BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

LAND USE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TO:

Supervisor Eric Mar. Chair

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

FROM:

Alisa Somera, Committee Clerk

DATE:

April 12, 2011

SUBJECT:

COMMITTEE REPORT, BOARD MEETING

Tuesday, April 12, 2011

The following file should be presented as **COMMITTEE REPORT** at the Board meeting, Tuesday, April 12, 2011. This item was acted upon at the Committee Meeting on April 11, 2011 at 1:00 p.m., by the votes indicated.

Item No. 20 File No. 110410

Resolution putting the San Francisco Board of Supervisors on record as opposing the Golden Gate National Recreation Area's preferred alternatives for dog management.

RECOMMENDED AS COMMITTEE REPORT

Vote: Supervisor Eric Mar - Absent

Supervisor Malia Cohen - Aye Supervisor Scott Wiener - Aye

C: Board of Supervisors
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Rick Caldeira, Deputy Legislative Clerk
Cheryl Adams, Deputy City Attorney

File No	110410	Committee Item No Board Item No	
COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST			
Committee: Land Use and Economic Development Date April 11, 2011			
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date Ar	onil 12, 2011
Cmte Boar	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Vouth Commission Report Introduction Form (for he Department/Agency Cover MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 — Ethics Commandard Letter Application Public Correspondence	rt earings) er Letter and/or Repor	t
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)			
Completed by: Alisa Somera Date April 7, 2011			
Completed b	by: Alisa Somera	Date_ <u>April 12.2</u>	011

3

5

6

7 8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

21 22

20

24

23

25

Resolution putting the San Francisco Board of Supervisors on record as opposing the Golden Gate National Recreation Area's preferred alternatives for dog management.

[Opposing the Golden Gate National Recreation Area's Draft Off-Leash Policy]

WHEREAS, Approximately 110,000 households in San Francisco own dogs that require regular exercise; and

WHEREAS. San Francisco dogs have traditionally enjoyed access to various properties under the present oversight of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA), such as Crissy Field, Ocean Beach, Ft. Funston, Lands End, Ft. Baker, Ft. Mason, Baker Beach and Sutro Heights Park; and

WHEREAS. The GGNRA was established to provide for the "maintenance of needed recreational open space necessary to urban environment and planning"; and

WHEREAS, In 1975, the City and County of San Francisco transferred Ft. Funston. Ocean Beach and other city-owned lands to the federal government to be included in the GGNRA and administered by the National Park Service; and

WHEREAS. The voters required that the deed transferring any City-owned park lands to the NPS include the restriction that said lands were to be reserved by the Park Service in perpetuity for recreation or park purposed with a right of reversion upon breach of said restriction; and

WHEREAS, In 1979, after an extensive period of public comment including public hearings, the GGNRA determined that voice-controlled dog walking would have no negative impact on the natural environment or on other park visitors when conducted on one percent of the GGNRA land, and the GGNRA therefore determined that dogs could be walked under voice control on that one percent of its land; and

WHEREAS, People, dogs, birds, plants and other species have been co-existing in the GGNRA for decades; and

WHEREAS, On January 15, 2011 the GGNRA released a "Dog Management Plan" that would severely curtail off-leash, voice-controlled dog walking and create large areas where dogs would not be allowed at all in areas that currently allow off-leash, voice-control dog walking at Ft. Funston, Crissy Field, Ocean Beach, Lands End, and Baker Beach; and

WHEREAS, The dog management plan would not only curtail dogs, it would eliminate from the GGNRA a main group of recreational park users – people who recreate in the GGNRA with their dogs; and

WHEREAS, The dog management plan does not adequately take into account the impact of its preferred alternative (or any alternative) on San Francisco city parks and city residents, specifically, that severe restrictions on off-leash dog access in GGNRA will result in an increase in off-leash dog activity in City parks; and

WHEREAS, The dog management plan does not include any consideration of the benefits of off-leash, voice-control dog walking, including providing needed exercise and socialization for dogs, nor does it include any consideration of the benefits of the social communities that have developed and flourished at GGNRA units such as Ft. Funston, and all other locations where dogs are currently walked off-leash and under voice control; and

WHEREAS, All of the dog management alternatives proposed by the GGNRA include a provision (called the compliance-based management strategy) that will automatically and permanently change remaining off-leash, voice-control areas in the GGNRA to on-leash or "no dogs at all" if the GGNRA claims there is not enough compliance with the new restrictions; and

WHEREAS, The Animal Control and Welfare Commission advised the Board of Supervisors that the GGNRA dog management plan does not adequately take into account

the impact of its preferred alternative on a possible increase in problem dog behaviors as a result of the loss of so much off-leash, voice-control areas and resulting overcrowding in city parks, and therefore does not consider the impacts of an increase in surrenders at city shelters because of problem behaviors, and a possible resulting increase in euthanasias at city shelters; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors opposes the GGNRA's preferred alternative for dog management and urges the GGNRA to delay taking action on its proposal until a thorough study is conducted of the affect that its proposal would have on the City of San Francisco and particularly on neighborhood parks; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors opposes the inclusion of a compliance-based management strategy in any dog management plan because it denies the public a chance to comment on major changes in GGNRA usage before they take place; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That copies of this legislation be sent to GGNRA Superintendent Frank Dean, National Park Service Director Jon Jarvis, National Park Service Pacific-West Regional Director Christine Lehnertz, San Francisco Recreation and Park Director Phil Ginsburg, the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Commission, U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer, U.S. House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi, and Congresswoman Jackie Speier, Secretary of the Interior Ken Salazar, Chairman of the U.S. House Subcommittee on National Parks, Forests, and Public Lands Rob Bishop, Ranking Minority Member of the U.S. House Subcommittee on National Parks, Forests, and Public Lands Raul Grijalva, Chairman of the U.S. House Natural Resources Committee Doc Hastings, and Ranking Minority Member of the U.S. House Natural Resources Committee Edward Markey.