

From: nick@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nick Podell](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 9:53:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nick Podell

From: [Aidan O Sullivan](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 10:18:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Aidan O Sullivan, aosullie@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: mariaborda3@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Maria Borda](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 10:42:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maria Borda

From: priscilla.scala@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [priscilla scala](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 10:47:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
priscilla scala

From: [Marina Greenwood](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 10:50:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Marina Greenwood, marina.gwood@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: diane.sargent@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Diane Sargent](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:07:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Diane Sargent

From: ospina_sandra@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sandra Ospina](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:08:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sandra Ospina

From: david.c.geib@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Geib](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:15:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
David Geib

From: [Sean Sullivan](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:21:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Sean Sullivan, stsullivan12@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: ryanthomasdunn@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [RYAN DUNN](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:32:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
RYAN DUNN

From: connorleech@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Connor Leech](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:46:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Connor Leech

From: cathy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Catherine Dean](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:54:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Catherine Dean

From: [Mark Nagle](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 11:56:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Mark Nagle, mark@thechieftain.com

I am a resident of District 6

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

Mark Nagle

From: [William Growney](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 12:09:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: William Growney, growney185@att.net

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

The city budget is out of control. Nothing should be funded until after basic public services, such as police and fire, are fully funded. After that try raising taxes for the board of Supervisors and the mayor's pet projects.

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

From: calgal75@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sally Fay Cottingham](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 12:20:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sally Fay Cottingham

From: trabaut@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tom Rabaut](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 12:28:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tom Rabaut

From: [Mary English](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 12:29:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Mary English, thecentering@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: markarmenta@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mark Armenta](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 12:54:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mark Armenta

From: [Volkan Sevim](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 12:55:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Volkan Sevim, sevimvolkan@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: christopherwelsh@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Christopher Welsh](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 1:14:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christopher Welsh

From: [Mike KuldaneK](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 1:19:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Mike KuldaneK, kuldaneK.mike@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Charlene Attard](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 1:55:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Charlene Attard, charlene@charleneattard.com

I am a resident of

District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Arnold Trogman](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:05:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Arnold Trogman , arnoldtrogman@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

The Lake Merced Trail project and other unnecessary cosmetic landscaping projects puts to the lie the idea that we either have to raise taxes or cut vital services.. to adequately fund our Police Department.. There is no compelling reason to put doodad projects ahead of vital services and then pretend there are no other alternatives. Stop the boondoggle projects all over the city and there would be plenty of money to fund enough police...

Unfortunately the contractor and tech company campaign donors seem to own most of the Board of Supervisors, so that the boondoggle projects and subsequent gravy train of our tax dollars seems to never stop.

From: [Shelley Harrison](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:06:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Shelley Harrison, shelleysf@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: carberrys@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Karen Carberry](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Karen Carberry

From: mvgaines1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Gaines](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:15:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Gaines

From: billmaggs@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [William Maggs](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:16:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William Maggs

From: ericdotroddie@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Eric Roddie](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:16:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eric Roddie

From: [Kathleen Price](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:18:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Kathleen Price, kathyprice@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: stokesshannon@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Shannon Stokes](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:30:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shannon Stokes

From: [Tiffany Chin](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Support Supervisor Dorsey's Full Staffing Amendment, and You Should Too.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 2:53:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Tiffany Chin, may_domes_0k@icloud.com

Message: I Support Supervisor Dorsey's Full Staffing Amendment, and You Should Too.

Dear Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Dorsey's full staffing amendment for SFPD and hope you will vote to put it on the ballot in March 2024. We cannot regain the city's reputation as a safe destination to live, work, visit, and shop if we do not have a fully staffed police force. Supervisor Dorsey's plan has short-, medium-, and long-range solutions to quickly fill the current gaps in personnel while making retention and recruitment possible and probable.

San Franciscans have prioritized public safety because we understand how vital it is to the success and achievement of every other industry and quality of life metric. Having our officers live in the neighborhoods they serve strengthens each community and the entire city. It is time to show our SFPD we value each and every officer, and it is long overdue that we take steps to help our officers live in the city they patrol each day. Please listen to your constituents who have repeatedly requested more funding for the police and more staffing of SFPD.

From: [njzac@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:njazac@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nancy Zajac](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 3:12:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nancy Zajac

From: deepak.rama@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Deepak Rama](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 3:18:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Deepak Rama

From: tiffanyparalta@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tiffany Waugh](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 3:21:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Waugh

From: anjulinasai@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anji Desai](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 4:05:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anji Desai

From: [Michelle Chen](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 5:30:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Michelle Chen, michelleminchen@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Gary Lu](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 5:31:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Gary Lu, gary_lu@apple.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: lala.t.wu@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lala Wu](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 6:04:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Lala Wu

From: patrickbfarrell90@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Patrick Farrell](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 6:11:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick Farrell

From: mmpittard101@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Marissa Pittard](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 6:47:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Marissa Pittard

From: hobosf2@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sonny Lee](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 6:56:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sonny Lee

From: david.p.mobley@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Mobley](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 7:04:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
David Mobley

From: pstanton1224@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Pat Stanton](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 7:37:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Pat Stanton

From: [Raymond Fabr](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 7:37:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Raymond Fabr, fabrcius@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 6

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

From: [Susan McKeon](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 7:46:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Susan McKeon, mckeonsf@comcast.net

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: bev_sutton@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Beverly Sutton](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 7:50:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Beverly Sutton

From: ShepherdDowling@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Shepherd Dowling](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 7:51:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shepherd Dowling

From: lbardoff@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Laurence Bardoff](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 8:05:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Laurence Bardoff

From: begrudgingly@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Larry Miller](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 8:44:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Larry Miller

From: lilli.alberga@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [LILLI ALBERGA](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 8:47:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
LILLI ALBERGA

From: rchong653@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ron Chong](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 9:11:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ron Chong

From: laurazoufal@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Laura Curran](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 9:15:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Laura Curran

From: 415melvilles@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Matthew Melville](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 9:18:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Matthew Melville

From: galton66@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gregg Alton](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 10:14:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gregg Alton

From: jknjl127@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jill B Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 10:31:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jill B

From: CarolineM.Matthews@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Caroline Matthews](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 8, 2023 10:40:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Caroline Matthews

From: edwardchen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Edward Chen](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 12:00:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Edward Chen

From: susanmackowski@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Susan Mackowski](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 4:51:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan Mackowski

From: [Lalena Goard](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 5:24:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Lalena Goard, lalena.goard@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: janetleegamble@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Janet Gamble](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 6:12:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Janet Gamble

From: gmdecad@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gary Decad](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 7:04:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gary Decad

From: gmdecad@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gary Decad](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 7:05:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gary Decad

From: [Suzanne Dito](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 7:58:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Suzanne Dito, suzyditoysb@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [William Dito](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 7:59:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: William Dito, bdito3206@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: exsugardoc@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Price](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 8:40:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
David Price

From: [Michel Balea](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 8:57:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Michel Balea, michelbalea@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment.

From: sharad1774@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sharad Singh](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 9:02:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sharad Singh

From: sobesls@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Stacey Sobel](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 9:24:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stacey Sobel

From: mehdisv@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mehdi Sqalli](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 11:05:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mehdi Sqalli

From: skgillenwater@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sharon Gillenwater](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 11:40:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sharon Gillenwater

From: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 12:18:26 PM

From: Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 9, 2023 12:01 PM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended

From: skgillenwater@everyactioncustom.com <skgillenwater@everyactioncustom.com> on behalf of Sharon Gillenwater <skgillenwater@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 9, 2023 11:40 AM
To: Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Dorsey,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and

small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sharon Gillenwater

From: tedwong.bayarea@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [ted wong](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 12:44:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
ted wong

From: [victoire.reynal](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 12:58:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: victoire reynal, victoirereynal@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: davidtsf1170@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Thompson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 1:06:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
David Thompson

From: [Ted Loewenberg](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 3:10:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Ted Loewenberg, tedlsf@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of

District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

Voters already mandated a police force of 1700 officers, several years ago. SFPD has dwindled in size since then, with a large wave of retirements in the past few years. Do your job and push for the existing mandate to be fulfilled. And, stop with nonsense amendments that gouge taxpayers once again.

I vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should

have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

Most importantly, if you think that "people" need to pay more for safety, propose a "renters' tax" on San Francisco. They get all the free services, like schools, water, fire and police protection, City College, health and safety actions from DPH, trash pick-up, paved roads, etc., for NOTHING!!! A Habitation Tax for these services will provide the additional money that y'all complain we need to improve San Francisco. EVERYBODY should pay their fair share.

From: pvandris@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Panos Vandris](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 4:08:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Panos Vandris

From: alan.khoo@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alan Khoo](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 4:35:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alan Khoo

From: codybreene@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Cody Breene](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 7:55:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Cody Breene

From: libby@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Libby Dodd](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 8:47:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Libby Dodd

From: [DAVID DRIVER](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 9:17:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: DAVID
DRIVER, DAVIDRANDOLPHDRIVER@GMAIL.COM

I am a resident of District 9

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and

request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

From: phyllis@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Phyllis Ball](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 9:42:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Ball

From: [Tyler Dikman](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 9, 2023 11:58:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Tyler Dikman, tyler.dikman@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: ssebastianle07@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sebastian Le](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:09:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sebastian Le

From: paullovgreen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Paul H Lovgreen](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 9:54:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Paul H Lovgreen

From: fredm04@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Fred Medick](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:20:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Fred Medick

From: ddibattista@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Dina DiBattista](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:20:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dina DiBattista

From: joc242@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John O'Connor](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:21:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John O'Connor

From: pmwhite@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Paul White](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:21:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Paul White

From: ahong43@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Adam Hong](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:21:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Adam Hong

From: christianblank86@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Christian Blank](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:21:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christian Blank

From: lonnadenny@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Dr. Lonna Denny](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:21:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dr Lonna Denny

From: janeday@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jane Day](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:21:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jane Day

From: hubstack3469@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Herbert Stackhouse](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:21:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Herbert Stackhouse

From: tamaragreenberg@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tamara Greenberg](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:22:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tamara Greenberg

From: calumlmackay@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Calum Mackay](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:22:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Calum Mackay

From: pixiedust992@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mary Walker-MacLean](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:22:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Walker-MacLean

From: Rebecca@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rebecca White](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:23:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rebecca White

From: aferguson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Aisling Ferguson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:23:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aisling Ferguson

From: bill.lewis@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Bill Lewis](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:26:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bill Lewis

From: jessicawolden@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jessica Moore](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:26:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jessica Moore

From: taniweiner@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nathaniel Weiner](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:27:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nathaniel Weiner

From: denawilliams@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Dena Aslanian-Williams](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:29:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dena Aslanian-Williams

From: lalena.goard@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lalena Goard](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:31:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Lalena Goard

From: robleec@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Christopher Roblee](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:31:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christopher Roblee

From: marybritt@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Brittany McLaren](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:31:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brittany McLaren

From: rjak1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rose Jakubaszek](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:32:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rose Jakubaszek

From: mark@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mark Nagle](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:32:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mark Nagle

From: tdehnel@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tom Dehnel](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:32:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tom Dehnel

From: erinleighgardner@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Erin Gardner](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:32:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erin Gardner

From: howard.dave@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Neil Howard](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:33:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Neil Howard

From: jsiebalt@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joshua Siebalt](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:33:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joshua Siebalt

From: alba.627@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alba Vicente](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:33:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alba Vicente

From: makoto.valdez@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Makoto Valdez](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:33:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Makoto Valdez

From: angelika.joast@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Angelika Joast](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:34:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Angelika Joast

From: Alanbillingsley215@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alan Billingsley](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:34:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alan Billingsley

From: jonathon.d.hunt@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jonathon Hunt](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:34:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jonathon Hunt

From: tonyday@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anthony Day](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:35:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anthony Day

From: sdienst82@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sedge Dienst](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:38:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sedge Dienst

From: beaubarlotte@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Charlotte Worcester](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:39:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Worcester

From: CLURBAN@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [COREY Urban](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:39:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
COREY Urban

From: richarddebono@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Richard De Bono](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:40:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Richard De Bono

From: kathmckeon@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kathleen McKeon](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:40:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen McKeon

From: sftonyfox@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anthony Fox](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:42:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anthony Fox

From: manningedson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Manning Edson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:43:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Manning Edson

From: tbales@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Thom Bales](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:43:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Thom Bales

From: juliegengo@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Julie Gengo](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:45:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Julie Gengo

From: centauress6@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Denise Lytle](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:45:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Denise Lytle

From: yashvinee@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Yashvinee Adarkar](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:46:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Yashvinee Adarkar

From: moto714man@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gary Overby](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:48:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gary Overby

From: nbalan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nalin Balan](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nalin Balan

From: acgregory2423@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ann Gregory](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:51:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ann Gregory

From: CherylKarpowicz@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Cheryl Karpowicz](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:51:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Karpowicz

From: m6508987408@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Fred Bullock](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:54:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Fred Bullock

From: Jennifer@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jennifer Butterfoss](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:59:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Butterfoss

From: npcolletti@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Natalie VanDyke](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:01:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Natalie VanDyke

From: lwhitlock@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Louise Whitlock](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:03:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Louise Whitlock

From: kristine.andarmani@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kristine Andarmani](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:06:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kristine Andarmani

From: barnard.rachel@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rachel Barnard](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:10:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rachel Barnard

From: cmccgardner@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Christine Gardner](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:11:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christine Gardner

From: smita622@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Smita Saxena](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:19:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Smita Saxena

From: jfishertx@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Fisher](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:20:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Fisher

From: cduss26@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Christina Isetta](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:28:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christina Isetta

From: alanw.hopkins@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alan Hopkins](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:29:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alan Hopkins

From: mbj1208@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Matthew Jones](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:32:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Matthew Jones

From: ctucker.0306@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Christina Tucker](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:32:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christina Tucker

From: kiddie.bromine01@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:36:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Teresa Shaw

From: [Michael Murano](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:36:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Michael Murano, mmurano@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).


We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.



From: josephine_zhao@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Josephine Zhao](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:44:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Josephine Zhao

From: samhom1958@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sam Hom](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:44:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sam Hom

From: fernandezjuano@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Juan Carlos Fernandez](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:45:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Juan Carlos Fernandez

From: [Karen Yan](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:45:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Karen Yan, redfeluca@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: fdbaker@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Frederick Baker](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:45:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Frederick Baker

From: v.vargas17@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Vanessa Vargas](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:46:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Vanessa Vargas

From: sruiz200@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sergio Ruiz](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:52:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sergio Ruiz

From: terry@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Terry Whalen](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:56:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Terry Whalen

From: [Karina Velasquez](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:57:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Karina Velasquez, karinawinder@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: patrilahoz@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Patricia La Hoz](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:58:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patricia La Hoz

From: sandyrepresentperfect@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sandra Hirsch](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:05:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sandra Hirsch

From: Mpirnie@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Meg Kammerud](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:15:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Meg Kammerud

From: dougneilson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Doug Neilson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:15:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Doug Neilson

From: [Geo Li](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:22:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Geo Li, gworld@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: mbeis@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Eisler](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:23:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Eisler

From: [Carmel Tickler](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:25:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Carmel Tickler, carmeltickler@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [A Tickler](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:27:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: A Tickler, tickl1home@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Dorothy Walsh](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:28:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Dorothy Walsh , dorothy9w@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: pattired12@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Patti McMahon](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:29:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patti McMahon

From: sashnagle@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sandra Nagle](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:30:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sandra Nagle

From: lanasandahl@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lana Sandahl](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:32:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Lana Sandahl

From: allennikka@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Allen Nikka](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:35:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Allen Nikka

From: jamiedsutherland@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jamie Sutherland](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:37:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jamie Sutherland

From: jillks19@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jill Silverman](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:37:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jill Silverman

From: [Matthew Rhoa](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:42:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Matthew Rhoa, matthew@brailer-rhoa.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: mcwgorski@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [mary walsh gorski](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:53:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
mary walsh gorski

From: fenechkristen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kristen Fenech](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:55:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kristen Fenech

From: [Richard Parina](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 12:55:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Richard Parina, parinarichard8@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

Respectfully Submitted,
Rick Parina
District 3 Democrat Voter.

From: jamespherlihy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [James Herlihy](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:07:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
James Herlihy

From: mmichaelbrown@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Brown](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:08:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Brown

From: nancysur@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nancy Sur](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:44:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nancy Sur

From: [Elizabeth Le](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:47:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Elizabeth Le, elizle@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Sal Novoa](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:52:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Sal Novoa, mitt.repose-0n@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: stevebranton@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Steve Branton](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:54:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Steve Branton

From: wes.powell@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Wesley powell](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:55:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Wesley powell

From: giordanopf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Peter Giordano](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 1:57:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Peter Giordano

From: [Geo Li](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:03:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Geo Li, gworld@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: shantmelkon@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Shant Melkonian](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:08:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shant Melkonian

From: [Nathan Taylor](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:15:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Nathan Taylor, nate1787@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: glassgerrie@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Duncan Cameron](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:16:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Duncan Cameron

From: jangleejat@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sapna Mahwal](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sapna Mahwal

From: [Jan Diamond](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:24:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jan Diamond, janmdiamond@pacbell.net

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: tiff.ting@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tiffany Ting](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Ting

From: sonya@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sona Sondhi](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:36:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sona Sondhi

From: mariapasos@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Maria Pasos](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:41:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maria Pasos

From: [Adam Pensack](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 2:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Adam Pensack, adampensack@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Greg Rudko](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 3:03:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Greg Rudko, che.rudko@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: vbarret@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Victoria Barret](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 3:14:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Victoria Barret

From: okhennessy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sharon Hennessey](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 3:23:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sharon Hennessey

From: [Alan Burradell](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 3:26:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Alan Burradell, alanburradell@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Steve Armstrong](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 3:29:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Steve Armstrong, strongarmace@mac.com

I am a resident of

District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Matin Nazir](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 3:31:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Matin Nazir, matin.nazir@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 6

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: Brian@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Brian Key](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 3:51:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brian Key

From: aymqn.farahat@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ayman Farahat](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 4:01:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ayman Farahat

From: winkyf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Winifred Follin](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 4:01:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Winifred Follin

From: myrka.sarahi@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Myrka Larios](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 4:02:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Myrka Larios

From: [Matthew McCormick](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 4:12:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Matthew McCormick , matthew_mccormick@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: patricia_sur@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Patricia Sur](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 4:24:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patricia Sur

From: kathryn.duryea@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kathryn Duryea](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 4:28:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Duryea

From: drogers01@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Dave Rogers](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 4:33:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dave Rogers

From: [Stephen Martin-Pinto](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 5:09:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Stephen Martin-Pinto, stephen@stephenmartinpinto.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: cball54@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Charles Lowey-Ball](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 6:01:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Charles Lowey-Ball

From: susanblanco1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Susan Blanco](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 6:22:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan Blanco

From: dupreeantonio41@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Antonio Dupree](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 6:32:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Antonio Dupree

From: [DEANTHONY JONES](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 6:35:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: DEANTHONY
JONES, DEANTHONYJONES@GMAIL.COM

I am a resident of District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

From: [maryanne chamness](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 7:13:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: maryanne chamness, maryannechamness@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: 88diaz@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jose Diaz](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 7:18:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jose Diaz

From: [Colleen Williams](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 7:21:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Colleen Williams, colleenwilliams326@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Peter Christodoulo](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 7:34:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Peter Christodoulo, christodoulo@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: richardnunezsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Richard Nunez](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 7:39:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Richard Nunez

From: bluefresnoday@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Greg West](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 7:44:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Greg West

From: suzyqclown@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Susan Abbott](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 7:55:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan Abbott

From: marta_sanchezv@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Marta Sanchez-Vasquez](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 8:17:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Marta Sanchez-Vasquez

From: [Kristap Baltin](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 8:30:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Kristap Baltin, kbaltin@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Colton Weeks](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 8:35:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Colton Weeks, coltonw@msn.com

I am a resident of

District 6

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Steven Cipolla](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 8:57:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Steven Cipolla, steven.cipolla@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous. The city has an outsized budget for the quality of services it provides, and it needs to learn how to do more with less, not rely on unending funding from a shrinking tax base.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

From: [Jose Jimenez](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 9:19:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jose Jimenez , jimenez415@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Janet McGee](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 9:58:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Janet McGee , janetmcgee@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: hiedwin@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Edwin Gackstetter](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 10:16:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Edwin Gackstetter

From: [Roberta Economidis](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 10, 2023 11:30:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Roberta Economidis , reconomidis@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: r_e_rutkowski@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Robert Rutkowski](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 3:54:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Robert Rutkowski

From: smerrill@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Steven Merrill](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 4:45:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Steven Merrill

From: [Christian Elbeck](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 5:05:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Christian Elbeck, christian.elbeck@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Colby Boles](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 5:42:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Colby Boles , cboles@tactrix.com

I am a resident of District 6

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: randi@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Randi Fisher](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 6:04:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Randi Fisher

From: fhochschild@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Frances Hochschild](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 7:10:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Frances Hochschild

From: mbeis@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Eisler](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 7:10:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Eisler

From: [Lanier Coles](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 7:36:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Lanier Coles, lanier_coles@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Sandra Jadallah](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 8:03:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Sandra Jadallah , sjadalla@pacell.net

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Raja Moorthy](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 8:03:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Raja Moorthy, raj@advantagealpha.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Jennifer Jeffries](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 8:33:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jennifer Jeffries, jennifer.jeffries29@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: martinezjessy393@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jessy Martinez](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 8:37:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jessy Martinez

From: chuenyee.chen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Chuen-Yee Chen](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 8:39:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Chuen-Yee Chen

From: [Morgan McGovern](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 8:46:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Morgan McGovern, mbm64@mac.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Nicole Murray](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 9:07:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Nicole Murray, nmurray@murrays.org

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Steve Lawrence](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 9:19:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Steve Lawrence, splawrence@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: libby@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Libby Dodd](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 9:37:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Libby Dodd

From: [Siobhan Haskell](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 10:14:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Siobhan Haskell, mahaskell@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 9

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Dennis Dunne](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 10:44:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Dennis Dunne , dunnedf@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Alexandra Novakovich](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 11:02:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Alexandra Novakovich, annovakovich@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Marci Sherman](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 11:23:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Marci Sherman, marciminna@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Adam Ballew](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 11:29:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Adam Ballew, agballew@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: bmenne@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rebecca Menne](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 12:12:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Menne

From: [Matthew Haskell](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 1:38:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Matthew Haskell , mahaskell@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Mary Dudum](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 1:40:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Mary Dudum, marydudum@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Matthew Haskell](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 1:40:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Matthew Haskell, mahaskell@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Margaret Eshia](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 2:17:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Margaret Eshia, mjeshua@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: dougneilson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Doug Neilson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 2:17:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Doug Neilson

From: [Andrew McLoughlin](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 2:28:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Andrew McLoughlin, andy.mcloughlin@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).


We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.



From: [Desiree Miles](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 2:36:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Desiree Miles, desireemiles@msn.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: cindybrooks1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Cindy Brooks](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 4:56:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Cindy Brooks

From: sanfranciscojt@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Judith Thompson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 4:57:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Judith Thompson

From: [Deborah Wells](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 5:49:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Deborah Wells, debwells6@sonic.net

I am a resident of District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: ldelizonna@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Laura Delizonna](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 6:28:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Laura Delizonna

From: [Gloria Mendivil](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 7:08:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Gloria Mendivil, Redglow48@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 6

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: libby@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Libbu Dodd](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 8:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Libbu Dodd

From: reni.cao@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Reni Cao](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 11, 2023 10:00:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Reni Cao

From: [Jill Rizzo](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 12:58:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jill Rizzo, lemonadeladybugs@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Katie Colley](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 8:42:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Katie Colley, colleykatiea@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: taniweiner@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nathaniel Weiner](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 8:44:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nathaniel Weiner

From: [Kaylene Patel](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 9:33:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Kaylene Patel, studio@kaylenepatel.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: lorrainemeier@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [lorraine meier](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 10:08:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
lorraine meier

From: job560@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jorge Cadena](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 11:33:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jorge Cadena

From: [Siobhan Haskell](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 1:53:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Siobhan Haskell, mahaskell@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Elliott Robbins](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 2:33:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Elliott Robbins, elliott.c.robbsins20@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

Thank you,
Elliott

From: [Howard Epstein](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 4:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Howard Epstein , hepstein@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: dcrentsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Chesnosky](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 4:42:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
David Chesnosky

From: [Brian Kendall](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 5:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Brian Kendall, brikendall@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Anastasia Fink](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 5:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Anastasia Fink, sfink1420@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Robb Fleischer](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 5:49:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Robb Fleischer, rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I am a resident of

District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: rfleischer@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Robb Fleischer](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 5:50:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Robb Fleischer

From: jkerti@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Janice Kerti](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 6:00:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Janice Kerti

From: [Janice Kerti](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 6:00:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Janice Kerti, jkerti@outlook.com

I am a resident of District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Jacqueline Fletcher](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 6:14:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jacqueline Fletcher, jfletch02@me.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: john@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Ainslie](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 6:17:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Ainslie

From: [David Cherry](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 6:24:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: David Cherry, davenjake@me.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).


We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.



From: vgirotra@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Vivek Girotra](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 7:33:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Vivek Girotra

From: rohit.girotra@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rohit Girotra](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 7:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rohit Girotra

From: macostewart@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Maco Stewart](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 8:23:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maco Stewart

From: Olivia.Zaleski@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Olivia Petersen](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 9:27:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Olivia Petersen

From: [Linda Showaihat](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 12, 2023 9:40:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Linda Showaihat, linda@lsdds.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: melissa.loerch@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Melissa Loerch](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 7:29:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Melissa Loerch

From: [Wesley Dere](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 8:20:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Wesley Dere, yes2wes@att.net

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: moto714man@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gary Overby](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 9:20:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gary Overby

From: [Stephen Ernst](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 10:50:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Stephen Ernst, steve.ernst@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 6

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: steve.gallagher2010@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Steve Gallagher](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 11:13:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Steve Gallagher

From: marietcarr@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Marie Carr](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:05:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Marie Carr

From: scottjacques@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Scott Jacques](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:05:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Scott Jacques

From: billmuits@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [William Muits](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:06:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William Muits

From: gp@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gabrielle Perelmuter](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:06:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gabrielle Perelmuter

From: jpjacques72@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [JohnPaul Jacques](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:11:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
JohnPaul Jacques

From: [Robert Anderson](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:22:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Robert Anderson, robkanderson@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: sharad@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sharad Singh](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:23:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sharad Singh

From: stephen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Stephen Martin-Pinto](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:24:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephen Martin-Pinto

From: fwinograd@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Fred Winograd](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:26:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Fred Winograd

From: john.doherty13@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Doherty](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:27:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Doherty

From: nicholas.tsoiasue@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nicholas Tsoi-A-Sue](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 1:48:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Tsoi-A-Sue

From: joaneneilson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joan Neilson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 2:12:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joan Neilson

From: mollyhale88@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Molly Hale](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 2:18:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Molly Hale

From: nsherafat@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nader Kazemi](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 2:24:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nader Kazemi

From: barbarapletz4@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Barbara Pletz](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 2:36:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Barbara Pletz

From: ellaforpresident@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jay Jay Chu](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 3:29:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jay Jay Chu

From: [William Zolan](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 3:39:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: William Zolan, mijerwints4@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: pconnely@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Patrick Connely](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 4:15:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick Connely

From: [Roberta Economidis](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 4:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Roberta Economidis , reconomidis@yahoo.com

Message: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.

Dear Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment for the March 5, 2024 election that would require the Mayor of San Francisco to approve any proposed increases to SFMTA fares, parking meter maximum rates, and hours or days of operation.

No single unelected city department head should have the sole authority to increase our parking or fare rates. To correct this, the proposed Charter Amendment requires the Mayor to approve any fare, parking, or MUNI rate increases put into the SFMTA budget, placing the authority back on elected leaders. Transportation fees significantly impact the lives of residents, and businesses, particularly those in lower-income areas, and when fees need to be changed, this decision should be made by our mayor, who can take all factors and constituents into consideration.

Please vote to support this Charter Amendment.

From: joaneneilson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joan Neilson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 4:38:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joan Neilson

From: andrearyan.sf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Andrea Winters](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 4:39:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Andrea Winters

From: dougneilson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Doug Neilson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 5:05:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Doug Neilson

From: [Torr Melling](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 7:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Torr Melling, torrmelling@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: mickbosse@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Bosse](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 7:10:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Bosse

From: gshambat@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gary Shambat](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 10:32:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gary Shambat

From: [Jamie Wong](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 10:57:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jamie Wong, jamielee6@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Marcus Wong](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 10:58:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Marcus Wong, marcus.l.wong@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Eric Brondfi](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 13, 2023 11:06:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Eric Brondfi , eric.brondfield@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Jay Elliott](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 8:10:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jay Elliott, jayelliott415@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Molly Elliott](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 8:10:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Molly Elliott, poncasue@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Nora Rooney](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 8:12:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Nora Rooney, norarooney26@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Margaret Parker](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 8:12:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Margaret Parker, parkmar@aol.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: mawolcott@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mary Ann Wolcott](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:14:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Wolcott

From: mikejkeohane@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Keohane](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:14:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Keohane

From: [Michel Balea](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:23:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Michel Balea, michelbalea@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a lack of priorities for residents who live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety and accountability!

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just MANY ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

From: [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:26:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Teresa Shaw, tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

Message: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.

Dear Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment for the March 5, 2024 election that would require the Mayor of San Francisco to approve any proposed increases to SFMTA fares, parking meter maximum rates, and hours or days of operation.

No single unelected city department head should have the sole authority to increase our parking or fare rates. To correct this, the proposed Charter Amendment requires the Mayor to approve any fare, parking, or MUNI rate increases put into the SFMTA budget, placing the authority back on elected leaders. Transportation fees significantly impact the lives of residents, and businesses, particularly those in lower-income areas, and when fees need to be changed, this decision should be made by our mayor, who can take all factors and constituents into consideration.

Please vote to support this Charter Amendment.

From: [Carolyn Doran](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:27:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Carolyn Doran, carolyndoran@me.com

I am a resident of District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Angela Tickler](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:45:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Angela Tickler, angela.tickler@gmail.com

Message: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.

Dear Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment for the March 5, 2024 election that would require the Mayor of San Francisco to approve any proposed increases to SFMTA fares, parking meter maximum rates, and hours or days of operation.

No single unelected city department head should have the sole authority to increase our parking or fare rates. To correct this, the proposed Charter Amendment requires the Mayor to approve any fare, parking, or MUNI rate increases put into the SFMTA budget, placing the authority back on elected leaders. Transportation fees significantly impact the lives of residents, and businesses, particularly those in lower-income areas, and when fees need to be changed, this decision should be made by our mayor, who can take all factors and constituents into consideration.

Please vote to support this Charter Amendment.

From: [JeNeal Granieri](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 10:28:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: JeNeal Granieri , jenealann@att.net

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Jill Santos](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:13:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jill Santos, jsantos1788@yahoo.com

Message: I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment that would give Mayoral approval over parking meter and other transportation fees and fares.

Dear Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Safai's Charter Amendment for the March 5, 2024 election that would require the Mayor of San Francisco to approve any proposed increases to SFMTA fares, parking meter maximum rates, and hours or days of operation.

No single unelected city department head should have the sole authority to increase our parking or fare rates. To correct this, the proposed Charter Amendment requires the Mayor to approve any fare, parking, or MUNI rate increases put into the SFMTA budget, placing the authority back on elected leaders. Transportation fees significantly impact the lives of residents, and businesses, particularly those in lower-income areas, and when fees need to be changed, this decision should be made by our mayor, who can take all factors and constituents into consideration.

Please vote to support this Charter Amendment.

From: [Dawn Rich](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:18:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Dawn Rich, rich.dawn@comcast.net

I am a resident of

District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

Shame on you and the supervisors for making San Francisco a NON DESTINATION. We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor

Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

From: mschane@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mollie Schane](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:22:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mollie Schane

From: [Moraya Khan](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 12:00:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Moraya Khan, morkhan@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Mike Juan](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 12:26:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Mike Juan , michaelvic05@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 11

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Kevin Fok](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 12:42:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Kevin Fok, kevinfk07@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 5

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: markt.stephenson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mark Stephenson](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 5:11:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mark Stephenson

From: [Marino Cacciotti](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 5:22:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Marino Cacciotti, mcsfca85@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: connorleech@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Connor Leech](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 8:14:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Connor Leech

From: [Frances La-Ramirez](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Frances La-Ramirez , f.isis.ramirez@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 11

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Jeffrey Wong](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 2:24:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jeffrey Wong, jewong1667@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely. Every time you guys at city hall want something you want to raise the tax or put in new ones this city think residents has a printing machine for money they should do would what you have already period. Learn to do with what you have, we know taxes go up and hardly come down.

From: [Claire Alt](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 6:51:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Claire Alt, claire.k.alt@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: miller_l.gary@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gary Miller](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 7:07:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gary Miller

From: [Thomas Harvey](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 11:24:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Thomas Harvey, tdharveyiii@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: mcerny4@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Cerny](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 12:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Cerny

From: [Rosemary Cassidy](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 12:38:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Rosemary Cassidy, rohcass@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Kenneth Anders](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 12:52:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Kenneth Anders, kenanders88@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Jonathan Baxter](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 1:25:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Jonathan Baxter , baxterjonathan1@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 11

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [mattia.pascolini](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 1:27:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: mattia pascolini, mattia.pascolini@mac.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: aitimoff94@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Amir Aitimov](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 1:31:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Amir Aitimov

From: [Steven Hall](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 5:58:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Steven Hall, stevenhall@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Gavin McGoldrick](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 6:54:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Gavin McGoldrick, gavs415@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 11

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Susan Kase](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 16, 2023 11:57:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Susan Kase, sckase@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: rishi.misra@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rishi Misra](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 16, 2023 3:07:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rishi Misra

From: patmacster@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Patrick McDermott](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 16, 2023 3:23:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick McDermott

From: [Lisa Remmer](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Thursday, November 16, 2023 6:14:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Lisa Remmer, lisaremmmer@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: carlas@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carla Schlemminger](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Thursday, November 16, 2023 8:41:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Carla Schlemminger

From: joeamato@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joseph Amato](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 17, 2023 8:10:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joseph Amato

From: beth.malik@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [ELIZABETH MALIK](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 17, 2023 10:44:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
ELIZABETH MALIK

From: GEOKITTA@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [GEORGE KITTA](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 17, 2023 10:45:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
GEORGE KITTA

From: a.dosta@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anton Dosta](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 17, 2023 11:55:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anton Dosta

From: nery2879@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nery Figueroa](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Friday, November 17, 2023 8:10:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nery Figueroa

From: [Matthew Ferriss](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Friday, November 17, 2023 9:37:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Matthew Ferriss, matthew.ferriss@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: sercanarik@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sercan Arik](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 18, 2023 3:27:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sercan Arik

From: sercanarik@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sercan Arik](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Saturday, November 18, 2023 3:28:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sercan Arik

From: alison.derby@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alison Derby](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 19, 2023 12:00:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alison Derby

From: [Ronald Mungai](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Sunday, November 19, 2023 6:25:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Ronald Mungai, limo4usf@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 3

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: limo4usf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ronald Mungai](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 19, 2023 6:26:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ronald Mungai

From: e_kimch@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Erika Chavez](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 19, 2023 2:15:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erika Chavez

From: 2karrin@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Karen Vanderwal](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Sunday, November 19, 2023 8:24:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Karen Vanderwal

From: [Bernadette Lussier](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 20, 2023 10:57:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Bernadette Lussier, lussierbm@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill

entirely.

From: [Larry Quantz](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.
Date: Monday, November 20, 2023 10:45:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent: Larry Quantz, jkj2000@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 4

Message: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

All,

What are you guys doing over there--- new taxes to add cops, when we have a \$14B budget that can use major trimming in the non-profit space?

Please. Kindly get your acts together and bring SFPD up to full staffing *without new taxes*, or Chesa Boudin and those three members of the school board won't be the only politicians out of jobs.

Best,
Larry Quantz

From: hazelmayorgalopez784@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Hazel Mayorga](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Tuesday, November 21, 2023 6:21:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Hazel Mayorga

From: brandyb85@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Brandy Buttram](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended
Date: Tuesday, November 21, 2023 8:11:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Victor Young,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brandy Buttram