

# 2022-2023 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 13, 2023

The Honorable London Breed Mayor of San Francisco City Hall, Room 200 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Breed,

The 2022–2023 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "*Not Making the Grade: San Francisco's Shortage of Credentialed Teachers*," to the public on June 15, 2023. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Anne-Christine Massullo, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than August 14, 2023.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
- 2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
- 2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
- 3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
- 4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Massullo at <u>CGrandJury@sftc.org</u> or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

Karen Kennard, Foreperson



# 2022-2023 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 13, 2023

Ben Rosenfield Controller, Office of the Controller City Hall, Room 316 1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Controller Rosenfield,

The 2022–2023 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "*Not Making the Grade: San Francisco's Shortage of Credentialed Teachers*," to the public on June 15, 2023. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Anne-Christine Massullo, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
- 2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
- 2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
- 3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
- 4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

You are not required to respond to the findings and recommendations in this report. If you choose to respond, please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Massullo at <a href="mailto:CGrandJury@sftc.org">CGrandJury@sftc.org</a> or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512, no later than August 14, 2023.

Respectfully,

Karen Kennard, Foreperson



# 2022-2023 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 13, 2023

Dr. Matthew Wayne Superintendent of Schools, San Francisco Unified School District 555 Franklin Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Dr. Wayne,

The 2022–2023 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "*Not Making the Grade: San Francisco's Shortage of Credentialed Teachers*," to the public on June 15, 2023. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Anne-Christine Massullo, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than August 14, 2023.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
- 2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
- 2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
- 3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
- 4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Massullo at <a href="mailto:CGrandJury@sftc.org">CGrandJury@sftc.org</a> or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

Karen Kennard, Foreperson



# 2022-2023 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 13, 2023

Kevine Boggess President, San Francisco Board of Education 555 Franklin Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Boggess,

The 2022–2023 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "*Not Making the Grade: San Francisco's Shortage of Credentialed Teachers*," to the public on June 15, 2023. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Anne-Christine Massullo, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 13, 2023.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
- 2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

- 1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
- 2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
- 3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
- 4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Massullo at <a href="mailto:CGrandJury@sftc.org">CGrandJury@sftc.org</a> or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

Karen Kennard, Foreperson