FILE NO. 230502

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 7/10/2023 ORDINANCE NO.

- 1 [General Plan Port of San Francisco Waterfront Plan Update]
- 2

3

4	Plan, and Northeastern Waterfront Area Plan of the General Plan to maintain
5	consistency with the Port of San Francisco's Waterfront Plan update; and making
6	environmental findings, including adopting a statement of overriding considerations,
7	and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of

Ordinance amending the Recreation and Open Space Element, Central Waterfront Area

- 8 Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and
- 9 welfare under Planning Code, Section 340.

10	NOTE:	Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
11		Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font .
12		Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> . Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
13		Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
14		
15	Be it orda	ained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
16		
17	Section 1	. Environmental and Planning Code Findings.
18	(a) On M	larch 16, 2023, after a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission,
19	by Motion No. 2	1277, certified the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Port of
20	San Francisco's	Waterfront Plan (the Project), which updates and amends the Port's 1997
21	Waterfront Land	I Use Plan and sets long term goals and policies to guide the use,
22	management, a	nd improvement of properties owned and managed by the Port. The Planning
23	Commission mo	tion finds that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of
24	the City and Co	unty of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, contains no
25	significant revision	ons to the Draft EIR, and the content of the report and the procedures through

which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed comply with the provisions of the
California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et
seq.), the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. Section 15000 et seq.), and Chapter 31 of
the San Francisco Administrative Code. Copies of the Planning Commission Motion and Final
EIR are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230502 and is
incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

(b) The Project evaluated in the Final EIR includes the proposed amendments to the
General Plan set forth in this ordinance as well as amendments to the Planning Code and
Zoning Map.

(c) On April 5, 2023, the Planning Department published Addendum No. 1 to the Final 10 EIR (the "Addendum"), and determined that the additional information in Addendum No. 1 11 12 does not change the analyses and conclusions presented in the FEIR. The Addendum 13 provides additional language to clarify the CEQA review process for subsequent projects. The Addendum is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230501 and is 14 15 incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination; and (ed) On April 11, 2023, the Port Commission, in Resolution No. 23-15, adopted 16 17 findings under CEQA regarding the Project's environmental impacts, the disposition of 18 mitigation measures, and project alternatives, as well as a statement of overriding 19 considerations (CEQA Findings), and adopted a mitigation monitoring reporting program 20 (MMRP). A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File 21 No. <u>230502</u>, and is incorporated herein by reference. (de) On _____April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 22

23 <u>21303</u>, adopted findings under CEQA regarding the Project's environmental
 24 impacts, the disposition of mitigation measures, and project alternatives, as well as a

25 statement of overriding considerations (CEQA Findings), and adopted a mitigation monitoring

reporting program (MMRP). A copy of said Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
 Supervisors in File No. <u>230502</u>, and is incorporated herein by reference.

(ef) On April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21303,
recommended the proposed General Plan amendments for approval and adopted findings
that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's
General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts
these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
Supervisors in File No. 230502, and is incorporated herein by reference.

9 (fg) On April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21303, adopted 10 findings under Planning Code Section 340 that the actions contemplated in this ordinance will 11 serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare. The Board adopts these findings as its 12 own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 13 230502, and is incorporated herein by reference.

(<u>gh</u>) The Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered the Final EIR and the
 environmental documents on file referred to herein. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed
 and considered the CEQA Findings, and hereby adopts them as its own and incorporates
 them by reference as though such findings were fully set forth in this ordinance.

(hi) The Board of Supervisors adopts the MMRP as a condition of this approval, and
 endorses those mitigation measures that are under the jurisdiction of other City Departments,
 and recommends for adoption those mitigation measures that are enforceable by agencies
 other than City agencies, all as set forth in the CEQA Findings and MMRP.

(ij) The Board of Supervisors finds that since certification of the Final EIR no
 substantial changes have occurred in the proposed Project that would require revisions in the
 Final EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial
 increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects, no substantial changes have

occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the proposed Project is to be
undertaken that would require major revisions to the Final EIR due to the involvement of new
environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the Final
EIR, and no new information of substantial importance to the proposed Project has become
available which indicates that (1) the Project will have significant effects not discussed in the
Final EIR, (2) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe, (3) mitigation
measure or alternatives found not feasible that would reduce one or more significant effects
have become feasible, or (4) mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably
different from those in the Final EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects
on the environment.
Section 2. The Central Waterfront Area Plan of the San Francisco General Plan is
hereby amended as follows:
CENTRAL WATERFRONT AREA PLAN
* * * *
1. LAND USE
OBJECTIVE 1.1
ENCOURAGE THE TRANSITION OF PORTIONS OF THE CENTRAL
WATERFRONT TO A MORE MIXED-USE CHARACTER, WHILE PROTECTING THE
NEIGHBORHOOD'S CORE OF PDR USES AS WELL AS THE HISTORIC DOGPATCH
NEIGHBORHOOD
Portions of the Central Waterfront have been transitioning from PDR to a more mixed-
use character. This has been particularly the case in the northern portion of the neighborhood,

with new residential development and a small amount of new retail occurring along Third
Street. In addition, life science and medical related uses are expected to desire locations
close to Mission Bay in the northern portion of this neighborhood. This mix of uses in the
northern portion of the neighborhood should be maintained and promoted, while the core PDR
areas south of 23rd Street and east of Third Street should be protected.

6

7 Because of its proximity to Mission Bay and the UCSF research and hospital facilities

8 there, *the northern portion of the* Central Waterfront is a logical place to encourage

9 development of life-science related research institutions-*as well as medical offices and clinics*.

10 *Encouraging these uses to cluster in the northern portion of the neighborhood should help to prevent*

11 *unnecessary displacement of PDR businesses further to the south*.

12

The existing Dogpatch residential neighborhood and its small adjacent neighborhood commercial district constitute a unique enclave within the larger Central Waterfront area. The historic homes in this area, along Tennessee and Minnesota Streets, were built around the turn of the <u>twentieth</u> century and earlier. Land use controls in this area should ensure its future as a small-scale residential enclave.

- 18
- 19

<u>Controls should also maintain and protect t</u> the unique character of the Central

20 Waterfront's existing neighborhood commercial area *should also be maintained and protected*.

21 Twenty-Second Street is already the focus of retail activity for the neighborhood and connects

- the CalTrain Station to Third Street. Continuing to encourage retail on the ground floor
- 23 between Third and Minnesota Streets builds on the existing character of the street,
- 24 concentrates activity, and helps to create a "neighborhood heart." To ensure compatibility with
- 25

the existing scale of these areas, large lot development and lot mergers should be restricted
and business sizes carefully controlled.

3

The Pier 70 area plays a significant role in defining the Central Waterfront. Future historic 4 5 preservation efforts and new infill development will have a significant effect on the ultimate character 6 of the entire neighborhood. (The Pier 70 area is generally defined as the area east of Illinois Street 7 between Mariposa Street and 22nd Street.) While the Port has adopted the Waterfront Land Use Plan 8 that specifically calls for a mixed-use development opportunity site within a portion of Pier 70, 9 previous development proposals for the opportunity site alone were unsuccessful, due largely to the 10 unknown ultimate disposition of the remainder of the Pier 70 area. Therefore the Port has initiated conducted a community based planning process that will ultimately lead to the development of a 11 12 Master Plan, including a preservation strategy for the historic resources for the Pier 70 area. This 13 effort began in late 2006 with completion of a preferred Master Plan anticipated by mid-2008. Because the Port's Pier 70 planning process for Pier 70 is ongoing, this Plan leaves zoning and height controls 14 15 for the area as-is, in recognition that the Plan may need to be amended, and zoning modified, to reflect 16 the outcome of the Port's Pier 70 area planning process. to develop a comprehensive strategy for Pier 17 70, including the shipyard area, and created the Pier 70 Union Iron Works Historic District, which is 18 listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The Pier 70 area is generally defined as the area 19 east of Illinois Street between Mariposa Street and 22nd Street. 20 21 The Pier 70-Mixed Use Opportunity Site may be an opportunity to encourage larger, nonmaritime and non-PDR activities such as commercial as well as research and development uses. These 22 23 must be carefully integrated into the larger Pier 70 area and the adjacent neighborhood so that they 24 are not disruptive to surrounding uses. community planning collaborations built support for developer 25 partnerships and the creation of the Pier 70 Special Use District. The Port and City are working in

1	coordination with the developers to implement improvements consistent with, and incorporated by
2	reference in, the Port's Waterfront Plan. The Pier 70 Special Use District will: 1) rehabilitate and
3	adaptably reuse Pier 70 Historic Resources; 2) support maritime industry; 3) provide new Blue
4	Greenway open spaces including shoreline access; 4) conduct as-needed environmental remediation;
5	5) provide for new infill development that is adaptively designed to address sea level rise; 6) develop
6	new infrastructure required to support the development; and 7) provide a funding stream to implement
7	the variety of goals defined for the site. Adjacent to Pier 70, the site of the former Potrero Power
8	Station is now proposed for mixed-use development, as further described in Policy 1.1.8.
9	
10	Adjacent to the Pier 70 area, the Potrero power plant is expected to cease operations sometime
11	in the future. While contamination of the soil here will preclude housing development on the site, it will
12	be an opportunity, similar to Pier 70, for mixed-use development in the future that could include larger
13	activities such as commercial as well as research and development uses. A future community planning
14	process for this site will help determine exactly what should occur on the site.
15	
16	This Plan's approach to land use controls in the Central Waterfront neighborhood
17	consists of the following key elements:
18	
19	In the northern part of the Central Waterfront (generally north of 23rd Street and
20	west of Illinois Street) establish new controls that allow mixed-income residential
21	development, while limiting new office and retail development.
22	
23	• Unlike in most other parts of the Eastern Neighborhoods where mixed-use districts
24	generally limit all large office development, make an exception here for life-science and
25	medical-related office and clinical facilities, due to the proximity to Mission Bay.

1	
2	 Provide a buffer around the Dogpatch neighborhood, where larger office and life
3	clinical facilities would not be permitted.
4	
5	 In the core PDR area, generally south of 23rd Street, establish new controls that
6	protect PDR businesses by prohibiting new residential development and limiting
7	new office and retail development.
8	
9	 In areas controlled by the Port as well as the Potrero Power <u>Plant</u> <u>Station</u> site,
10	guide improvements according to the Pier 70 Special Use District and Potrero Power
11	Station Special Use District Development Agreements' planning policies, zoning
12	controls, and design standards, and maintain existing industrial zoning of Port
13	property outside of the Pier 70 area pending the outcome of separate planning processes
14	<i>for these areas</i> .
15	
16	• <u>Address Seal Level Rise as an integral goal when planning and designing new buildings</u>
17	and developments along the waterfront.
18	
19	The policies to address the needs highlighted <i>above are as <u>include the</u></i> follow <u>ing</u> s:
20	
21	POLICY 1.1.1
22	<i>Revise land use controls in<u>In</u> the core PDR area generally south of 23rd Street,<i>to</i></i>
23	protect and promote PDR activities, as well as the arts, by prohibiting construction of
24	new housing and limiting the amount of <u>new office and retail uses that can be introduced</u> .
25	

1 **POLICY 1.1.2**

2	<u>Maintain the revised</u> Revise land use controls in formerly industrial areas outside the
3	core Central Waterfront industrial area, <i>to<u>which</u> create<u>s</u> new mixed use areas, <u>and</u></i>
4	allow <i>ing</i> mixed-income housing as a principal use, as well as limited amounts of retail,
5	office, and research and development, while protecting against the wholesale
6	displacement of PDR uses.
7	
8	POLICY 1.1.3
9	Permit and encourage life science and medical related uses at the most appropriate
10	<u>locations.in the northern portion of the Central Waterfront, close to Mission Bay by eliminating</u>
11	restrictions on life-science and medical-related office and clinical uses that might otherwise apply.
12	
13	POLICY 1.1.4
14	Maintain the integrity of the historic Dogpatch neighborhood.
15	
16	POLICY 1.1.5
17	Create a buffer around the Dogpatch neighborhood to protect against
18	encroachment of larger office and life science research uses.
19	
20	POLICY 1.1.6
21	Permit and encourage small and moderate size retail establishments in
22	neighborhood commercial areas of Central Waterfront, while allowing larger retail in
23	the new Urban Mixed Use districts only when part of a mixed-use development.
24	
25	POLICY 1.1.7

1	Ensure that <i>future development <u>atof the Port's Pier 70 <i>Mixed Use Opportunity Site</i></u></i>
2	supports the Port's and City land use and planning policies and design standards set forth in the
3	<u>Pier 70 Special Use District, and revenue-raising goals while remaining</u> complementarys to the
4	maritime and industrial nature of the area.
5	
6	POLICY 1.1.8
7	Consider the Potrero power plant site as an opportunity for reuse for larger-scale commercial
8	and research establishments. Ensure the development of the Potrero Power Station Mixed-Use
9	Development Project, a multi-phase 29 acre master development that was approved in 2019; the
10	Potrero Power Station Mixed-Use Development Project includes a wide mix of residential, non-
11	residential, and community facility uses along with a wide variety of recreational and open space
12	facilities that, among other goals, provide a continuous sequence of park and recreational
13	opportunities along and to the Bay waterfront. Integral to the Power Station Development are
14	strategies that assure the development is sustainable and resilient, particularly to Sea Level Rise.
15	
16	* * * *
17	
18	OBJECTIVE 1.4
19	SUPPORT A ROLE FOR "KNOWLEDGE SECTOR" BUSINESSES IN
20	APPROPRIATE PORTIONS OF THE CENTRAL WATERFRONT
21	
22	The "Knowledge Sector" consists of businesses that create economic value through the
23	knowledge they generate and provide for their customers. These include businesses involved
24	in financial services, professional services, information technology, publishing, digital media,
25	multimedia, life sciences (including biotechnology), and environmental products and

technologies. The Knowledge Sector contributes to the city's economy through the high
wages these industries generally pay, creating multiplier effects for local-serving businesses
in San Francisco, and generating payroll taxes for the city. Although these industries generally
require greater levels of training and education than PDR workers typically possess, they may
in the future be able to provide a greater number of quality jobs for some San Franciscans
without a four-year college degree, provided appropriate workforce development programs
are put in place.

8

9 From a land use perspective, the Knowledge Sector utilizes a variety of types of space. 10 Depending on the particular needs of a company, this may include buildings for offices, 11 research and development (R&D), and manufacturing. Mmixed-use and industrial land in the 12 Central Waterfront benefits from lower rents and less-intensive development than other parts 13 of the city. These characteristics may allow for the location of manufacturing and R&D 14 components of the Knowledge Sector, as well as provide some Class B office space suitable 15 for Knowledge Sector companies who cannot afford or would prefer not to be located 16 downtown. Additionally, the proximity of the Central Waterfront to the life science research 17 and medical uses of Mission Bay support a concentration of life science uses in *parts of* the 18 Central Waterfront. These uses could be supported in the following manner:

19

20

- The PDR component of the Knowledge Sector could locate throughout the Mixed Use and PDR districts of the Central Waterfront.
- 22

23

24

- The office component of the Knowledge Sector should be directed towards space above the ground floor in buildings in the Central Waterfront's Mixed Use
- 25

1	and PDR-1 districts. The amount of office in these buildings should be restricted
2	to support PDR uses above the ground floor.
3	
4	 R&D uses range from being office-only to a mixture of office and production and
5	testing. To the degree that uses are office-only, they will face the same controls
6	as office uses. The more <i>industrially-oriented</i> R&D uses could be located
7	throughout the Mixed Use and PDR districts-of the Mission, though the office
8	component would be subject to office controls.
9	
10	• To capitalize on proximity to Mission Bay, life science and medical office buildings
11	should be directed towards the northern portions of the Central Waterfront.
12	* * * *
13	
13 14	OBJECTIVE 1.8
	OBJECTIVE 1.8 PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL
14	
14 15	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL
14 15 16	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL
14 15 16 17	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL WATERFRONT
14 15 16 17 18	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL WATERFRONT The Central Waterfront has long been home to maritime activities, including the
14 15 16 17 18 19	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL WATERFRONT The Central Waterfront has long been home to maritime activities, including the existing Pier 70 <u>shipyard</u> dry dock. As a response to the advent of containerization in the 1960s,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL WATERFRONT The Central Waterfront has long been home to maritime activities, including the existing Pier 70 <u>shipyard</u> dry dock. As a response to the advent of containerization in the 1960s, the Port of San Francisco began to focus its cargo operations at Pier 80, and south of Islais
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL WATERFRONT The Central Waterfront has long been home to maritime activities, including the existing Pier 70 <u>shipyarddry dock</u> . As a response to the advent of containerization in the 1960s, the Port of San Francisco began to focus its cargo operations at Pier 80, and south of Islais Creek at Piers 94-96. Maintaining and supporting these activities, including ship repair,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21	PROTECT MARITIME AND MARITIME-RELATED ACTIVITIES IN THE CENTRAL WATERFRONT The Central Waterfront has long been home to maritime activities, including the existing Pier 70 <u>shipyarddry dock</u> . As a response to the advent of containerization in the 1960s, the Port of San Francisco began to focus its cargo operations at Pier 80, and south of Islais Creek at Piers 94-96. Maintaining and supporting these activities, including ship repair, maritime support, warehousing and storage, and shipping, is important to both the Port's

1 impediments caused by the presence of sensitive land uses such as housing or 2 neighborhood-related activities. 3 Shipbuilding and ship repair *havehad* been carried out at the Pier 70 dry dock since the 4 5 late 1880s. In fact, Pier 70 ishosted the longest continually operating, non-military dry dock on 6 the West Coast. While the Port's ship repair operator terminated operations in 2017, the Pier 70 7 shipyard will continue to serve various maritime and industrial uses. Any development adjacent to 8 the *shipyarddry dock* facility should not impinge on its *maritime and industrial* use. In particular, 9 to avoid conflict, uses sensitive to a 24-hour, industrial operation should not be located nearby. 10 The Port's terminal at the 69-acre Pier 80 is in active use, providing the Port and city 11 12 with modern container- and non-container-cargo handling facilities. The businesses at and 13 related to Pier 80 are well integrated with the city's economy; they employ a substantial 14 number of people, generate income for the Port, and taxes for the city. Continued, efficient 15 access by freight rail and truck from the peninsula, freeways, and via city streets is fundamental to the viability of the pier and the industries related to it. Therefore, transportation 16 17 infrastructure in the vicinity of Pier 80 should not be changed in ways that would interfere with 18 its continued efficient operation. 19 * * * * 20 21 **POLICY 3.3.4** Compliance with strict environmental efficiency standards for new buildings is 22 23 strongly encouraged. 24 25

1	The positive relationship between building sustainability, urban form, and the public
2	realm has become increasingly understood as these buildings become more commonplace in
3	cities around the world. Instead of turning inwards and creating a distinct and disconnected
4	internal environment, sustainable buildings look outward at their surroundings as they allow in
5	natural light and air. In so doing, they relate to the public domain through architectural
6	creativity and visual interest, as open, visible windows provide a communicative interchange
7	between those inside and outside the building. In an area where creative solutions to open
8	space, public amenity, and visual interest are of special need, sustainable building strategies
9	that enhance the public realm and enhance ecological sustainability are to be encouraged.
10	
11	OBJECTIVE 3.4
12	ENSURE FUTURE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ADDRESS THE NEED TO
13	<u>MITIGATE AND RESPOND TO FUTURE HAZARDS, PARTICULARLY THOSE CAUSED BY</u>
14	<u>SEA LEVEL RISE AND CLIMATE CHANGE.</u>
15	
16	<u>Policy 3.4.1</u>
17	Engage the community on an ongoing basis when adapting land use and development
18	policies to mitigate and reduce hazard risks associated with Sea Level Rise.
19	In August 2021, the City and the Port published the Islais Creek Southeast Mobility Adaptation
20	Strategy (ICSMAS), which examined the Creek and its surrounding neighborhood's flood hazard risks
21	and identified a comprehensive suite of possible adaptation pathways to protect the area and its key
22	public assets from flooding and permanent inundation. The ICSMAS describes community
23	engagement, methodology, and recommendations that could be used as a template and starting point
24	for future ongoing planning and community engagement to address SLR-related hazard risk around
25	<u>Islais Creek.</u>

4	
1	

4. Transportation

3

2

NOTE: The following Transportation objectives and policies relate specifically to the
transportation system. Objectives and policies related to physical street design can be found
in the Streets and Open Space chapter.

7

8 The gritty, industrial character of the Central Waterfront extends to the transportation 9 system serving it. The challenge is to preserve the essential character of the neighborhood 10 while supporting a full, equitable range of choices for the movement of people and goods to, 11 within, and from the Central Waterfront. Access to transportation, particularly alternatives to 12 the private automobile, must be knitted into the fabric of the neighborhood and everyday 13 services promoted to reduce the need to travel.

14

Ongoing improvements to the operation of the new Third Street Light Rail line will continue to improve the accessibility of the area by transit. New commercial and residential development will support basic services and reduce the need to travel outside the neighborhood. The Central Waterfront's transportation infrastructure must continue to cater to industrial uses while also reducing conflicts that heavy freight traffic creates with other road users such as bicyclists and pedestrians.

21

22 OBJECTIVE 4.1

23 IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSIT TO BETTER SERVE EXISTING AND NEW 24 DEVELOPMENT IN CENTRAL WATERFRONT

1 The Central Waterfront is well served by both local and regional transit. In early 2007, 2 Muni's Third Street Light Rail entered revenue service, providing a direct link north to 3 downtown and south to Bayview/Hunters Point and Visitacion Valley. The 22nd Street Caltrain 4 Station provides regional connections south to the South Bay and Silicon Valley. While the 5 majority of transit service and ridership in the Central Waterfront is along north-south corridors, the need remains to improve cross-town routes. At present, crosstown bus service is 6 7 provided by the #22-Fillmore and #48-Quintara. Service on the #48-Quintara in particular needs to be 8 strengthened. Streamlining the circuitous routing over Potrero Hill could improve travel times. AsAs 9 the number of workers and residents in the neighborhood increases, there will be greater demand for transit access from all parts of the city. The the cross-town routes also play an 10 important role as feeder routes to the Third Street Light Rail. 11 12 13 Beginning in 2008, In 2011, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency 14 (SFMTA), Planning Department and the San Francisco County Transportation Authority 15 (SFCTA) will commence completed a comprehensive Eastern Neighborhoods Transportation Implementation Planning Study (EN TRIPS) to that further explored the feasibility of the 16 17 options described above, determine which projects are needed, how they should be designed 18 and how they can be funded. A key input to this will be was SFMTA's Muni Forward Project" Transit Effectiveness Project" (TEP), which was the first comprehensive study of the Muni 19 20 system since the late 1970s. *The TEP <u>Muni Forward aims to promoted</u> overall performance and* 21 long-term financial stability through faster, more reliable transportation choices and cost-22 effective operating practices. In 2021, the multiagency transportation planning collaboration 23 *ConnectSF produced a Transit Strategy, which describes the major capital projects and programs that* 24 will help San Francisco's transit system meet the existing and future travel needs of residents, workers, 25

1	and visitors. The TEP recommendations focus on improving transit service, speed and reliability and
2	should be implemented as soon as possible within the Central Waterfront.
3	
4	* * * *
5	
6	POLICY 4.1.3
7	<u>Continue to support Implement</u> the service recommendations of the Transit
8	<i>Effectiveness Project (TEP) Muni Forward program and the recommendations of the ConnectSF</i>
9	<u>Transit Strategy</u> .
10	
11	POLICY 4.1.4
12	Reduce existing curb cuts where possible and restrict new curb cuts to prevent
13	vehicular conflicts with transit on important transit and neighborhood commercial
14	streets.
15	
16	Curb cuts should be reduced on key neighborhood commercial, pedestrian, and transit
17	streets, where it is important to maintain continuous active ground floor activity, protect
18	pedestrian movement and retail viability, and reduce transit delay and variability. This is a
19	critical measure to reduce congestion and conflicts with pedestrians and transit movement
20	along Transit Preferential Streets, particularly where transit vehicles do not run in protected
21	dedicated rights-of-way and are vulnerable to disruption and delay. Curb cuts are currently
22	restricted along Third Street.
23	
24	POLICY 4.1.5
25	

1	Ensure Muni's storage and maintenance facility needs are met to serve
2	increased transit demand and provide enhanced service.
3	
4	Additional transit vehicles will be needed to serve new development in the Eastern
5	Neighborhoods. The capacity of existing storage and maintenance facilities should be
6	expanded and new facilities constructed to support growth in the Eastern Neighborhoods.
7	Address the need to mitigate and respond to possible future hazards, particularly related to SLR, in
8	Muni's ongoing planning for, and maintenance and future rehabilitation of, such facilities
9	
10	* * * *
11	OBJECTIVE 4.6
12	SUPPORT WALKING AS A KEY TRANSPORTATION MODE BY IMPROVING
13	PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION WITHIN CENTRAL WATERFRONT AND TO OTHER PARTS
14	OF THE CITY
15	
16	* * * *
17	POLICY 4.6.6
18	Explore opportunities to identify and expand waterfront recreational trails and
19	opportunities including the Bay Trail.
20	
21	The Association of Bay Area Governments' (ABAG) Bay Trail project is a planned
22	recreational corridor that, when complete, will encircle San Francisco and San Pablo Bays
23	with a continuous 400-mile network of bicycling and hiking trails. At present, much of the Bay
24	Trail extends along Third Street and Illinois Street provide the link in the Bay Trail through the
25	Central Waterfront. Created in 2012, the Blue Greenway open space guidelines and network through

1 the Central Waterfront promote parks and public access that extends to and along the waterfront, 2 integrated in new mixed use developments while respecting space needs of *Currently, the Bay Trail* 3 crosses Islais Creek on Third Street and jogs over to Illinois Street at 23rd Street. Ideally, the trail would run closer to the water, though heavy industrial and maritime uses, along with a lack of 4 5 continuous public rights of way, preclude such a continuous shoreline path. The city should take 6 advantage of opportunities to move it eastwards if and when Port lands are redeveloped. Signs for 7 spur trails to new and improved public open spaces and shoreline access at Islais Creek, 8 Warm Water Cove, Irish Hill, and Pier 70 should be placed and included in the Bay Trail maps 9 and literature. Other proposals to further evaluate include the "Blue-Greenway," a proposed 13-mile greenway network along the San Francisco's Central and Southern Waterfront. 10 11 12 **OBJECTIVE 4.7** 13 IMPROVE AND EXPAND INFRASTRUCTURE FOR BICYCLING AS AN

14 IMPORTANT MODE OF TRANSPORTATION

15

16 The Central Waterfront plays a critical role in creating a continuous, safe, comfortable bicycle connection between downtown and the Bayview/Hunters Point. It is flat and provides 17 18 direct routes between the two areas. Given the Mission Bay development to the north, the best bike corridors through the Central Waterfront are Indiana and Illinois Streets. Indiana 19 20 Street provides direct access to the 22nd Street Caltrain Station, Esprit Park, and Islais Creek 21 open space. Illinois Street improvements would connect to downtown via Terry Francois Boulevard, and to Bayview/Hunters Point via the Illinois Street bridge over Islais Creek. 22 23 24 The SFMTA is studying these corridors, as well as Minnesota Street, for bicycle 25 improvements that will facilitate safer north-south connections including bike lanes on Illinois Street

1	<i>and shared lane markings ("sharrows") on Indiana Street</i> . Potential <u>east-west</u> bicycle
2	improvements include, but are not limited to, changes on Cesar Chavez Street and to-Mariposa
3	Street are being studied under the UCSF Mission Bay's new hospital planning and design process.
4	Additional bicycle connections-should be pursued to have been created in Pier 70 to connect-with
5	the Port's future redevelopment of the site. The proposed Blue Greenway offers the opportunity to
6	extend the Bay Trail through the Central Waterfront. Future planning and design should explore how
7	to safely integrate bicycles into the new recreational pathway along the waterfront. new development
8	and Blue Greenway open spaces to the city street grid and public realm. Bicycle access and
9	improvements should be carefully designed with respect to Central Waterfront industrial and truck
10	operations as addressed in Objective 4.4.
11	
12	The policies to address the objective outlined above are as follows:
13	
14	POLICY 4.7.1
	POLICY 4.7.1 Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities
14	
14 15	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities
14 15 16	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities connecting Central Waterfront to the citywide bicycle network <i>and <u>that conforms</u></i>
14 15 16 17	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities connecting Central Waterfront to the citywide bicycle network <i>and that conforms</i> <u>withconforming to</u> the San Francisco- <i>Bicycle Plan. bicycle policies and is designed for safety on</i>
14 15 16 17 18 19	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities connecting Central Waterfront to the citywide bicycle network <i>and that conforms</i> <u>withconforming to</u> the San Francisco- <i>Bicycle Plan. bicycle policies and is designed for safety on</i>
14 15 16 17 18	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities connecting Central Waterfront to the citywide bicycle network <i>and that conforms</i> <u>withconforming to</u> the San Francisco- <i>Bicycle Plan. bicycle policies and is designed for safety on</i> <u>streets that serve industrial business and vehicular traffic.</u>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities connecting Central Waterfront to the citywide bicycle network <i>and that conforms</i> <u>withconforming to the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. bicycle policies and is designed for safety on</u> <u>streets that serve industrial business and vehicular traffic.</u> POLICY 4.7.2
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities connecting Central Waterfront to the citywide bicycle network <i>and</i> <u>that conforms</u> <u>withconforming to</u> the San Francisco- <u>Bicycle Plan.</u> <u>bicycle policies and is designed for safety on</u> <u>streets that serve industrial business and vehicular traffic.</u> POLICY 4.7.2 Provide secure, accessible and abundant bicycle parking, particularly at transit
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Provide a continuous network of safe, convenient and attractive bicycle facilities connecting Central Waterfront to the citywide bicycle network <i>and</i> <u>that conforms</u> <u>withconforming to</u> the San Francisco- <u>Bicycle Plan.</u> <u>bicycle policies and is designed for safety on</u> <u>streets that serve industrial business and vehicular traffic.</u> POLICY 4.7.2 Provide secure, accessible and abundant bicycle parking, particularly at transit

1	Support the establishment of the Blue-Greenway by including safe, quality
2	pedestrian and bicycle connections from Central Waterfront.
3	
4	The vision for the "Blue Greenway" is to create a 13-mile greenway network along San
5	Francisco's Southern Waterfront, completing San Francisco's portion of the Bay Trail,
6	increasing public enjoyment of this historic, working waterfront, and providing much-needed
7	open space, water access, and a walking/biking route to San Francisco's eastern
8	neighborhoods.
9	
10	OBJECTIVE 4.8
11	ENCOURAGE ALTERNATIVES TO CAR OWNERSHIP AND THE REDUCTION OF
12	PRIVATE VEHICLE TRIPS
13	
14	* * * *
15	
16	POLICY 4.8.3
17	Develop a Implement the Citywide Transportation Demand Management (TDM)
18	program for the Eastern Neighborhoods that provides information and incentives for
19	employees, visitors and residents to use alternative transportation modes and travel
20	times.
21	
22	* * * *
23	
24	Central Waterfront has had a deficiency of open spaces serving the neighborhood. As
25	an industrial area many partsGiven that much of Central Waterfront is transitioning from industrial to

1 mixed-use, notable portions of Central Waterfront are not within walking distance to an existing 2 park and many areas lack adequate places to recreate and relax. With the addition of new 3 workers and residents, this deficiency will only be exacerbated. Thus, one of the primary objectives of this Plan is to provide more open space to serve both existing and new 4 5 residents, workers and visitors. Analysis reveals that a total of about 1.9 acres of new space should 6 be provided in this area to accommodate expected growth. Outside of Pier 70 and Potrero Power 7 Station, which together propose roughly nine acres of new open space, t his Plan proposes to 8 provide this new open space by creating at least one substantial new park site (or more than 9 one smaller open spaces commensurate to one substantial new park) in Central Waterfront. In addition, the Plan proposes to encourage some of the private open space that will be required 10 as part of development to be provided as public open space and to utilize our existing rights-11 12 of-way to provide pocket parks. 13 **OBJECTIVE 5.1** 14 PROVIDE PUBLIC PARKS AND OPEN SPACES THAT MEET THE NEEDS OF 15 16 **RESIDENTS, WORKERS AND VISITORS** 17 18 In a built-out neighborhood such as this, finding sites for sizeable new parks is difficult. 19 However, it is critical that at least one new substantial open space be provided as part of this 20 Plan. This Plan identifies a number of potential park sites: the area behind the IM Scott School 21 site, which is currently used for parking, expansion of Warm Water Cove and the development

- of Crane Cove Park *on <u>north of</u>* Pier 70. Additionally, as part of a *long-term planning process of*
- 23 <u>new development at the former Potrero Power Plant-site</u> and the Pier 70 <u>Special Use District</u>
- 24 <u>sites</u>Planning process, the <u>Bay shoreline will be improved with bayfront parks, pathways, and public</u>
- 25 <u>access connecting the Bay Trail through these areas. The</u> area surrounding Irish Hill is also

identified as a potential park site. *Finally, an improved waterfront at the end of 22nd Street would provide a much needed bayfront park site and should be considered as part of any long-term plans for Pier 70.*

4

The City is working with the San Francisco Unified School District on the IM Scott 5 6 School site and with the Port of San Francisco on the development of Crane Cove Park as 7 well as, and the City supports the renovation and expansion of Warm Water Cove. The Port 8 sites *would* greatly expand public access to the waterfront and provide large areas of public 9 open space. As part of the Pier 70 planning process, Crane Cove Park, located at 19th and 10 Illinois Street, *is being considered for includes* over 1,200 feet of Bay edge access, two cranes, and a *possible* small boat/aquatic center. This major new waterfront park adjacent to the Pier 11 12 70 shipyard would beis integrated with the restoration of the historic maritime structures. Also 13 envisioned is the renovation and future expansion of Warm Water Cove, a small landscaped 14 picnic area at the end of 24th Street adjacent to the Bay. Expansions to the south are planned and 15 future expansions to the south should be considered. The new master planned development at Pier 70 16 and the Potrero Power Station will provide multiple acres of open space along the waterfront and 17 internal to the two sites. The Planning Department will continue working with the Recreation and Parks 18 Department and the Port to pursue these public park sites in the Central Waterfront. 19 20 With the closure of the Potrero Power Plant site and the Pier 70 planning process, As a result of 21 the Pier 70 planning processes, the remnant of Irish Hill should be evaluated for its potential to be a 22 successful open space will be incorporated into the open space network. Irish Hill was once a

- 23 prominent feature of the Central Waterfront, serving as the home for workers in the nearby
- 24 mills from the 1880s until World War I, when the hill was mostly leveled to make way for
- 25

expansion of the shipyards. While little of the original bluff remains, it is an unusual reminder
 of the area's history.

3

In order to provide a public park, significant funding will need to be identified to acquire, 4 5 develop, and maintain the spaces. One source of funds would be impact fees or direct 6 contributions from new development. New residential development directly impacts the 7 existing park sites with its influx of new residents, therefore new residential development will 8 be required to either pay directly into a fund to acquire new open space or provide publicly 9 accessible space on or off-site. Funding for the planned Port open spaces is also needed. The 10 Port, with the Recreation and Parks Department, has proposed will propose an future open space bonds, which will could partially cover the cost of improvements to Warm Water Cove and to 11 12 an expanded Crane Cove Park, but additional funding sources will need to be found to ensure 13 the development of these open spaces.

14

15 Commercial development also directly impacts existing park sites, with workers, 16 shoppers and others needing places to eat lunch and take a break outside. This Plan also 17 proposes to charge an impact fee for commercial development to cover the impact of 18 proposed commercial development.

19

The policies to address the objective outlined above are as follows:

21

20

- 22 ****
- 23 OBJECTIVE 5.3
- 24 25

CREATE A NETWORK OF GREEN STREETS THAT CONNECTS OPEN SPACES AND IMPROVES THE WALKABILITY, AESTHETICS, AND ECOLOGICAL SUSTAINABILITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD

4

5 In a built out neighborhood such as Central Waterfront, acquiring sites for new large 6 parks can be difficult. For this reason, in addition to the acquisition of at least one park site in 7 the neighborhood, the Central Waterfront Area Plan proposes an open space network of 8 "Green Connector" streets, with wider sidewalks, places to sit and enjoy, significant 9 landscaping, and gracious street trees that would provide linkages between larger open spaces and diffuse the recreational and aesthetic benefits of these spaces into the 10 11 neighborhood. Specifically, this Plan proposes to create a greenway along 24th Street that will 12 connect Warm Water Cove to the rest of the neighborhood. At 100 feet, the 24th Street right-13 of-way between the water and Michigan Street is wider than a typical street width, offering the 14 opportunity for landscaping and greening elements to connect the park more strongly to Third 15 Street and to attract more users from Third Street. Additional green Connector streets are 16 proposed along Minnesota Street to connect Esprit Park, to Muni Park and passing the 17 proposed IM Scott school site park. Third Street also represents an important street with the 18 light rail and pedestrian activity on this street. Additionally, 22nd Street connecting the Central 19 Waterfront neighborhood to new neighborhood and waterfront public access in the proposed Pier 20 70 Special Use Districtredevelopment provides an opportunity for greening. Proposed 21 landscaping and greening improvements would enhance this streetscape. 22 23 Public access to Islais Creek is also in need of improvement. Currently, there are two

access points: the north side of Tennessee Street is a hardscaped area that covers a sewage
 outfall facility and the south side of the creek is a handicap-accessible put-in for non-

1 motorized watercraft. On the east side of the Third Street Bridge is Tulare pocket park. None 2 of these spaces are well used (except by skateboarders, who use the outfall cover) because 3 they are not easily reached, are small, and feel isolated and disconnected. As much as possible, future development should be required to contribute to the creation of a continuous 4 5 loop of publicly accessible open space, and should themselves help to activate it. The loop 6 should run from Illinois Street west along the northern edge of the creek, turning at the end of 7 the creek to run east along the southern edge, ending at the 3rd Street Bridge. Future 8 improvements to Islais Creek and its adjacent open spaces and infrastructure should include features 9 and programs that address possible future flooding and inundation caused by Sea Level Rise and *climate change.* 10 11 12 *This Plan also proposes to develop t* The area marking the historic alignment of the Tubbs 13 Cordage Factory has been developed into a public pedestrian passage or open space that 14 connects Tennessee and Third Streets. Now constructed, *Tthis will* improves the connection 15 between the Caltrain station and the *planned*-23rd Street light rail stop. (See Map 5 – Eastern 16 Neighborhoods Streets and Open Space Concept Map) 17 18 Streets can and should provide important and valued additions to the open space 19 network and aesthetic quality of the area. The design and maintenance of all other streets 20 throughout the Plan area should be guided by the Pier 70 Plan and *forthcoming the* Better 21 Streets Plan, *which* will provide direction on how to improve the overall urban design quality, aesthetic character, and ecological function of the city's streets while maintaining safe and 22 23 efficient use for all modes of transportation. The Better Streets Plan will provide guidance for 24 both public and private improvements to the streetscape. The Central Waterfront Area Plan, in 25 addition to the Better Streets Plan, will generate amendments to the planning code to make

more explicit the requirements of private developers to construct and maintain a more
 enjoyable, more beautiful pedestrian environment.

3

4 In addition to these general streetscape improvements along streets, specific design 5 interventions should also be considered for major intersections. As evidenced throughout the 6 Plan Area, where major intersections are often two streets of speeding through traffic framed 7 on four corners by single-story buildings, these places are unfriendly to the walker and cyclist. 8 To better foster a sense of place and to improve the pedestrian experience, significant public 9 space improvements – such as bulb-outs and landscaping treatments – should be focused at 10 these intersections. Additionally, as described in the Built Form chapter of this Plan, specific effort should be paid to improving the quality, design, massing, and scale of corner buildings 11 12 to better reflect the civic importance of major street intersections.

13

The Central Waterfront Area Plan calls for the development of the Blue Greenway, as
discussed further in the Transportation Chapter. The Blue Greenway is a 13 mile recreational
corridor that would run along the Bay – perhaps Central Waterfront's greatest natural asset –
providing a connection between *f*<u>T</u>he Embarcadero and China Basin all the way to
Candlestick Point, as a necklace of small waterfront parks, pathways, and promenades.

19

An important consideration for Central Waterfront is the visual and functional dominance of the elevated freeway and at-grade railway infrastructure. To soften this dominance and to improve connections through the infrastructure, the City is working with Caltrans to provide landscaping along the freeway-, as well as architectural lighting. This lighting should be both energy efficient and designed to minimize light spill into abutting neighborhoods.

1	
2	The Plan also proposes to utilize the existing rail rights-of way by pursuing acquisition
3	or conversion of the curved alignment between the Caltrain Station and 20th Street.
4	Comprised of two lots, both were former railroad rights-of-ways. They are currently privately
5	owned and are used as parking lots. Incorporating these into the system of green connector
6	streets would help create a functional, attractive pedestrian route between Caltrain, future
7	development at Pier 70, and other neighborhood destinations.
8	
9	The policies to address the objective outlined above are as follows:
10	* * * *
11	
12	POLICY 5.3.8
13	Pursue acquisition or conversion of Maintain public access to the Tubbs Cordage
14	Factory alignment <i>to public access</i> . <i>Should it be infeasible to purchase the necessary property</i> ,
15	future development should include the following improvements:
16	
17	- Good night-time lighting for pedestrian safety and comfort.
18	- Limit ground cover to 24" to maximize visibility.
19	
20	* * * *
21	
22	OBJECTIVE 8.2
23	PROTECT, PRESERVE, AND REUSE HISTORIC RESOURCES WITHIN THE
24	CENTRAL WATERFRONT AREA PLAN, INCLUDING THE UNION IRON WORKS
25	<u>NATIONAL REGISTER HISTORIC DISTRICT AT PIER 70.</u>

2	A substantial portion of the Central Waterfront area plan's rich history is represented in
3	the unique and largely intact collection of historic and cultural resources found on Port-owned
4	property, in particular, within the Pier 70 area. The Pier 70 Union Iron Works Historic District was
5	listed on the The Planning Department will participate in the Port's master planning activities for Pier
6	70. This planning effort includes research and documentation necessary to list the area as a National
7	Register Historic District. Other significant historic and cultural resources located in the
8	Central Waterfront area plan include individual properties, districts, and engineering
9	achievements such as bridges and tunnels that are listed on or eligible for the National or
10	California Register, or that are designated as Landmarks or Districts under Article 10 of the
11	Planning Code. These historic and cultural resources cannot be replaced if lost to demolition
12	or altered in such manner that their historic significance is diminished.
13	* * * *
14	
15	
16	Section 3. The Northeastern Waterfront Area Plan of the San Francisco General Plan
17	is hereby amended as follows:
18	
19	INTRODUCTION
20	Background
21	
22	San Francisco is a compact city, surrounded on three sides by the Pacific Ocean and
23	San Francisco Bay. From the beginning, the waterfront has played an intimate role in the
24	City's industrial, commercial, and recreational life.
25	

1	San Francisco has long served as a gateway to newcomers venturing to North America for the
2	first time due to its protected harbor. Originally home to the Ohlone, San Francisco attracted people
3	of European ancestry and other non-natives looking for a new life and a new place to call home.
4	<u>Europeans – specifically Spaniards – first settled in Although</u> San Francisco Bay was discovered by
5	<i>the Spaniards</i> in 1775,1776; however, it was not until the 1849 gold rush that the region had its
6	first wave of <i>population growth significant settlement and population growth by Europeans. As with</i>
7	all of the Americas, prior to western settlement, this land had been the home of indigenous peoples who
8	had maintained harmony with the Bay's ecology for millennia. When Juan Bautista de Anza arrived,
9	this was the home of the Yelamu, a subgroup of the Ramaytush-speaking group of the Ohlone people.
10	The focus of the growth was in the area adjacent to the Bay where deep and protected waters provided
11	a natural harbor. <u>Settlement of people of European ancestry in San Francisco generally followed</u>
12	settlement patterns of the Ohlone. For example, Spanish colonists did not lay their plans on a blank
13	canvas, as the natural landscape dictated the placement of both the Presidio and the Mission. Both
14	were situated near sources of drinking water, and both avoided the vast fields of sand dunes. Because it
15	was meant to protect the Bay, the Presidio overlooked the Golden Gate. Because it was supposed to
16	produce food, the Mission overlooked sunny grasslands. Spanish colonists also built on the settlement
17	patters of those they sought to colonize. Through the lens of settler colonialism, these echoes of
18	indigenous patterns on the land are still visible in today's City. In the Northeastern Waterfront,
19	European settlement growth was in the area adjacent to the Bay where deep and protected waters
20	provided a natural harbor.
21	This area is now the Northeastern Waterfront and includes Fisherman's Wharf to China
22	Basin. Much of this area was developed on Bay fill as the original shoreline skirted the base of
23	what are known as Telegraph, Rincon, and Potrero Hill.
24	
25	

During these early days <u>of settlement by people of European ancestry</u>, the waterfront was a
 lively part of town, busy with sailors and those hoping to earn their fortunes in the gold fields.
 City dwellers would stroll along the waterfront and enjoy the marvelous view of the Port and
 the Bay. The nearby hillsides were the sites of the earliest settlements and later became
 fashionable neighborhoods.

6

Through World War II, the waterfront retained its image *ofas* a thriving port and center
of the City's economic vitality. The Ferry Building, located at the foot of Market Street, became
a landmark structure symbolic of the City's ties with the Bay Area and the World. The western
half of San Francisco's waterfront, from Aquatic Park, west to the Presidio and south along
Ocean Beach to the County line was developed for military and recreational use and in recent
years has become part of the magnificent Golden Gate National Recreational Area.

13

With the passage of time, however, the Northeastern Waterfront became increasingly separated from the rest of the city and began to decline in activity. The completion of the Bay Bridge in the 1930's foreshadowed the decline of the Trans-Bay ferry service and diminished the role of the Ferry Building. The construction of the Embarcadero Freeway and parking lots beneath it created visual and physical barriers to the Bay, impeding the revitalization of this part of the City.

20

Changes in transportation technology related to the movement of goods by water also contributed to the decline of the waterfront. The placement of cargo in standardized containers resulted in dramatic shifts in maritime transportation patterns. Container shipping shifted the emphasis from the traditional breakbulk method of cargo handling, for which the Port's finger piers were designed, and created the need for large modern facilities requiring considerable capital investment and backland support areas. In response, new container
 shipping facilities were developed in the central and southern waterfront near India Basin in
 the 1970's.

4

Although the Port of San Francisco was, at the end of World War II, the largest port in 5 6 the region, the Port of Oakland was first to develop container shipping facilities. Oakland has 7 the advantage of large, undeveloped flat land necessary for the storage of containers as well 8 as better rail and highway connections to eastern markets than San Francisco. Today, portions 9 of Some piers along San Francisco's Northeastern Waterfront continue to be used for break-bulk cargo handling through the 1990's. Other piers continue to be used in whole or part for commercial 10 fishing, maritime support, cruises, excursions, ferries, and other commercial and recreational maritime 11 12 operations, which will maintain a working waterfront presence. However, many of the underutilized 13 piers and adjacent Port land which was no longer needed or suitable exclusively for industrial 14 maritime operations have created opportunity for new maritime mixed use developments, recreation, 15 public access, and open space., and related activities; however some of the piers are vacant and 16 dilapidated and much of the Port's property in this area is underutilized. The Port expects that, over 17 time, cargo shipping, ship repair operations and related support services will continue to consolidate 18 south of China Basin, maximizing efficient use of the Port's container terminals, industrial land and 19 freight rail service. In the meantime, the Port intends to maintain existing non-container newsprint 20 shipping and cargo warehouses in the Northeastern Waterfront, for as long they remain viable in this 21 location. 22 23 Other piers in the Northeastern Waterfront will continue to be used in whole or part for 24 commercial fishing, maritime support, cruise, excursions, ferries and other commercial and 25 recreational maritime operations, which will maintain a working waterfront presence. However,

1 because many of the piers and adjacent Port land are no longer needed or suitable exclusively for 2 industrial maritime operations, there are opportunities for new commercial development and public 3 access and open space. 4 5 In the 1960's, the gradual decline of as cargo shipping and other industry changes led to the 6 decline in the Port's breakbulk operations in the Northeastern Waterfront, other economic and 7 *technological shifts* led to economic and planning changes for upland properties west of The 8 Embarcadero. the departure of many non-maritime industry Industrial and manufacturing 9 businesses, and warehouses that extended from Fisherman's Wharf, and south along the Sansome-10 Battery corridor intoand the South of Market became the focus of areas. As the number of vacant warehouses and underutilized properties increased, City planning efforts focused on to transforming 11 12 these areas to commercial and residential uses to complement the growing financial and

13 business services center in downtown San Francisco-(*e.g. the Icehouse office conversion*,

14 *Ghirardelli Square specialty retail center*). In addition, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency

15 established two redevelopment areas: 1) Golden Gateway, generally bounded by Front and

16 Battery Streets on the west, Sacramento Street to the south next to downtown, Broadway to

17 the north and The Embarcadero to the east; and 2) Rincon Point-South Beach, a two-part

- 18 redevelopment area which includes an approximately three to four block area near the
- 19 waterfront from Mission to Folsom Streets, and a larger nine block area on the waterfront from

20 Bryant to Berry Streets which includes South Beach Harbor and Pier 40. *These two*

21 <u>redevelopment project areas sunset in 2021, after which land use authority was transferred to the</u>

- 22 Planning Department. The two areas were incorporated into the East SoMa Area Plan adopted in
- 23 <u>2009.</u> In 1985, the San Francisco Planning Commission adopted the Rincon Hill Plan for the
- area adjacent to the waterfront between Folsom and Bryant Streets, extending inland to
- 25 Second Street.; the Rincon Hill Plan was further updated in 2006. These three planned areas

have been, or will be, redeveloped with a mix of activities, but predominantly of residential use
 with supporting commercial and business services.

3

Although plans for all three areas were developed when the Embarcadero Freeway 4 5 was still in place, their proximity to the waterfront was regarded as a major amenity that could 6 only be fully realized if the Freeway was removed. The General Plan therefore included 7 policies calling for the removal of the overhead Embarcadero Freeway, to allow the City to be 8 reunited with its waterfront. However, after the defeat in 1986 of a ballot proposition for the 9 freeway removal, public efforts turned to defining transportation improvements that would transform the surface Embarcadero roadway from a largely industrial arterial to a grand urban 10 boulevard. 11

12

Guided by policies contained in the Northeastern Waterfront Plan, in 1985 the City approved the blueprint for the \$80 million Waterfront Transportation Projects, a series of improvements that together would improve The Embarcadero roadway from Fisherman's Wharf to China Basin, with widened sidewalks, public art, landscaping and other pedestrian amenities, a new F historic street car line from Market Street to Fisherman's Wharf, and a MUNI Metro light rail service extension from Market Street into the Mission Bay area.

19

The phased construction of these transportation enhancements was underway before the Loma Prieta Earthquake in 1989. In light of the extent of earthquake damage sustained by the Embarcadero Freeway and its extremely high repair cost, the City decided to demolish the double-decked structure and its connecting ramp system, which opened the City to the waterfront. *City efforts now are underway to develop transportation improvements for the mid-section of the Embarcadero between Howard Street and Broadway which had laid beneath the freeway.* 1

2 In the aftermath of the freeway removal, new unobstructed waterfront views from 3 Downtown San Francisco and adjacent areas combined with the landscaping, lighting, public art and other pedestrian improvements underway along The Embarcadero have reinvigorated 4 5 public interest in revitalizing the waterfront. While there is substantial demand for a variety of 6 uses on the Northeastern Waterfront, the type and magnitude of new uses should reflect what 7 is desirable from the broadest public interest point of view. Although there is a desire to 8 maintain and attract new blue collar jobs, this has become increasingly difficult in light of 9 technological advances which have replaced manufacturing jobs, the moving of manufacturing functions overseas, the increased costs of land in the City, and traffic 10 congestion on major travel corridors. In addition to office, industrial, services and shipping 11 12 activities, a substantial portion of the City's economy is related to tourism. Hotel, restaurant 13 and retail uses are large employers, particularly of *minority groups* people of color. There is a 14 demand for additional commercial and tourist-related development, however it must be 15 carefully balanced against the need for maritime uses, recreation and open space, the needs of new resident populations in the Northeastern Waterfront and the community desire not to 16 17 replicate or compete with other tourist areas in the City.

18

Property under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco, including all piers and certain inland sites in the Northeastern Waterfront, is subject to use limitations under the public trust and the Burton Act. The Port, as trustee, is required to promote maritime commerce, navigation, and fisheries, as well as to protect natural resources and develop recreational facilities *and activities to promote for* public use *and enjoyment* on these public lands.

1	In June 1997, the Port Commission adopted the Waterfront Land Use Plan, which was
2	prepared <u>pursuant to Proposition H, an initiative approved by San Francisco voters in 1990</u> with the
3	assistance of a broad-based Waterfront Plan Advisory Board. Under Proposition H, the Waterfront
4	<u>Plan prioritizes maritime and water-dependent uses along the Port's 7.5-mile waterfront, and The</u>
5	Waterfront Plan sets forth land use policies that allow complementary non-maritime uses for all
6	property under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco, for properties under the Port's
7	jurisdiction, which are consistent with theits Port's public trust responsibilities and the Burton Act
8	and the City's Northeastern Waterfront Plan. In 2023, following a lengthy public process, the Port
9	produced a comprehensive update of the Waterfront Plan. The Waterfront Plan goals describe the
10	public values and set the policy foundation for the plan, including: of the Waterfront Land Use Plan
11	are 1) to maintain and improve the working maritime waterfront, 2) to provide a revitalized Port,
12	a diversity of activities that provide equitable benefits to and people and communities that
13	historically have been marginalized and not included in public discussions about the waterfront; 3) to
14	maintain and improve a connected network of parks and public, access to and along the
15	waterfront,: 4) to respect and enhance-an evolving waterfront mindful of its past and future, unique
16	maritime historic resources and urban design worthy of the waterfront setting,: 5) to promote and
17	economic and recreational access that reflects includes people of all races, ages, and social
18	backgrounds-the diversity of San Francisco.; and 6) to partner with the community, sponsors, and
19	public agencies to advance environmental sustainability and adaptation of the waterfront to climate
20	change and sea level rise. The Waterfront Plan includes general land use policies for maritime
21	uses, open space and public access, residential and commercial uses, other uses and interim
22	uses, and identifies unacceptable non-maritime land uses. It includes five subarea plans, of
23	which three and one half are entirely within the area covered by the Northeastern Waterfront
24	Plan. The Waterfront Land Use Plan's related Waterfront Design & Access policies include goals,
25	

policies and criteria which address urban design, public access, city pattern and historic preservation which will be achieved in future waterfront improvement projects.

2 3

1

The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has 4 jurisdiction over San Francisco Bay and upland areas within 100 feet of the shoreline under 5 the McAteer-Petris Act. BCDC adopted the San Francisco Bay Plan as called for under that 6 7 legislation, which establishes BCDC policies for San Francisco Bay. To provide more dedicated 8 policy focus for piers and properties along the San Francisco Waterfront, BCDC worked in 1975, 9 acting in concert with the Planning Department and Port, to adopted the San Francisco Waterfront Special Area Plan in 1975. The Special Area Plan, together with the McAteer-10 Petris Act and the Bay Plan and subsequent amendments to all three documents, prescribes a set 11 12 forth of rules <u>BCDC policies and conditions for water-dependent uses, and for non-maritime uses on</u> piers and shoreline properties development along the San Francisco Waterfront. The Port works 13 14 with BCDC and the City on periodic amendments to City and BCDC plans to update and maintain 15 alignment between the policies of all three agencies. 16 Within the context of this regulatory framework and the strong caring interest that San 17 18 Francisco's residents and workers have for the City, the Port's Waterfront Land Use Plan sets 19 forth goals and policies that have guided many waterfront improvements to complement the 20 transformation of The Embarcadero. New waterfront parks and an expanded public access system 21 have been created. The iconic finger piers and arched bulkhead buildings, together with the Ferry 22 Building and Agriculture Building, are included in the Embarcadero Historic District, which was listed 23 on the National Register of Historic Places in 2006. The historic rehabilitation of the Ferry Building 24 and several historic piers and development of a ballpark for the San Francisco Giants have created 25 new attractions and activities that make the waterfront a worldwide destination. The investments

1	include major new maritime facilities, including the James R. Herman Cruise Terminal at Pier 27, and
2	the development of the Downtown Ferry Terminal, which provides a new major regional public transit
3	service in the Bay Area. The Waterfront Plan also includes an implementation process for major
4	development projects which in volveseludes soliciting early community input on conceptual
5	development programs for specific sites before the Port issues requests for major new
6	development proposals. Further, an interagency design review committee including Planning
7	Department, Port and San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
8	representatives $would$ reviews projects to ensure that early in the process the interests of
9	respective agencies are addressed and resolved satisfactorily, consistent with the Port's
10	Waterfront Design & Access policies, thereby improving predictability and minimizing delays in
11	the regulatory process.
12	
13	The Future of the Northeastern Waterfront
14	
15	The Northeastern Waterfront Plan recommends objectives and policies designed to
16	contribute to the waterfront's environmental quality, enhance the economic vitality of the Port
17	and the City, preserve the unique maritime character, and provide for the maximum feasible
18	visual and physical access to and along the Bay.
19	
20	In the Northeastern Waterfront, in areas where piers are sound, shipping and related
21	maritime uses will be maintained for as long as they remain viable in these locations. Ccommercial
22	and recreational <i>uses</i> , maritime operations (e.g. cruise, excursions, ferries, historic ships,
23	recreational boating), and fishing industry facilities at Fisherman's Wharf will be maintained
24	and expanded. <i>On lands no longer needed exclusively for maritime purposes, n<u>N</u>ew projects will</i>
25	emerge, primarily as maritime mixed-use developments, which will provide improved and

2 including access to and from the waters of San Francisco Bay – combined with revenue-generating, 3 water-oriented activities and attractions to increase public enjoyment of the waterfront. On inland areas, the predominant uses will be residential and commercial uses, such as offices, 4 5 neighborhood-oriented retail and service businesses, and community and cultural facilities. 6 *Now that the Embarcadero Freeway has been removed, t* The waterfront will be re-integrated with 7 the fabric of the City and reestablished as the eastern edge of the City, *linked by the* 8 transportation improvements along. The Embarcadero. New developments also offer opportunities to 9 capture private investment in adapting the waterfront to sea level rise and seismically strengthening the *Embarcadero Seawall, as a coordinated element of Port and City resilience and climate change* 10 programs and projects. roadway improvements, when completed, will link the Northeastern Waterfront 11 12 with other portions of the shoreline via a rail transit system which will reduce the need for auto travel 13 and on-site parking; and pedestrian and bicycle ways which will connect recreational areas with 14 community facilities, historic and architecturally significant buildings, residential areas, and 15 employment centers. An authentic maritime character and strong sense of historic continuity combined with increased visibility of the natural attributes of the Bay will reinforce the special 16 17 identity of the area.

expanded commercial and recreational maritime facilities, open spaces and public access -

18

1

To achieve these goals, the Plan recommends general objectives and policies for Land
Use, Transportation, and Urban Design and recommends specific objectives and policies
which apply to four geographic subareas as well as the Embarcadero Corridor which links
them: Fisherman's Wharf Subarea (which extends from the Municipal Pier at Van Ness
Avenue through Pier 39); the Base of Telegraph Hill Subarea (Pier 35 through Pier 7); the
Ferry Building Subarea (Pier 5 through Rincon Park); and the South Beach Subarea (Pier 22
through Pier 46B).

1	
2	Goals
3	The overall goal of the Plan is to create a physical and economic environment in the
4	Northeastern Waterfront area which will use the area's resources and potential in the manner
5	which will best serve the needs of <i>the</i> -San Francisco, the Bay Area, and visitors-community. In
6	order to accomplish this goal, the dominant planning principles of this Plan are:
7	
8	1. provide for those uses which positively contribute to the environmental quality of
9	the area and contribute to the economic health of the Port and the City,
10	
11	2. preserve and enhance the unique character of the area, and take advantage of the
12	unique economic opportunity provided by San Francisco Bay, and
13	
14	3. provide the maximum possible visual and physical access to San Francisco Bay
15	while minimizing the adverse environmental impacts of existing and new activity.
16	
17	MAP 1 - Northeastern Waterfront Planning Area
18	
19	OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES
20	
21	Land Use
22	
23	General
24	* * * *
25	

1	OBJECTIVE 2
2	TO DIVERSIFY USES IN THE NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT, TO EXPAND THE
3	PERIOD OF USE OF EACH SUBAREA AND TO PROMOTE MAXIMUM PUBLIC USE OF
4	THE WATERFRONT WHILE ENHANCING ITS ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY.
5	
6	POLICY 2.1
7	Develop uses which generate activity during a variety of time periods rather than
8	concentrating activity during the same peak periods.
9	
10	POLICY 2.2
11	Diversify activities to encourage the use of the Northeastern Waterfront by a
12	broad spectrum of the population, particularly vulnerable communities who have not
13	traditionally taken advantage of the Waterfront.
14	
15	POLICY 2.3
16	Encourage land uses having different peak periods of activity within each
17	subarea of the Northeastern Waterfront to contribute to the area's diversity, to expand
18	the period of use, to decrease peak period traffic congestion, to facilitate efficient use
19	of the transit system and to preserve and enhance the environmental quality of the
20	waterfront.
21	
22	POLICY 2.4
23	Promote <i>the development of new maritime activities, public open space and public</i>
24	access <u>, i<i>mprovements and stewardship of Embarcadero Historic District piers</i> as part of major</u>
25	new development on piers.

1	
2	POLICY 2.5
3	<u>Promote public-oriented uses including Emphasize water-related recreation</u> , Bay-oriented
4	commercial recreation and <i>Bay-oriented</i> public assembly uses in <i>non-maritime</i> <u>pier</u>
5	development adjacent to, or over, the water.
6	
7	Maritime and Industrial
8	* * * *
9	OBJECTIVE 3
10	TO RETAIN AND ENHANCE MARITIME ACTIVITIES, RESERVING AS MUCH OF
11	THE NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT AS IS REALISTICALLY REQUIRED FOR FUTURE
12	MARITIME USES, AND PROVIDING FOR EFFICIENT OPERATION OF PORT ACTIVITIES.
13	
14	POLICY 3.1
15	Give priority to maritime activities recognizing that the waterfront available for
16	such activities is a limited resource and that maritime activities are vital to the City's
17	economy. Based on a realistic assessment of the maritime needs of the Port, reserve
18	the necessary waterfront area by prohibiting activities which would preclude possible
19	future maritime development, identify where waterfront area should be reserved for maritime
20	development, and develop locations and strategies to improve or create new maritime uses with
21	complementary non-maritime activities. Consider opportunities for other water-dependent activities,
22	including access for water recreation uses, including swimmers, rowers, and human-powered
23	<u>vessels</u> .
24	* * * *
25	

1	OBJECTIVE 4
2	TO RETAIN ECONOMICALLY VIABLE INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY IN THE
3	NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE.
4	
5	POLICY 4.1
6	Encourage the retention of industries and businesses which support the Port's
7	maritime operations, either through providing services or through using the Port's
8	facilities for <u>to meet light industrial</u> its shipping needs <u>in San Francisco</u> .
9	
10	POLICY 4.2
11	Encourage the retention of viable industries which provide significant revenues,
12	job opportunities or services to the City.
13	
14	POLICY 4.3
15	Assist in the relocation within San Francisco of industries which are forced to
16	move by market conditions or public action.
17	
18	Commercial
19	
20	OBJECTIVE 5
21	TO DEVELOP LIMITED ADDITIONAL OFFICE AND COMMERCIAL SPACE IN
22	ORDER TO SERVE THE CITY'S ECONOMIC NEEDS AND TO ENCOURAGE A MIXTURE
23	OF USES AND ACTIVITIES ALONG THE NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT.
24	
25	POLICY 5.1

1	Permit additional general office and commercial development on sites inland of
2	the seawall adjacent to the Downtown Office District, which complements the
3	downtown but which is of a lesser intensity and which reflects the transition between
4	the City and the water. Include ground floor retail and commercial uses that provide public-
5	oriented activities to enliven the pedestrian experience and attract diverse populations to the
6	waterfront
7	
8	POLICY 5.2
9	Encourage service retail uses in combination with other uses.
10	
11	POLICY 5.3
12	Allow general and specialty retail uses in combination with other uses which will
13	not significantly detract from the Downtown Retail District.
14	
15	POLICY 5.4
16	Except on piers, permit additional hotel space in locations which would enhance
17	the mixture of uses. In areas where hotels are already concentrated, additional such
18	facilities should be limited and should only be provided if they complement adjacent
19	uses.
20	
21	POLICY 5.5
22	Promote maritime mixed use development on piers that are not needed exclusively for
23	maritime use to expand public access over the water, provide public-oriented activities and uses that
24	complement pier maritime activities, and finance pier repair, seismic retrofit, and sea level rise
25	adaptation. Encourage Bay-oriented commercial recreation and public assembly uses on piers, which

1	include public access and complementary maritime activities (e.g. cruises, excursions, ferries,
2	historic ships), and maritime support services.
3	
4	POLICY 5.6
5	Continue to celebrate and promote the ballpark for the San Francisco Giants as a major civic
6	landmark that also hosts other events, supports local businesses and activities, and provides an
7	<u>anchor for the South Beach neighborhood and City as a whole.</u> Permit an open-air ballpark with a
8	maximum of 45,000 seats and related commercial uses at Pier 46B.
9	
10	Residential
11	
12	OBJECTIVE 6
13	TO DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN RESIDENTIAL USES ALONG THE
14	NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT IN ORDER TO ASSIST IN SATISFYING THE CITY'S
15	HOUSING NEEDS AND CAPITALIZE ON THE AREA'S POTENTIAL AS A DESIRABLE
16	LIVING ENVIRONMENT.
17	
18	POLICY 6.1
19	Strengthen, preserve and protect existing residential uses.
20	
21	POLICY 6.2
22	Encourage the development of additional housing wherever feasible (except on
23	new or replacement fill).
24	
25	POLICY 6.3

1	Preserve and expand the supply of <i>low and moderate income<u>affordable</u></i> housing
2	serving low- and moderate-income households and encourage the economic integration of
3	housing.
4	
5	POLICY 6.4
6	Encourage the development of a variety of unit types for households of all sizes
7	where practical.
8	
9	Recreation and Open Space
10	
11	OBJECTIVE 7
12	TO STRENGTHEN AND EXPAND THE RECREATION CHARACTER OF THE
13	NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT AND TO DEVELOP A SYSTEM OF PUBLIC OPEN
14	SPACES AND RECREATION FACILITIES THAT RECOGNIZES ITS RECREATIONAL
15	POTENTIAL, PROVIDES UNITY AND IDENTITY TO THE URBAN AREA, AND
16	ESTABLISHES AN OVERALL WATERFRONT CHARACTER OF OPENNESS OF VIEWS,
17	WATER AND SKY AND PUBLIC ACCESSIBILITY TO THE WATER'S EDGE.
18	
19	POLICY 7.1
20	Develop recreation facilities attractive to residents, <i>workers</i> , and visitors of all
21	ages and <i>income <u>racial and social</u></i> groups.
22	
23	POLICY 7.2
24	
25	

1	Provide a continuous system of parks, urban plazas, water-related public
2	recreation, shoreline pedestrian promenades, pedestrian walkways and street
3	greenways throughout the entire Northeastern Waterfront.
4	
5	POLICY 7.3
6	Connect the recreation and open space facilities of the Northeastern Waterfront
7	with those of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
8	
9	POLICY 7.4
10	Encourage and provide open space and public recreation facilities as part of any
11	development, to provide facilities for people residing and working in the Northeastern
12	Waterfront and in adjoining neighborhoods.
13	
14	POLICY 7.5
15	Provide overlooks and public viewing areas with convenient pedestrian access
16	wherever possible. Every attempt should be made to provide such viewing facilities in
17	areas of maritime and fish processing activities without interfering with the operation
18	of those activities, consistent with the Port's Waterfront <i>Design & Access<u>Plan</u></i> policies.
19	Remove or create openings in buildings between piers wherever feasible, consistent with their
20	historic character and use, in order to construct such overlooks and to create a balanced rhythm of
21	buildings and views.
22	
23	POLICY 7.6
24	
25	

1	With new development, create new views between buildings and/or physical
2	access to (1) the Bay, (2) water-dependent maritime activities or (3) open space or other
3	public attractions that invite the public onto pier areas and provide access to the Bay.
4	
5	POLICY 7.7
6	Where desirable and feasible, provide amenities which enhance public
7	enjoyment of open spaces and public access areas by providing public restrooms,
8	drinking fountains, information kiosks, sales of refreshments from push carts and
9	other services.
10	
11	POLICY 7.8
12	Require the inclusion of a substantial amount of public open space and
13	peripheral public access to the water's edge when major new mixed-use developments
14	occur. Provide connections between these <i><u>waterfront</u></i> open spaces and public access
15	areas to create a 'PortWalk' which is integrated with sidewalk and pedestrian improvements
16	<i>along <u>that complements</u> The Embarcadero <u>Promenade (</u>Herb Caen Way/Embarcadero</i>
17	Promenade) which, between King and Jefferson Streets, coincides with the and regional Bay Trail.
18	Public access should be located at ground or <u>pier deck platform-</u> level, but minor
19	variations in elevation intended to enhance design of open space may be permitted, ,
20	while encouraging access for persons with disabilities. Public access should also be open to
21	the sky, although some covering may be allowed if it serves the public areas and does
22	not support structures. Particular attention should be given to the provision of
23	perimeter public access <u>on piersalong the platform edge. Other uses may extend to the</u>
24	<i>pierplatform edge subject to the following conditions: (a) Such uses should enhance the</i>
25	total design of the project , <u>and should</u> -serve to make the public access more interesting,

1	and s hould not divert the public way along more than twenty percent (20%) of the total platform
2	<i>edge.</i> (b) Deviations of the public way from the <i>platform</i> <u>pier</u> edge should be limited to
3	short distances.
4	
5	POLICY 7.9
6	Provide as much public open space and peripheral access as is feasible in areas
7	of maritime activity without interfering with the operation of this activity.
8	
9	POLICY 7.10
10	Continue operation of the small boat marinas at Pier 39 and at South Beach
11	Harbor, and encourage additional locations for transient mooring to expand waterside
12	access to the Northeastern Waterfront. <u>Encourage the development of new water taxis, ferries,</u>
13	and excursion boats, to establish an accessible water transit network.
14	
15	POLICY 7.11
16	Develop a continuous bicycle path along the Northeastern Waterfront that is
17	linked with the city-wide bicycle route system.
18	
19	<u>POLICY 7.12</u>
20	Support improvements in parks and open spaces (including launches, changing areas, and
21	restrooms), where feasible, to serve swimming, kayaking, and water recreation in the Bay.
22	
23	Transportation
24	
25	OBJECTIVE 8

1	TO FACILITATE THE MOVEMENT OF PEOPLE AND GOODS WITHIN THE
2	NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT IN SUCH A WAY AS TO MINIMIZE THE ADVERSE
3	IMPACT OF THIS MOVEMENT.
4	
5	POLICY 8.1
6	Prioritize safe, comfortable, and enjoyable travel by foot, bike, public transit, and other non-
7	vehicular modes of transportation over travel by private vehicle. Ensure waterfront development
8	includes features and transportation demand management programs that promote transportation
9	mode alternatives to private vehicles. Intercept and divert as much automobile traffic as feasible
10	away from the water's edge and areas of intense pedestrian activity in order to make conditions more
11	pleasurable, safe, and interesting for the pedestrian, and in order to facilitate the commercial and
12	recreational development of the area.
13	
14	POLICY 8.2
15	Limit additional parking facilities in the Northeastern Waterfront and minimize the impact of
16	<i>this parking</i> . Discourage long-term <u>commute</u> parking for work trips <u>and promote</u>
17	<u>transportation mode shifts to public which could be accommodated by</u> transit <u>and non-vehicle</u>
18	alternatives. Manage existing parking facilities to promote shared use, and dedicated spaces for
19	electric vehicle charging, rideshare vehicles, and secure bicycle parking. Restrict additional
20	parking to: (a) Short-term (less than four hour) parking facilities to meet needs of
21	<u>visitors frequenting additional</u> business, retail, restaurant, marina, and entertainment
22	activities; (b) Long-term parking facilities for maritime activities, hotel and residential
23	uses. To the extent possible, locate parking away from areas of intense pedestrian
24	activity. Encourage shared parking at adjacent or nearby facilities.
25	

1 **POLICY 8.3**

2	<u>Discourage vehicle</u> Allow parking over <u>or near</u> the water for public and commercial
3	recreation uses only if: (a) no alternative location is feasible; (b) the parking is located within a
4	structure devoted to a permitted use and is necessary to such use or to other permitted uses in the
5	same project area; and (c) it is the minimum amount necessary. and promote public transit, bicycles,
6	and walking access to the waterfront, and transportation safety for all modes of travel. When
7	allocating available parking at the waterfront, give priority to essential maritime and Port tenant
8	operations.
9	
10	POLICY 8.4
11	ProhibitManage paid on-street parking over the water for marinas in the Fisherman's
12	Wharf through Ferry Building areas. In other areas, allow parking for marinas over water only if:
13	(a) no alternative upland location is feasible; (b) the total fill for a marina does not exceed a land-
14	water ratio of 1/2:1; and (c) it is the minimum necessary. Encourage loading and unloading areas
15	adjacent to marinas to minimize the need for parking over the water.to encourage parking turnover,
16	provide disabled accessible spaces, and serve customer and visitor access to the area.
17	
18	POLICY 8.5
19	Work with the SFMTA to achieve mode-shift goals and transportation demand management
20	plans to promote alternatives to private vehicles, in order to support existing and new development
21	along the waterfront, based on City and Port transportation goals, public transit service levels, and
22	roadway capacity.Base the determination of the amount of parking allowed for permitted uses on the
23	desirability of reducing automobiles along the waterfront and, to the maximum extent feasible,
24	consider the use of existing public transit and inland parking, as well as public transit and inland
25	parking which could reasonably be provided in the future.

1	
2	POLICY 8.6
3	Remove or relocate inland those existing parking facilities on or near the water's edge or
4	within areas of intense pedestrian activity.
5	
6	POLICY 8.7 <u>6</u>
7	<i>Facilitate<u>Prioritize</u> pedestrian access to the shoreline, including access for the</i>
8	<i>handicapped<u>disabled</u></i> , through the provision of convenient, safe pedestrian crossings
9	along The Embarcadero. Provide promenades and walkways of sufficient width to
10	accommodate comfortably and safely the movement of pedestrians throughout the
11	Northeastern Waterfront.
12	
13	<u>POLICY 8.7</u>
14	Support SFMTA efforts to improve safety for all transportation modes in the development of
15	the Embarcadero Enhancement Program to add a protected bikeway along The Embarcadero from
16	<u>King Street to Fisherman's Wharf, while ensuring safe pedestrian crossing of The Embarcadero,</u>
17	and safe vehicle access to Port tenant and maritime operations on piers.
18	
19	POLICY 8.8
20	Coordinate with SFMTA and the Port to develop and maintain sustainable and
21	<u>reliable</u> Facilitate the movement of goods into and out of the maritime piers <u>along The</u>
22	Embarcadero, and manage use of curb zones designated for loading and deliveries where possible in
23	the design of the road system.
24	
25	OBJECTIVE 9

1 TO ACCOMMODATE THE REGIONAL MOVEMENT OF PEOPLE AND GOODS, 2 PERMITTING THE THROUGH MOVEMENT OF TRAFFIC, ACCESS TO THE REGIONAL 3 SYSTEM FROM THE MARITIME AND OTHER INDUSTRIAL AREAS OF THE CITY, AND FACILITATING THE MOVEMENT OF REGIONAL TRANSIT WHILE MINIMIZING THE 4 ADVERSE IMPACT OF THIS SYSTEM ON THE NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT AREA. 5 6 POLICY 9.1 7 8 To the extent feasible, accommodate regional traffic movement inland from the Northeastern Waterfront area. 9 10 **POLICY 9.2** 11 12 Prohibit any increase to the capacity of the roadway system along the shoreline 13 to accommodate automobiles between the Bay Bridge-downtown area and the Golden Gate Bridge. Improve transit service in this corridor to encourage the reduction of 14 automobile traffic. 15 16 POLICY 9.3 17 18 Minimize the impact of regional transportation movement along the Northeastern Waterfront by encouraging transit use through the addition and improvement of 19 20 service and through the use, wherever possible, of exclusive rights-of-way and other 21 types of transit preferential treatment. 22 23 POLICY 9.4 *To the extent feasible, f* Facilitate and expand the operation of passenger ferry 24 systems to minimize traffic impacts. 25

1

1	
2	POLICY 9.5
3	Improve transit service to, and along, the Northeastern Waterfront. <i>Provide</i>
4	a <u>Continue to provide and improve</u> connection between the F-line and the MUNI Metro Extension to
5	<i>allow for</i> continuous transit rail service in an exclusive right-of-way along <i>t<u>T</u>he</i>
6	Embarcadero between Fisherman's Wharf and China Basin, which also connects with
7	or provides easy transfers to numerous other transit lines.
8	
9	POLICY 9.6
10	Make transfers among transit systems as easy, safe and pleasant as possible,
11	and clearly identify loading areas and routes. In particular in the Ferry Building
12	Subarea, design the relationship between the ferries, BART, MUNI surface and
13	subsurface lines, and the Transbay Terminal to facilitate connections among the
14	systems.
15	
16	<u>POLICY 9.7</u>
17	Maintain The Embarcadero between Beach Street and Broadway as an attractive landscaped
18	roadway having two moving lanes in each direction, an exclusive transit right-of-way, and improved
19	pedestrian and bicycle access.
20	
21	Urban Design
22	
23	OBJECTIVE 10
24	TO DEVELOP THE FULL POTENTIAL OF THE NORTHEASTERN WATERFRONT
25	IN ACCORD WITH THE <i>UNUSUAL <u>UNIQUE</u> OPPORTUNITIES PRESENTED BY ITS</i>

1	RELATION TO THE BAY, TO THE OPERATING PORT, FISHING INDUSTRY, AND
2	DOWNTOWN; AND TO ENHANCE ITS UNIQUE AESTHETIC QUALITIES OFFERED BY
3	WATER, TOPOGRAPHY, VIEWS OF THE CITY AND BAY, AND ITS HISTORIC MARITIME
4	CHARACTER.
5	
6	MAP 2 - Height and Bulk Plan
7	
8	POLICY 10.1
9	Preserve the physical form of the waterfront and reinforce San Francisco's
10	distinctive hill form by maintaining low structures near the water, with an increase in
11	vertical development near hills or the downtown core area. Promote preservation and
12	historic rehabilitation of finger piers, bulkhead buildings, and structures in the Embarcadero
13	National Register Historic District. Larger buildings and structures with civic importance
14	may be appropriate at important locations.
15	
16	POLICY 10.2
17	Preserve and create view corridors which can link the City and the Bay.
18	
19	POLICY 10.3
20	Use continuous planting and other ground surface treatment to physically and
21	visually link the waterfront with adjacent inland areas.
22	
23	POLICY 10.4
24	
25	

1	In major pedestrian areas (such as the Fisherman's Wharf and Ferry Building
2	Subareas), develop generally continuous ground floor <i>active public-oriented uses, such as</i>
3	retail <u>, restaurants, and entertainment activities or other pedestrian-oriented uses</u> .
4	
5	POLICY 10.5
6	<u>Consistent with land use policies in the Port Waterfront Plan, Pp</u> ermit non-maritime
7	development bayward of the sea wall only if the following qualifications are met:
8	
9	a. Maximum feasible public access is provided to the water's edge.
10	
11	b. Important Bay and waterfront views along The Embarcadero and level inland
12	streets are preserved and improved. Minor encroachment into the view corridors from
13	level inland streets may be permitted: (1) Where the encroaching element has a distinct
14	maritime character and adds variety to the views along the waterfront; (2) Where minor
15	structures (such as kiosks) are desirable to provide public amenities contributing to a
16	continuity of interest and activity along the waterfront; (3) Where essential maritime
17	facilities cannot reasonably be located and designed to avoid view blockage; and (4)
18	Where the public enjoyment of the Bay will be enhanced by providing a place of public
19	assembly and recreation which allows unique vistas and overviews that include
20	portions that are publicly accessible during daytime and evenings consistent with
21	ensuring public safety.
22	
23	POLICY 10.6
24	Retain older buildings of architectural <i>merit</i> or historical significance <u>,</u> <u>including in</u>
25	<u>the Northeast Waterfront Historic District and Embarcadero Historic District, to preserve the</u>

1	architectural and historical character of the waterfront and ensure the compatibility of
2	new development.
3	
4	POLICY 10.7
5	Enhance and maintain the physical prominence of the Ferry Building.
6	
7	POLICY 10.8
8	Prohibit new, and remove existing, general advertising signs, except those on
9	transit boarding platforms and transit shelters designed in a manner as to minimize
10	obstruction of public views from pedestrian walkways and public open space, and
11	those on public service kiosks constructed in conjunction with the public toilet
12	program. Assure that public and private signing contributes to the aesthetic
13	appearance of the waterfront.
14	
15	POLICY 10.9
16	Encourage the provision of street furniture which is of appropriate design to the
17	historic maritime character of the Northeastern Waterfront.
18	
19	POLICY 10.10
20	Retain and reuse those Preserve and rehabilitate the arched bulkhead and pierbuilding
21	structures <i>identified in the Port's Waterfront Design & Access policies which exist at the main</i>
22	entrance to most piers and <u>in the Embarcadero Historic District</u> which <u>establish</u>add an important
23	character <i>te<u>for</u> The Embarcadero. They should be retained so long as maritime uses</i>
24	exist behind them or when new development occurs which could incorporate these
25	structures without disadvantage.

1	
2	Specific Policies For Open Space, Public Recreation, and Public Access
3	
4	* * * *
5	
6	POLICY 10.23
7	Cover pier decks and public access areas with wood planking to the extent feasible to provide
8	an attractive maritime character and a reasonably inexpensive material for pedestrian movement.
9	
10	POLICY 10.24 <u>3</u>
11	Permit fishing along public access areas on piers and promenades consistent
12	with public health standards.
13	
14	POLICY 10.2 <u>54</u>
15	<i>Establish a <u>Support the continuance of the</u> joint interagency design review process for</i>
16	non-maritime projects on piers involving new development or substantial exterior
17	alterations, to be conducted by the Planning Department, Port of San Francisco and
18	Bay Conservation and Development Commission, consistent with the Port's Waterfront
19	Land Use Plan-and Waterfront Design & Access policies.
20	
21	Specific Policies for Buildings
22	
23	POLICY 10.26 <u>5</u>
24	Restrict development south of Broadway to the Height and Bulk Districts shown
25	on Map 2.

1	
2	POLICY 10.27 <u>6</u>
3	Locate buildings to minimize shadows and wind on public open spaces.
4	
5	POLICY 10.28 <u>7</u>
6	Prohibit the use of reflective glass. Use flat glass skylights and discourage the
7	use of dark tinted glass to increase transparency in highly visible areas.
8	
9	POLICY 10.298
10	Prohibit general advertising signs in any public spaces or attached to any
11	buildings, except those on transit boarding platforms and transit shelters designed in a
12	manner as to minimize obstruction of public views from pedestrian walkways and
13	public open space, and those on public service kiosks constructed in conjunction with
14	the public toilet program. Allow only attractively designed business identification,
15	directional, regulatory or information signs and general advertising signs, as described
16	above. Permit illuminated signs but prohibit flashing or animated signs.
17	
18	POLICY 10. 30<u>29</u>
19	Employ a uniform system of attractively designed public signs that conform to
20	strict criteria for size, scale, style, and color as part of the Embarcadero roadway
21	improvements from Bay to King Streets and as part of the promenades from Piers 7
22	through 1 and from the Agriculture Building to Pier 24. Design signs in keeping with
23	the concept of <i>ŧ<u>T</u>he Embarcadero as a scenic boulevard rather than as a high speed</i>
24	artery. Coordinate signs with those to be used in the Ferry Building complex.
25	

1 **POLICY 10.3***4*<u>0</u>

Conceal or otherwise limit views of any mechanical equipment, pipes, ducts and
 antennas, on roof surfaces. Avoid shiny or highly polished materials on roof surfaces
 and facades.

5

6

POLICY 10.321

Enclose all servicing facilities and store all waste within structures so as to be
 shielded from public view. Prohibit any permanent exterior non-maritime storage.

9

10 POLICY 10.332

Assure that historic ships moored in the area meet the following criteria for 11 12 approving the restoration of the ships: high quality of rehabilitation, historical 13 accuracy, appropriate scale, silhouette quality, detail quality, color scheme and guarantee of continued maintenance. Use night lighting on ships to accent 14 15 surroundings but not to overpower or commercialize the waterfront. Base mooring locations on concerns for visibility from *t*The Embarcadero and inland areas, the ability 16 17 to provide visitor drop-off and service access, and the availability of nearby parking for 18 on-board commercial recreation uses.

19

20 POLICY 10.34<u>3</u>

Assure that new buildings use the most cost-effective energy efficient measures feasible.

23

24 SUBAREAS

25

1	Fisherman's Wharf Subarea
2	
3	(Municipal Pier through Pier 39)
4	
5	Fisherman's Wharf contains portions of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area at
6	Aquatic Park, hotels, restaurants and specialty shops, the reuse of historic buildings for major
7	commercial centers at Ghirardelli Square and the Cannery, Fish Alley and the berthing basin
8	for the commercial fishing fleet, the Pier 39 development, two swim clubs, sea scouts and a
9	senior center. There are also several multi-unit housing complexes as well as interspersed
10	smaller residential buildings in the area. Policies for Fisherman's Wharf include developing a
11	new fishing harbor in the vicinity of Hyde Street to help the fishing fleet; maintaining modernized
12	fishing harbor and fish handling facilities; creating a central open space; maintaining and
13	creating opportunities for new water-oriented commercial recreational development; providing
14	pedestrian, transit and parking improvements to upgrade circulation and reduce congestion;
15	preserving significant historic structures; and ensure that the community recreational needs in
16	Aquatic Park are recognized.
17	
18	OBJECTIVE 11
19	TO MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE THE MARITIME CHARACTER OF THE
20	FISHERMAN'S WHARF AREA, AND ENHANCE THE AREA AS A CENTER FOR THE
21	COMMERCIAL FISHING INDUSTRY.
22	
23	MAP 3 - Fisherman's Wharf Subarea Generalized Land Use Map
24	
25	POLICY 11.1

1	Encourage the retention and expansion of the commercial fishing and fish
2	handling industry and businesses <u>and which provide services to thefishing fleet <u>operations at</u></u>
3	the through construction of a new fishing harbor in the general area east of the Hyde Street
4	pierFishing Boat Harbor.
5	
6	* * * *
7	
8	OBJECTIVE 12
9	TO STRENGTHEN THE AREA'S ATTRACTION AS A WATER-ORIENTED
10	COMMERCIAL RECREATION AND PUBLIC ASSEMBLY CENTER BY ATTRACTING NEW
11	REVENUE-GENERATING USES TO HELP SUPPORT AND SUBSIDIZE MARITIME AND
12	PUBLIC ACTIVITIES AND DEVELOPING USES WHICH WOULD GENERATE ACTIVITY
13	AT TIMES OTHER THAN THE EXISTING PEAK PERIODS.
14	
15	POLICY 12.1
16	Employ measures to mitigate the impacts of any commercial recreation and
17	public assembly development such as restaurants, entertainment and specialty shops
18	in the Fisherman's Wharf area to minimize or reduce peak period congestion during
19	evenings and weekends.
20	
21	POLICY 12.2
22	New development in the area bounded by Taylor and Jefferson Streets and The Embarcadero
23	(the 'Triangle' site) should be limited to 30% of the surface area and be designed to finance and help
24	activate public open space. Work with the community to relocate surface parking from the Triangle
25	<i>site.</i> Seek to reduce the amount of parking between The Embarcadero and the water's

1	edge, and to improve pedestrian movement and access to the Bay <u>and Pier 43 Bay Trail</u>
2	Promenade open spaces. Any new development in the area bounded by Taylor and Jefferson Streets
3	and The Embarcadero (the "Triangle" site) should be designed to finance and manage public open
4	spaces to promote public enjoyment of activities in Fisherman's Wharf.
5	
6	POLICY 12.3
7	Balance existing commercial recreation and public assembly uses which
8	generate the most activity in summer, on weekends and during the evening, with uses,
9	such as offices and residences, that would generate activity during other periods,
10	thereby promoting the vitality and use of the area without substantially contributing to
11	congestion. In particular, promote the development of housing on inland sites
12	wherever possible.
13	
14	OBJECTIVE 13
15	TO ENCOURAGE USES WHICH WILL DIVERSIFY THE ACTIVITIES IN THE
16	WHARF AND WHICH WILL APPEAL TO LOCAL RESIDENTS <u>AND WORKERS</u> AS WELL
17	AS VISITORS.
18	
19	POLICY 13.1
20	Encourage new Wharf activities such as arts, educational, historical,
21	recreational, non-tourist commercial and cultural facilities and places of public
22	assembly (such as festival halls, meeting halls or conference centers) to increase the
23	appeal of Fisherman's Wharf to local residents and workers.
24	
25	POLICY 13.2

1	Encourage additional office <i>and other workplace</i> uses, particularly above ground
2	level, to provide Wharf activities oriented to local residents and workers and increase off-
3	season patronage of Wharf shops and restaurants.
4	
5	OBJECTIVE 14
6	TO DEVELOP A TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM WHICH IMPROVES ACCESS FOR
7	PEOPLE AND GOODS TO AND AROUND THE FISHERMAN'S WHARF AREA WHILE
8	MINIMIZING <u>CONGESTION AND SAFETY CONFLICTS THE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL</u>
9	IMPACTS ON THE AREA.
10	
11	* * * *
12	
13	POLICY 14.5
14	<u>Improve pedestrian and bicycle access</u> Facilitate access into and within the Fisherman's
15	Wharf by providing public realm improvements along Jefferson and Taylor Streets, and along Little
16	Embarcadero, that complement SFMTA light rail public transit.area by transit through the provision
17	of exclusive rights-of-way and other preferential treatment, through the extension of additional
18	<i>transit lines, improving <u>Improve the</u> frequency, speed <u>and</u>, hours of operation <u>of public transit</u></i>
19	<u>to reduce automobile use along The Embarcadero and to Fisherman's Wharf., and providing</u>
20	<u><i>Provide</i></u> clearly identified loading areas and routes. <i>Establish <u>Maintain</u></i> a rail/bus transit
21	line on Jefferson and Beach Streets, providing access to the Ferry Building and the
22	South of Market area. <u>Further improve transit access to Fisherman's Wharf by implementing the</u>
23	<u>major transit investments recommended by the Transit Strategy.</u> Extend the Powell and Mason Cable
24	<i>Car line on Taylor Street to a location north of Jefferson Street</i> . Allow truck access in Fish
25	Alley.

1	
2	POLICY 14.6
3	Establish water taxi service from Fisherman's Wharf to other points along the
4	waterfront.
5	
6	OBJECTIVE 15
7	TO PROVIDE MAXIMUM OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENJOYING THE BAY AND ITS
8	RELATED ACTIVITIES BY ENHANCING AND INCREASING PUBLIC OPEN SPACE AND
9	ACCESS AREAS WHICH SAFELY AND COMFORTABLY ACCOMMODATE THE
10	MOVEMENT OF PEDESTRIANS.
11	
12	POLICY 15.1
13	Develop generally continuous public pedestrian access to the water's edge,
14	excepting areas where such access would interfere with maritime activities. In those
15	areas, provide <i>that</i> public viewing and access <i>which<u>that</u> will not substantially interfere</i>
16	with these activities.
17	
18	POLICY 15.2
19	<i>Remove of existing <u>Prohibit new</u></i> parking over the water or near the water's edge to
20	minimize conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians and enhance perimeter access which
21	would require resolving long-term Port lease issues.
22	
23	POLICY 15.3
24	Promote public enjoyment of public access, maritime activities and water recreation, and Bay
25	views of Alcatraz Island from the Pier 43 Bay trail Promenade and plazas along the northern edge of

1 Develop new public open space areas in Fisherman's Wharf to provide a relief from the 2 intense level of activity in this area. Work with the community to develop the design of a major 3 new open space on approximately 70% of the surface area of the 'Triangle' lot bounded by Taylor and Jefferson Streets and The Embarcadero and relocate the existing surface parking. Address 4 5 interim parking and construction-related issues during the design process. Rationalize and improve 6 *Improve* pedestrian, *bicycle*, and transit movement at the center of Fisherman's Wharf 7 along the Jefferson Street public realm and Little Embarcadero in a manner which also meets 8 the parking needs of existing businesses that depend on adjacent parking. Extend 9 open space from the Triangle lot to the Bay on Pier 43 if further funding sources become available and long-term lease issues can be resolved. Maintain the East Wharf 10 Waterfront Park at Pier 39. Maintain and enhance the Joseph Conrad Park at the foot of 11 12 Columbus Avenue, bounded by Leavenworth and Beach Streets, which provides a 13 visual and functional termination of Columbus Avenue. Create exterior service or 14 pedestrian walkways to allow views or access to water where compatible with fishing 15 industry operations. 16 17 Base of Telegraph Hill Subarea 18 (Piers 35 through 7) 19 20 This subarea contains a mix of uses that reflect the area's maritime history and its 21 transformation into a vital urban residential and commercial district. Cargo shipping, 22 warehousing and other Cruise Terminal and maritime operations still occupy some of the finger 23 piers, although long-term trends indicate that cargo shipping can be operated most efficiently through consolidation in the central and southern waterfront. Most of the inland properties have been 24

25 redeveloped with offices for the design and communications industries, retail and residential

1 uses, many of which occupy preserved and rehabilitated historic warehouses. The Pier 7 has 2 been redeveloped into a public open space and fishing pier, Cruise Terminal Plaza at Pier 27 James 3 R. Herman Cruise Terminal, and Levi's Plaza extending 900 feet into the bay, which provides a major recreational amenityies in the subarea. Policies call for maintaining cargo shipping facilities and 4 5 cargo-related support services for as long as needed. If the piers no longer are suitable as cargo 6 facilities, Plan policies encourage the expansion of commercial and recreational maritime 7 activities (e.g. *cruise terminal*, ferries, water taxis, excursions, recreational boating) as part of 8 major new mixed use developments on piers which provide daytime and nighttime commercial 9 recreation venues and new public access improvements. 10 The Port of San Francisco will conduct a Special Planning Study for Piers 15-29 to resolve the 11 12 following issues before the Port approves any major new development on these piers: (1) the location 13 and size of a major new 'Northeast Wharf' open space within potential new maritime mixed use 14 development in the Special Study Area; and (2) the location and configuration of piers, including 15 removal of pier area to create open water. 16 17 On inland sites, a variety of land uses are appropriate, including hotel, residential, 18 office and other commercial activities. These new developments will be designed to preserve and enhance the rich historic character of the subarea and, as appropriate, highlight access 19 20 points to the nearby North Beach, Chinatown and Fisherman's Wharf districts. 21 **OBJECTIVE 16** 22 23 TO RETAIN EXISTING CARGO SHIPPING AND RELATED SERVICES AND TO 24 **PROMOTE** HARBOR SERVICE OPERATIONS, MARITIME, AND WATER-DEPENDENT ACTIVITIES. COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL MARITIME ACTIVITIES. 25

1	
2	MAP 4 - Base of Telegraph Hill Subarea Generalized Land Use Map
3	
4	POLICY 16.1
5	Continue to encourage maritime use on Piers 35 through 9.
6	
7	POLICY 16.2
8	Promote <i>commercial and recreational maritime <u>and water dependent</u> activities (e.g. a</i>
9	cruise terminal, excursion boats, historic ships, recreational boat mooring <u>, human-</u>
10	powered water recreation uses) which may be complemented with water-oriented
11	commercial recreation and public assembly uses and public access improvements on
12	piers no longer needed or suitable for cargo shipping facilities.
13	
14	POLICY 16.3
15	Improve existing Pier 35 cruise facilities. If feasible, renovate the facility to
16	provide a modern, functional passenger terminal with associated commercial
17	recreation and public assembly uses. <i>If Pier 35 is determined to be an infeasible location,</i>
18	allow the development of a new cruise terminal on another pier in the Northeastern
19	Waterfront.Maintain operation of the modern cruise terminal at Piers 27–29 and the adjacent public
20	open space. Continue to evaluate alternative locations for the creation of another cruise berth.
21	
22	OBJECTIVE 17
23	TO PRESERVE THE HISTORIC MARITIME CHARACTER OF THE AREA.
24	
25	POLICY 17.1

1	Retain architecturally interesting and historically significant buildings or
2	buildings which contribute substantially to the overall architectural character of the
3	area. In particular, every effort should be made to preserve the Italian Swiss Colony
4	Building, the Pelican Paper Company Warehouse, the Trinidad Bean and Elevator
5	Company Warehouse, and the Beltline Roundhouse. Historic bulkhead <u>s,</u> and connector
6	buildings piers, and structures within the Embarcadero National Register Historic District should
7	be retained and reused as set forth in the Waterfront Design & Access policies<u>rehabilitated</u>
8	consistent with the U.S. Secretary of Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties,
9	<u>consistent with</u> the Port of San Francisco's Waterfront Land Use Plan.
10	
11	POLICY 17.2
12	Ensure the compatibility of new development with the historic and architectural
13	maritime character of the Northeast Waterfront Historic District and Embarcadero Historic
14	<u>District</u> in terms of scale, materials and design.
15	
16	OBJECTIVE 18
17	TO DEVELOP A DIVERSITY OF ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES WHICH WOULD
18	STRENGTHEN THE EXISTING PREDOMINANT USES IN THE BASE OF TELEGRAPH
19	HILL SUBAREA AND ACTIVITIES WHICH WOULD EXPAND THE PERIOD OF USE, BUT
20	OF AN INTENSITY WHICH WOULD PROVIDE A RELIEF FROM THE ADJACENT
21	DOWNTOWN AND FISHERMAN'S WHARF AREAS.
22	* * * *
23	
24	POLICY 18.4
25	

1	Design new development on <u>undeveloped seawall lots</u> Seawall Lots 323 and 324 as an
2	orientation point and transition fromfor the waterfront to the Chinatown, North Beach, Barbary
3	<u>Coast, and Telegraph Hill neighborhoodswhich also highlights the intersection of Broadway and</u>
4	The Embarcadero.
5	
6	POLICY 18.5
7	Plan and design new developments on inland sites and adjacent piers in a
8	manner which complements and enhances the surrounding area, and which unites the
9	waterfront with the rest of the City.
10	
11	POLICY 18.6
12	Minimize the intensity of automobile activity by promoting mass transit <u>and other</u>
13	non-vehicular modes of transportation through the implementation of transportation management
14	<u>plans and other meansas a primary transportation mode</u> . Maximize efficient use of existing
15	parking facilities in order to limit the amount of new parking necessary as part of new
16	development.
17	
18	POLICY 18.7
19	Encourage the provision of landscaping and publicly accessible open space in
20	new development in the Base of Telegraph Hill area.
21	
22	POLICY 18.8
23	Maintain permanent public open space on Pier 7. Allow limited improvements
24	such as convenience food and beverage sales from pushcart vendors, which increase
25	active use and enjoyment of the open space, and nearby public information kiosks and

1	public restrooms, provided that they maintain an uncluttered appearance in the area.
2	Take advantage of views of Pier 7 from new development on adjacent piers or inland
3	sites to Pier 7 and maintain city views from Pier 7.
4	
5	OBJECTIVE 19
6	TO DEVELOP A BALANCED TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM WHICH
7	ACCOMMODATES REGIONAL AND LOCAL MOVEMENT WHILE CAUSING MINIMUM
8	ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE ENVIRONMENT.
9	
10	POLICY 19.1
11	Maintain The Embarcadero between Beach Street and Broadway as an attractive landscaped
12	roadway having two moving lanes in each direction, an exclusive transit right-of-way, and improved
13	pedestrian and bicycle access.
14	
15	POLICY 19.2 <u>1</u>
16	Discourage through traffic except in those limited areas designated for this
17	movement.
18	
19	POLICY 19. <u>32</u>
20	Design transportation access to new developments on seawall lots to minimize
21	congestion on Bay Street, Broadway and The Embarcadero.
22	
23	POLICY 19.4 <u>3</u>
24	Encourage a portion of the surface regional transit to use inland routes to the
25	downtown to minimize the impact on the waterfront.

1	
2	* * * *
3	
4	Ferry Building Subarea
5	(Piers 5 through north of Pier 22)
6	
7	<u>Previous iterations of this</u> The Plan included policies to promotes the restoration of rehabilitate
8	the historic Ferry Building, a city and national landmark structure which stands as the
9	centerpiece of the Northeastern Waterfront. Other earlier policies In addition, the Plan callsed for
10	creating open water between the Agriculture Building and Pier 22 and converting a portion of The
11	Embarcadero into the creation of Rincon Park, an iconic urban open space and restaurants area.
12	These improvements were planned as an integral part of transforming The Embarcadero into an urban
13	boulevard following the removal of the Embarcadero Freeway. These were the City's first investments
14	to establish a public realm along the waterfront as a relief to the intensely developed downtown,
15	which preserved-and to ensure the continued prominence of the Ferry Building and its tower. The
16	Ferry Building-will behas been preserved, rehabilitated consistent with the Secretary of the
17	Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, along with development of the Downtown Ferry Terminal
18	<u>toand</u> re-established this area as a major regional transit center and at the eastern terminus of
19	Market Street. It also will provides a major entryway to the City from the water, with increased
20	ferry, excursion boat and water taxi service, and other modes of water transport, and a place
21	to moor historic ships and pleasure boats. Inside, the Ferry Building $will$ provides public
22	spaces to support <i>its</i> -transit functions <i>and a variety of <u>along with</u></i> complementary <i>commercial</i>
23	<i>recreation <u>public market, food and beverage</u> activities, offices, <i>institutional, and c</i>ultural <i>and/or</i></i>
24	<i>community facilities which will help finance the building restoration while also <u>activities that</u> foster<i>ing</i></i>
25	public enjoyment of the waterfront. The waterside features <i>will be <u>are</u> linked by a simple,</i>

1	elegant promenade which runs along the entire length of The Embarcadero, creating a visual
2	corridor along the water's edge that complements a variety of water edge experiences. The
3	centerpiece of this promenade will be a grand new plaza at the landside entrance to on the bay
4	side of the Ferry Building. The subarea includes the Golden Gateway development north of the
5	Ferry Building, which was successfully redeveloped into an urban residential mixed use
6	neighborhood, including Sidney Walton Park.
7	
8	South of the Ferry Building, the historic Agriculture Building will be preserved. A planned
9	Rincon Park will be has been created, a major water-related soft surface public park with a
10	'Tavern-on-the-Green' type of restaurant, south of Folsom Street.
11	
12	OBJECTIVE 21
13	TO <i>DEVELOP<u>MAINTAIN AND PROMOTE</u> A MAJOR RESOURCE OF OPEN SPACE</i>
14	AND PUBLIC ACCESS CONNECTIONS PROVIDING MAXIMUM ACCESS TO AND
15	ALONG THE WATERFRONT FOR THE LARGE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN,
16	WORK IN AND USE THE ADJACENT DOWNTOWN AREA, PROVIDING RELIEF FROM
17	THE INTENSELY DEVELOPED DOWNTOWN.
18	* * * *
19	
20	OBJECTIVE 24
21	TO RESTORE AND REHABILITATE THE FERRY BUILDING AND AGRICULTURE
22	BUILDING IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR
23	<u>STANDARDS</u> TO PRESERVE THE HISTORIC MARITIME CHARACTER OF THE AREA.
24	
25	OBJECTIVE 25

1	TO MAXIMIZE VIEWS OF THE WATER AND OF WATERFRONT ACTIVITY.
2	
3	OBJECTIVE 26
4	TO FURTHER DEVELOP THE FERRY BUILDING <u>AND DOWNTOWN FERRY</u>
5	<u>TERMINAL</u> AREA AS A MAJOR TRANSIT CENTER, IMPROVING AND EXPANDING
6	TRANSIT ACCESS BY, AND TRANSFERS AMONG, LANDSIDE AND WATERSIDE
7	TRANSIT SYSTEMS.
8	* * * *
9	
10	POLICY 26.6
11	Preserve and rehabilitate the historic bulkhead <i>buildingstructures</i> , allowing for the
12	enhancement or creation of waterfront or Bay views through existing openings or new
13	openings which do not adversely affect the building's historic architectural character.
14	Permit an extension construction of a pier shed that extends from the Pier 3 of the bulkhead
15	building onto the pier if consistent with <i>historic preservation criteria<u>Secretary of Interior</u></i>
16	<u>Standards for Treatment of Historic Properties</u> , <u>and p</u> rovid <u>eing</u> a pedestrian walkway around
17	itthe shed and building.
18	
19	POLICY 26.7
20	Promote new maritime attractions and waterside access, such as water taxi and
21	excursion boat stops, historic ships and temporary mooring areas as part of new
22	development.
23	
24	Pier 1 - 1/2
25	POLICY 26.8

1	Preserve and rehabilitate the bulkhead building for museum, commercial
2	recreation and public assembly, community facilities, artist/designer studios and
3	galleries and/or office uses.
4	
5	Pier 1
6	POLICY 26.9
7	Preserve and rehabilitate the bulkhead <u>and pier building as headquarter location for</u>
8	<u>the Port of San Francisco</u> . Continue to allow general parking until developed for permanent uses, as
9	well as permanent support parking for Pier 1 excursion boat operations inside the pier shed. Permit
10	replacement of the existing shed with a three floor structure but retain bulkhead building. Provide
11	continuous peripheral public access around the water sides of the pier, unless limited
12	by public safety considerations or maritime operational needs.
13	
14	POLICY 26.10
15	Permit ground floor retail uses, and public meeting space in Port offices, at Pier 1 to support
16	<u>community engagement and space for public events and activities, commercial recreation and public</u>
17	assembly uses, artist/designer studios and galleries, community facilities and/or transportation
18	<i>services on Pier 1</i> which complement activities in the downtown and take advantage of
19	transportation improvements planned for the Ferry Building Subarea. Encourage
20	maritime activities, <u>and provide for vessel berthing, including excursion boat</u> operations and
21	associated passenger waiting areas and support uses in the pier shed and bulkhead building
22	emergency response capabilities.
23	Promenade/PortWalk
24	
25	POLICY 26.11

1	Improve Herb Caen Way/The Embarcadero Promenade and PortWalk from Pier 5
2	to Pier 22 <u>-1/2</u> south of the Agriculture Building. Design the promenade to be a simple,
3	elegant statement of movement along the water's edge which maintains visual
4	continuity and creates a variety of water-edge experiences. Provide appropriate street
5	furniture including wind protected seating areas and pedestrian scale lighting.
6	
7	POLICY 26.12
8	Develop public access improvements on Piers 3 and 1 which contribute to
9	creation of the PortWalk, integrating open spaces and public access into major new
10	development on piers and connecting with Herb Caen Way/The Embarcadero
11	Promenade.
12	
13	POLICY 26.13
14	Restore and adaptively reuse the Ferry Building in general accord with the "Design
15	Guidelines for the Restoration and Adaptive Reuse of the Ferry Building," dated July 1978. <u>Maintain</u>
16	and enhance public use and enjoyment of the rehabilitated Ferry Building. Ensure that any future
17	changes and construction at the Ferry Building follow the requirements of the Secretary of
18	Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.
19	
20	POLICY 26.14
21	<i>Reuse<u>Maintain the rehabilitated</u> the</i> Ferry Building <u>as a civic gathering place that includes</u>
22	<u>ground floor as follows: predominantly commercial recreation (</u> shops and restaurants) , public
23	spaces (e.g. exhibit, civic displays, passenger waiting areas, community facilities) and
24	transportation services on the ground floor, and office, commercial recreation and/or
25	public assembly activities on the second and third floors. <i>Permit an additional partial</i>

1	fourth floor east of the existing nave for office use; limit its height to the height of the peak of the
2	existing nave monitors.
3	
4	POLICY 26.15
5	Replace or remove the dilapidated portions of the Pier 1/2 bulkhead wharf between Pier 1 and
6	the Ferry Building. Maintain and enhance public access and passenger areas serving the ferry and
7	excursion boat operations at Pier 1/2.
8	
9	POLICY 26.16 <u>5</u>
10	<u>Maintain the plaza in front of the Ferry Building as Design</u> a grand civic plaza <u>, which</u>
11	<u>creates</u> to create a forecourt for the Ferry Building and a symbolic terminus to Market
12	Street by removing parking in the middle of The Embarcadero roadway. This plaza should be
13	designed to serve a multitude of activities, to re-establish physical and visual connections between the
14	City and the waterfront, and to tie together existing and future open spaces along The Embarcadero,
15	including Justin Herman Plaza. Provide complementary, smaller plazas at the front of the Ferry
16	Building, replacing short-term parking. If found to be feasible after further analysis, extend the
17	California Street cable car down Market Street to the plaza and create a MUNI bus stop adjacent to
18	the east-west axis of the plaza along the Embarcadero. Use street furniture that provides weather
19	protection and install additional ornamental double light fixtures like those presently used along the
20	Embarcadero.
21	
22	POLICY 26.17 <u>6</u>
23	<u>Continue to support and promote the Establish a</u> Downtown Ferry Terminal at the Ferry
24	Building as a primary destination point for all ferry and excursion boat riders on San
25	Francisco Bay. The Downtown Ferry Terminal should <u>continue to</u> provide a range of

1	public landing facilities accessible to the disabled community to accommodate all
2	vessel types requiring access to San Francisco. Any landing facilities should allow
3	multiple operators access to the facilities.
4	
5	POLICY 26.18 <u>7</u>
6	Ensure ongoing Improve pedestrian access through the Ferry Building to the
7	Downtown Ferry Terminal <u>andincluding the Golden Gate Ferry Terminal. <u>Maintain</u>Create a</u>
8	continuous walkway along the eastern side of the Ferry Building that is separate from
9	service vehicle access, to improve public access and to provide expanded space for
10	ferry, excursion boat, water taxi and other waterborne transit riders.
11	
12	POLICY 26.1 <i>9<u>8</u></i>
13	ImproveAllow on the Ferry Plaza, immediately east of and related to the Ferry
14	Building to create a civic outdoor gathering space for farmers markets and events, allowing, minor
15	amounts of outdoor commercial recreation uses which are consistent with the <u>open</u>
16	<u>space</u> use of the Plaza <u>, <i>as open space</i> and a regional <i>ferry</i> transportation center (e.g. a</u>
17	cafe, outdoor dining, flower vendors and other convenience retail services for
18	commuters and visitors). <u>Design Ferry Plaza improvements to integrate and enhance</u>
19	surrounding the Ferry Building, Golden Gate and Downtown Ferry Terminals, and restaurant uses
20	and operational requirements, including access and maintenance of BART infrastructure facilities
21	located on the pier. Retain the existing restaurant, plaza, and ferry terminal.
22	
23	POLICY 26. 20<u>19</u>
24	Rehabilitate and adaptively reuse the Agriculture Building, consistent with the
25	Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, for the following types of

1	potential activities: museum, community facilities, commercial recreation and public
2	assembly, artist/designer studios and galleries, and general office. <u>Design improvements</u>
3	that integrate with and enhance the Downtown Ferry Terminal and Plaza, and improve the public
4	<u>realm along The Embarcadero Promenade.</u> In addition, allow for the creation of a passenger waiting
5	area for possible future airport and Treasure Island ferry shuttle service. Extend a continuous
6	walkway from the Ferry Building to the eastern side of the Agriculture Building which connects with
7	The Embarcadero Promenade south of the Agriculture Building.
8	
9	POLICY 26.21
10	Limit parking on the platform adjacent to the existing restaurant to restaurant service only.
11	Allow vehicular pick-up and drop-off usage if associated with ferry service expansion. Retain the
12	existing restaurant. Consider architectural improvements to enhance the restaurant's waterfront
13	identity, improve views from The Embarcadero and provide perimeter public access.
14	
15	POLICY 26.2 <u>-20</u>
16	Maintain and enhance the portion of Herb Caen Way/The Embarcadero
17	Promenade between the Agriculture Building and the Pier 22-1/2 Fireboat House.
18	Maintain visual continuity along the water and create a variety of water edge
19	experiences.
20	
21	POLICY 26.2 <i>3<u>1</u></i>
22	Maintain open water <u>betweenwhere dilapidated</u> Piers 14 <u>Public Access andthrough Pier</u>
23	22 <u>-1/2 Fireboat House have been removed</u> as a visual relief to the intensely developed
24	Downtown. Allow transient mooring at minimum cost for approximately 50 boats and include a
25	

1	boat shuttle service. Locate these facilities to avoid operational conflict with other waterborne
2	transportation services in the area.
3	
4	Block 3741
5	
6	POLICY 26.24
7	Develop a 500,000 to 600,000 square foot commercial office building which may feature
8	ground floor commercial space and meeting rooms and an auditorium.
9	
10	Rincon Park
11	
12	POLICY 26.2 <u>52</u>
13	Reroute The Embarcadero roadway onto Steuart Street between Howard and Harrison
14	<i>Streets. <u>Maintain Rincon Park i</u>I</i> n the strip vacated by the Embarcadero and on Blocks
15	3742 and 3743, <i>build a public park</i> adjacent to and inland of the Herb Caen
16	Way/Embarcadero Promenade. Orient the park to the Bay and relate the park to the
17	recreational preferences of residents and workers in the City and Bay Area, rather than
18	tourists. <u>Maintain the restaurants within Rincon Park that provide opportunities for indoor and</u>
19	outdoor dining and special events, and extend seasonal and nighttime activities that complement and
20	<u>enhance public use of the park.</u> Provide large grassy open areas, a range of recreational equipment
21	including a play structure, a tot lot, benches, game tables under shelter, and restrooms.
22	
23	POLICY 26.26
24	Allow up to 12,000 square feet of indoor building area and up to 8000 square feet of outdoor
25	area south of Folsom Street to be used for a 'Tavern-on-the- Green' type restaurant(s) and plaza.

1	Design the restaurants to include opportunities for indoor and outdoor dancing and dining and for
2	special events. Develop hard surface plaza areas and terraces which can vary in elevation adjacent to
3	the restaurant(s) to create a variety of spaces and viewing experiences. Use landscaping and glass
4	screens to protect from winds. If feasible, provide outdoor heating in selected areas to extend the
5	seasonal and night-time comfortable usage of plazas. Encourage the restaurant(s) to expand their
6	seating into portions of the plazas but ensure that the plazas do not become the sole territory of
7	private establishments. Provide seating which does not exclusively require patronage to adjacent
8	restaurants. While a restaurant is a preferred use on the site, allow consideration of minor amounts
9	of other retail opportunities which similarly complement park activities and provide financial
10	support to the Port.
11	
12	POLICY 26.27 <u>3</u>
13	Change the Height and Bulk District on Block 3743 from 84-E to 40-X. Change the
14	Height and Bulk District on the rest of the Rincon Park Site to open space.
15	
16	South Beach Subarea
17	(Piers 22 <u>-1/2</u> through 46 B)
18	
19	The South Beach Subarea extends from the Pier $22-1/2$ Fireboat House, adjacent to the
20	<i>planned</i> Rincon Park, to China Basin Channel and inland for a depth of one or two blocks.
21	Since the 1980's, this subarea has been transforming into a new residential and commercial
22	mixed use neighborhood, which still retains some of its industrial and maritime past. Because
23	the piers originally built for breakbulk shipping are now obsolete, <i>they are mostly vacant or</i>
24	underutilized, and no longer serve a primary maritime function. As a result, two are in an
25	advanced state of deterioration and have been condemnedPiers 24, 34, and 36 became deteriorated

1 *and were removed, increasing the expanse of Bay waters and making way for construction of the*

- 2 <u>Brannan Street Wharf public plaza</u>. The single pierside improvement is South Beach Harbor, a full-
- 3 service marina and small boat harbor, *was* completed in 1986 adjacent to Pier 40, which
- 4 entailed the removal of former Piers 42-46A. *In 2000, the San Francisco Giants completed*
- 5 *development of a new ballpark along the north side of China Basin Channel, which attracts many*
- 6 *visitors to the waterfront. The ballpark, South Beach Harbor, and waterfront parks, together with the*
- 7 *transportation improvements installed along the Embarcadero, provide key waterfront amenities and a*
- 8 <u>connected open space network for the public and residents in the new inland Rincon Hill and South</u>
- 9 <u>Beach neighborhoods</u>. The South Beach Harbor, together with the transportation improvements
- 10 installed along The Embarcadero, provide key waterfront amenities, *including boating and water*
- 11 <u>recreation</u>, for residents in the new inland Rincon Hill and South Beach neighborhoods. Inland
- 12 *of the harbor, the first phase (four acres) of South Beach Park has been developed.*
- 13
- 14 In March 1996, the San Francisco voters approved the development of a ballpark with a
- 15 *maximum seating capacity of 45,000 seats and related commercial uses for Pier 46B. This new facility*
- 16 *will attract many visitors to the area and stimulate restaurants and night entertainment in the*
- 17 surrounding area. The redevelopment of Pier 46B will allow the continuation of thePortWalk alongside
- 18 *China Basin and the connection with the Lefty O'Doul Bridge and trails south of China Basin.*
- 19
- 20 Plan policies encourage redevelopment on other piers to provide opportunities for
- 21 improved excursion boat, ferry and historic ship berthing and other maritime facilities,
- 22 maritime support operations, *and public oriented uses to provide a variety of activities to attract*
- 23 *public use and enjoyment of the waterfront* commercial recreation and assembly and entertainment
- 24 *activities*. Public access improvements also are proposed which will make the waterfront
- inviting and safe for nearby residents as well as visitors from downtown and beyond. The

remaining inland sites which are vacant or underutilized may be developed with residential or
commercial uses which complement the redeveloped areas in South Beach and Rincon Hill
and new pierside activities, as well as accommodate accessory parking associated with new
uses in the vicinity.

5

6 On non-Port owned inland areas, a mixed-income residential community with open 7 spaces and *commercial support services* other residential-serving uses is being have been developed 8 on previously vacant or underutilized property. The new community is interspersed with a few 9 *historic warehouses which have been adaptively reused. The historic*, *including the adaptive reuse* development of the Oriental Warehouse has been rehabilitated to accommodate as live/work 10 studios. Walkways and bicycle paths combined with small plazas would connect the new 11 12 residences to waterfront activities and other portions of the City. The new community is 13 characterized by high density, low to mid-rise structures, recreating the fine-grained fabric of 14 San Francisco neighborhoods and takes advantage of proximity to the Downtown, a desirable 15 microclimate, amenity value of the Bay, and helps meet San Francisco's need for new 16 housing. Originally a part of the South Beach Redevelopment Project Area, much of this area has now 17 been incorporated into the East SoMa Area Plan, a part of the Eastern Neighborhoods. 18 * * * * 19 20 Pier 22-1/2 21 **POLICY 30.1** 22 23 Preserve and restore and, if no longer needed in its current use, adaptively reuse the 24 historic Fireboat House at Pier 22-1/2 and construct a new fireboat station facility that supports 25 modern fire and emergency services, equipment, and operations.

1	
2	POLICY 30.2
3	Design new development which takes advantage of sweeping views of the
4	downtown, and which preserves and enhances views of the Bay Bridge and water from
5	Harrison Street.
6	
7	POLICY 30.3
8	Improve shoreline appearance, provide public access and open space, and expand views of
9	open water by removing condemned Pier 24.
10	
11	POLICY 30.4 <u>3</u>
12	Allow the development at Pier 26 and 28 of commercial recreation, some
13	community facility, artist and designers studios and galleries, public assembly and/or
14	maritime uses, but exclude, hote <u>/</u> and boatel uses. Permit such uses in the existing
15	sheds or new replacement structures, and incorporate new public access areas onto
16	the piers which connect with and complement The Embarcadero Promenade and
17	adjacent planned Rincon Park. Orient this development towards Bay Area residents
18	and workers rather than tourists. <i>Permit the minimum amount of short-term parking necessary</i>
19	to serve uses in the pier sheds until inland parking sites are available. Ensure development maintains
20	the integrity of the Embarcadero Historic District's nationally recognized historic maritime
21	<u>structures.</u>
22	
23	Pier 30-32
24	
25	POLICY 30. <u>54</u>

1	Promote new development on Pier 30-32 which provides commercial recreation
2	and public assembly activities, and maritime operations such as an excursion boat or
3	cruise terminal. New development should provide a multi-faceted mix of activities
4	oriented around a common theme rather than a singular commercial attraction. Allow
5	accessory parking on the pier to serve these activities.
6	
7	POLICY 30.6 <u>5</u>
8	Include public access improvements <u>– including water recreation activities in the Bay</u> –
9	as a key component of major new development on the pier to further the creation of a
10	PortWalk which guides circulation on the pier, takes maximum advantage of views of
11	the City and the water, and which connects to the pedestrian improvements along The
12	Embarcadero.
13	
14	POLICY 30.7 <u>6</u>
15	Encourage activities that do not generate peak traffic volumes during commute
16	periods in order to minimize congestion on roadway and transit systems.
17	
18	POLICY 30.8 <u>7</u>
19	Require a high standard of architectural design appropriate to the prominence of
20	the site, which also establishes a new architectural identity and standard for waterside
21	development in the South Beach area.
22	
23	POLICY 30.98
24	Develop uses which support and enhance the mix of maritime and commercial
25	recreation uses developed on Pier 30-32, as well as provide a transition between

1	residential uses on inland blocks and public-oriented activities on the waterfront. Block
2	3771 would be a desirable location for a mixed commercial and residential
3	development or a hotel, depending on the combination of uses developed on Pier 30-
4	32. <u>Development design should complement the neighborhood setting and contribute to the public</u>
5	<u>realm on the west side of the Embarcadero.</u> Incorporate off-street parking into the
6	development program for Block 3771 to serve a significant amount of the parking
7	demand associated with the Pier 30-32 development, if necessary.
8	
9	Piers 34 <u>30</u> - 40, and South Beach Harbor
10	
11	POLICY 30. 10 9
12	Continue to ensure public access to the Brannan Street Wharf open space and the expansive
13	<u>views of the water that it enables</u> Improve shoreline appearance, provide public access and open
14	space, that was created by the removal of and expand views of open water by removing deteriorating
15	Piers 34 and 36- <i>and extending the PortWalk out over the water to create a Brannan Street Wharf</i>
16	public open space. Develop the layout, design, improvements, and any allowances for accessory uses
17	to promote the use of this open space in coordination with the community.
18	
19	POLICY 30.1 <u>+0</u>
20	Maintain South Beach Harbor as a small boat marina of approximately 700 slips
21	for public pleasure craft and the public access and fishing pier on top of the
22	breakwater.
23	
24	POLICY 30.121
25	

1	On Pier 40, provide a full range of services for recreational boating and water
2	uses, including boat building and repair facilities, day dock storage, sail maker, boat
3	sales and rental, ship chandlery and other uses related to the marina.
4	
5	POLICY 30.1 <u>32</u>
6	Design any new or rehabilitated buildings on Pier 40 to reflect the bold, simple
7	lines of traditional pier sheds. <u>Ensure the integrity of the Embarcadero Historic District through</u>
8	historic preservation of the pier, while providing diverse maritime and public-oriented uses.
9	Provide continuous peripheral public access along the water sides of the pier including
10	sitting and fishing areas, except for portions of the pier which may remain in maritime-
11	related activities, where such public access might conflict. Locate a prominent sitting
12	area at the eastern end of the pier. Ensure that pier railings and other design elements
13	be compatible with the promenade and breakwater design.
14	
15	POLICY 30.14 <u>3</u>
16	Preserve the Pier 38 bulkhead building consistent with standards of the Embarcadero
17	Historic District, and promote uses in the bulkhead and on the pier which support and
18	enhance the recreational boating and water uses located at Pier 40, including
19	accessory parking and commercial recreation amenities. Pier 38 offers an opportunity
20	to expand recreational boating facilities and services in the future, if feasible, and a
21	location for maritime support services.
22	South Beach Park
23	
24	POLICY 30.1 <u>54</u>
25	

<u>Continue to support and potentially improve</u> <u>Develop</u>-South Beach Park, between King
 and Second Streets and the Seawall, predominantly as a soft-surface park for public
 recreational use.

4

5

POLICY 30.165

6 South Beach Park has been developed to iInclude areas for active sports such as 7 volleyball and separate areas for passive activities such as sitting, game tables under 8 shelter, and a tot lot. Include toilet and drinking facilities. The park has been designed to 9 <u>b</u>Buffer the park from The Embarcadero with devices such as landscaping, berms, and changes in elevation. The park provides Provide for drop-off parking to serve the South 10 11 Beach Harbor marina and water recreation community Dolphin P. Rempp Restaurant. Provide 12 appropriate transitions towards the proposed ballpark with its overlooks. The design of the park 13 maintains Maintain a hard-surface pedestrian promenade along the water's edge with 14 opportunities for sitting and viewing. It connects Connect. the promenade to the peripheral 15 public access areas on Pier 40 and to the South Beach Harbor breakwater, and continues the promenade to Third Street and Lefty O'Doul Bridge. Permit pedestrian 16 17 access to the marina only from the pier and breakwater and not directly from the park. 18 The park and harbor design include a Give special care to the location of a boat dock for water 19 taxies and transient boat stops ramp. Prohibit commercial activities in the park but Operations of 20 the park should allow a limited amount of commercial recreation use incidental to and 21 supportive of the open space. *Provide promenade railings and other elements of a design* 22 *compatible with the pier and breakwater.* Maintain the complementary *Coordinate the* designs of 23 South Beach Park and the Harbormaster and community facility, and the creation of connect public access to with the Giants Bballpark development on Pier 46B along China Basin/Mission 24 25 Creek.

1	
2	Residential Neighborhood
3	
4	POLICY 30.17
5	Develop and maintain mixed-income housing, with appropriate open space and
6	neighborhood support uses on Blocks 3773, 3792, 3793 and portions of Blocks 3774 and 3789.
7	
8	POLICY 30.18
9	Develop housing in small clusters of 100 to 200 units. Provide a range of building heights
10	with no more than 40 feet in height along the Embarcadero and stepping up in height on the more
11	inland portions to the maximum of 160 feet. In buildings fronting on Brannan Street in the 160 foot
12	height area, create a strong base which maintains the street wall created by the residential complex
13	to the east and the warehouse buildings to the west. Orient the mix of unit types to one and two
14	bedrooms and include some three and four bedroom units. Pursue as the income and tenure goals, a
15	mix of 20 percent low, 30 percent moderate and 50 percent middle and upper income, and a mix of
16	rental, cooperative, and condominium units.
17	
18	POLICY 30.19
19	Organize the housing clusters to maximize views to the water and downtown as well as sun
20	exposure while minimizing shading of open space and blocking of views from adjacent areas. To the
21	extent feasible, locate family units on ground floor levels adjacent to open space and recreational
22	areas. Provide personalized entryways and private open space to all units. Orient the buildings to
23	provide privacy and security.
24	
25	POLICY 30. 20<u>16</u>

1	Promote new development on Pier 30-32 which provides commercial recreation and public
2	assembly activities, and maritime operations such as an excursion boat or cruise terminal. New
3	development should provide a destination to complement the character and integrity of the
4	Embarcadero Historic District and preserve the valuable deep-water berth at this location. Design the
5	structures and dwelling units to express character and diversity. Incorporate high standards of
6	indoor and outdoor private space design and convenience and use high quality materials. Express a
7	human scale in surfaces and materials with articulated facades, bay windows, cornice lines,
8	roofscapes, overhangs, towers and chimneys. Use varied light colors to break up building mass and
9	liven surfaces. Design the housing complex to be energy efficient, and consider the use of passive
10	solar systems.
11	
12	POLICY 30.21
13	Incorporate most parking as part of the building within housing clusters. Because garages
14	may be only a half level below grade due to the high water table, landscape or buffer exposed garage
15	edges. Locate residences above parking structures to stabilize them and minimize differential
16	settlement. To the extent feasible, improve the portions of the garage roof not covered by structures
17	for walkways and recreation areas. Use tree wells to allow large trees to grow within residential
18	clusters. Design parking structures to have controlled vehicular access points and direct access to
19	residential units for increased security. Provide additional guest and service parking for the
20	residential units in street rights-of-way or adjacent to the clusters.
21	
22	POLICY 30.22
23	Do not permit buildings to exceed 65 percent coverage of land or parking podium. To the
24	maximum extent feasible, provide open space at ground level and provide planting in the ground.
25	

1	Ensure that any open space on top of a podium provides easy pedestrian and visual transition from
2	the sidewalk.
3	
4	POLICY 30.23
5	Design structures to protect views of the water down street corridors from the residential
6	areas. Carefully consider roof design and conceal roof equipment because of its visibility from
7	adjacent residences. Landscape flat roofs and finish sloped roofs in attractive materials. Allow
8	exposed parking only if the parking areas are extensively landscaped. Consider the use of turf block
9	instead of asphalt paving.
10	
11	Historic Preservation
12	
13	POLICY 30.24
14	Retain and historically restore for adaptive reuse the Cape Horn and Japan Street
15	warehouses and allow small scale offices, neighborhood commercial and warehousing uses. Keep in
16	industrial use that portion of Block 3774, Lot 24 which is needed to expand the manufacturing
17	operation of the abutting industrial activity. If Lot 24 remains in industrial use, the structure on Lot
18	18 may remain and be used for warehousing. As an alternate use, develop the sites of the Cape Horn
19	and Japan Street warehouses with housing provided that, to the maximum extent feasible, the street-
20	facing facades of the existing structures are incorporated in the new development.
21	
22	POLICY 30.25
23	Historically restore the Oriental Warehouse as the focal point of the residential community;
24	include a combination of such uses as live-work, day care, recreation, and neighborhood services,
25	professional offices and shopping. Remove the building to the north along the line of Brannan Street

1	to enhance the form and visibility of the warehouse. Maintain the exterior facade and remove those
2	windows that have been added without regard to the general exterior. Preserve portions of the
3	existing paving as a public plaza and setting for the warehouse and remove unused spur tracks.
4	
5	Streets, Walkways and Open Space
6	
7	POLICY 30.26
8	Close the following streets completely: Berry east of Third Street, and Second south of King
9	Street. Close the following streets to through traffic, improve them as walkways and allow only
10	limited local and service vehicle access: Townsend between Second and the Embarcadero, Colin P.
11	Kelly Jr. between Townsend and Brannan, First between Brannan and the Embarcadero, and Beale
12	between Bryant and Brannan.
13	
14	POLICY 30.27
15	Develop a plaza next to the Oriental Warehouse which is centrally located, and connect it to
16	smaller open spaces within the proposed neighborhood. Have walkways open onto small plazas to
17	create intimacy and spatial definition and orient them to be protected from winds. Enhance the
18	feeling of outdoor security through use of lighting, walkways design, ingress and egress points and
19	good surveillance by building orientation.
20	Pier 46B
21	
22	POLICY 30.28
23	Continue to support and promote the Giant's Ballpark and its accessory uses and activities,
24	which include but are Develop an open-air ballpark with a maximum of 45,000 seats with related
25	<i>commercial uses including, but</i> not limited to, office, retail, restaurants, live music

performances and other forms of live entertainment, in a setting of waterfront public
 spaces.

3

4 **POLICY 30.29**

5 <u>Maintain</u>Encourage waterside public access improvements alongside the ballpark 6 on Pier 46B which connect with the South Beach Harbor and South Beach Park and 7 provide a link to the Lefty O'Doul Bridge, thereby extending public access over China 8 Basin Channel to the <u>Blue Greenway</u> open space network <u>planned for in</u> Mission Bay. 9

10

Embarcadero Corridor

11

12 The removal of the Embarcadero Freeway and construction of the Waterfront 13 Transportation Projects has dramatically changed the character of the Embarcadero Corridor. Policies for The Embarcadero are intended to continue to facilitate the 14 15 movement of people and goods, maintain environmental guality, enhance physical and visual access to the shoreline and contribute to the continued vitality of the waterfront. 16 17 Much of this has been achieved by the reconstruction of the roadway as a major 18 waterfront boulevard, with public transit, *bicycle*, pedestrian promenade, sidewalk, and landscaping improvements, and a public art program. These improvements have been 19 20 constructed along the north and south extensions of The Embarcadero, and should be completed by 21 improvements to the mid-section of The Embarcadero between Broadway and Howard Streets, and 22 the design and construction of a grand civic plaza at the foot of Market Street, in front of the Ferry 23 **Building**.

24

25

Planning Department BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

* * * *

1	POLICY 31.7
2	Prohibit heliports or STOL ports, but continue to allow for emergency landings.
3	
4	<u>Resilience</u>
5	<u>OBJECTIVE 32</u>
6	
7	ENSURE LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT DECISION MAKING INCORPORATES
8	THE NEED TO ADDRESS RESILIENCY FROM POSSIBLE FUTURE HAZARDS,
9	PARTICULARLY FUTURE FLOODING CAUSED BY SEA LEVEL RISE AND CLIMATE
10	<u>CHANGE.</u>
11	
12	<u>Policy 32.1</u>
13	Engage the community in planning for the potential impacts of Sea Level Rise and other
14	potential hazards on an ongoing basis.
15	
16	<u>Policy 32.2</u>
17	Ensure that members of the vulnerable communities previously left out of Waterfront-related
18	planning are engaged in planning for Sea Level Rise and other potential hazards.
19	
20	<u>Policy 32.3</u>
21	Ensure that recreational users of the waterfront, including swimmers, rowers, kayakers, and
22	human powered vessel users, are engaged in planning for Sea Level Rise and other potential
23	<u>hazards.</u>
24	
25	<u>OBJECTIVE 33</u>

1	PROTECT AND ENHANCE THE EXISTING WATERFRONT, CRITICAL PORT AND
2	<u>CITY UTILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE, AND COMMUNITY, HISTORIC, AND</u>
3	ECONOMIC ASSETS FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE; INCORPORATE ADAPTION CHANGES
4	IN LINE WITH OVERALL CITY RESILIENCE AND ADAPTION PRINCIPLES AND
5	<u>STRATEGIES.</u>
6	
7	<u>Policy 33.1</u>
8	Encourage and design resilience projects that achieve multiple Waterfront Plan urban
9	design, historic preservation, recreation, public access and open space, transportation, maritime and
10	environmental goals and benefits.
11	The Port and the City have engaged the local community, planners, engineers, and other stake
12	holders in planning for future flood and other risks through the Waterfront Resilience Program. The
13	Resilience Program looks to implement adaptation strategies that protect public and private assets and
14	the community at large, while anticipating a changing climate. Such efforts should be ongoing, but
15	flexible as environmental conditions of the Northeastern Waterfront change over time.
16	
17	Glossary of Terms
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	Section 4. The Recreation and Open Space Element of the San Francisco General
22	Plan is hereby amended as follows:
23	
24	Recreation and Open Space Element
25	* * * *

2	Related Plans and Agency Programs
3	
4	The Recreation and Open Space Element, along with its related components that make
5	up the City's overall Open Space Framework, aims to provide the elements needed to strive
6	towards San Francisco's goal of a comprehensive open space network: a broad vision, a
7	policy context, and a tangible task list for moving forward. The City also maintains several
8	policy documents, plans and programs that provide direction about specific open space and
9	recreational components, or to certain parts of the City. These include:
10	* * * *
11	
12	Waterfront Land Use Plan and Design and Access Element
13	
14	The Port of San Francisco's Waterfront Plan (originally referred to as the Waterfront Land
15	Use Plan) was initially adopted by the Port Commission in 1997, with an updated version adopted
16	in 2023, defining acceptable uses, policies and land use information applicable to all properties
17	under the Commission's jurisdiction. The Waterfront Plan defines locations for new public-
18	private partnership projects coordinated with major public open space, park and recreation
19	areas, maritime, and historic preservation improvements along the waterfront. The Design and
20	Access Element of this Plan sets forth policies and site-specific design criteria to direct the location
21	and types of public access and open spaces, public view corridors and urban design along San
22	Francisco's waterfront.
23	
24	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, (Former Redevelopment
25	Agency), Open Space Planning

-	
2	The Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, which is the successor agency
3	to the Redevelopment Agency, created a significant amount of open space in its project
4	areas, with more in the planning stages. New parks have been developed at Golden Gateway,
5	in the Western Addition, Yerba Buena Center, Bayview Hunter's Point, Rincon Point - South
6	Beach, and Mission Bay, and Transbay (with additional parks to be developed in Mission Bay) and
7	are a part of recent plans in Hunter's Point Shipyard and in the Transbay area. These spaces are
8	currently being managed by the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure and a
9	number of different city agencies.
10	
11	Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) Planning Efforts
12	
13	The GGNRA encompasses a number of open space and parklands throughout Marin,
14	San Mateo and San Francisco, including Alcatraz Island, Crissy Field, the Presidio and the
15	majority of the City's public beaches. A major planning process was <i>recently</i> -completed by the
16	SPUR for Ocean Beach to examine ways to manage coastal processes that drive erosion,
17	ensure the future of critical infrastructure, protect natural resources, and activate and enhance
18	the beach to best serve the local and regional populations.
19	* * * *
20	
21	San Francisco's Sustainability Plan
22	
23	In 1996, a collaboration of multiple city agencies, including the Commission on the
24	Environment, the Planning Department, the Bureau of Energy Conservation, the Recreation and Park
25	Department, and the Solid Waste Management Program; as well as a number of businesses;

1	environmental organizations; elected officials; and concerned individuals, developed a plan for how
2	the City might reach a sustainable development future. While the plan intended to lay out objectives for
3	a five year timeframe, its intent, particularly with regards to "Parks, Open Spaces and Streetscapes"
4	and their vital ecological, social and economic function in the City, is still applicable. The Plan's
5	strategies for how to retain those functions through increased provision, constant maintenance,
6	additional funding, expanded community participation, and civic commitment are reflected in the
7	strategies presented in this Element.
8	
9	The Climate Action Plan (CAP)
10	The 2021 San Francisco Climate Action Plan sets forth a set of actionable and measurable
11	strategies that not only charts a pathway to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, but also
12	works toward addressing racial and social equity, public health, economic recovery, resilience, and the
13	provision of safe and affordable housing to all. Integral to these strategies is improving San
14	Francisco's parks, natural open spaces, and rights-of-way. The Plan includes several strategies
15	addressing Healthy Ecosystems, including: restore and enhance parks, natural lands, and open spaces;
16	maximize trees throughout the public realm; and increase greening and integration of local
17	biodiversity into the built environment. The CAP provides specific targets and milestone, calls for
18	ongoing monitoring to ensure critical measurement of progress, and allows for adjustments based on
19	changing conditions.
20	
21	Sustainability Plan for Public Parks
22	
23	Using the 2011 SFRPD Departmental Climate Action Plan as a baseline, the Recreation and
24	Park Department's Sustainability Plan sets forth guidelines for sustainable park practices. These
25	practices offset municipal greenhouse gas emissions through landscape management and operations

1	standards within the SFRPD parks and open spaces system to better manage natural resources,
2	including soils, vegetation, and water. The Sustainability Plan expands the function of SFRPD parks
3	beyond from providing recreational opportunities to mitigation of and adaptation to the effects of
4	global climate change through environmental stewardship, resource conservation, and ecological
5	responsibility.
6	* * * *
7	OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES
8	
9	OBJECTIVE 1
10	ENSURE A WELL-MAINTAINED, HIGHLY UTILIZED, AND INTEGRATED OPEN
11	SPACE SYSTEM
12	
13	The City's goal is to make the very most of the open space assets that San Francisco's
14	robust system already provides. Well-maintained, highly utilized, and integrated open spaces
15	are hallmarks of a unified and connected open space system with diverse programming,
16	numerous amenities, and regular maintenance. Offering a diverse range of active and passive
17	recreational opportunities in the City's current recreation and open spaces would help better
18	utilize existing resources and encourage access for diverse users and activities.
19	* * * *
20	
21	POLICY 1.4
22	Prioritize the better utilization of McLaren Park, Ocean Beach, the Southeastern Waterfront
23	and other underutilized significant open spacesMaintain and repair recreational facilities and open
24	spaces to modern maintenance standards.
25	

Maintaining open spaces and recreational facilities at a high level of quality will help
 ensure that they are well-utilized and enjoyed. In order to maintain this goal, the City should
 continue to employ well-trained staff, such as gardeners, arborists, electricians, plumbers and
 other tradespeople to maintain our open space system and recreational facilities.

5

6 However, maintaining the City's existing recreation and open space system in a good 7 condition continues to be a challenge due to intensive use, facility age, and a high number of 8 sites. The City has diminishing resources devoted to general maintenance and upkeep. The 9 city, especially for private and supplemental spaces, should continue to explore creative partnerships to meet maintenance goals of parks and open spaces, *including those within the* 10 jurisdiction of other agencies, such as the Port or National Park Service. Where feasible and in 11 12 keeping with the City's goal of providing well-maintained spaces the City should continue to 13 seek alternative maintenance methods, such as working with non-profit stewards, or 14 developing alternative maintenance agreements. Similarly, where open space is maintained by 15 entities other than the City, such as the National Park Service, the City should advocate for ongoing 16 maintenance of such facilities, particularly when such facilities fall into disrepair and become 17 unusable.

18

The Recreation and Parks Department owns a significant portion of the City's open space system. With over 220 parks and 3000 acres of parkland, RPD requires significant resources to keep the system in good to excellent condition. However, even as RPD continues to seek additional funding sources to address these needs, maintenance continues to be a problem due to rising costs and limitations on staffing and equipment.

1	RPD now evaluates parks on a quarterly basis and in addition the City Controller's
2	Office provides an annual report on the state of the City's parks. <i><u>Further, RPD uses a facility</u></i>
3	<i>lifecycle management database to prioritize the RPD's deferred maintenance needs.</i> The reports
4	have helped direct RPD management and City resources to address maintenance needs. The
5	City should continue to analyze maintenance needs by using these reports and other sources
6	as data from users throughout the recreation and open space system to ensure the
7	maintenance standards are met and funding is adequate.
8	
9	POLICY 1.5
10	Prioritize the better utilization of McLaren Park, Ocean Beach, the Southeastern Waterfront
11	and other underutilized significant open spacesMaintain and repair recreational facilities and open
12	spaces to modern maintenance standards.
13	
14	Some of the City's large signature spaces offer a special opportunity to provide
15	multifunctional open spaces that serve a diverse set of users. In particular:
16	
17	McLaren Park
18	
19	McLaren Park is a citywide resource due to its large size, varied landscape, and the
20	specialized activities and programs located within the park. At the same time, it is located in
21	an area of the City with one of the highest concentration of children, youth, seniors, and low-
22	income households. McLaren Park should offer uses which satisfy the recreation needs of
23	adjacent neighborhoods as well as meeting the needs of the city. The McLaren Park Master
24	Plan was originally written in 1983, updated in 1996, and most recently updated with
25	recommendations in the 2010 McLaren Park Needs Assessment and published the McLaren

<u>Park Vision Plan in 2018</u>. The City should ensure that the objectives and priorities of the Master
 Plan provide effective guidance for the needs of the park today.

3

Development of the park should capitalize on the site's natural conditions, including
topography, existing native vegetation, and views, in compliance with RPD guidelines. New
plantings should be added to provide habitats and windbreaks, to define sub-areas of the
park, and to provide colorful and attractive visual accents. Plant species should be hardy,
wind- and fire-resistant, and provide for and enhance wildlife habitats.

9

In an effort to increase park use, the City should continue promoting events that attract
 visitors to the Park. For example, Jerry Garcia Day, an annual festival held in honor of the
 local musician, draws thousands of visitors to the park. Revenues generated from such events
 could fund maintenance of and improvements to recreation facilities and open space.

14

15 The City should consider a number of improvements to McLaren Park. Existing traffic 16 conditions should be examined to reduce conflicts between vehicles and park users. The City 17 should investigate the feasibility of improving the existing right of way in the park to allow for safe pedestrian, vehicular and bike access where appropriate and converting those areas to 18 19 recreational use. The existing trail system should be retained and improved by completing 20 missing linkages. Any new development should build on the existing infrastructure including 21 roads and parking areas, the irrigation system and drainage structures, and lighting and 22 electrical installations. Infrastructure that is damaged should be replaced within the existing 23 network, channel or path. New recreation areas should serve active, as well as passive, nonorganized recreation needs, that respond to a wide spectrum of park users. 24

1

Ocean Beach

2

Ocean Beach offers a vast, unbroken expanse of natural open space that is one of the longest urban beaches in the country. The area historically served the growing San Francisco population with the Sutro Baths, the Cliff House, the Fleishhaker Pool, and an amusement park, but now suffers from erosion and a lack of amenities. At the same time, Ocean Beach is annually visited by as many as three million people for activities such as walking, picnicking, sunbathing, jogging, dog walking, surfing, fishing, and simply enjoying the natural beauty.

9

Ocean Beach faces significant obstacles to fulfilling its potential as a great public space 10 11 at the city's edge. First, critical components of the wastewater infrastructure are located near 12 the beach, with some elements that are threatened by erosion. Coastal management to 13 protect infrastructure, ecological resources, and public access is a complex challenge. The 14 erosion is likely to worsen as climate-related sea level rise accelerates. In addition, Ocean 15 Beach is administered by a host of Federal, State, and Local agencies, including the National Park Service1, the SF Recreation and Park Department, the San Francisco Public Utilities 16 17 Commission, and the State Coastal Commission.

18

A non-binding Master Plan for Ocean Beach has been developed by a project team led by San Francisco Planning and Urban Research (SPUR) and incorporating input from an interagency Steering Committee, Planning Advisory Committee (PAC), Technical Advisors and the general public. The plan addresses the complex challenges faced at the coastline, including severe erosion, jurisdictional issues, a diverse array of beach users and points of view, and the looming challenge of climate-induced sea level rise. It presents recommendations for the coastline and how it should be managed and protected for the

1 stretch from the Cliff House to Fort Funston, spanning roadway changes, bike and pedestrian 2 connectivity, technical interventions, and ecological restoration. 3 To help address the issues described above, the SFPUC, in partnership with other agencies, is implementing the Ocean Beach Climate Change Adaptation Project for the southernmost portion of the 4 5 beach and surroundings. The Adaptation Project builds upon several previous efforts including the Coastal Protection Measures and Management Strategy for South Ocean Beach (2015) and the Ocean 6 7 Beach Open Space Landscape Design (2017). Project elements include, but are not limited to, 8 managed retreat, structural protection, access and recreation improvements, and beach nourishment. 9 * * * * 10 11 12 MAP 3 - Existing and Proposed Open Space [shall be replaced with an updated 13 MAP 3 showing new parks established since the Recreation and Open Space Element was originally published] 14 15 * * * * 16 17 18 **OBJECTIVE 2 INCREASE RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE TO MEET THE LONG-TERM NEEDS** 19 20 OF THE CITY AND BAY REGION * * * * 21 22 23 POLICY 2.4 Support the development of signature public open spaces along the shoreline. 24 * * * * 25

1

2

Northeastern Shoreline

3

Significant progress has been made in opening and improving the city's northern 4 5 shorelines. With the opening of Crissy Field in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and 6 the retention of much of the open space in the Presidio as publicly-accessible open space, 7 this area has transformed itself into a regional destination. Long-term, maximizing the 8 recreational opportunities of other shoreline areas should be considered and inter-9 governmental and other partnerships should be pursued to further develop these opportunities. At the same time, existing facilities should be maintained at a level good repair to 10 11 assure their ongoing usefulness. 12 13 In addition, a major opportunity exists to create an expanded, multi-park open space at 14 the juncture of Market Street and *the The Embarcadero*. The existing open spaces of 15 Embarcadero Promenade, Justin Herman Plaza, and Sue Bierman (formerly Ferry) Park 16 provide a wealth of untapped opportunity, which can be connected to function as a coherent 17 link from downtown to the Ferry Building and the waterfront, holding several linked yet distinct 18 activity and recreation spaces. Additionally, the Port has opened is planning to open the 19 Northeast Wharf at Pier 27, which *would be*-includes a three acre plaza in front of a new Cruise 20 <u>*Terminal*</u> at the base of Telegraph Hill, and a series of linked open spaces in the heart of 21 Fisherman's Wharf. 22 23 Western Shoreline 24 25

1 The western shoreline has the advantage that it is already a long-stretch of natural and 2 publicly-accessible open space. Ocean Beach is a national treasure and should be improved 3 to acknowledge the significance of vast, unbroken expanse of beach in the City.

4

A non-binding Ocean Beach Master Plan has been developed by SPUR (a San 5 6 Francisco non-profit supporting planning and good government in the Bay Area) in close 7 coordination with responsible agencies. The Plan includes recommendations to improve and 8 restore conditions at Ocean Beach by adapting proactively to the changing coastline. The 9 western shoreline also connects to Lake Merced, providing opportunities for enhanced access to the waterfront and recreational opportunities. The SFPUC is *currently exploring ways to* 10 11 undertaking several efforts, including implementing the Ocean Beach Climate Change Adaptation 12 *Project, to* improve access to the watershed lands in this area. If additional space becomes 13 available, this space should provide improved connections from the neighborhood to the 14 waterfront.

15

16

Southeastern Waterfront

17 The continued development of Mission Bay, the passage of the Eastern 18 Neighborhoods plans (Mission, East SoMa, Showplace Square/Potrero Hill, and Central 19 Waterfront Area Plans), the approved developments at Mission Rock, Pier 70, the Potrero Power 20 Station, India Basin, and the proposed Candlestick Point and Hunters Point Shipyard 21 developments will bring growth, which will require increased access and open spaces 22 throughout the Southeast. Most of these plans are accompanied by specific open space 23 strategies for parkland along the waterfront, where active water-oriented uses such as 24 shoreline fishing, swimming, and boating should be promoted. The 2018 Central Waterfront: 25

- Dogpatch Public Realm Plan includes additional, more specific recommendations for the
 Central Waterfront-Dogpatch Public Realm Plan area.
- 3
- 4

BLUE GREENWAY

5 The Blue Greenway is a project to improve and expand the public open space network 6 along the City's central and southern waterfront, from the China Basin Channel to the San 7 Francisco County Line (see Map 8: Blue Greenway). It provides a new vision of how parks 8 and public spaces can be created to complement and connect with existing open spaces in 9 this industrial mixed-use area along the Bay waterfront. The Blue Greenway seeks to both provide opportunities for much-needed open space that is easily accessible for exercise and 10 11 recreation, including bicycle and pedestrian access, recreational uses in the water (e.g. 12 kayaking and swimming), access to historical resources, and enjoyment of art, as well as 13 improve waterfront public access from nearby neighborhoods. These goals realize objectives 14 set forth in the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) San Francisco Bay Trail Plan 15 and Bay Area Water Trail for southeast San Francisco.

16

The following Blue Greenway projects should be given high priority as the
neighborhoods along the Bay waterfront – which are already deficient in open space –
continue to grow in population. These projects correspond with identified high needs areas.
Some are longer-term, large-scale projects that will require public funding:

and will be the northern gateway of the Blue Greenway.

China Basin Shoreline Park: This existing approximately two-acre park will be

expanded as a part of the development of the Port's Sea Wall Lot 337 project

- 21
- 22 23

1	• Mission Bay Park System: This 41-acre park system will include a large scale,
2	bayfront park between the China Basin Shoreline Park and Pier 70, with an
3	important Blue Greenway segment. These open space opportunities and
4	projects are incorporated into the Office of Community Investment and
5	Infrastructure's Mission Bay Redevelopment project.
6	
7	• Pier 70 Open Space System: the Port's Pier 70 Plan proposes the following open spaces
8	along the Blue Greenway:
9	
10	• Crane Cove Park: Plans for this approximately nine-acre shoreline park
11	within the Port's Pier 70 development area include construction of an aquatic
12	center and opportunities for park designs and interpretative materials that
13	provide educational information on the City's deeply rooted maritime history. In
14	addition to Crane Cove Park, the Pier 70 site presents opportunities for a variety
15	of other open spaces, all of which must be consistent with the industrial maritime
16	character and setting of the site.
17	
18	O Waterfront Development Site / Slipways Park: The waterfront development
19	site is an approximately 28-acre site that is slated for mixed-use development.
20	The side includes Slipways Park, an approximately four-acre park along the
21	southeastern shoreline that will incorporate historic shipbuilding infrastructure.
22	The park will eventually connect with new shoreline open spaces once the former
23	Potrero Power Plant site is redeveloped. Adjacent to the waterfront development
24	site is the Irish Hill / Hoe-Down Yard property at Illinois and 22nd Street, which
25	is jointly owned by the Port and PG&E. This area is also being evaluated for

1	development and open space potential as part of the waterfront development site
2	planning process.
3	
4	• Crane Cove Park: This approximately seven-acre shoreline park within the Port's Pier
5	70 development area include construction of an aquatic center and interpretive
6	educational information regarding the City's deeply rooted maritime history. In addition
7	to Crane Cove Park, the Pier 70 site presents opportunities for a variety of other open
8	spaces, all of which must be consistent with the industrial maritime character and
9	setting of the site.
10	
11	• <u>Pier 70 Development Project:</u> The waterfront development site is approximately 28
12	acres, which includes approximately nine acres of open space, including approximately
13	four acres of open spaces along the waterfront that will incorporate historic
14	shipbuilding infrastructure.
15	
16	• Potrero Power Station Development Project: This approximately 23-acre parcel is the
17	former site of the Potrero Power Plant, which is now planned for a major multi-phase
18	mixed use development that will include significant amount of residential, office,
19	laboratory, and other supportive uses. A major feature of the development is its 6.9
20	acres of waterfront and inland open space that will be coordinated with the adjacent
21	Pier 70 multi-phase mixed-use development, as it provides an opportunity to extend
22	waterfront access through the pier to Warm Water Cove.
23	
24	• Warm Water Cove: This isolated park has the opportunity to be improved and
25	expanded by up to three acres to provide access to the City's Eastern shoreline

1	and to provide recreational opportunities to the growing population. The 2018
-	
2	Central Waterfront-Dogpatch Public Realm Plan includes concept designs for
3	this site to guide future expansion and enhancements.
4	
5	Islais Creek Improvements: This project may consist of shoreline
6	improvements, including rebuilding dilapidated wharves, removing ghost piles,
7	and providing for open space system linkages to expand public access and
8	recreational water use of Islais Creek. In August 2021, the City and the Port
9	published the Islais Creek Southeast Mobility Adaptation Strategy (ICSMAS), which
10	examined the Creek and its surrounding neighborhood's flood hazard risks and
11	identified a comprehensive suite of possible adaptation pathways to protect the area and
12	its key public assets from flooding and permanent inundation.
13	
14	India Basin: The recently closed Hunters Point Power Plant and adjacent
15	shoreline properties offers the opportunity to bring much-needed recreational
16	space to the center of the City's southeast neighborhoods, helping address the
17	health and environmental impacts of the plant's operations. <u>Relatedly, RPD in</u>
18	embarking on a major redesign and enlargement of the India Basin Shoreline Park and
19	India Basin Open Space that will, among other aspects, expand the area of the parks by
20	incorporating new property at 900 Innes Avenue. The proposed India Basin multi-phase
21	development, approved in 2018, will also contribute significant new open space area.
22	Taken together, the new and improved open spaces from the Power Plant Site through
23	India Basin and into the Shipyard are expected to create a network of diverse open
24	spaces for the Bayview community and the City at large.
25	* * * *

1

2 **POLICY 2.6**

* * * *

3

4

San Francisco is a civic city— celebrations, rallies, gatherings and protests take place
almost weekly; political speeches, music, performances in the open air are also common
occurrences. Our identity is defined as much by expressions of our social and cultural goals
as it is by our physical landscape. These regular events in San Francisco emphasize the role
of our City as a regional stage where like minds can gather and deliberate.

Support the development of civic-serving open spaces.

- 10 11
- Civic Center: Our existing Civic Center, surrounded by City Hall, the Main 12 13 Library, the Asian Art Museum, and other civic spaces, hosts many of the 14 activities described above. The Civic Center is part of the Civic Center Historic District with National, State, and Local designations. Civic Center's 15 16 design, however, limits its capacity and functionality, with activities often 17 spilling into less optimal public spaces such as nearby streets. The nearby UN 18 Plaza provides additional activity space that is used for weekly farmers and 19 craft markets, but the two spaces are generally underused outside of 20 scheduled activities and are separated by a virtual parking lot along Fulton Street between Hyde and Larkin Streets. A series of connected open spaces, 21 along a "Civic Center axis" from Market Street to City Hall, could be created 22 23 with the development of a pedestrian mall along Fulton Street between the Main Library and Asian Art Museum, and with corresponding activity 24 25 improvements to increase the usability of the Civic Center and UN Plazas.

1	Some components that should be included in the revamping of these public spaces
2	include an event lawn or amphitheater for performances, a gathering plaza, and
3	pavilions for special events. The Civic Center Public Realm Plan provides a blueprint
4	for realizing these goals.
5	* * * *
6	
7	POLICY 2.7
8	Expand partnerships among open space agencies, transit agencies, private
9	sector and nonprofit institutions to acquire <u>, <i>and</i> develop <i>new open space</i>, <i>and/orand</i></u>
10	<u>maintain, improve, and</u> manage existing open spaces.
11	* * * *
12	
13	OBJECTIVE 3
14	IMPROVE ACCESS AND CONNECTIVITY TO OPEN SPACE
15	
16	San Francisco is a dense, built-out city, where it may be difficult and expensive to
17	acquire new land for parks and open spaces. Even though acquisition remains an important
18	means to improve open space access, San Francisco's street network provides an untapped
19	opportunity to supplement the city's open space system and link the network of open spaces.
20	The street network, which makes up 25% percent of the City's total land area, is a valuable
21	public space asset that can incorporate many types of open spaces, such as pocket parks,
22	play streets, trails, and walkable streets and bike routes. These systems can connect
23	residents to larger parks and open spaces and serve as restorative green spaces in their own
24	right, places where residents can interact with urban nature on their doorstep. This system
25	

1 should be clearly legible, and include signage to guide pedestrians to and through the larger 2 open space system. * * * * 3 4 POLICY 3.3 5 Develop and enhance the City's recreational trail system, linking to the regional 6 7 hiking and biking trail system and considering restoring historic water courses to 8 improve stormwater management. 9 San Francisco currently has an extensive network of trails that provide local 10 11 opportunities for walking and biking and link to regional trails and open spaces throughout the 12 Bay Area. These trails surround the Bay, parallel the ocean, extend through parks and 13 neighborhoods and connect existing open spaces. Many of these trails have gaps and lack 14 adequate signage. The City should prioritize filling these gaps and increasing awareness of the trails through updated signage. New trails are also envisioned to provide additional hiking 15 16 and biking opportunities and important wildlife corridors. The City should also work with Daly 17 City and San Mateo County to encourage better links to San Bruno Mountain and trails to the 18 south. 19 20 New trails throughout the city could consider historic water courses to incorporate 21 stormwater management, provide trail connections, or restore aquatic and riparian habitats or 22 wildlife corridors.- These trails should provide better ways to move people through increased

hiking and biking opportunities. Some adopted Area Plans, such as the Glen Park Area Plan,
have identified such opportunities.

MAP 11 - San Francisco Bay Trail [shall be replaced with an updated MAP 11
 showing segments of the Bay Trail currently shown as gaps as completed Bay Trail
 segments]

- 4
- 5

Continuous Waterfront Trail

6 The trails along the waterfront are administered by many different jurisdictions including 7 regional, city, and federal agencies. However, visitors do not necessarily distinguish between 8 these jurisdictions, and want a continuous, usable trail system along the waterfront. The City 9 should improve trail signage to ensure users are provided clear routes and destinations and 10 work to fill any gaps in the proposed trails and in the connections between them where it does 11 not impede on water dependent commerce.

- 12
- 13
- 14 Bay Area Water Trail

* * * *

The State Coastal Conservancy is leading the implementation of the San Francisco 15 Bay Area Water Trail Plan (Water Trail Plan), a new regional access project. The Water Trail 16 will be is a network of access sites (or "trailheads") that will enable people using non-17 18 motorized, small boats or other beachable sailcraft—such as kayaks, canoes, dragon boats, 19 stand-up paddle and windsurf boards—to safely enjoy single and multiple-day trips around 20 San Francisco Bay. This regional trail has the potential to enhances Bay Area communities' 21 connections to the Bay for water recreation activities, and create new linkages to existing shoreline open space and other regional trails, such as the Bay Trail. The Water Trail will 22 23 include educational, stewardship, and outreach components. * * * *

- 24
- 25

1	MAP 12 - Regional Trails [shall be replaced with an updated MAP 12 showing
2	segments of the Bay Trail currently shown as gaps as completed Bay Trail segments]
3	* * * *
4	
5	POLICY 5.1
6	Engage communities in the design, programming and improvement of their local
7	open spaces, and in the development of recreational programs.
8	
9	The most successful public spaces are those that respond to the needs of their users.
10	Statistics, maps and figures can only go so far in determining a community's need – they can
11	explain proximity to open space, they can describe type of open spaces that are missing
12	(hiking trails, sports fields, playgrounds, access to and from open water, etc.), but they cannot
13	identify the components of open space design which will most reflect their user community.
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
18	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
19	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
20	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
21	
22	Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
23	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
24	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
25	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment

1	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
2	the official title of the ordinance.
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
4	DAVID CHIU, City Attorney
5	By: <u>/s/ Peter R. Miljanich</u> PETER R. MILJANICH
6	Deputy City Attorney
7	n:\legana\as2023\2300067\01682754.docx
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	