establish center bike lanes on Valencia, against the wishes of many people including cyclists. There are reports that center bike lanes have resulted in more accidents. Who benefits from these decisions?

Please vote deny their reappointments.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, Concerned SF Citizen

FROM: Mary Miles Attorney at Law 364 Page St., #36 San Francisco, CA 94102

TO: Victor Young, Clerk, and Members of the Rules Committee of the Board of Supervisors

BY E-MAIL to: victor.young@sfgov.org

DATE: April 3, 2023

# PUBLIC COMMENT, April 3, 2024 Rules Committee Agenda, Item 4 [Mayoral Appointment, Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors – Amanda Eaken] BOS File No.: 230255

This public comment OPPOSES the third appointment of Amanda Eaken to the Board of Directors of the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors ("MTA Board"). Please assure that this Comment is distributed to all Rules Committee Members and to the Full Board of Supervisors and that it is placed in all relevant files.

The seven directors of MTA's Board control Operating and Capital Budgets approaching two billion dollars per year. Both that huge allocation of public money and MTA's control of transportation in San Francisco are why each of the seven directors governing MTA's Board is required to have the objectivity to represent all travelers in San Francisco.

Such public officials must also observe ethical obligations, including filing Form 700 yearly. Ms. Eaken has failed to comply with that legal obligation.

The San Francisco Charter specifies that each MTA Director "must possess significant knowledge of, or professional experience in, one or more of the fields of government, finance, or labor relations." Further, at least four of the directors "must be regular riders of the Municipal Railway, and must continue to be regular riders during their terms," and at least two directors "must possess significant knowledge of, or professional experience in, the field of public transportation." During their terms, all of the directors "shall be required to ride the Municipal Railway on the average once a week." (SF Charter § 8A.102(a).)

Although she claims that she meets the MTA Board requirement to ride Muni at least once per week, there is no evidence that Ms. Eaken has any significant knowledge of, or professional experience in, the field of public transportation.

More problematic are Ms. Eaken's violations of ethics requirements and her complete lack of objectivity and failure to represent the needs of San Francisco travelers.

# 1. Ms. Eaken Has Failed To File Form 700's Each Year, Thus Failing To Meet Legal Ethics Requirements Required For MTA Board

Ms. Eaken should be disqualified from the MTA Board, because she failed to report on the required FORM 700 her significant wealth of investments since her appointment in September 2018 to March 27, 2023. Form 700 is a public disclosure of investments that is *required* to be

filed *every year* while serving on MTA Board. No Form 700's were in evidence for Ms. Eaken in 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022.

Ms. Eaken's March 27, 2023 Form 700, Attachment A, shows numerous investments in 2021 of from \$10,000 to \$100,000. Those 2021 investments should have been reported on a Form 700 in 2022. They were not. Although Ms. Eaken claims these investments were acquired *and* disposed of in 2021, her untimely statement fails to give the dates of their acquisition and disposal:

| Home DepotAcquired _/_/21Disposed 4/14/21\$10,001-\$100,000Alphabet Inc, Class CAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/2\$10,001-\$100,000CVS Health CorpAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000PepsicoAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Alpahbet Inc, Class AAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Amgen IncAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Yum China HoldingsAcquired _/_/21Disposed 4/14/21\$2,000-\$10,000United Healthgroup, Inc.Acquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000LAM Research Corp.Acquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000MedtronicAcquired _/_/21Disposed //_21\$10,001-\$100,000Dollar TreeAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000VF CorpAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000AppleAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Acquired _/_21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Acquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Microsoft CorpAcquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Acquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired _/_21Disp  | McDonalds                | Acquired//21 | Disposed 4/14/21  | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| $CVS$ Health Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000           Pepsico         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000           Alpahbet Inc, Class A         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000           Amgen Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/2\$\$10,001-\$100,000           Yum China Holdings         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/2\$\$10,001-\$100,000           United Healthgroup, Inc.         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/2\$\$110,001-\$100,000           LAM Research Corp.         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_2\$\$110,001-\$100,000           Medtronic         Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000           Dollar Tree         Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000           VF Corp         Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000           Apple         Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000           Illumina Inc         Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000           Accenture Plc Ireland         Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000           Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp           Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1\$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp         Acquired _/_2\$1         Disposed _/_2\$1 | Home Depot               | Acquired//21 |                   |                      |
| Pepsico       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Alpahbet Inc, Class A       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Amgen Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/22       \$10,001-\$100,000         Yum China Holdings       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         United Healthgroup, Inc.       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         LAM Research Corp.       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Medtronic       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Dollar Tree       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         VF Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Apple       Acquired _/_21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Illumina Inc       Acquired _/_21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Accenture Plc Ireland       Acquired _/_21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Corp       Acquired _/_21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired _/_21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired  | Alphabet Inc, Class C    | Acquired//21 | Disposed//2       | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Alpahbet Inc, Class A       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Amgen Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/2       \$10,001-\$100,000         Yum China Holdings       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed $4/14/21$ \$2,000-\$10,000         United Healthgroup, Inc.       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed $4/14/21$ \$2,000-\$10,000         LAM Research Corp.       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Medtronic       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Dollar Tree       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         VF Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Apple       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Illumina Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Accenture Plc Ireland       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Acquired Implied Materials       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Applied Materials       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000   | CVS Health Corp          | Acquired//21 | Disposed//21      | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Amgen Inc       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/2$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Yum China Holdings       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $4/14/21$ \$2,000-\$10,000         United Healthgroup, Inc.       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $4/14/21$ \$2,000-\$10,000         LAM Research Corp.       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Medtronic       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Dollar Tree       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         VF Corp       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Apple       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Illumina Inc       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Accenture Plc Ireland       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Accenture Plc Ireland       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000         Applied Materials       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,00  | Pepsico                  | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Yum China Holdings<br>United Healthgroup, Inc.Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $4/14/21$ \$ 2,000-\$10,000LAM Research Corp.Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000MedtronicAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Dollar TreeAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000VF CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000AppleAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Illumina IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Colgate PalmoliveAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Microsoft CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Applied MaterialsAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Applied MaterialsAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Matt Disney CoAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Costco Wholesale CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Yumi Brands IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Ilinois Tool WorksAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Broadcom IncAcqu  | Alpahbet Inc, Class A    | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| United Healthgroup, Inc.       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         LAM Research Corp.       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Medtronic       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Dollar Tree       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         VF Corp       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Apple       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Illumina Inc       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Accenture Plc Ireland       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Colgate Palmolive       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Intel Corp       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Applied Materials       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Applied Materials       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000         Nike, Inc.       Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$10   | Amgen Inc                | Acquired//21 | Disposed//2       | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| LAM Research Corp.Acquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000MedtronicAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Dollar TreeAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000VF CorpAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000AppleAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Illumina IncAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Colgate PalmoliveAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Intel CorpAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Microsoft CorpAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Applied MaterialsAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Goldom IncAcquired $///21$ Disposed $///21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Broadcom IncAcquired $///21$ <td>Yum China Holdings</td> <td>Acquired//21</td> <td>Disposed 4/14/21</td> <td>\$ 2,000- \$10,000</td>  | Yum China Holdings       | Acquired//21 | Disposed 4/14/21  | \$ 2,000- \$10,000   |
| MedtronicAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Dollar TreeAcquired _/_/21Disposed 4/14//21\$10.001-\$100,000VF CorpAcquired _/_/21Disposed $//_21$ \$10.001-\$100,000AppleAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Illumina IncAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Colgate PalmoliveAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Intel CorpAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Microsoft CorpAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10.001-\$100,000Applied MaterialsAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired _/_/21Disposed _/_/21\$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired _/_/21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Vumi Brands IncAcquired _/_/21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Nimor Stool WorksAcquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Broadcom IncAcquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000Gilead SciencesAcquired _/_21Disposed _/_21\$10,001-\$100,000  | United Healthgroup, Inc. | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/2      | 1 \$10.001-\$100,000 |
| Medtronic         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10.001-\$100,000           Dollar Tree         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14//21         \$10,001-\$100,000           VF Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14//21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Apple         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illumina Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Accenture Plc Ireland         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Accenture Plc Ireland         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Colgate Palmolive         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Intel Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Microsoft Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Applied Materials         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Walt Disney Co         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Nike, Inc.         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Vumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disp   | LAM Research Corp.       | Acquired//21 | Disposed//21      | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| VF CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100.000AppleAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10.001-\$100,000Illumina IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Thermo Fisher ScientificAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Colgate PalmoliveAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Intel CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Microsoft CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Applied MaterialsAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Walt Disney CoAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Costco Wholesale CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Yumi Brands IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Illinois Tool WorksAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Broadcom IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Gilead SciencesAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000  | Medtronic                |              | Disposed/_/21     | \$10.001-\$100,000   |
| Apple       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Illumina Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Thermo Fisher Scientific       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Accenture Plc Ireland       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Colgate Palmolive       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Intel Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Applied Materials       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Walt Disney Co       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Nike, Inc.       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Costco Wholesale Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Yumi Brands Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Illinois Tool Works       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Broadcom Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Gil  | Dollar Tree              | Acquired//21 | Disposed 4/14//21 | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Illumina IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Thermo Fisher ScientificAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Accenture Plc IrelandAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Colgate PalmoliveAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Intel CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Microsoft CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Applied MaterialsAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Walt Disney CoAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Nike, Inc.Acquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Costco Wholesale CorpAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Yumi Brands IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Illinois Tool WorksAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Broadcom IncAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000Gilead SciencesAcquired $\_/\_/21$ Disposed $\_/\_/21$ \$10,001-\$100,000  | VF Corp                  | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10.001-\$100.000   |
| Thermo Fisher Scientific         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed_/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Accenture Plc Ireland         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Colgate Palmolive         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Intel Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Microsoft Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Applied Materials         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Walt Disney Co         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Nike, Inc.         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Vami Brands Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000   | Apple                    | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Accenture Plc Ireland       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10.001-\$100,000         Colgate Palmolive       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Intel Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Microsoft Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Applied Materials       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Walt Disney Co       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Nike, Inc.       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Costco Wholesale Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Yumi Brands Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed 4/14/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Illinois Tool Works       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed 4/14/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Broadcom Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Gilead Sciences       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed 4/14/21       \$10,001-\$100,000  | Illumina Inc             | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Colgate Palmolive         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Intel Corp         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Microsoft Corp         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Applied Materials         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Walt Disney Co         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Nike, Inc.         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Costco Wholesale Corp         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Yumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000   | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Acquired//21 | Disposed_/_/21    | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Intel Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_21         \$10.001-\$100,000           Microsoft Corp         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Applied Materials         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Walt Disney Co         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Nike, Inc.         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Costco Wholesale Corp         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Yumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_21         Disposed _/_21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000  | Accenture Plc Ireland    | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_//21    | \$10.001-\$100,000   |
| Microsoft Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Applied Materials         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Walt Disney Co         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Nike, Inc.         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Costco Wholesale Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Yumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000   | Colgate Palmolive        | Acquired//21 | Disposed//21      | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Microsoft Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Applied Materials         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Walt Disney Co         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Nike, Inc.         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Costco Wholesale Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Yumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000   | Intel Corp               | Acquired//21 | Disposed//21      | \$10.001-\$100,000   |
| Walt Disney Co       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Nike, Inc.       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Costco Wholesale Corp       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Yumi Brands Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Illinois Tool Works       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Broadcom Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Gilead Sciences       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed 4/14/21       \$10,001-\$100,000   | Microsoft Corp           |              | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Nike, Inc.         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Costco Wholesale Corp         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Yumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000   | Applied Materials        | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Costco Wholesale Corp<br>Yumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Yumi Brands Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000   | Walt Disney Co           |              | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Yumi Brands Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed 4/14/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Illinois Tool Works       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed 4/14/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Broadcom Inc       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed _/_/21       \$10,001-\$100,000         Gilead Sciences       Acquired _/_/21       Disposed 4/14/21       \$10,001-\$100,000  | Nike, Inc.               | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Illinois Tool Works         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000   | Costco Wholesale Corp    | Acquired//21 | Disposed//21      | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Broadcom Inc         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed _/_/21         \$10,001-\$100,000           Gilead Sciences         Acquired _/_/21         Disposed 4/14/21         \$10,001-\$100,000  | Yumi Brands Inc          | Acquired//21 | Disposed 4/14/21  | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Gilead Sciences Acquired/_/21 Disposed 4/14/21 \$10,001-\$100,000  | Illinois Tool Works      | Acquired//21 | Disposed/_/21     | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
|  | Broadcom Inc             | Acquired//21 | Disposed//21      | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
|  | Gilead Sciences          | Acquired//21 | Disposed 4/14/21  | \$10,001-\$100,000   |
| Jonnson & Jonnson Acquired _/_/21 Disposed _/_/21 \$10,001-\$100,000   | Johnson & Johnson        | Acquired//21 | Disposed_/_/21    | \$10,001-\$100,000   |

Ms. Eaken's 2021 investments, **reported more than one year late**, were at least **\$282,028 to \$2,810,000**. Ms. Eaken claims those large investments were both acquired and disposed of in 2021, with *most dates missing*. (Eaken Form 700, Schedule A-1, March 27, 2023.) Did she have any investments in 2022? None are reported.

These 2021 investments finally reported in 2023 do not include Ms. Eaken's other assets such as real estate ownership in San Francisco.

# Ms. Eaken's plain violation of her ethical duties to timely report such investments clearly disqualifies her from being a Director of MTA.

Ms. Eaken also reports a salary income of "Over \$100,000" from a New York non-profit advocacy organization, NRDC. (Eaken Form 700, Schedule C, March 27, 2023.)

Ms. Eaken's spouse (or domestic partner), Brad Jacobson, is a principal of the EHDD architect corporation with an income of "OVER \$100,000." (Eaken Form 700, Schedule C, March 27,

2023.) EHDD has benefitted from contracts with the City and County of San Francisco. (see, *e.g.*, <u>https://www.ehdd.com/project/lick-wilmerding-campus-expansion-and-renovation;</u> <u>https://www.ehdd.com/project/the-exploratorium-at-pier-15</u>)</u>

### 2. Ms. Eaken Also Fails To Meet The Basic Requirement Of Objectivity

Her record shows that Ms. Eaken lacks the fundamental objectivity necessary to represent San Francisco travelers, and she clearly does not comprehend the needs of the vast majority of travelers in San Francisco who choose to drive. <sup>1</sup> Ms. Eaken clearly does not have the objectivity needed to efficiently and fairly represent San Francisco's transportation needs.

Contrary to her rhetoric on behalf of recreationists/bicyclists, driving is mode choice of the vast majority of San Francisco travelers. (Fn. 1, *ante*.) Less than 3 percent of San Francisco travelers choose to ride bicycles. (*Id*.) Ms. Eaken nevertheless persistently and without exception has voted to allocate hundreds of millions to bicycle "improvements" and anti-car measures, including closing and obstructing public streets to cars, removing travel lanes, and eliminating parking for the vast majority who drive.

While Ms. Eaken claims to ride Muni, there is no evidence that she does. Her profile shows an affluent lifestyle of leisure-class bicycling and anti-car, bicycling advocacy.

While MTA claims drivers who pay for the public streets should somehow rescue MTA from the fiscal cliff it faces from its advocacy and large expenditures on bicycle "improvements," the public is unlikely to finance more of the same. People need an MTA Board that deals with driving and transit, the two major forms of transportation in San Francisco.

Ms. Eaken consistently fails to acknowledge public comment objecting to the millions spent by MTA on bicycle projects, never varying from her rhetoric supporting the political advocacy of the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition. (See, *e.g.*, <u>https://www.sfexaminer.com/archives/sfmta-board-to-vote-on-better-market-street-changes/article\_45ae5fad-d570-5061-9fc5-5666baf1ecbc.html</u>.)<sup>2</sup>

For example, at the December 6, 2022 MTA Board meeting, many people waited hours for their short two minutes to speak against MTA's proposed permanent "Slow Streets" on that agenda. Ms. Eaken *completely ignored their objections*, and then spent hours on her own rhetorical digression that materially changed what was on the Agenda in violation of the Brown Act, giving *no opportunity for the public to speak to her "amended" proposal.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corey, Canapary, & Galanis Research: [SFMTA] Travel Decisions Survey 2021 Summary Report, page 5; see also, "MTA, 4/19/22, Maia Moran, "How People Traveled Through San Francisco in 2021"; see also, *e.g.*, See, MTA 1-28-20\_workshop MODE SHARE INCREASE SINCE 2017, p. 12, showing decline in bicycling mode share to 2%; see also, Fehr & Peers: 2013-2017 Travel Decision Survey Data Analysis and Comparison Report, prepared for MTA, July 2017, p.15, showing decline in bicycle mode share in San Francisco from 3.4% in 2014 to 2% in 2017

<sup>(</sup>https://www.sfmta.com/sites/default/files/reports/ 2017/Travel\_Decision\_Survey\_ Comparison Report 2017)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SFBC is a private lobbying corporation advocating a militant anti-car agenda, which receives millions in grants of public money. SFBC and Walk SF were under investigation for illegal use of public money during the 2022 election. WalkSF has a failing score under Charity Navigator due to IRS issues. (https://www.charitynavigator.org/ein/472000881)

Even though MTA's own data shows bicycling constitutes less than 3% of the transportation mode choice of San Francisco travelers,<sup>3</sup> and MTA now asks the public it has disregarded to vote for a huge bond to rescue MTA from the "fiscal cliff" it faces due to bicycle project expenditures such as the Better Market Street billion-dollar bicycle path, anti-car street closures, and even closing Golden Gate Park to cars.

The public has been adversely affected by MTA Board members' anti-car, pro-bicycling bias for many years with MTA Board members like Ms. Eaken representing bicyclists and promoting an anti-car agenda that spends millions on making motor vehicle travel more congested, expensive and difficult, removing travel lanes, eliminating parking, and obstructing and closing public streets to people who drive.

### 3. Since Ms. Eaken's Appointment In 2018, Muni Ridership Has Continued To Decline

MTA cannot properly staff its buses but still has a bloated office staff of hundreds of "engineers," "planners" and public relations propagandists for bicycling. The public does not need more control of MTA for the sole benefit of three percent of recreationists and against the majority of travelers who travel by cars.

The Covid pandemic reduced transit ridership by 90%, and ridership is still in drastic decline even after return to work. All of this has occurred during Ms. Eaken's tenure on the MTA Board, while her focus has been solely on spending allegedly scarce MTA resources on bicycling and closing public streets to cars.

The MTA Board should represent the interests of all travelers, which will not be accomplished by re-appointing Ms. Eaken to the MTA Board, even if her ethical violations could be ignored.

Now more than ever MTA needs directors who will serve the majority of San Francisco travelers. Declining revenue and ridership clearly indicate that MTA must stop making travel slower and more difficult for the majority of travelers while spending millions on bicycle "improvements" for the less than 3% of travelers who ride bicycles in San Francisco.

The Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors should recognize the public's real needs, which will not be served by re-appointing an anti-car, bicycling zealot to the MTA Board and by Ms. Eaken's lack of objectivity and inability to hear the public's voice

**CONCLUSION:** Failing to properly file the required Form 700 does not meet the ethical requirements for a Director of MTA Board, and disqualifies Ms. Eaken from re-appointment to the MTA Board.

The Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors should reject the Mayor's nomination for re-appointment of Amanda Eaken to the MTA Board.

Mary Miles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Fn. 1, *ante*; see also, *e.g.*,MTA 1-28-20\_workshop MODE SHARE INCREASE SINCE 2017, p. 12, showing decline in bicycling mode share to 2%; see also, Fehr & Peers: 2013-2017 Travel Decision Survey Data Analysis and Comparison Report, prepared for MTA, July 2017, p.15, showing decline in bicycle mode share in San Francisco from 3.4% in 2014 to 2% in 2017

<sup>(</sup>https://www.sfmta.com/sites/default/files/reports/ 2017/Travel\_Decision\_Survey\_ Comparison\_Report\_2017.pdf.)

| CALIFORNIA FORM 700  | EMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS<br>COVER PAGE  |
|--|--|
|  | A Public Document 03/27/2022<br>22:23:53<br>Filing ID:   |
| Please type or print in ink.   | 203066943  |
| IAME OF FILER (LAST)   | (FIRST) (MIDDLE)   |
| Eaken, Amanda  |  |
| . Office, Agency, or Court   |  |
| Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)  |  |
| City and County of San Francisco   | Veur Decition  |
| Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable   | Your Position  |
| Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Di  |  |
| If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachmen  | it. (Do not use acronyms)  |
| Agency:  | Position:  |
| Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)  |  |
| State  | Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)  |
| Multi-County   |  |
| X City of San Francisco  |  |
|  |  |
| Type of Statement (Check at least one box)   |  |
| Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2021 throug<br>December 31, 2021.   | (Check one circle)   |
| The period covered is/, th December 31, 2021.  | nrough O The period covered is January 1, 2021 through the date of leaving office.   |
| Assuming Office: Date assumed///   | _ O The period covered is/, through the date of leaving office.  |
| Candidate:Date of Election and offi  | ice sought, if different than Part 1:  |
| Schedule Summary (must complete)<br>Schedules attached   | al number of pages including this cover page:7   |
| Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached   | I Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached   |
| Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached   | Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached  |
| Schedule B - Real Property – schedule attached   | Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached  |
| Dr-  |  |
| □ None - No reportable interests on any schedu   | ıle  |
| Verification   |  |
| MAILING ADDRESS STREET<br>(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)                                       | CITY STATE ZIP CODE  |
| DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER   | San Francisco CA 94103   |
|  |  |
| I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statemen herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. | It. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained<br>acknowledge this is a public document. |
| I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the St  |  |
| <b>D</b> -(- <b>C</b> )  | O'mature Amanda Falcan   |
| Date Signed 03/27/2022<br>(month, day, year)   | Signature <u>Amanda Eaken</u><br>(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)                               |

060600029-NFH-0029

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

| Name |  |
|------|--|
|------|--|

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY              |   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
|--|---|---|
| McDonalds                              |   | CVS Health Corp   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS B          | USINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
| Consumer Cyclical                      |   | Healthcare  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE                      |   | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| S2,000 - \$10,000 X \$                 | \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| \$100,001 - \$1,000,000                | Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT                   |   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| Partnership O Income Received          | (Describe)<br>of \$0 - \$499<br>of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | (Describe)<br>☐ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> ,  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:              |   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| // <b>21</b> 04/_14<br>ACQUIRED DISPOS |   | //21/_21<br>ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY              |   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
| Home Depot Inc                         |   | Pepsico   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS B          | USINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
| Consumer Cyclical                      |   | Consumer Staples  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE                      |   | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| S2,000 - \$10,000 X \$                 | \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000                 | Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT                   |   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| X Stock Other                          |   | X Stock Other   |
| Partnership O Income Received          | (Describe)<br>of \$0 - \$499  | (Describe)<br>☐ Partnership ◯ Income Received of \$0 - \$499  |
|  | of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)                                 | Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C,   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:              |   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| / <b></b> / <b>1</b> /                 | / <b>21</b>   | / <u></u> / <u>21</u> / <u></u> / <u>21</u>   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOS                        | SED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY              |   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
| Alphabet Inc, Class C                  |   | Alphabet Inc, Class A   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS B          | USINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
| Technology                             |   | Technology  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE                      |   | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| <b>\$2,000 - \$10,000</b>              | \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000     \$10,000     \$10,000     \$10 |
| \$100,001 - \$1,000,000                | Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT                   |   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| X Stock Other                          | (Describe)  | X Stock Other   |
| Partnership O Income Received          |   | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499  |
| ⊖ Income Received                      | of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)                                 | ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C,   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:              |   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| / <b></b> / <b></b> _//                | <u>_/ 21</u>  | / <u>/21</u> / <u>/21</u>   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOS                        | SED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

| Name |  |
|------|--|
|------|--|

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
|--|---|
| Amgen Inc  | LAM Research Corp   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
|  |   |
| Healthcare   | Technology  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| X Stock Other  | X Stock Other   |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)  |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedu             | Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) |
| 0 ········· ······· ··················   |   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| / / <b>21</b> / / <b>21</b>  | / / 21 / 21   |
|  |   |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
|  |   |
| Yum China Holdings, Inc<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | Medtronic Plc<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS DUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
| Consumer Cyclical  | Healthcare  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| X       \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 □ Over \$1,000,000  |
|  |   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)  |
| □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedu</i> ) | le C)   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| <u> </u>   | <u></u>   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   |
| United Healthgroup Inc   | Dollar Tree   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  |
|  |   |
| Healthcare   | Consumer Staples  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE   |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000   |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT  |
| X     Stock     Other  | X Stock Other   |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)  |
| □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedu</i>   | le C)   |
|  |   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   |
| / / 21 / / 21  |   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED   |
|  | 11  |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM |  | U |
|-----------------|--|---|
|-----------------|--|---|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|---|--|
| VF Corp   | Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
|   |  |
| Consumer Cyclical   | Healthcare   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000   | \$2,000 - \$10,000       X       \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other   | X Stock Other  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |
| Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)          |
| )   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / <b>21</b> / / <b>21</b>   | / / 21 / / 21  |
|   |  |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|   |  |
| Apple<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | Accenture Plc Ireland Cl A<br>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Technology  | Technology   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000   | \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
|   |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT           X         Stock         Other   |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)          |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| // <u>21</u> // <u>21</u>   | // <u>21</u> // <u>21</u>  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY   | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Illumina Inc  | Colgate Palmolive  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS  | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
|   |  |
| Biotechnology   | Consumer Staples   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE   | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000   | X \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT  | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| x     Stock     Other   | X     Stock     Other  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |
| Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C) | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> ) |
|   |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:   | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / 21 / / 21   | / / 21 / / 21  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED   | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
|   | •  |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests (Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Eaken, Amanda

Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|--|--|
| Intel Corp   | Walt Disney Co (Holding Co) Disney Com   |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Technology   | Consumer Cyclical  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 □ Over \$1,000,000   | □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 □ Over \$1,000,000   |
|  |  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other (Describe)   | X Stock Other(Describe)  |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499   | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499   |
| ○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> )   | ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / <u>/ 21</u> / <u>/ 21</u>  | <u> </u>   |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Microsoft Corp   | Nike Inc Cl B  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
|  |  |
| Technology   | Consumer Cyclical  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| S2,000 - \$10,000     X     \$10,001 - \$100,000   | X \$2,000 - \$10,000   |
| \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   | \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000   |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X     Stock     Other  | X     Stock     Other  |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)   |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)            | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |
| () income Received of \$500 of more (Report on Schedule C)   | () income Received of \$500 of More (Report on Schedule C)   |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
|  |  |
| // <u>21</u> // <u>21</u>  | /// <u>21</u> / <u>21</u>  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Applied Materials  | Costco Wholesale Corp  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Tabalari   | Consumer Staples   |
| Technology   |  |
|  |  |
| X       \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$10,001 - \$100,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000        | \$2,000 - \$10,000<br>\$10,001 - \$100,000<br>\$2,000 - \$10,000<br>\$2,000 - \$ |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other  | X Stock Other  |
| (Describe)   | (Describe)   |
| □ Partnership ○ Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More ( <i>Report on Schedule C</i> ) | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / <u>21</u> <u>/ 21</u>  | //// <u>/21</u> // <u>21</u> // <u>21</u>  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
|  |  |

Comments: \_

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

| CALIFORNIA FORM | 7 | 0 |  |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---|---|--|

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

| Name |  |
|------|--|
|------|--|

Eaken, Amanda

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
|--|--|
| Yum! Brands Inc  | Gilead Sciences  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
|  |  |
| Consumer Cyclical  | Healthcare   |
|  | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000           \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         Over \$1,000,000 | X       \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| X Stock Other (Describe)   | X Stock (Describe)   |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)              | Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)           |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / 21 04/ 14/ 21  | / / 21 04/ 14/ 21  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Illinois Tool Works  | Johnson & Johnson  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
| Industrials  | Healthcare   |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE  | FAIR MARKET VALUE  |
| \$2,000 - \$10,000         X         \$10,001 - \$100,000  | \$2,000 - \$10,000 X \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  | S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT   | NATURE OF INVESTMENT   |
| X Stock Other  | X Stock Other  |
| (Describe)<br>☐ Partnership ◯ Income Received of \$0 - \$499   |  |
| ☐ Partieship ⊖ income Received of \$0 - \$499<br>○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)           | ☐ Partieship ⊖ income Received of \$0 - \$455<br>☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)   |
|  |  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| / / <b>21</b> / / <b>21</b>  | / / 21 / / 21  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
| ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  | ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY  |
| Broadcom Inc   |  |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   | GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS   |
|  |  |
| Technology   |  |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE<br>\$2,000 - \$10,000<br>\$10,001 - \$100,000  | FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000  |
| □ \$2,000 - \$10,000   | S10,001 - \$10,000 Over \$1,000,000  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| X Stock Other (Describe)   | Stock Other (Describe)   |
| Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499   | Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499   |
| ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  | ○ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)  |
| IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  |
| <b>2121</b>  |  |
| ACQUIRED DISPOSED  | ACQUIRED DISPOSED  |
|  |  |

Comments: \_

060600029-NFH-0029

### SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Eaken, Amanda

| 1. INCOME RECEIVED  | ► 1. INCOME RECEIVED   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME  | NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME   |  |  |
| EHDD  | NRDC   |  |  |
| ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)   | ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)  |  |  |
| San Francisco, CA 94111   | New York, NY 10011   |  |  |
| BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE  | BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE   |  |  |
| Architectural Services  | Environmental Advocacy Organization  |  |  |
| YOUR BUSINESS POSITION  | YOUR BUSINESS POSITION   |  |  |
|   | Interim Co-Director, US Cities Climate Challenge   |  |  |
| GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only                                      | GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Onl  |  |  |
| \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000  | S500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000  |  |  |
| \$10,001 - \$100,000         X         OVER \$100,000   | S10,001 - \$100,000 SOVER \$100,000  |  |  |
| CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED   | CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED  |  |  |
| Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) | X Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income<br>(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) |  |  |
| Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)                   | Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)                        |  |  |
| Sale of (Real property, car, boat, etc.)  | Sale of  |  |  |
| Loan repayment  | Loan repayment   |  |  |
| Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more                             | Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more                                  |  |  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |  |  |
| Other (Describe)  | Other  |  |  |
| (Describe)  | (Describe)   |  |  |

You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

| NAME OF LENDER*                         | INTEREST RATE   | TERM (Months/Years) |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)   | %               | None                |
| BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER    | SECURITY FOR LO | OAN                 |
| HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD | Real Property _ | Street address      |
| \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000      | Guarantor       | City                |
| \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000     | Other           | (Describe)          |
|   |                 |                     |

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_