

File No. 230549

Committee Item No. _____

Board Item No. 37

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____

Date: _____

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: May 16, 2023

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER

- BOS Ordinance No. 23-15 - 2/20/15 _____
- 2016 General Election Results _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Prepared by: Lisa Lew

Date: May 12, 2023

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

1 [Supporting San Francisco’s Inflation Reduction Act Grant Request for Urban and Community
Forestry]

2

3 **Resolution supporting San Francisco’s grant application to the U.S. Department of**
4 **Agriculture in response to its Forest Service Urban and Community Forestry Inflation**
5 **Reduction Act Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), which would provide once-in-a-**
6 **generation funding to restore San Francisco’s urban canopy damaged by recent**
7 **storms, as well as make long-term tree canopy investments in high-need environmental**
8 **justice communities in San Francisco, and create urban forestry and green workforce**
9 **opportunities.**

10

11 WHEREAS, In 2014, the San Francisco Planning Department, in collaboration with San
12 Francisco Public Works, the Urban Forestry Council, and Friends of the Urban Forest,
13 developed the City’s Urban Forest Plan (Phase 1: Street Trees), which called for the creation
14 of a citywide street tree maintenance program and the planting of thousands of street trees to
15 promote public health, achieve greening equity and provide environmental benefits, which
16 was amended into the City’s General Plan by reference and adopted by the Board of
17 Supervisors on February 20, 2015, and on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in
18 File No. 141264, Ordinance No. 12-15, which is hereby declared to be a part of this
19 Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

20 WHEREAS, On November 8, 2016, 79% of San Francisco voters passed Proposition
21 E’s “Healthy Trees & Safe Sidewalks” ballot measure, which is on file with the Clerk of the
22 Board of Supervisors in File No. 230549, which is hereby declared to be a part of this
23 Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

24 WHEREAS, 2016’s Proposition E successfully shifted maintenance responsibility of
25 streets trees and root-damaged sidewalks from property owners to the City, and established

1 long-term dedicated funding via an annual \$19 million set-aside from the San Francisco
2 General Fund, adjusted annually based on the City's revenues, for the maintenance of those
3 125,000 street trees and trees on public schoolyards by San Francisco Public Works, as well
4 as the ongoing maintenance of all new street trees that are planted, in an acknowledgement
5 that a healthy urban canopy is critical public infrastructure which the City is committed to
6 investing in as a long-term public asset; and

7 WHEREAS, San Francisco's 2017 Street Tree Census identified approximately 40,000
8 vacant and potential street tree planting spaces in San Francisco; and

9 WHEREAS, San Francisco Public Works' Street Tree Planting Strategy identified the
10 need for planting 80,000 street trees over twenty years to achieve the Urban Forest Plan's
11 goal of increasing the street tree population to 155,000 street trees, including replacing trees
12 in existing empty sites due to natural mortality; and

13 WHEREAS, Maximizing trees throughout the public realm to sequester carbon is a key
14 component of the Healthy Ecosystems Strategy of San Francisco's 2021 Climate Action Plan;
15 and

16 WHEREAS, While Proposition E's annual set-aside dedicates a specific investment for
17 ongoing tree maintenance, the ballot measure also explicitly restricts those dedicated tree
18 maintenance funds from being used for new tree planting, and the City has limited additional
19 funding sources to plant new trees at the scale needed to halt San Francisco's urban tree
20 canopy decline, expand tree cover in low-canopy neighborhoods, and replace trees lost
21 during storms; and

22 WHEREAS, San Francisco is projected to experience a variety of impacts associated
23 with climate change, including an increase in extreme heat days and air quality hazards, the
24 most impacted communities are those that already carry the heaviest health burdens; and

25

1 WHEREAS, Because trees capture and store carbon dioxide (the primary greenhouse
2 gas responsible for climate change), planting in neighborhoods with fewer trees and those
3 most prone to climate impacts will help create shade, reduce temperatures in “urban heat
4 islands”, and clean the air by absorbing pollutants and capturing particulate matter on leaves,
5 thus helping to address issues of environmental injustice as a result of bad air quality; and

6 WHEREAS, The unprecedented storms this year required emergency response and
7 repair work that included assessing, removing and mitigating damage to hundreds of downed
8 trees, the removal of thousands of fallen branches and responding to hundreds of other tree-
9 related emergencies; and

10 WHEREAS, San Francisco Public Works’ Bureau of Urban Forestry (BUF) is
11 committed to preserving and growing the City’s tree canopy, as detailed in the 2021 BUF
12 Planting Strategy abiding by the three principles: Preserve, Promote, and Advance; and

13 WHEREAS, San Francisco’s tree canopy coverage, defined as the amount of area
14 covered by trees, lags behind most large U.S. cities at 13.7%, and planting street trees serves
15 to preserve the existing canopy by replacing trees that must be removed as well as increasing
16 the City’s overall tree canopy with a diversity of new and durable tree species; and

17 WHEREAS, While BUF’s Planting strategy has an overall goal of planting more trees in
18 every community to connect all San Franciscans to the many benefits that street trees and
19 greener neighborhoods provide, the 2021 Strategy has a specific emphasis on street tree
20 equity, by prioritizing new tree planting in neighborhoods with the lowest tree canopy and
21 fewest street tree, which, in San Francisco, often correlates to communities of color and low-
22 income communities that experience disparities in public health and historic patterns of
23 resource disinvestment; and

24
25

1 WHEREAS, San Francisco Public Works' BUF Planting Strategy also seeks to benefit
2 residents with limited access to job opportunities by providing employment and green
3 workforce training in arboriculture, tree planting and watering; and

4 WHEREAS, San Francisco is fortunate to have strong established community-based
5 organizations experienced in tree planting, tree watering and green workforce development
6 that could support the City's tree planting goals and implementation of the IRA grant if
7 awarded; and

8 WHEREAS, The City of San Francisco has recognized the importance of trees and has
9 established various programs, such as the Friends of the Urban Forest and the StreetTreeSF
10 program, to support tree planting and long-term care efforts; and

11 WHEREAS, The Federal Government passed the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022,
12 which included \$1 billion to provide competitive grants through the United States Forestry
13 Services' Urban and Community Forestry Program for tree planting and related activities, with
14 a priority for projects that benefit underserved populations; and

15 WHEREAS, San Francisco Public Works is preparing a \$50 million grant application
16 due on June 1, 2023, to dramatically upscale street tree planting over a five-year period in
17 collaboration with community partners with a focus on green jobs creation, workforce
18 development and greening underserved communities; now, therefore, be it

19 RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors supports the City's grant
20 application to the U.S. Department of Agriculture in response to its Forest Service Urban and
21 Community Forestry Inflation Reduction Act Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), which
22 would provide once-in-a-generation funding to restore San Francisco's urban canopy
23 damaged by recent storms, as well as make long-term tree canopy investments in high-need
24 environmental justice communities in San Francisco, and create urban forestry and green
25 workforce opportunities.

1 [General Plan Amendments - Urban Forest Plan (Phase 1: Street Trees)]

2
3 **Ordinance amending the General Plan by amending Policy 3.6 of the Recreation and**
4 **Open Space Element to reflect the adoption by reference of the Urban Forest Plan**
5 **(Phase 1: Street Trees); affirming the Planning Department's determination under the**
6 **California Environmental Quality Act, and making findings of consistency with the**
7 **General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

8 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
9 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
10 **Deletions to Codes** are in ~~italics Times New Roman font~~.
11 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
12 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~Arial font~~.
13 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
14 subsections or parts of tables.

15 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

16 Section 1. Findings.

17 A. Section 4.105 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides
18 that the Planning Commission shall periodically recommend to the Board of Supervisors, for
19 approval or rejection, proposed amendments to the General Plan.

20 B. On December 9, 2014, the Board of Supervisors received from the Planning
21 Department a proposed General Plan amendment which amends Policy 3.6 of the Recreation
22 and Open Space Element (ROSE) to reflect the adoption by reference of the Urban Forest
23 Plan (Phase 1: Street Trees).

24 C. Section 4.105 of the City Charter further provides that if the Board of
25 Supervisors fails to Act within 90 days of receipt of the proposed General Plan amendment,
then the proposed amendment shall be deemed approved.

1 D. San Francisco Planning Code Section 340 provides that an amendment to the
2 General Plan may be initiated by a resolution of intention by the Planning Commission, which
3 refers to, and incorporates by reference, the proposed General Plan amendment. Section
4 340 further provides that Planning Commission shall adopt the proposed General Plan
5 amendment after a public hearing if it finds from the facts presented that the public necessity,
6 convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendment or any part thereof. If
7 adopted by the Commission in whole or in part, the proposed amendment shall be presented
8 to the Board of Supervisors, which may approve or reject the amendment by a majority vote.

9 E. On October 9, 2014 the Planning Commission initiated the adoption of the
10 General Plan amendment amending Policy 3.6 of the ROSE, at a duly noticed public hearing.

11 F. On July 24, 2014, the Environmental Planning Section of the Planning
12 Department determined that the proposed General Plan amendment was categorically
13 exempt from environmental review under Classes 4 and 8 (State CEQA Guidelines Sections
14 15304(b) and 15308).

15 G. The Planning Commission, in Resolution 19281, found that the public necessity,
16 convenience and general welfare required the proposed General Plan amendment. The letter
17 from the Planning Department transmitting the proposed General Plan amendment to the
18 Board of Supervisors, the environmental determination, and the Planning Commission
19 Resolution approving proposed General Plan amendment is on file with the Clerk of the Board
20 in File No 141264. These and any and all documents referenced in this Ordinance have been
21 made available to, and have been reviewed by, the Board of Supervisors, and may be found
22 in either the files of the City Planning Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650
23 Mission Street in San Francisco, or in Board File No. 141264 with the Clerk of the Board of
24 Supervisors at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco.

1 H. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered all the documents
2 mentioned above, and hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference the Planning
3 Department's environmental determination as though it were fully set forth in this Ordinance.

4 I. The Board of Supervisors finds, pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, that the
5 General Plan amendment set forth in the documents on file with the Clerk of the Board in File
6 No.141264 will serve the public necessity, convenience and general welfare for the reasons
7 set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19281 and incorporates those reasons
8 herein by reference.

9 J. The Board of Supervisors finds that the General Plan amendment as set forth in
10 the documents on file with the Clerk of the Board in Board File No.141264, is in conformity
11 with the General Plan and the eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the
12 reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19281. The Board hereby adopts
13 these findings and incorporates them herein by reference.

14 Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the San Francisco General Plan
15 by adopting the amendment to Policy 3.6 of the ROSE, as recommended to the Board of
16 Supervisors by the Planning Commission on November 20, 2014, and referred to above.

17 Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
18 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
19 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
20 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

21 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
22 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

23 By: 
24 ANDREA RUIZ-ESQUIDE
25 Deputy City Attorney

n:\land\as2014\1100080\00960851.doc



City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Ordinance

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 141264

Date Passed: February 10, 2015

Ordinance amending the General Plan by amending Policy 3.6 of the Recreation and Open Space Element to reflect the adoption by reference of the Urban Forest Plan (Phase 1: Street Trees); affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

January 26, 2015 Land Use and Economic Development Committee - RECOMMENDED

February 03, 2015 Board of Supervisors - PASSED, ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

February 10, 2015 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 141264

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 2/10/2015 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Mayor

2/20/15

Date Approved



November 8, 2016 Final Election Results

DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		286,723	77.98%
DEM - LORETTA L. SANCHEZ		80,985	22.02%
Total		367,708	100%
Under Votes		46,255	
Over Votes		565	

Resources and tools

Back to top

Register to Vote

U.S. Representative, District 12

Ways to vote

		Ballots cast	Percentage
DEM - NANCY PELOSI	Voter portal	274,035	80.87%
NPP - PRESTON PICUS	District lookup	64,810	19.13%
Total		338,845	100%
Under Votes		29,471	
Over Votes	Data	130	

Back to top

Maps

Results

U.S. Representative, District 13

Future elections

		Ballots cast	Percentage
REP - SUE CARO	Contact	0	0%
DEM - BARBARA LEE		0	0%
Total	415-554-4375	0	0%
Under Votes	中文: 415-554-4367	0	
Over Votes	Español: 415-554-4366	0	
	Filipino: 415-554-4310	0	



Back to top

TTY: (415) 554-4386

Fax: (415) 554-7344

U.S. Representative, District 14

SFVote@sfgov.org

		Ballots cast	Percentage
DEM - JACKIE SPEIER		34,294	83.65%
REP - ANGEL CARDENAS	 Facebook	6,702	16.35%
Total		40,996	100%
Under Votes	 Instagram	5,074	

	Ballots cast	Percentage
VICTOR HWANG	217,689	65.05%
PAUL HENDERSON	116,937	34.95%
Total	334,626	100%
Under Votes	79,487	
Over Votes	415	

[Back to top](#)

Member, Board of Education

	Ballots cast	Percentage
MATT HANEY	175,803	18.89%
MARK SANCHEZ	155,706	16.73%
STEVON COOK	152,335	16.37%
RACHEL NORTON	129,012	13.86%
JILL WYNNS	94,571	10.16%
TREVOR MCNEIL	86,233	9.27%
PHIL KIM	65,045	6.99%
IAN KALIN	44,788	4.81%
ROB GELLER	25,617	2.75%
WRITE-IN	1,482	0.16%
Total	930,592	100%
Under Votes	723,716	
Over Votes	3,804	

[Back to top](#)

Member, Community College Board

	Ballots cast	Percentage
SHANELL WILLIAMS	191,317	23.77%
RAFAEL MANDELMAN	177,436	22.05%
ALEX RANDOLPH	156,306	19.42%
TOM TEMPRANO	142,452	17.7%

Under Votes	4,729
Over Votes	23

[Back to top](#)

Board of Supervisors, District 5

	Ballots cast	Percentage
LONDON BREED	21,318	51.91%
DEAN PRESTON	19,534	47.56%
WRITE-IN	218	0.53%
Total	41,070	100%
Under Votes	4,417	
Over Votes	44	

[Back to top](#)

Board of Supervisors, District 7

	Ballots cast	Percentage
NORMAN YEE	14,154	40.13%
JOEL ENGARDIO	7,630	21.63%
BEN MATRANGA	6,475	18.36%
JOHN FARRELL	4,927	13.97%
MIKE YOUNG	1,995	5.66%
WRITE-IN	93	0.26%
Total	35,274	100%
Under Votes	4,084	
Over Votes	128	

[Back to top](#)

Board of Supervisors, District 9

	Ballots cast	Percentage
HILLARY RONEN	18,335	57.22%
JOSHUA ARCE	9,612	30%

[Back to top](#)**BART Director, District 9**

	Ballots cast	Percentage
BEVAN DUFTY	91,763	60.39%
GWYNETH BORDEN	48,970	32.23%
MICHAEL PETRELIS	10,499	6.91%
WRITE-IN	723	0.48%
Total	151,955	100%
Under Votes	48,325	
Over Votes	441	

[Back to top](#)**State Proposition 51 - School Bonds. Funding For K-12 School And Community College Facilities. Initiative Statute.**[▶ more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	253,655	66.75%
No	126,327	33.25%
Total	379,982	100%
Under Votes	30,799	
Over Votes	232	

[Back to top](#)**State Proposition 52 - Medi-cal Hospital Fee Program. Initiative Constitutional Amendment And Statute.**[▶ more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	297,714	79.24%
No	77,996	20.76%
Total	375,710	100%
Under Votes	35,149	

Over Votes

241

[Back to top](#)

State Proposition 56 - Cigarette Tax To Fund Healthcare, Tobacco Use Prevention, Research, And Law Enforcement. Initiative Constitutional Amendment And Statute.

[▶ more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	323,192	81.49%
No	73,408	18.51%
Total	396,600	100%
Under Votes	14,277	
Over Votes	136	

[Back to top](#)

State Proposition 57 - Criminal Sentences. Parole. Juvenile Criminal Proceedings And Sentencing. Initiative Constitutional Amendment And Statute.

[▶ more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	314,007	82.6%
No	66,162	17.4%
Total	380,169	100%
Under Votes	30,678	
Over Votes	166	

[Back to top](#)

State Proposition 58 - English Proficiency. Multilingual Education. Initiative Statute.

[▶ more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	330,471	87.03%
No	49,257	12.97%
Total	379,728	100%
Under Votes	31,194	

Over Votes	252
------------	-----

[Back to top](#)

State Proposition 62 - Death Penalty. Initiative Statute.

► [more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	269,861	70.98%
No	110,308	29.02%
Total	380,169	100%
Under Votes	30,722	
Over Votes	122	

[Back to top](#)

State Proposition 63 - Firearms. Ammunition Sales. Initiative Statute.

► [more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	336,849	85.47%
No	57,278	14.53%
Total	394,127	100%
Under Votes	17,044	
Over Votes	121	

[Back to top](#)

State Proposition 64 - Marijuana Legalization. Initiative Statute.

► [more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	295,284	74.26%
No	102,347	25.74%
Total	397,631	100%
Under Votes	13,522	
Over Votes	139	

School Measure A - School Bonds

► more

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	289,351	79.79%
No	73,307	20.21%
Total	362,658	100%
Under Votes	48,309	
Over Votes	325	

This measure requires 55% affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

School Measure B - City College Parcel Tax

► more

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	291,565	80.62%
No	70,099	19.38%
Total	361,664	100%
Under Votes	49,511	
Over Votes	117	

This measure requires 66%% affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure C - Loans to Finance Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Affordable Housing

► more

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	270,113	76.7%
No	82,035	23.3%
Total	352,148	100%
Under Votes	58,989	
Over Votes	155	

This measure requires 66%% affirmative votes to pass.

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure G - Police Oversight

► [more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	281,776	80.77%
No	67,096	19.23%
Total	348,872	100%
Under Votes	62,215	
Over Votes	205	

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure H - Public Advocate

► [more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
No	182,807	52.24%
Yes	167,114	47.76%
Total	349,921	100%
Under Votes	61,233	
Over Votes	138	

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure I - Funding for Seniors and Adults with Disabilities

► [more](#)

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	245,962	66.26%
No	125,224	33.74%
Total	371,186	100%
Under Votes	38,735	

Under Votes		54,270
Over Votes		207

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure M - Housing and Development Commission

► more

	Ballots cast	Percentage
No	196,895	55.8%
Yes	155,993	44.2%
Total	352,888	100%
Under Votes	57,027	
Over Votes	167	

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure N - Non-Citizen Voting in School Board Elections

► more

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	203,413	54.39%
No	170,570	45.61%
Total	373,983	100%
Under Votes	35,860	
Over Votes	239	

This measure requires .50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure O - Office Development in Candlestick Point and Hunters Point

► more

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	187,281	51.9%
No	173,580	48.1%

Yes		165,723	45.18%
Total		366,782	100%
Under Votes		43,136	
Over Votes		164	

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure S - Allocation of Hotel Tax Funds

► [more](#)

		Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes		233,099	63.71%
No		132,769	36.29%
Total		365,868	100%
Under Votes		44,061	
Over Votes		153	

This measure requires 66%% affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure T - Restricting Gifts and Campaign Contributions from Lobbyists

► [more](#)

		Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes		313,411	87.26%
No		45,738	12.74%
Total		359,149	100%
Under Votes		50,721	
Over Votes		212	

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

Local Measure U - Affordable Housing Requirements for Market-Rate Development Projects

► [more](#)

Community Services in Certain Neighborhoods

► more

	Ballots cast	Percentage
Yes	211,168	59.86%
No	141,578	40.14%
Total	352,746	100%
Under Votes	57,169	
Over Votes	167	

This measure requires 50%+1 affirmative votes to pass.

[Back to top](#)

District Measure RR - BART Safety, Reliability and Traffic Relief

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)



I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

