

From: [Laura Mulcrevy](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 8:34:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Laura Mulcrevy
Email lauralou.sf@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Frank Zepeda](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 9:43:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Frank Zepeda

Email zepedaf@attglobal.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Evelyn Graham](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 10, 2024 10:13:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Evelyn Graham
Email dundeel@mail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mark Macy](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 5:18:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Mark Macy**
Email markm@macyarchitecture.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [mari eliza](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:24:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent mari eliza
Email zrants@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ann Marie Porter](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:42:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ann Marie Porter
Email porterssf@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jamie O'Keefe](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:42:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Jamie O'Keefe**
Email jokeefe415@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Liz Le](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Liz Le
Email elizle@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Geraldine Grelli](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:48:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Geraldine Grelli
Email gmslattery@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Karen Mcdonald](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:06:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Karen Mcdonald
Email karenmcdonald03@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Janet McGee](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Janet McGee

Email janetmcgee@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Luke Perkocha](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Luke Perkocha
Email luke3580@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Elsie McGee](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Elsie McGee
Email elsiemcgee@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tara Burke](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tara Burke
Email taraburke13@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Angela Tickler](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angela Tickler
Email angela.tickler@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carmel Tickler](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carmel Tickler
Email carmeltickler@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Charlotte Worcester](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:15:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Charlotte Worcester
Email beaubarlotte@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [MICHELLE ASIANO](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent MICHELLE ASIANO
Email michelle.asiano@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Joe ASIANO](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Joe ASIANO
Email joeharp123@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Alan Burradell](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Alan Burradell
Email alanburradell@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Stephen Martin-Pinto](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:30:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Stephen Martin-Pinto
Email stephen@stephenmartinpinto.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Bilques smith](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:30:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Bilques smith

Email bilquessmith@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jennie Lyons](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:33:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jennie Lyons

Email jlyonsaef@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mary Minogue-Reidy](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:33:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mary Minogue-Reidy
Email minoguereidy@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Chrissy McGoldrick](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:36:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Chrissy McGoldrick
Email chrissy.d.mcgoldrick@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carla Kozak](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:36:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carla Kozak
Email carlak_56@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to the people you serve.

From: [JAMES McGuigan](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent JAMES McGuigan
Email mcguiganjim@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Daniel Paredes](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Daniel Paredes
Email danielaparedes716@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Yvette Williams-Dubriwny](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Yvette Williams-Dubriwny

Email yvettedubsf@comcast.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lolita Churchill](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lolita Churchill
Email 1lolachurch@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Bruce Engle](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Bruce Engle
Email Bruce.Engle@outlook.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Eddie Huang](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Eddie Huang

Email edhuang@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [victoire.reynal](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:51:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent victoire reynal
Email victoirereynal@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Murano](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:57:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Murano
Email mmurano@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lynne Schaadt](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:00:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lynne Schaadt
Email lynnescchaadt@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gavin McGoldrick](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:00:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gavin McGoldrick
Email gavs415@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brendan Martinez](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:03:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Brendan Martinez**
Email brendan.martinez92@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Randa Ghnaim](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:03:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Randa Ghnaim
Email randaghnaim@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Derio Dito](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:06:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Derio Dito
Email djsffd@comcast.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lynne Sloan](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:06:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lynne Sloan
Email lynnnesloan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mitchell Smith](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:09:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mitchell Smith

Email htimsm1@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:09:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Teresa Shaw
Email tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brad McMillan](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:12:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Brad McMillan
Email mcmillan@viselect.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marie Hurabiell](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:12:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marie Hurabiell
Email mhurabie@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.
