

# CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Sent Via Electronic Mail

November 18, 2020

#### NOTICE OF CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED

PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS 49334-20/21; 46607-20/21; 47893-20/21; 40976-20/21; 44812-20/21; 4092-10/11; 4102-07/08; AND

44383-15/16.

At its meeting on **November 16, 2020,** the Civil Service Commission had for its consideration the above matter.

The Civil Service Commission adopted the report and approved all the requests for proposed Personal Services Contracts. This shall serve to notify the Office of the Controller and the Office of Contract Administration.

If this matter is subject to Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) Section 1094.5, the time within which judicial review must be sought is set forth in CCP Section 1094.

PLEASE NOTE: It is important that a copy of this action be kept in the department files as you will need it in the future as proof of Civil Service Commission approval. Please share it with everyone responsible for follow-up.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/

SANDRA ENG Executive Officer

#### Attachments

Cc: Henry Gong, Sheriff Department
Jacquie Hale, Department of Public Health
Ian Hart, Department of Human Resources
Bill Irwin, Public Utilities Commission
Daniel Kwon, Public Utilities Commission
Amy Nuque, Municipal Transportation Agency
Caroline Xu, Sheriff Department
Commission File
Chron

#### PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT SUMMARY ("PSC FORM 1")

Department: <u>SHERIFF SHI</u>		Dept. Code: <u>SHF</u>			
Type of Request:	☑Initial	☐ Modification of an existing PSC (PSC #)			
Type of Approval:	□Expedited	<b>☑</b> Regular	□Annual	$\Box$ Continuing	$\square$ (Omit Posting)
Type of Service: Pretrial Consulting Services					
Funding Source: <u>General Fund</u> PSC Amount: \$19,830,206  1. Description of Work		PSC Duration: <u>3 years</u>			

A. Scope of Work/Services to be Contracted Out:

The San Francisco Sheriff's Office has made it a top priority to reduce recidivism and improve the outcomes of the incarcerated population and offering alternatives to incarceration while maintaining public safety. A key component of this effort is to provide effective pretrial programming with a continuum of pretrial supervision options. SFSO is seeking to contract with a provider experienced in providing such a continuum that upholds the presumption of innocence as outlined in federal law, while reducing incarceration and helping to maintain public safety. Components of this continuum will include:

- Probable Cause review
- Pretrial Risk Assessment
- Alternatives to Pretrial Incarceration with Levels of Supervision Matched to Risk
- Pretrial Diversion for persons charged with misdemeanors
- Group Facilitation
- B. Explain why this service is necessary and the consequence of denial:

This Pretrial Services contract provides critical information to the Court for their consideration when determining whether arrested persons remain in custody or are released while their charges are pending. In addition, this contract provides supervision for released persons and progress reports for the Court on compliance with release conditions.

- C. Has this service been provided in the past? If so, how? If the service was provided under a previous PSC, attach copy of the most recently approved PSC.
  - The City and County of San Francisco was one of the first jurisdictions in the country to have an alternative to money bail and has contracted with non-profit providers to provide Own Recognizance services for approximately 50 years.
- D. Will the contract(s) be renewed?

The contract shall have an original term of three years. In addition, the City shall have two options to extend the term for a period of one year each, which the City may exercise in its sole, absolute discretion.

E. If this is a request for a new PSC in excess of five years, or if your request is to extend (modify) an existing PSC by another five years, please explain why. not applicable

#### 2. Reason(s) for the Request

- A. Indicate all that apply (be specific and attach any relevant supporting documents):
- Services that require resources that the City lacks (e.g., office space, facilities or equipment with an operator).

#### B. Explain the qualifying circumstances:

This Pretrial Services contract provides critical information to the Court for their consideration when determining whether arrested persons remain in custody or are released while their charges are pending. In addition, this contract provides supervision for released persons and progress reports for the Court on compliance with release conditions. Proposed services required subject matter expertise that is currently not available within the City. Services were provided via a Grant Contract with the Sheriff's Office.

#### 3. <u>Description of Required Skills/Expertise</u>

- A. Specify required skills and/or expertise: All proposers should have qualified staff with demonstrated competencies in their assigned duties. Case management staff should be knowledgeable about strategies for effectively engaging the client population including, cultural, language and gender-related competencies. Case managers should have a basic understanding of behavioral health disorders and understand how these illnesses could impact pretrial success. All program staff shall have training in recognizing and respecting all people's gender identity and successfully complete Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) training provided by the SFSO during the civilian orientation. The department is contracting units of service and expects that when a contractor's employee is absent from work, for whatever reason, a fully qualified employee (as identified previously) will replace them.
- B. Which, if any, civil service class(es) normally perform(s) this work? none
- C. Will contractor provide facilities and/or equipment not currently possessed by the City? If so, explain: No.

### 4. If applicable, what efforts has the department made to obtain these services through available resources within the City?

Proposed services required subject matter expertise that is currently not available within the City. Services were provided via a Grant Contract with the Sheriff's Office.

#### 5. Why Civil Service Employees Cannot Perform the Services to be Contracted Out

- A. Explain why civil service classes are not applicable.
  - Many jurisdictions have utilized sworn probation officers to conduct this work, but San Francisco has invested in a non-profit staff of criminal history specialists that prepare or work ups and risk assessments for the court and case managers who link clients to services and monitor court compliance.
- B. If there is no civil service class that could perform the work, would it be practical and/or feasible to adopt a new civil service class to perform this work? Explain. San Francisco has successfully provided this service through a partnership with an independent non-profit for almost fifty years. This model is supported by criminal justice advocates. Any change to provide this work with a new civil service class would need to take this history into account.

#### 6. Additional Information

- A. Will the contractor directly supervise City and County employee? If so, please include an explanation. No.
- B. Will the contractor train City and County employees and/or is there a transfer of knowledge component that will be included in the contact? If so, please explain what that will entail; if not, explain why not.
  No. All program staff shall have training in recognizing and respecting all people's gender identity and successfully complete Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) training provided by the SFSO during the civilian orientation. No specified hours of training.
- C. Are there legal mandates requiring the use of contractual services?
- D. Are there federal or state grant requirements regarding the use of contractual services? If so, please explain and include an excerpt or copy of any such applicable requirement.
   No.
- E. Has a board or commission determined that contracting is the most effective way to provide this service? If so, please explain and include a copy of the board or commission action.
  No.

F. Will the proposed work be completed by a contractor that has a current PSC contract with your department? If so, please explain.

Yes. The current incumbent contractor for this service is permitted to submit in response to this solicitation.

7. <u>Union Notification</u>: On <u>09/21/2020</u>, the Department notified the following employee organizations of this PSC/RFP request:

all unions were notified

 $\square$  I CERTIFY ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN AND ATTACHED TO THIS FORM IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE:

Name: Caroline Xu Phone: (415) 554-7229 Email: caroline.xu@sfgov.org

Address: 1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place Room 456 San Francisco, CA 94102

\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### FOR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES USE

PSC# 44812 - 20/21

DHR Analysis/Recommendation: Commission Approval Required DHR Approved for 11/16/2020 **Civil Service Commission Action:** 

## **Receipt of Union Notification(s)**

#### Xu, Caroline (SHF)

From: Sent:

To:

dhr-psccoordinator@sfgov.org on behalf of caroline.xu@sfgov.org

Monday, September 21, 2020 5:04 PM

Xu, Caroline (SHF); emathurin@cirseiu.org; abush@cirseiu.org; sbabaria@cirseiu.org; anthony@dc16.us; mlobre@sfpoa.org; tony@sfpoa.org; tracym@sfpoa.org;

mleach@ibt856.org; rooferslocal40@gmail.com; sal@local16.org; Criss@SFMEA.com; Meyers, Julie (HSA); seichenberger@local39.org; camaguey@sfmea.com (contact);

ablood@cirseiu.org; kcartermartinez@cirseiu.org; ecassidy@ifpte21.com;

WendyWong26@yahoo.com; wendywong26@yahoo.com; sarah.wilson@seiu1021.org;

kschumacher@ifpte21.org; kpage@ifpte21.org; tjenkins@uapd.com;

eerbach@ifpte21.org; tmathews@ifpte21.org; amakayan@ifpte21.org; jb@local16.org; Ricardo.lopez@sfgov.org; Basconcillo, Katherine (PUC); Sandeep.lal@seiu1021.me;

pcamarillo\_seiu@sbcglobal.net; MRainsford@local39.org;

Wendy.Frigillana@seiu1021.org; pscreview@seiu1021.org; pkim@ifpte21.org; agonzalez@iam1414.org; ted.zarzecki@seiu1021.net; leah.berlanga@seiu1021.org;

gail@sffdlocal798.org; cityworker@sfcwu.org; davidmkersten@gmail.com;

djohnson@opcmialocal300.org; ramonliuna261@gmail.comnet; ablood@cirseiu.org; pkarinen@nccrc.org; tony@dc16.us; stevek@bac3-ca.org; xiumin.li@seiu1021.org; Poon, Sin Yee (HSA); smcgarry@nccrc.org; rmitchell@twusf.org; grojo@local39.org; jduritz@uapd.com; staff@SFMEA.com; mike@dc16.us; khughes@ibew6.org;

L21PSCReview@ifpte21.org; sfsmsa@gmail.com; bart@dc16.us;

david.canham@seiu1021.org; jtanner940@aol.com; oashworth@ibew6.org;

L21PSCReview@ifpte21.org; LiUNA.local261@gmail.com; local200twu@sbcglobal.net;

speedy4864@aol.com; Christina@SFMEA.com; ecdemvoter@aol.com;

thomas.vitale@seiu1021.org; Xu, Caroline (SHF); DHR-PSCCoordinator, DHR (HRD)

Receipt of Notice for new PCS over \$100K PSC # 44812 - 20/21

**Subject:** 

RECEIPT for Union Notification for PSC 44812 - 20/21 more than \$100k

The SHERIFF -- SHF has submitted a request for a Personal Services Contract (PSC) 44812 - 20/21 for \$19,830,206 for Initial Request services for the period 07/01/2021 – 06/30/2024. Notification of 30 days (60 days for SEIU) is required.

After logging into the system please select link below, view the information and verify receipt:

http://apps.sfgov.org/dhrdrupal/node/15517 For union notification, please see the TO: field of the email to verify receipt. If you do not see all the unions you intended to contact, the PSC Coordinator must change the state back to NOT READY, make sure the classes and unions you want to notify are selected and SAVE. Then VIEW the record and verify the list of unions and emails. EDIT the document again, change the state back START UNION NOTIFICATION and SAVE. You should receive the email with all unions to the TO: field as intended