

### City and County of San Francisco

San Francisco Public Works - Bureau of Street Use and Mapping 49 South Van Ness Ave, Suite 300 - San Francisco, CA 94103 sfpublicworks.org - tel (628) 271-2000



### **TENTATIVE MAP DECISION**

Date: June 10, 2021

Department of City Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue 14th Floor, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Project I Project Typ			
Address#	StreetName	Block	Lot
900 - 920	PENNSYLVANIA AVE	4224	045
900	PENNSYLVANIA AVE	4224	090
Tentative Map Re	Service Control of the Control of th	1221	000

Attention: Mr. Corey Teague.

Planner's Name Xinyu Liang

for, Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator

Please review\* and respond to this referral within 30 days in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act.

Sincerely,

(\*In the course of review by City agencies, any discovered items of concern should be brought to the attention of Public Works for consideration.)

James Ryan Date: 2021.06.09 16:19:54

James Ryan, PLS Acting City and County Surveyor
The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code. On balance, the Tentative Map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings. The subject referral is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) environmental review as categorically exempt Class n/a , CEQA Determination Date 7/8/2021 , based on the attached checklist.
The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code subject to the attached conditions.
The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does not comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code due to the following reason(s):
PLANNING DEPARTMENT  Signed Xinyu Liang Date: 2021.07.08 15:38:28 -07'00'  Date 7/8/2021



## **CEQA Exemption Determination**

### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)	
900-920 Pennsylvania Ave		Ave	4224045, 4224090	
Case No.			Permit No.	
2021-005930ENV				
_	ldition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New	
	teration	Category B Building)	Construction	
	-	Planning Department approval.  poses to merge two lots. The lots to be merged ar	re Assessor's Block 4224, Lots 045	
	The project sponsor proposes to merge two lots. The lots to be merged are Assessor's Block 4224, Lots 045 and 090.			
STEP	1: EXEMPTION T	YPE		
The p	project has been d	letermined to be exempt under the California Er	nvironmental Quality Act (CEQA).	
	Class 1 - Existin	g Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; addit	tions under 10,000 sq. ft.	
	Class 3 - New C	onstruction. Up to three new single-family reside	nces or six dwelling units in one	
		rcial/office structures; utility extensions; change of	f use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally	
	permitted or with			
	Class 32 - In-Fill Development. New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than		re units or additions greater than	
		d meets the conditions described below: s consistent with the applicable general plan desig	nation and all applicable general plan	
	policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.			
		d development occurs within city limits on a projec	ct site of no more than 5 acres	
		rounded by urban uses. ite has no value as habitat for endangered rare or	threatened species.	
	· , ·	he project would not result in any significant effect	·	
	water quality.		aulalia aamiisaa	
		be adequately served by all required utilities and p	public services.	
H	Other			
	Common Sense	Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)	(3)). It can be seen with certainty that	
		ibility of a significant effect on the environment.	•	

# STEP 2: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	<b>Air Quality:</b> Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g. use of diesel construction equipment, backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/)	
	Hazardous Materials: Maher or Cortese  Is the project site located within the Maher area or on a site containing potential subsurface soil or groundwater contamination and would it involve ground disturbance of at least 50 cubic yards or a change of use from an industrial use to a residential or institutional use? Is the project site located on a Cortese site or would the project involve work on a site with an existing or former gas station, parking lot, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing use, or a site with current or former underground storage tanks?  If Maher box is checked, note below whether the applicant has enrolled in or received a waiver from the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, or if Environmental Planning staff has determined that hazardous material effects would be less than significant.	
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities? Would the project involve the intensification of or a substantial increase in vehicle trips at the project site or elsewhere in the region due to autonomous vehicle or for-hire vehicle fleet maintenance, operations or charging?	
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeology review is required.	
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/) If box is checked, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.	
	Average Slope of Parcel = or > 25%, or site is in Edgehill Slope Protection Area or Northwest Mt.  Sutro Slope Protection Area: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, or (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area? (refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is likely required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.	
	Seismic Hazard: Landslide or Liquefaction Hazard Zone:  Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area, or (4) grading performed at a site in the landslide hazard zone? (refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.	
Com	ments and Planner Signature (optional): Don Lewis	

### STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map) Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6. STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. 3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows. 8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a П single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5. Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6. STEP 5: ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I) Reclassify to Category A Reclassify to Category C a. Per HRER (No further historic review) b. Other (specify): 2. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 3. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces that do not remove, alter, or obscure character defining features. 4. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 5. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.

	<ol><li>Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.</li></ol>		
	7. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.		
	8. Work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for (Analysis required):	or the Treatment of Historic Properties	
	9. Work compatible with a historic district (Analysis required):		
	10. Work that would not materially impair a historic resource (A	ttach HRER Part II).	
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Prese	rvation Planner MUST sign below.	
	Project can proceed with exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.		
	Comments (optional):		
Preser	vation Planner Signature:		
	STEP 6: EXEMPTION DETERMINATION TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER		
	Common Sense Exemption: Department staff reviewed the project and determined that there is no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. No further environmental review is required. The project is exempt under CEQA.		
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:	
	Public Works Approval of Lot Line Adjustment	Don Lewis	
		07/08/2021	
	Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Property Information Map, which can be accessed at https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/. Individual files can be viewed by clicking on the Planning Applications link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental record number (ENV) and then clicking on the "Related Documents" link.  Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code.  In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.  Please note that other approval actions may be required for the project. Please contact the assigned planner for these approvals.		

### STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

### **MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Modified Project Description:					
	FERMINATION IS DRO ISCT.	CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODISICATION			
		CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION			
Com	Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:				
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;				
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;				
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?				
$ \Box$		nted that was not known and could not have been known			
	at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?				
If at I	If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.				
DET	DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION				
	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.				
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project					
approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance					
with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed to the Environmental Review Officer within 10 days of posting of this determination.					
Plani	ner Name:	Date:			