1	[Planning Code - Port of San Francisco Waterfront Plan Update]
2	
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to revise certain Waterfront Special Use
4	District controls and to add Waterfront Special Use District No. 4 covering areas east of
5	the Mission Bay and Southern Waterfront areas; and making environmental findings,
6	including adopting a statement of overriding considerations, and findings of
7	consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code,
8	Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under
9	Planning Code, Section 302.
10	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
11	Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> .  Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> .
12	Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.  Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
13	<b>Asterisks (* * * *)</b> indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
14	
15	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
16	
17	Section 1. Environmental and Planning Code Findings.
18	(a) On March 16, 2023, after a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission,
19	by Motion No. 21217, certified the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Port of
20	San Francisco's Waterfront Plan (the Project), which updates and amends the Port's 1997
21	Waterfront Land Use Plan and sets long term goals and policies to guide the use,
22	management, and improvement of properties owned and managed by the Port. The Planning
23	Commission motion finds that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of
24	the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, contains no
25	significant revisions to the Draft EIR, and the content of the report and the procedures through

- which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed comply with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.), the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. Section 15000 et seq.), and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Copies of the Planning Commission Motion and Final EIR are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230503 and are incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.
  - (b) The Project evaluated in the Final EIR includes the proposed amendments to the Planning Code set forth in this ordinance as well as amendments to the General Plan and the Zoning Map. The proposed Planning Code amendments set forth in this ordinance are within the scope of the Project evaluated in the Final EIR.
  - (c) On April 11, 2023, the Port Commission, in Resolution No. 23-15, adopted findings under CEQA regarding the Project's environmental impacts, the disposition of mitigation measures, and project alternatives, as well as a statement of overriding considerations (CEQA Findings), and adopted a mitigation monitoring reporting program (MMRP). A copy of said Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230503, and is incorporated herein by reference.
  - (d) On \_\_\_\_\_\_, the Planning Commission, in Motion No. \_\_\_\_\_\_, adopted findings under CEQA regarding the Project's environmental impacts, the disposition of mitigation measures, and project alternatives, as well as a statement of overriding considerations (CEQA Findings) and adopted a mitigation monitoring reporting program (MMRP). A copy of said Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. \_\_\_\_\_, and is incorporated herein by reference.
  - (e) On April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21034, recommended the proposed Planning Code amendments for approval and adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's

- General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230503, and is incorporated herein by reference.
  - (f) On April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21304, adopted findings under Planning Code section 302 that the actions contemplated in this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230503, and is incorporated herein by reference.
  - (g) The Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered the Final EIR and the environmental documents on file referred to herein. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered the CEQA Findings, and hereby adopts them as its own and incorporates them by reference as though such findings were fully set forth in this ordinance.
  - (h) The Board of Supervisors adopts the MMRP as a condition of this approval, and endorses those mitigation measures that are under the jurisdiction of other City Departments, and recommends for adoption those mitigation measures that are enforceable by agencies other than City agencies, all as set forth in the CEQA Findings and MMRP.
  - (i) The Board of Supervisors finds that since certification of the Final EIR no substantial changes have occurred in the proposed Project that would require revisions in the Final EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects, no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the proposed Project is to be undertaken that would require major revisions to the Final EIR due to the involvement of new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the Final EIR, and no new information of substantial importance to the proposed Project has become available which indicates that (1) the Project will have significant effects not discussed in the

1 Final EIR, (2) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe, (3) mitigation

measure or alternatives found not feasible that would reduce one or more significant effects

have become feasible, or (4) mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably

different from those in the Final EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects

on the environment.

Section 2. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 240, 240.1, 240.2, and 240.3, and adding Section 240.4, as follows:

### SEC. 240. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

- (a) **Purpose.** In order to provide for certain areas with unique natural and manmade physical characteristics, distinct <a href="https://missource.new.nih.gov/">historic and</a> maritime character, special traffic, parking, and use considerations, recognized development potential, and proximity to residential, public, and commercial areas of regional, national, and international significance which should be protected from adverse adjacent development, there shall be <a href="https://missource.new.nih.gov/">https://missource.new.nih.gov/</a> with should be protected from adverse adjacent development, there shall be <a href="https://missource.new.nih.gov/">https://missource.new.nih.gov/</a> and sectional be <a href="https://missource.new.nih.gov/">https://missource.new.nih.gov/</a> with these Special Use Districts indicated thereon is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 171-70-4, <a href="https://missource.new.nih.gov/">https://missource.new.nih.gov/</a> and subsequent amendments <a
- (b) **State and Regional Land Use Controls.** Much of the property within Waterfront Special Use District Numbers 1, and 3, and 4 is subject to land use controls in addition to those set forth in this Code. Most of the land under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission is public trust land and is subject to use limitations as provided in California Statutes of 1968, Chapter

1333, as amended (the "Burton Act") and the San Francisco Charter. In the event of a conflict between the provisions of the Burton Act and this Code, the State legislation prevails. A portion of the property under the Port Commission's jurisdiction is further subject to use limitations as provided ien the California Government Code, Sections 66600 et seq. (the "McAteer-Petris Act"). The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission is responsible for implementing the provisions of the McAteer-Petris Act. Other property within thistnese Waterfront Special Use Districts is subject to redevelopment plans adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

# (c) Waterfront Design Review Process.

- (1) In order to best achieve the public objectives that have been established in law and policy for the property under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission, a waterfront design review process is hereby established to review the urban design of new development on certain land under the Port Commission's jurisdiction within *the* Waterfront Special Use Districts Numbers 1, 3, and 4, consistent with applicable provisions of the Port's Waterfront Land Use Plan and its Waterfront Design and Access goals, objectives and criteria, urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and objectives, as provided below. The purpose of the waterfront design review process is to identify and integrate the State, regional, and local objectives pertaining to the urban design of major, non-maritime development projects and proposed uses in order to optimize the public enjoyment and beneficial use of this public trust resource.
- (2) The waterfront design review process shall be conducted by <u>the Waterfront</u>

  <u>Design Advisory Committee ("Committee")</u>, <u>which shall consist of five members.</u> <del>a Design Advisory</del>

  <u>Committee. The Mayor shall appoint a qualified professional urban planner or architect (general, historic or landscape) who resides or works in San Francisco as one member. The Director of Planning and the Director of the Port of San Francisco shall each appoint two members who</u>

1	$\underline{are}$ , consisting of (1) a senior member from their respective staffs and (2) $\underline{a}$ qualified professional
2	urban planner $\underline{s}$ or architect $\underline{s}$ (general, historic, or landscape) who resides or works in San
3	Francisco, and are not employed within their agency. In addition to these members, the Director of
4	the Port shall also appoint one member who is a historic preservation professional who meets the
5	Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standard. Port of San Francisco staff shall
6	maintain Committee records and administrative procedures reflecting the roster, qualifications, and
7	terms for each Committee member. Of the original appointments, the Mayor's appointment shall serve
8	for a four-year term and the Planning Director and Port Director shall each appoint one member for a
9	two-year term and one member for a four-year term. After expiration of the original terms, all
10	appointments shall be for four-year terms. The Port Commission may is granted the authority to
11	increase the number of Committee members by adding representatives appointed by the
12	Director of the Bay Conservation and Development Commission, if needed. The
13	<u>Committee</u> Design Advisory Committee shall select a chairperson from among its voting
14	members, and shall establish rules and regulations for its own organization and procedure.
15	The Committee Committee may establish subcommittees to which it may assign Committee
16	design review responsibilities. The Committee shall act by vote of a majority of those present
17	at a meeting with a quorum of Committee members.

- (3) The *Design Advisory* Committee shall review proposed projects to be developed on property of the Port of San Francisco, as set forth in Sections 240.1, 240.3, and 240.34 of this Code.
- (4) The *Design Advisory* Committee shall be advisory to the Planning Department and Port of San Francisco, and shall provide its design recommendations to the Bay Conservation and Development Commission for proposed projects within its jurisdiction. The Port shall convene and provide staff assistance to the Committee and consult with the

- Committee on non-maritime development projects as set forth in this Code and at such other times as the Port deems appropriate.
  - (5) The Planning Commission and the Port Commission shall hold a joint hearing within two years from the date of adoption of this ordinance to evaluate the design review process and make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for its improvement.
  - (65) The Committee shall hold a public hearing on a proposed project and make design recommendations to ensure that the urban design of the proposed project is consistent with applicable provisions of the Waterfront Land Use Plan's Waterfront Design and Access goals, objectives and criteria urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and objectives. FIn addition to any other notice required by law, the Committee shall provide public notice for this hearing by electronic mail to the applicant or other person or agency initiating the action and other parties who have requested mailed notice of such hearing on the project in writingnotice of such hearing on the project and submitted their contact information to the Port of San Francisco.
  - (76) The Committee, as an advisory board, must review and consider any final environmental documents, or draft documents if final documents are not yet available, prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, before it makes its final recommendations.
  - (&Z) The determination of the Committee on urban design issues related to the proposed project shall be final as to those design issues, except as provided below. The Committee shall transmit the design recommendations to the Planning Department and Port, and to the Bay Conservation and Development Commission for proposed projects within <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.org/">BCDC'sits</a> jurisdiction, within *five days two weeks* following the Committee action for consideration by those agencies prior to any action on the project.

(A) For a project that is permitted as a Principal Use, the Planning Commission
mayean, by majority vote within 14 days of receipt of the design recommendations of the
Committee, make a determination to review the design recommendations. If the item cannot
be calendared for <u>Planning</u> Commission consideration within that period due to a canceled
meeting, the Commission may consider whether to review the design recommendations at its
next available meeting. If the <u>Planning Commission requests review</u> , it shall conduct a public
hearing on the matter within 14 days following its determination to review the design
recommendations, if legally adequate environmental documents have been completed, or at
its first public meeting after such documents have been completed, unless the Port Director
agrees to a different date. At the request of the Port Director, the meeting shall be conducted
as a joint public hearing of the Planning Commission and the Port Commission. The Planning
Commission, by majority vote, may adopt, amend, or reject the design recommendations of
the Committee, subject to the same standards and criteria that govern Committee decisions
as provided in subsection (c)( $56$ ) above.

If the Port Commission accepts the design recommendations of the Committee or of the Planning Commission, the Port Commission shall incorporate the design recommendations into the Port action on the project.

If the Port Commission objects to or seeks to modify the design recommendations of the Committee, the Port Commission may request Planning Commission review of the design recommendations of the Committee. The Planning Commission shall schedule a public hearing and review the design recommendations of the Committee within 20 days following receipt of the request, if legally adequate environmental documents have been completed, or at its first public meeting after such documents have been completed, unless the Port Director agrees to a different date. At the request of the Port Director, the

meeting shall be conducted as a joint public hearing of the Planning Commission and the Port Commission.

If the Port Commission objects to or seeks to substantially modify design recommendations that have been approved by the Planning Commission as set forth above, the Port Commission may appeal the design recommendations to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 308.1 of this Code and in Charter Section 4.105 for appeals of Conditional Uses. The Board of Supervisors may disapprove the decision of the Commission by a vote of not less than two-thirds of the members of the Board.

- (B) For a project that requires a conditional use authorization, the Director of Planning shall incorporate the design recommendations of the Committee on urban design issues related to the proposed project into the recommendation to the Planning Commission. The Director of Planning may recommend specific modifications to the Committee's design recommendations, in which case the Director's recommendation shall specify why the Committee's design recommendations should not be considered final. The Director of Planning shall schedule a public hearing before the Planning Commission within 30 days following receipt of the Committee's design recommendations, if legally adequate environmental documents have been completed, or at its first public meeting after such documents have been completed, unless the Port Director agrees to a different date.
- (d) A project within a Waterfront Special Use District shall be reviewed under the *standardsprovisions* set forth in *Sections 240.1 through 240.4 for* the Waterfront Special Use District within which boundaries it is located, and shall not be considered, for review purposes under this Code, as including or being part of a project within an adjoining Waterfront Special Use District, notwithstanding the timing of development, the physical proximity or type of uses associated with any other such projects, or the applicant or other person or agency initiating the action.

# SEC. 240.1. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 1.

The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 1:

(a) Accessory Uses Related to Maritime Uses. A related minor use that is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront *Land Use* Plan adopted by the Port Commission and that is either necessary to the operation or enjoyment of a Maritime Use, as defined in Section 102 of this Code, or is appropriate, incidental, and subordinate to any such use, shall be permitted as an accessory use when located on the same lot, provided that the *minor* use does not *itself occupy involve the use of* more than one-third of the site area occupied by such *minor* use and the principal or conditional use to which it is accessory, except in the case of accessory off-street parking and loading.

# (b) Principal Uses shall include:

- (1) Maritime Uses as defined in Section 102 of this Code.
- (2) Any use that is listed in this Code as a permitted use in the district established by Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved shall be permitted as a principal use if the use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront *Land Use* Plan adopted by the Port Commission.
- (c) **Conditional Uses** shall include any use that is listed in this Code as a conditional use in the district established by Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved, provided that the use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront *Land Use* Plan adopted by the Port Commission. The specific use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project, and not the project in its entirety, shall be subject to the provisions set forth in Section 303 and Article 3.5 of this Code and *Ss*ubsection (d), below.
- (d) Any use, other than Maritime Uses defined in Section 102 of this Code, that is listed in this Code as a permitted use or conditional use in the use district established by

Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved, that involves (1) new construction
or (2) projects with lease terms longer than 10 years that include substantial exterior alterations
visible from the street or other major public site, excluding minor changes including but not
limited to maintenance, alterations, and repairs involving replacing features with similar
features or adding similar features; restoration of preexisting conditions; and signs, awnings,
or canopies, shall be subject to review of the urban design of the proposed use under the
waterfront design review process, as provided under Section 240(c) of this Code.

- (e) In considering any application in this special use district under Section 303 of this Code, the Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c):
- (1) That such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the Waterfront *Land Use*-Plan (*WLUP*) adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission has found to be consistent with the General Plan;
- (2) That <u>the design of</u> such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the <u>WLUP</u> Waterfront Design and Access goals, policies, and criteria adopted by the Port Commission Waterfront <u>Plan urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and objectives</u>, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission has found to be consistent with the General Plan;
- (3) Provision to the extent feasible, along the sea wall and along the perimeters of piers or platforms, of public access and of open spaces available for public use and suitable for viewing purposes or water-oriented recreation;
- (4) Limitation of water coverage in the Northern Waterfront area from the Hyde Street Pier to Pier 46 so as not to exceed the degree of coverage by piers as existing *at the effective date of this Sectionas of February 16, 1998*;

- (5) Construction of new piers or platforms so that the water's edge shall be maintained at the sea wall where feasible;
- (6) Provision or maintenance of view corridors along streets into the Bay, and of panoramic views, in accordance with the view policies of the Northeastern Waterfront Plan, a part of the General Plan; and
  - (7) Development over the water generally on piers or platforms rather than on fill.
- (f) The basic Floor Area Ratio limit shall be 5.0 to 1 to the extent provided in Section 124(e) of this Code. To calculate the Floor Area Ratio on piers under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission, all building permit applications shall include a map of the lot or lease area with precise boundaries showing its location on the pier under consideration. The proposed lot shall be reviewed and approved as part of the building permit and be the basis for further alterations or expansions of the structure.

### SEC. 240.2. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 2.

The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 2:

- (a) Industrial, commercial, and other operations directly related to the conduct of waterborne commerce or navigation shall be permitted as Principal Uses, except in residential zoning districts.
- (b) A Hotel or Motel, if otherwise listed in this Code as a permitted use, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of this Code.
- (c) An Automotive Service Station, if otherwise listed in this Code as a permitted use, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of this Code.
- (d) Any building or use which provides a greater number of off-street parking spaces than required under Section 151 of this Code shall be permitted only upon approval by the

1	Planning Commission as a $e\underline{C}$ onditional $w\underline{U}$ se under Section 303 of this Code; provided,
2	however, that this subsection $\underline{(d)}$ shall not apply in any case where fewer than 10 such spaces
3	are provided. Any building or use which provides 10 or more off-street parking spaces shall be
4	permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under
5	Section 303 of this Code.

Any Use, whether Principal or Accessory, not screened from view from adjacent streets and other public areas, with the exception of accessory off-street parking areas for nine or fewer automobiles, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of this Code.

\* \* \* \*

### SEC. 240.3. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 3.

The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 3:

- (a) Industrial, commercial, and other operations directly related to the conduct of waterborne commerce or navigation shall be permitted as Principal Uses.
- (b) A wholesale establishment conducted entirely within an enclosed building shall be permitted as a Principal Use.
- (c) Any development on property not under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission which includes an area (excluding the area of public streets and alleys) of at least three acres shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission according to the procedures for conditional use approval in Section 303 of this Code. In considering any application for such a development under Section 303, the Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria in addition to those stated in Section 303(c):
- (1) Conformance to the Northeastern Waterfront Plan, a part of the General Plan, including streets and roadways as indicated therein;

1	(2) Assurance of a general profile for development having higher portions near
2	Telegraph Hill or other inland areas and lower portions near The Embarcadero;
3	(3) Assurance of view corridors along public streets between Telegraph Hill or
4	other inland areas and the waterfront and Bay;
5	(4) Provision of open spaces available to the public; and
6	(5) Adherence to the character of surrounding areas of the City.
7	(d) Any new development (excluding alterations to existing development) on property
8	under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission that requires a Port lease of more than 10 years,
9	(excluding alterations to existing development) and which includes an area (excluding the area of
10	public streets and alleys) of at least ½ acre (excluding the area of public streets and alleys) shall be
11	subject to review of the urban design of the proposed use by the waterfront design review
12	process, as provided under Section 240(c) of this Code.
13	(e) In considering any application for development on property under the jurisdiction
14	of the Port Commission on which a specific use or uses require a conditional use, the specific
15	use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project, and not the project in its entirety, shall
16	be subject to the provisions set forth in Section 303 and Article 3.5 of this Code. The Planning
17	Commission shall consider the following criteria in lieu of those stated in Section 303(c):
18	(1) That such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the Waterfront Land
19	Use Plan (WLUP) and the design of the use is consistent with Waterfront Plan urban design, historic
20	preservation, and public access its WLUP Waterfront Design and Access goals, policies, and
21	objectives criteria, adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which
22	the Planning Commission has found to be consistent with the General Plan;

(2) Assurance of a general profile for development having higher portions near

Telegraph Hill or other inland areas and lower portions near The Embarcadero;

(3) [Reserved.]

23

24

- 1 (34) Assurance of view corridors along public streets between Telegraph Hill or 2 other inland areas and the waterfront and Bay, in accordance with the view policies of the 3 Northeastern Waterfront Plan, a part of the General Plan; (45) Provision of open spaces available to the public consistent with the 4 5 Waterfront Plan urban design and open space Design and Access goals, policies, and 6 objectives<del>criteria</del>; and 7 (56) Adherence to the character of surrounding areas of the City. 8 (f) A Hotel or Motel, if otherwise listed in this Code as a Permitted Use, shall be 9 permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under 10 Section 303 of this Code. (g) An Automotive Service Station, if otherwise listed in this Code as a Permitted 11 12 Use, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use 13 under Section 303 of this Code. (h) Any building or use which provides a greater number of off-street parking spaces 14 15 than required under Section 151 of this Code shall be permitted only upon approval by the 16 Planning Commission as a conditional use under Section 303 of this Code; provided, 17 however, that this subsection (h) shall not apply (1) in any case where fewer than 10 such 18 spaces are provided, or (2) for property under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco, to 19 the extent such off-street parking spaces existed as of the effective date of this 20 Subsection February 16, 1998. Any building or use which provides 10 or more off-street parking
  - (i) Any use, whether Principal or Accessory, not screened from view from adjacent streets and other public areas, with the exception of temporary uses pursuant to Section 205.1, accessory offstreet parking areas for nine or fewer automobiles, or off-street parking areas on property under the

spaces shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional

Use under Section 303 of this Code.

21

22

23

24

1	jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco in existence as of the effective date of this subsection, shall be
2	permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of
3	this Code.
4	(ii) The basic Floor Area Ratio limit shall be 5.0 to 1 to the extent provided in
5	Section 124(e) of this Code.
6	SEC. 240.4. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 4.
7	The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 4:
8	(a) Accessory Uses Related to Maritime Uses. A related minor use that is identified as an
9	acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront Plan adopted by the Port Commission and
10	that is either necessary to the operation or enjoyment of a Maritime Use, as defined in Section 102 of
11	this Code, or is appropriate, incidental, and subordinate to any such use, shall be permitted as an
12	accessory use when located on the same lot, provided that the minor use does not itself occupy more
13	than one-third of the site area occupied by such minor use and the principal or conditional use to
14	which it is accessory, except in the case of accessory off-street parking and loading.
15	(b) Principal Uses. Principal uses shall include:
16	(1) Maritime Uses as defined in Section 102 of this Code.
17	(2) Any use that is listed in this Code as a permitted use in the district established by
18	Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved shall be permitted as a principal use if the
19	use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront Plan adopted by the
20	Port Commission.
21	(c) Conditional Uses. Conditional uses shall include any use that is listed in this Code as a
22	conditional use in the district established by Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved
23	provided that the use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront Plan
24	adopted by the Port Commission. The specific use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project,
25	

1	and not the project in its entirety, shall be subject to the provisions set forth in Section 303 and Article
2	3.5 of this Code and subsection (d) below.
3	(d) Any new development (excluding alterations to existing development) on property under
4	the jurisdiction of the Port Commission that requires a Port lease of more than 10 years, and includes
5	an area of at least 1/2 acre (excluding the area of public streets and alleys) shall be subject to review of
6	the urban design of the proposed use by the waterfront design review process, as provided under
7	Section 240(c) of this code.
8	(e) In considering any application in this special use district under Section 303 of this Code,
9	the Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria along with the criteria set forth in
10	Section 303(c). Only the specific use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project, and not the
11	project in its entirety, shall be subject to the following criteria:
12	(1) That such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the Waterfront Plan
13	adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission
14	has found to be consistent with the General Plan; and
15	(2) That the design of such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the
16	Waterfront Plan urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and objectives
17	adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission
18	has found to be consistent with the General Plan.
19	
20	Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
21	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
22	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
23	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
24	

1	Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
2	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
3	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
4	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
5	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
6	the official title of the ordinance.
7	
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
9	DAVID CHIU, City Attorney
10	By: /s/ Peter R. Miljanich
11	PETER R. MILJANICH Deputy City Attorney
12	n:\legana\as2023\2300067\01669964.docx
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	