File No. <u>110328</u>

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_2 Board Item No.\_\_\_\_2

### COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Economic Development Date May 2, 2011

**Board of Supervisors Meeting** 

Date Mars 17, 2011

### Cmte Board



TIDA Resolution Nos. 11-14-04/21 and 11-15-04/21

Completed by: Alisa Somera	Date	April 29, 2011	
Completed by: Alisa Somera	Date	May, 11, 2011	

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file. Amended in Committee 5/2/2011

**RESOLUTION NO.** 

[CEQA Findings - Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Development Project]

Resolution adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), CEQA Guidelines and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31, including the adoption of a mitigation monitoring and reporting program and a statement of overriding considerations in connection with the development of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, as envisioned in the Development Plan<u>Agreement</u> for the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project <del>Area</del>.

WHEREAS, The Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Project Area<u>Site</u> comprises 550 acres of property, which includes portions of both Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, excluding a 37 acre, federally owned U.S. Department of Labor Job Corps site and the eastern portion of Yerba Buena Island ("Project Area<u>Site</u>"); and,

WHEREAS, The Planning Department ("Department") and TIDA have undertaken a planning and environmental review process for the proposed Project Area<u>Site</u> and provided for appropriate public hearings before the Planning Commission and the TIDA Board of Directors; and,

WHEREAS, The actions listed in Attachment A ("Actions") are part of a series of considerations in connection with the <del>Development Plan for the</del> Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project-Area <u>as defined in the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Development</u> <u>Agreement (collectively, the "Project"), as more particularly defined discussed in additional</u> <u>detail in Attachment A; and,</u>

WHEREAS, On July 12, 2010, the Department and TIDA released for public review and comment the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Project, (Department Case No. 2007.0903E); and,

Mayor Lee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WHEREAS, The Planning Commission and TIDA held a special joint hearing on August 12, 2010 on the Draft Environmental Impact Report and received written public comments until 5:00 pm on September 10, 2010, for a total of 60 days of public review; and,

WHEREAS, The Department and TIDA prepared a Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project consisting of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, the comments received during the review period, any additional information that became available after the publication of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, and the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law. Copies of said documents are on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. <u>110328</u>, and are incorporated herein by reference; and,

WHEREAS, The FEIR files and other Project-related Department and TIDA files have been available for review by this Board of Supervisors and the public, and those files are part of the record before this Board of Supervisors; and,

WHEREAS, On April 21, 2011, the Planning Commission and the TIDA Board of Directors reviewed and considered the FEIR and, by Motion No. <u>18325</u> and Resolution No. <u>11-14-04/21</u>, respectively, found that: (1) the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; (2) the FEIR was adequate, accurate and objective, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of each Commission and that the summary of Comments and Responses contained no significant revisions to the Draft Environmental Impact Report; and (3) the Project will have significant and unavoidable project impacts and make a considerable contribution to cumulative impacts in the areas of transportation, noise, air quality and historic resources; and,

WHEREAS, By said Motion and Resolution, the Planning Commission and the TIDA Board of Directors, respectively, certified the completion of the Final Environmental Impact

Mayor Lee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Report for the Project in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. Said Motion and Resolution are on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. <u>110328</u> and are incorporated herein by reference; and,

WHEREAS, The Department and TIDA prepared proposed in Motion No. 18326 and <u>Resolution No. 11-15-04/21, respectively adopted environmental findings</u>, as required by CEQA (the "CEQA Findings"), regarding the rejection of alternatives; mitigation measures; significant environmental impacts analyzed in the FEIR; and overriding considerations for approving the Project, including all of its Actions, among other topics. The CEQA Findings also include a proposed mitigation monitoring and reporting program, denoted as Attachment B. These CEQA findings, the Board of Supervisors' CEQA Findings, and related Project documents were made available to the public and this Board of Supervisors for the Board's review, consideration, and actions. Copies of the CEQA Findings of the Planning Commission, TIDA, and the Board are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. <u>110328</u>, and are incorporated herein by reference; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors makes the following findings in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq., the CEQA Guidelines, 14 Cal. Code Reg. Code Sections 15000 et seq. ("CEQA Guidelines"), and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31 ("Chapter 31"); and,

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered Planning Commission Motion No. <u>18325</u> certifying the FEIR and finding the FEIR adequate, accurate and objective, and reflecting the independent judgment and analysis of the Planning Commission, and hereby affirms the Planning Commission's certification of the FEIR by Board of Supervisors Motion No. \_\_\_\_\_\_. Copies of said Motions are on file with the

Mayor Lee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ and are incorporated herein by reference; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that (1) modifications incorporated into the Project and reflected in the Actions will not require important revisions to the FEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Project or the Actions are undertaken that would require major revisions to the FEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects, or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the FEIR; and (3) no new information of substantial importance to the Project or the Actions has become available that would indicate (a) the Project or the Actions will have significant effects not discussed in the FEIR; (b) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe; (c) mitigation measures or alternatives found not feasible, which would reduce one or more significant effects, have become feasible; or (d) mitigation measures or alternatives, which are considerably different from those in the FEIR, would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered the FEIR and hereby adopts its CEQA Findings, including the mitigation monitoring and reporting program, contained in Attachment B, and the statement of overriding considerations.

Mayor Lee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**RESOLUTION NO. 11-14-04/21** 

FILE NO.

1 [Environmental Impact Report Certification]

2 Resolution certifying a final Environmental Impact Report for the Treasure

3 Island/Yerba Buena Island Project.

4 WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco, acting through the Planning 5 Department and Treasure Island Development Authority staff (hereinafter "Department and 6 Authority Staff") fulfilled all procedural requirements of the California Environmental Quality 7 Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code Sections 21000 et seq., hereinafter "CEQA"), the State CEQA 8 Guidelines (Cal. Admin. Code Title 14, Sections 15000 et seq., (hereinafter "CEQA 9 Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code (hereinafter "Chapter 10 31") in regard to the Final Environmental Impact Report identified as Planning Department 11 Case No. 2007.0903E (hereinafter "FEIR") for the proposed Treasure Island/Yerba Buena 12 Island Project ("Project"); and,

13 WHEREAS. The Department and Authority Staff determined that an Environmental 14 Impact Report (hereinafter "EIR") was required and provided public notice of that 15 determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on January 26, 2008; and, 16 WHEREAS, On July 12, 2010, the Department and Authority Staff published the Draft 17 Environmental Impact Report (hereinafter "DEIR") and provided public notice in a newspaper 18 of general circulation of the availability of the DEIR for public review and comment and of the 19 date and time of the Planning Commission public hearing on the DEIR; this notice was mailed 20 to the Department's list of persons requesting such notice; and,

WHEREAS, Notices of availability of the DEIR and of the date and time of the public
 hearing were posted near the project site by Department and Authority Staff on July 12, 2010;
 and,

WHEREAS, On July 12, 2010, copies of the DEIR were mailed or otherwise delivered
 to a list of persons requesting it, to those noted on the distribution list in the DEIR, to adjacent

Page 1 4/29/11 property owners, and to government agencies, the latter both directly and through the State
 Clearinghouse; and,

WHEREAS, The Notice of Completion was filed with the State Secretary of Resources
via the State Clearinghouse on July 12, 2010; and,

WHEREAS, The Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors
(hereinafter "Authority Board") and Planning Commission held a duly advertised joint public
hearing on said DEIR on August 12, 2010, at which time opportunity for public comment was
given, and public comment was received on the DEIR. The period for acceptance of written
comments ended on September 10, 2010; and,

10 WHEREAS, The Department and Authority Staff prepared responses to comments on environmental issues received at the public hearing and in writing during the 59-day public 11 review period for the DEIR, prepared revisions to the text of the DEIR in response to 12 comments received or based on additional information that became available during the public 13 14 review period, and corrected errors in the DEIR. This material was presented in a Comments 15 and Responses document, published on March 10, 2011, distributed to the Authority Board 16 and all parties who commented on the DEIR, and made available to others upon request at 17 the Department; and.

WHEREAS, A Final Environmental Impact Report has been prepared by the
Department and Authority Staff, consisting of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, any
consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information
that became available, and the Comments and Responses document all as required by law
("FEIR"); and,

WHEREAS, Following publication of the Environmental Impact Report, the Project's
 structure and financing were changed from a Redevelopment Plan and financing mechanism
 to an Area Plan to be included within the San Francisco General Plan and partial financing

through an Infrastructure Financing District. These changes in turn result in the amount of 1 2 affordable housing units to be reduced from approximately 2,400 units to 2,000 units. The 3 Department and Authority Staff prepared a memorandum describing these changes and other 4 minor Project changes since publication of the FEIR. The memorandum evaluates these 5 changes and presents minor amendments to the text of the EIR to reflect the changes. The 6 memorandum demonstrates and concludes that the revisions to the Project would not 7 substantially change the analysis and conclusions of the EIR. No new significant impacts or 8 substantial increase in the severity of already identified significant impacts, no new mitigation 9 measures, and no new alternatives result from these changes. Thus, recirculation of the EIR 10 for public review and comment is not required; and, 11 WHEREAS, The FIER and its related files have been made available for review by the 12 Authority Board, the Commission, and the public. These files are available for public review at 13 the Department at 1650 Mission Street, and are part of the record before the Authority Board; 14 and, WHEREAS, On April 21, 2011, the Authority Board at a joint hearing with the Planning 15 16 Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR: and,

17 WHEREAS, The Authority Board hereby does find that the Project described in the18 Environmental Impact Report:

Will result in the following significant and unavoidable project-specific

environmental impacts:

Alteration of scenic vistas of San Francisco and San Francisco Bay from
 public vantage points along the eastern shoreline of San Francisco,
 Telegraph Hill, the East Bay shoreline, and from the Bay Bridge east
 span.

25

19

20

1 Impairment of the significance of an historical resource by demolition of 0 2 the Damage Control Trainer. 3 Construction impacts on the transportation and circulation network, 4 including increased delay and congestion on the Bay Bridge near the 5 ramps during the peak periods, and disruption to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular traffic on the Islands due to roadway closures. 6 Significant contribution to existing LOS E operating conditions during the 7 0 8 weekday PM peak hour and during the Saturday peak hour at the eastbound off-ramp on the west side of Yerba Buena Island. 9 Under conditions without the TI/YBI Ramps Project, traffic impacts at the 10 0 11 two westbound on-ramps. 12 Under conditions with the Ramps Project, traffic impacts during the AM 13 and PM peak hours at the ramp meter at the westbound on-ramp on the 14 east side of Yerba Buena Island, o Queuing at the Bay Bridge toll plaza during the weekday AM peak hour, 15 16 with and without the TI/YBI Ramps Project. 17 o Queuing on San Francisco streets approaching Bay Bridge during the weekday PM peak hour with and without the TI/YBI Ramps Project. 18 19 Traffic impact at the following nine intersections: 0 20 Intersection of First/Market; 21 Intersection of First/Mission; 22 Intersection of First/Folsom; 23 Intersection of First/Harrison/I-80 Eastbound On-Ramp; 24 Intersection of Bryant/Fifth/I-80 Eastbound On-Ramp; and 25 Intersection of Fifth/Harrison/I-80 Westbound Off-Ramp

1	<ul> <li>Intersection of Folsom/Essex;</li> </ul>
2	<ul> <li>Intersection of Bryant/Sterling; and</li> </ul>
3	Intersection of Second/Folsom.
4	o Exceedance of the available transit capacity of Muni's 108-Treasure
5	Island bus line serving the Islands during the AM, PM and Saturday peak
6	hours.
7	o AC Transit operations on Hillcrest Road between Treasure Island and the
8	eastbound on-ramp to the Bay Bridge without the Ramps Project.
9	o AC Transit operations on Treasure Island Road and Hillcrest Road
10	between Treasure Island and the eastbound on-ramp to the Bay Bridge
11	with the Ramps Project.
12	o Traffic congestion in downtown San Francisco, which would increase
13	travel time and would impact operations of the following three bus lines:
14	<ul> <li>Muni 27-Bryant;</li> </ul>
15	<ul> <li>Muni 30X-Marina Express; and</li> </ul>
16	<ul> <li>Muni 47-Van Ness bus line.</li> </ul>
17	o Exceedance of the capacity utilization standard on Muni's 108-Treasure
18	Island bus line serving the Islands from a shift from auto to transit modes,
19	resulting from parking shortfall on the Islands and leading to an increase
20	in transit travel demand during the peak hours.
21	o Construction noise levels above existing ambient conditions.
22	<ul> <li>Exposure of persons and structures to excessive ground-borne vibration</li> </ul>
23	or ground-borne noise levels during construction from on-shore pile
24	"impact activities," such as pile driving and deep dynamic compaction,
25	and vibro-compaction.

}	
1	o Increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above existing
2	ambient noise levels from project-related traffic and ferry noise.
3	o Violation of air quality standards.
4	o Exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial levels of toxic air
5	contaminants.
6	o Exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial levels of PM2.5.
7 :	o Violation of air quality standards during project operations.
8	o Exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
9	o Potential conflict with adopted plans related to air quality.
10	o Temporary wind hazard impacts during phased construction.
11	o Potential exposure of publicly accessible locations within the Project Site
12	to wind hazards
13	o Potential adverse impacts on movement of rafting waterfowl from ferry
14	operations; now, therefore be it
15	RESOLVED, The Authority Board hereby does find that the contents of the FEIR and
16	the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed comply with
17	the provisions of CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco
18	Administrative Code; and, be it
19	FURTHER RESOLVED, The Authority Board hereby does find that the FEIR (Planning
20	Department File No. 2007.0903E) reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the
21	Authority Board, is adequate, accurate and objective, and that the Comments and Responses
22	document contains no significant revisions to the DEIR; and, be it
23	FURTHER RESOLVED, The Authority Board hereby does CERTIFY THE
24	COMPLETION of said FEIR in compliance with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter
25	31.

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY
3	I hereby certify that I am the duly elected Secretary of the Treasure Island
4	Development Authority, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and that the
5	above Resolution was duly adopted and approved by the Board of Directors of the
6	Authority at a properly noticed meeting on April 21, 2011.
7 8	6672
.9	Jean-Paul Samaha, Secretary
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
·	

**RESOLUTION NO. 11-15-04/21** 

[CEQA Findings]

1

FILE NO.

Resolution adopting environmental findings (and a statement of considerations)
under the California Environmental Quality Act and State guidelines in
connection with the adoption of the Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Project
and related actions necessary to implement such plans.

WHEREAS, the Treasure Island Development Authority, together with the San
 Francisco Planning Department are the Lead Agencies responsible for the implementation of
 the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") for this area and have undertaken a
 planning and environmental review process for the proposed Treasure Island/Yerba Buena
 Island Project ("Project") and provided for appropriate public hearings before the respective
 Commissions.

WHEREAS, A primary objective of the Project and the Term Sheet, endorsed by the
 Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors and the Board of Supervisors in
 2006 and updated in 2010, is to create sustainable economic development, affordable
 housing, public parks and open space and other community benefits by development of the
 under-used lands within the project area.

WHEREAS, Originally constructed in 1937 as a possible site for the San Francisco
 Airport, Treasure Island was first used to host the Golden Gate International Exposition from
 1939-1940. Shortly thereafter in World War II, the United States Department of Defense
 converted the island into a naval station, which operated for more than five decades. Naval
 Station Treasure Island was subsequently closed in 1993 and ceased operations in 1997.
 Since the closure of the base, the City and the community have been planning for the reuse of
 former Naval Station Treasure Island and adjacent Yerba Buena Island.

WHEREAS, Former Naval Station Treasure Island consists of approximately 550 acres
 including Yerba Buena Island. Today the site is characterized by aging infrastructure,

Page 1 4/29/11 environmental contamination from former naval operations, deteriorated and vacant buildings,
and asphalt and other impervious surfaces which cover approximately 65% of the site. The
site has few public amenities for the approximately 1,820 residents who currently reside on
the site.

5 WHEREAS, The Project will include (a) approximately 8,000 new residential units, with 6 at least 25 percent of which (2,000 units) will be made affordable to a broad range of very-low 7 to moderate income households, (b) adaptive reuse of 311,000 square feet of historic

structures, (c) 140,000 square feet of new retail uses and 100,000 square feet of commercial
office space, (d) 300 acres of parks and open space, (e) new and or upgraded public facilities,
including a joint police/fire station, a school, facilities for the Treasure Island Sailing Center
and other community facilities, (f) 400-500 room hotel, (g) transportation infrastructure,

12 including a ferry/quay intermodal transit center.

WHEREAS, In 2003, the Treasure Island Development Authority ("TIDA") selected
through a competitive three year long process, Treasure Island Community Development,
LLC ("TICD") to serve as the master developer for the Project.

WHEREAS, In 2006, the Board endorsed a Term Sheet and Development Plan for the
Project, which set forth the terms of the Project including a provision for a Transition Plan for
Existing Units on the site. In May of 2010 the Board endorsed a package of legislation that
included an update to the Development Plan and Term Sheet, terms of an Economic
Development Conveyance Memorandum of Agreement for the conveyance of the site from
the Navy to the City, and a Term Sheet between TIDA and the Treasure Island Homeless
Development Initative ("TIHDI").

WHEREAS, In planning for the redevelopment of former Naval Station Treasure Island,
the City and the Treasure Island Development Authority worked closely with the Treasure
Island Citizens Advisory Board ("CAB"). The CAB is a group of Treasure Island/Yerba Buena

Island community residents, business owners and individuals with expertise in specific areas,
who are selected by the Mayor to oversee the redevelopment process for the islands. TIDA
has worked with the CAB and the community throughout the process of implementing
revitalization activities regarding Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island.

5 WHEREAS, The proposed Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Area Plan and the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Special Use District, as well as the Treasure 6 Island/Yerba Buena Island Project implementing documents, including, without limitation, the 7 Disposition and Development Agreement, its attached plans and documents, the 8 Development Agreement, its attached plans and documents, and the Design for Development 9 documents contain a wide range of the land use designations that could accommodate up to 10 8,000 residential units, of which at least 25% will be below market rate; approximately 300 11 acres of improved open space and recreational areas; approximately 140,000 square feet of 12 new, neighborhood-serving retail space; approximately 100,000 square feet of office space; a 13 400 - 500 room hotel; and new transportation infrastructure and upgraded public facilities. 14 WHEREAS, To implement the Project, the Treasure Island Development Authority 15 Board of Directors ("TIDA Board") must take several actions including approval of a 16 Disposition and Development Agreement, Trust Exchange Agreement, Design for 17 Development, Navy Conveyance Agreement, TIHDI Agreement, among other actions. 18 19 WHEREAS, On July 12, 2010, the Planning Department and TIDA released for public review and comment the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Project, (Planning 20 21 Department Case No. 2007.0903E). WHEREAS, The Planning Commission and TIDA Board held a joint public hearing on 22 August 12, 2010 on the Draft Environmental Impact Report and received written public 23 comments until 5:00 pm on September 10, 2010, for a total of 59 days of public review. 24 25

WHEREAS, The Planning Department and TIDA prepared a Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project consisting of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, the comments received during the review period, any additional information that became available after the publication of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, and the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law, a copy of which is on file with the Planning Department under Case No. 2007.0903E, which is incorporated into this motion by this reference.

WHEREAS, Following publication of the Environmental Impact Report, the Project's 8 9 structure and financing were changed from a Redevelopment Plan and financing mechanism to an Area Plan to be included within the San Francisco General Plan and partial financing 10 11 through an Infrastructure Financing District. These changes in turn result in the amount of 12 affordable housing units to be reduced from approximately 2,400 units to 2,000 units. A 13 memorandum describing these changes and other minor Project changes since publication of 14 the EIR has been prepared and distributed by the Department which describes and evaluates 15 these changes and presents minor amendments to the text of the EIR to reflect the changes. 16 The memorandum demonstrates and concludes that the revisions to the Project would not 17 substantially change the analysis and conclusions of the EIR. No new significant impacts or 18 substantial increase in the severity of already identified significant impacts, no new mitigation 19 measures, and no new alternatives result from these changes. Thus recirculation of the EIR 20 for public review and comment is not required.

21 WHEREAS, The FEIR files and other Project-related Department files have been 22 available for review by the Planning Commission, TIDA Board and the public, and those files 23 are a part of the record before this Commission.

WHEREAS, On April 21, 2011, the Planning Commission and the Authority Board
 reviewed and considered the FEIR and, by Motion No. 18325 and Resolution No. 11-14-

04/21, respectively, found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which
 the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of the CEQA
 and the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

WHEREAS, By Motion No. 18325 and Resolution No. 11-14-04/21, the Planning
Commission and the Authority Board, respectively, found that the FEIR was adequate,
accurate and objective, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of each Commission
and that the summary of Comments and Responses contained no significant revisions to the
Draft Environmental Impact Report.

9 WHEREAS, The Planning Department and TIDA prepared proposed Findings, as required by CEQA, regarding the alternatives and variants, mitigation measures and 10 significant environmental impacts analyzed in the FEIR, overriding considerations for 11 12 approving the Project, denoted as Attachment A, a proposed mitigation monitoring and reporting program, denoted as Attachment B, and proposed Mitigation Measures within the 13 Responsibility of TIDA for the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project, denoted as 14 Attachment C, on file with the Treasure Island Development Authority Commission Secretary, 15 at One Avenue of the Palms, which material was made available to the public, the Planning 16 Commission and this Board of Directors for this Board's review, consideration and actions; 17 18 now, therefore be it

19 RESOLVED, that the TIDA Board has reviewed and considered the FEIR and the 20 actions associated with the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project and hereby adopts 21 the Project Findings attached hereto as Attachment A including a statement of overriding 22 considerations, and including as Attachment B the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting 23 Program and as Attachment C the Mitigation Measures within the Responsibility of TIDA for 24 the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project.

25

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY
3	I hereby certify that I am the duly elected Secretary of the Treasure Island
4	Development Authority, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and that the
5	above Resolution was duly adopted and approved by the Board of Directors of the
6	Authority at a properly noticed meeting on April 21, 2011.
. 7	
8	the X
9	Jean-Paul Samaha, Secretary
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## Files 110226, 110227, 119228, 110229, 110230, 110789, 110290, 110291



110328, 110 % 1, 110340 SAN FRANCISCO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

April 27, 2011

Ms: Angela Calvillo, Clerk Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

656 Mission St. uite 100 in Francisco, 2479 ന്താ AM II: 58.6409 ယ္ဆ  $^{\circ}$ Planting Information: 415.558.6377

Re: Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2007.0903BEMRTUWZ to the Board of Supervisors: Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project Planning Commission Recommendation: <u>Approval</u>

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

On April 21, 2011, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed joint hearing with the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors on the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project. At the hearing, the Commission considered the proposed General Plan, Planning Code, and Zoning Map Ordinances which the Commission initiated on March 3, 2011. The proposed Ordinances are as follows:

- Amendments to the General Plan which would amend the Transportation Element, the Recreation and Open Space Element, the Commerce and Industry Element, the Community Facilities Element, the Housing Element, the Urban Design Element, the Land Use Index along with other minor General Plan map amendments; establish the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Area Plan (referred to you separately by Mayor Lee under File No. 110228).
- Amendments to the San Francisco Planning Code Sections 102.5 and 201 to include the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Special Use District, Section 104 relating to height and bulk limits for Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, add Section 249.52 to establish the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Special Use District, add Section 263.26 to establish the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Height and Bulk District, and amend Table 270 to recognize this District (referred to you separately by Mayor Lee under File No. 110229).
- Amendments to the San Francisco Zoning Maps which would add new sectional map ZN14 to show the zoning designations of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, add new sectional map HT14 to establish the Height and Bulk District for Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, add new sectional map SU14 to establish the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Special Use District (referred to you separately by Mayor Lee under File No. 110227).

### www.sfplanning.org

April 27, 2011 Transmittal of Planning Commission Actions Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project

At the April 21, 2011 hearing, the Planning Commission, along with the Treasure Island Development Authority certified the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) under Motion No. 18325 and Resolution No. 11-14-04/21, respectively.

Also at the April 21, 2011 hearing, the Planning Commission and the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors made CEQA findings including the adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program (MMRP).

Finally, at the April 21, 2011 hearing, the Commission voted to recommend approval of the proposed Ordinances described above. The Planning Commission took other actions related to the project including finding the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project consistent with the General Plan and Planning Code Section 101.1 and finding the office component of the Project consistent with Planning Code Sections 320-325. Other actions included approving the Design for Development document for the Project as well as a Development Agreement for the Project.

The Motions and Resolution and related information referred to here are being transmitted to you along with actions by the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors in a comprehensive packet from the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kellen amden For

John Rahaim<sup>9</sup> Director of Planning

### Files 110328



### SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

#### RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO

### 2011 APR 28 AM 11: 36

1<del>650 Mission S</del> Suite 400

San Francisco,

CA 94103-2479

415.558.6378

415.558.6409

415.558.6377

Reception:

Fax:

Planning

Information:

AK

### Planning Commission Motion No 18325 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT CERTIFICATION

Hearing Date: Case No.: Project Address: Zoning:

Block/Lot: Project Sponsors: April 21, 2011 2007.0903E Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island P (Public) 40-X Height and Bulk District 1939/001 and 002 Treasure Island Development Authority Rich Hillis, Director of Development City Hall, Room 448 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94111 and Treasure Island Community Development, LLC Alexandra Galovich

Wilson Meany Sullivan

Staff Contact:

Rick Cooper – (415) 575-9027 Rick.cooper@sfgov.org

San Francisco, CA 94102

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATED TO THE CERTIFICATION OF A FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED TREASURE ISLAND/YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT.

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3300

MOVED, that the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") hereby CERTIFIES the Final Environmental Impact Report identified as Case No. 2007.0903E (hereinafter "Project"), based upon the following findings:

- The City and County of San Francisco, acting through the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") fulfilled all procedural requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code Section 21000 *et seq.*, hereinafter "CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Admin. Code Title 14, Section 15000 *et seq.*, (hereinafter "CEQA Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code (hereinafter "Chapter 31").
  - A. The Department determined that an Environmental Impact Report (hereinafter "EIR") was required and provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on January 26, 2008.
  - B. On July 12, 2010, the Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report (hereinafter "DEIR") and provided public notice in a newspaper of general circulation of

www.sfplanning.org

- the availability of the DEIR for public review and comment and of the date and time of the Planning Commission public hearing on the DEIR; this notice was mailed to the Department's list of persons requesting such notice.
- C. Notices of availability of the DEIR and of the date and time of the public hearing were posted near the project site by Department staff on July 12, 2010.
- D. On July 12, 2010, copies of the DEIR were mailed or otherwise delivered to a list of persons requesting it, to those noted on the distribution list in the DEIR, to adjacent property owners, and to government agencies, the latter both directly and through the State Clearinghouse.

E. Notice of Completion was filed with the State Secretary of Resources via the State Clearinghouse on July 12, 2010.

- 2. The Commission held a duly advertised public hearing on said DEIR on August 12, 2010, at which opportunity for public comment was given, and public comment was received on the DEIR. The period for acceptance of written comments ended on September 10, 2010.
- 3. The Department prepared responses to comments on environmental issues received at the public hearing and in writing during the 59-day public review period for the DEIR, prepared revisions to the text of the DEIR in response to comments received or based on additional information that became available during the public review period, and corrected errors in the DEIR. This material was presented in a Comments and Responses document, published on March 10, 2011, distributed to the Commission and all parties who commented on the DEIR, and made available to others upon request at the Department.
- 4. A Final Environmental Impact Report has been prepared by the Department, consisting of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, any consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information that became available, and the Comments and Responses document all as required by law.
- 5. Following publication of the Environmental Impact Report, the Project's structure and financing were changed from a Redevelopment Plan and financing mechanism to an Area Plan to be included within the San Francisco General Plan and partial financing through an Infrastructure Financing District. These changes in turn result in the amount of affordable housing units to be reduced from approximately 2,400 units to 2,000 units. A memorandum describing these changes and other minor Project changes since publication of the EIR has been prepared and distributed by the Department which describes and evaluates these changes and presents minor amendments to the text of the EIR to reflect the changes. The memorandum demonstrates and concludes that the revisions to the Project would not substantially change the analysis and conclusions of the EIR. No new significant impacts or substantial increase in the severity of already identified significant impacts, no new mitigation measures, and no new alternatives result from these changes. Thus recirculation of the EIR for public review and comment is not required.

SAN FRANCISCO

Motion No. 18325 Hearing Date: April 21, 2011

CASE NO. 2007.0903E Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project

- 6. Project Environmental Impact Report files have been made available for review by the Commission and the public. These files are available for public review at the Department at 1650 Mission Street, and are part of the record before the Commission.
- 7. On April 21, 2011, the Commission reviewed and considered the Final Environmental Impact Report and hereby does find that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the Final Environmental Impact Report was prepared, publicized, and reviewed comply with the provisions of CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.
- 8. The Planning Commission hereby does find that the Final Environmental Impact Report concerning File No. 2007.0903E reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, and that the Comments and Responses document contains no significant revisions to the DEIR, and hereby does CERTIFY THE COMPLETION of said Final Environmental Impact Report in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.
- 9. The Commission, in certifying the completion of said Final Environmental Impact Report, hereby does find that the project described in the Environmental Impact Report:
  - A. Will result in the following significant and unavoidable project-specific environmental impacts:
  - 1) Alteration of scenic vistas of San Francisco and San Francisco Bay from public vantage points along the eastern shoreline of San Francisco, Telegraph Hill, the East Bay shoreline, and from the Bay Bridge east span.
  - 2) Impairment of the significance of an historical resource by demolition of the Damage Control Trainer.
  - 3) Construction impacts on the transportation and circulation network, including increased delay and congestion on the Bay Bridge near the ramps during the peak periods, and disruption to transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular traffic on the Islands due to roadway closures.
  - 4) Significant contribution to existing LOS E operating conditions during the weekday PM peak hour and during the Saturday peak hour at the eastbound off-ramp on the west side of Yerba Buena Island.
  - 5) Under conditions without the TI/YBI Ramps Project, traffic impacts at the two westbound on-ramps.
  - 6) Under conditions with the Ramps Project, traffic impacts during the AM and PM peak hours at the ramp meter at the westbound on-ramp on the east side of Yerba Buena Island.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

з

#### Motion No. 18325 Hearing Date: April 21, 2011

### CASE NO. 2007.0903E Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project

- Queuing at the Bay Bridge toll plaza during the weekday AM peak hour, with and without the TI/YBI Ramps Project.
- 8) Queuing on San Francisco streets approaching Bay Bridge during the weekday PM peak hour with and without the TI/YBI Ramps Project.
- 9) Traffic impact at the following nine intersections:
  - Intersection of First/Market;
  - Intersection of First/Mission;
  - Intersection of First/Folsom;
  - Intersection of First/Harrison/I-80 Eastbound On-Ramp;
  - Intersection of Bryant/Fifth/I-80 Eastbound On-Ramp; and
  - Intersection of Fifth/Harrison/I-80 Westbound Off-Ramp
  - Intersection of Folsom/Essex;
  - Intersection of Bryant/Sterling; and
  - Intersection of Second/Folsom.
- Exceedance of the available transit capacity of Muni's 108-Treasure Island bus line serving the Islands during the AM, PM and Saturday peak hours.
- AC Transit operations on Hillcrest Road between Treasure Island and the eastbound onramp to the Bay Bridge without the Ramps Project.
- 12) AC Transit operations on Treasure Island Road and Hillcrest Road between Treasure Island and the eastbound on-ramp to the Bay Bridge with the Ramps Project.
- 13) Traffic congestion in downtown San Francisco, which would increase travel time and would impact operations of the following three bus lines:
  - Muni 27-Bryant;
  - Muni 30X-Marina Express; and
  - Muni 47-Van Ness bus line.
- 14) Exceedance of the capacity utilization standard on Muni's 108-Treasure Island bus line serving the Islands from a shift from auto to transit modes, resulting from parking

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

- shortfall on the Islands and leading to an increase in transit travel demand during the peak hours.
- Construction noise levels above existing ambient conditions.
- 16) Exposure of persons and structures to excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels during construction from on-shore pile "impact activities," such as pile driving and deep dynamic compaction, and vibro-compaction.
- 17) Increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above existing ambient noise levels from project-related traffic and ferry noise.
- 18) Violation of air quality standards.
- 19) Exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial levels of toxic air contaminants.
- 20) Exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial levels of PM2.5.
- 21) Violation of air quality standards during project operations.
- 22) Exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

23) Potential conflict with adopted plans related to air quality.

24) Temporary wind hazard impacts during phased construction.

25) Potential exposure of publicly accessible locations within the Project Site to wind hazards

26) Potential adverse impacts on movement of rafting waterfowl from ferry operations.

B. Will contribute considerably to the following cumulative environmental impacts:

1) Potential cumulative construction-related traffic impacts in the project vicinity.

2) Cumulative traffic impacts at the eastbound off-ramp on the west side of Yerba Buena Island.

3) Under conditions without the Ramps Project, cumulative traffic impacts at the two westbound on-ramps.

4) Under conditions with the Ramps Project, cumulative traffic impacts during the AM and PM peak hours at the ramp meter at the westbound on-ramp on the east side of Yerba Buena Island.

5) Cumulative queuing impacts at the Bay Bridge toll plaza during the AM and PM peak hours.

### Motion No. 18325 Hearing Date: April 21, 2011

### CASE NO. 2007.0903E Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project

6) Cumulative queuing impacts on San Francisco streets approaching the Bay Bridge during the weekday AM and PM and Saturday peak hours.

7) Traffic impact at the following nine intersections:

- Intersection of First/Market;
- Intersection of First/Mission;
- Intersection of First/Folsom;
- Intersection of First/Harrison/I-80 Eastbound On-Ramp;
- Intersection of Bryant/Fifth/I-80 Eastbound On-Ramp;
- Intersection of Fifth/Harrison/I-80 Westbound Off-Ramp
- Intersection of Folsom/Essex;
- Intersection of Bryant/Sterling; and
- Intersection of Second/Folsom.

8) Cumulative traffic congestion in downtown San Francisco, which would increase travel time and would impact operations of the following four bus lines:

- Muni 27-Bryant bus line;
- Muni 30X-Marina Express bus line;
- Muni 47-Van Ness bus line; and
- Muni 10-Townsend bus line.

9) Cumulative construction noise impacts from other cumulative development in the area, including the Clipper Cove Marina and the Yerba Buena Island Ramps Improvement Project, which could have construction activities that occur simultaneously with those of the Project.

10) Increases in traffic from the project in combination with other development would result in cumulative traffic noise impacts.

11) Cumulative air quality impacts.

11) The Project, when combined with other cumulative projects, could result in exposure of publicly accessible locations within the Project Site to wind hazards.

12) Potential cumulative impacts on rafting waterfowl.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Motion No. 18325 Hearing Date: April 21, 2011

### CASE NO. 2007.0903E Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was ADOPTED by the Planning Commission at its regular meeting of April 21, 2011.

Linda Avery Commission Secretary

AYES:	Commissioners A	ntonini, Bo	orden, F	ong, Miguel
NOES:	Commissioners O	lague, Moo	ore, Sug	aya
ABSENT:	None		•	
ADOPTED:	April 21, 2011	•	•	· · ·



File 117328 SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SANFRANCISCO

Suite 400

Reception; 415.558.6378

Fax:

Planning

Information: 415.558.6377

San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

415.558.6409

2011 APR 28 AM 11: 37 1650 Mission St.

## Planning Commission Motion No. 18326

HEARING DATE: APRIL 21, 2011

2007.0903BEMRTUWZ

Case No.: Project:

Location: Staff Contacts:

Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project E Case: CEQA Findings Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island Rick Cooper -- (415) 575-9027 rick.cooper@sfgov.org Joshua Switzky - (415) 575-6815 joshua.switzky@sfgov.org Adopt the Findings

Recommendation:

MOTION ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS (AND A STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS) UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND STATE GUIDELINES IN CONNECTION WITH THE ADOPTION OF THE TREASURE ISLAND/YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT AND RELATED ACTIONS NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT SUCH PROJECT.

#### **RECITALS**

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Planning Department ("Department"), together with the Treasure Island Development Authority ("TIDA") are the Lead Agencies responsible for the implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") for this area and have undertaken a planning and environmental review process for the proposed Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project ("Project") and provided for appropriate public hearings before the respective Commissions; and,

WHEREAS, A primary objective of the Project and the Term Sheet, endorsed by the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors and the Board of Supervisors in 2006 and updated in 2010, is to create sustainable economic development, affordable housing, public parks and open space and other community benefits by development of the under-used lands within the project area; and,

WHEREAS, Originally constructed in 1937 as a possible site for the San Francisco Airport, Treasure Island was first used to host the Golden Gate International Exposition from 1939-1940. Shortly thereafter in World War II, the United States Department of Defense converted the island into a naval station, which operated for more than five decades. Naval Station Treasure Island was subsequently closed in 1993 and ceased operations in 1997. Since the closure of the base, the City and the community have been planning for the reuse of former Naval Station Treasure Island and adjacent Yerba Buena Island; and,

WHEREAS, Former Naval Station Treasure Island consists of approximately 550 acres including Yerba Buena Island. Today the site is characterized by aging infrastructure, environmental contamination from former naval operations, deteriorated and vacant buildings, and asphalt and other impervious surfaces which cover approximately 65% of the site. The site

### www.sfplanning.org

Motion No. 18326 Hearing Date: April 21, 2011 Case No 2007.0946BEMRTUWZ Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island CEQA Findings

has few public amenities for the approximately 1,820 residents who currently reside on the site. This legislation creating the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Special Use District, the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Height and Bulk District, and the related zoning and General Plan amendments will implement the proposed Project; and,

WHEREAS, The Project will include (a) approximately 8,000 new residential units, with at least 25 percent (2,000 units) affordable to a broad range of very-low to moderate income households, (b) adaptive reuse of 311,000 square feet of historic structures, (c) 140,000 square feet of new retail uses and 100,000 square feet of commercial office space, (d) 300 acres of parks and open space, (e) new and or upgraded public facilities, including a joint police/fire station, a school, facilities for the Treasure Island Sailing Center and other community facilities, (f) 400-500 room hotel, and (g) transportation infrastructure, including a ferry/quay intermodal transit center; and,

WHEREAS, In 2003, TIDA selected through a competitive three year long process, Treasure Island Community Development, LLC ("TICD") to serve as the master developer for the Project; and,

WHEREAS, In 2006, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco ("Board") endorsed a Term Sheet and Development Plan for the Project, which set forth the terms of the Project including a provision for a Transition Plan for Existing Units on the site. In May of 2010 the Board endorsed a package of legislation that included an update to the Development Plan and Term Sheet, terms of an Economic Development Conveyance Memorandum of Agreement for the conveyance of the site from the Navy to the City, and a Term Sheet between TIDA and the Treasure Island Homeless Development Imitative ("TIHDI"); and,

WHEREAS, In planning for the development of former Naval Station Treasure Island, the City and the Treasure Island Development Authority worked closely with the Treasure Island Citizens Advisory Board ("CAB"). The CAB is a group of Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island community residents, business owners and individuals with expertise in specific areas, who are selected by the Mayor to oversee the development process for the islands. TIDA has worked with the CAB and the community throughout the process of implementing revitalization activities regarding Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island; and,

WHEREAS, To implement the Project, the Planning Commission ("Commission") must take several actions including adoption of General Plan amendments, Planning Code Text amendments, Planning Code Map amendments, approving the Design for Development document, approving and recommending to the Board of Supervisors approval of the Development Agreement, and adoption of findings under Planning Code Sections 320 – 325 regarding office development, among other actions; and,

WHEREAS, On July 12, 2010, the Department and TIDA released for public review and comment the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Project, (Department Case No. 2007.0903E); and,

WHEREAS, The Commission and TIDA Board of Directors held a joint public hearing on August 12, 2010 on the Draft Environmental Impact Report and received written public comments until 5:00 pm on September 10, 2010, for a total of 59 days of public review; and,

Motion No. 18326 Hearing Date: April 21, 2011

Case No 2007.0946B<u>E</u>MRTUWZ Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island CEQA Findings

WHEREAS, The Department and TIDA prepared a Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project consisting of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, the comments received during the review period, any additional information that became available after the publication of the Draft Environmental Impact Report, and the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law, a copy of which is on file with the Department under Case No. 2007.0903E, which is incorporated into this motion by this reference; and,

WHEREAS, Following publication of the Environmental Impact Report, the Project's structure and financing were changed from a Redevelopment Plan and financing mechanism to an Area Plan to be included within the San Francisco General Plan and partial financing through an Infrastructure Financing District. These changes in turn result in the amount of affordable housing units to be reduced from approximately 2,400 units to 2,000 units. A memorandum describing these changes and other minor Project changes since publication of the EIR has been prepared and distributed by the Department which describes and evaluates these changes and presents minor amendments to the text of the EIR to reflect the changes. The memorandum demonstrates and concludes that the revisions to the Project would not substantially change the analysis and conclusions of the EIR. No new significant impacts or substantial increase in the severity of already identified significant impacts, no new mitigation measures, and no new alternatives result from these changes. Thus recirculation of the EIR for public review and comment is not required; and,

WHEREAS, The FEIR files and other Project-related Department files have been available for review by the Commission and the public, and those files are a part of the record before this Commission; and,

WHEREAS, On April 21, 2011, the Commission and the TIDA Board of Directors reviewed and considered the FEIR and, by Motion No. 18325 and Resolution No. 11-14-04/21, respectively, found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; and,

WHEREAS, By Motion No. 18325 and Resolution No. 11-14-04/21, the Commission and the TIDA Board of Directors, respectively, found that the FEIR was adequate, accurate and objective, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of each Commission and that the summary of Comments and Responses contained no significant revisions to the Draft Environmental Impact Report; and,

WHEREAS, The Department and TIDA prepared proposed Findings, as required by CEQA, regarding the alternatives and variants, mitigation measures and significant environmental impacts analyzed in the FEIR, overriding considerations for approving the Project, denoted as Attachment A, and a proposed mitigation monitoring and reporting program, denoted as Attachment B, on file with the Department under Case No. 2007.0903E which material was made available to the public and this Commission for this Commissions' review, consideration and actions. Also attached is Attachment C, Mitigation Measures Within the Responsibility of the Planning Department, Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project; and,

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT Motion No. 18326 Hearing Date: April 21, 2011 Case No 2007.0946B<u>E</u>MRTUWZ Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island CEQA Findings

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Commission has reviewed and considered the FEIR and the actions associated with the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island. Development Project and hereby adopts the Project Findings attached hereto as Attachment A including a statement of overriding considerations, and including as Attachment B the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Planning Commission on April 21, 2011.

Avery D

Commission Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Antonini, Borden, Fong, Miguel NOES: Commissioners Moore, Olague, Sugaya ABSENT: None

### ATTACHMENT A

File 110328

## TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PRODELAPR 28 AM 11: 37 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT FINDINGS: FINDINGS OF FACT, EVALUATION OF MITIGATION MEASURES AND ALTERNATIVES, AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SAN FRANCISCO

### SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

In determining to approve the Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Project ("<u>Project</u>") the San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("<u>Board</u>" or "<u>Board of Supervisors</u>") makes and adopts the following findings of fact and decisions regarding mitigation measures and alternatives, and adopts the statement of overriding considerations, based on substantial evidence in the whole record of this proceeding and under the California Environmental Quality Act ("<u>CEQA</u>"), California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq., particularly Sections 21081 and 21081.5, the Guidelines for Implementation of CEQA ("<u>CEQA Guidelines</u>"), 14 California Code of Regulations Sections 15000 et seq., particularly Sections 15091 through 15093, and the Board adopted CEQA guidelines.

This document is organized as follows:

<u>Section I</u> provides a description of the Project proposed for adoption, the environmental review process for the Project, the approval actions to be taken and the location of records;

Section II identifies the impacts found not to be significant that do not require mitigation;

<u>Sections III</u> and <u>IIIA</u> identify potentially significant impacts that can be avoided or reduced to less-than-significant levels through mitigation and describe the disposition of the mitigation measures;

<u>Sections IV</u> and <u>IVA</u> identify significant impacts that cannot be avoided or reduced to less-than significant levels and describe any applicable mitigation measures as well as the disposition of the mitigation measures;

<u>Section V</u> evaluates mitigation measures and project modifications proposed by commenters and the rejection of these mitigation measures and project modifications;

File No. 110328 Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Project May 2, 2011 Page 1 of 35

	-				
Attachment B: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YI (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)	Attachment B: AM FOR THE TREA I Mitigation and Impi	SURE ISLAND / YERB, rovement Measures)	Attachment B: RTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT 5 Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)	ICT	
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed	
MITIGATION MEASURES FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND/YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT	AND PROJECT				-
Cultural and Paleontological Resources (Archeological Resources) Mitigation Measures	52				1
Mitigation Measure M-CP-1: Archaeological Testing, Monitoring, Data Recovery and Reporting. Based on a reasonable presumption that archaeological resources may	Project sponsors* to retain qualified	Prior to commencement of soil-disturbing	(See below regarding archaeologist's reports.)		T
be present within the Redevelopment Plan Project Area, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the proposed	professional consultants	activities, submittal of reports for approval by	3 9	•	
project on buried or submerged historical resources. The project sponsors shall retain the services of an archaeolorical consultant from the nool of musified archaeolorical	(archaeologist and	Planning Department	Geo-archeological consultant to submit peo-		
consultants maintained by the Planning Department archaeologist. The archaeological	from the pool of		archaeological assessment		
consultant shall undertake an archaeological testing program as specified herein. In addition a professionally condition are expressioned with the second second second second second second second	consultants		of the project area to	•	
auturu, a processionanty quantice geo-archaeologist sital tuncertake a geo- archaeological assessment of the project area. The archaeological consultant shall be	maintained oy the Planning Department		with a conv to TTD A	•	
available to conduct an archaeological monitoring and/or data recovery program if	+				
required pursuant to this measure. The archaeological consultant's work shall be conducted in accordance with this measure and the reminements of the ARDTP		•			
(Archeo-Tec, Archaeological Research Design and Treatment Plan, Treasure Island				•	
Redevelopment Plan Project, City and County of San Francisco, CA, October 2009) at the direction of the Environmental Review Officer ("EPDO") In instances of	· · · .	· · · ·			
inconsistency between the requirements of the project ARDTP and the requirements of	•• • •		-		
this mitigation measure, the requirements of this archaeological mitigation measure shall mevail. All plans and reports menared by the consultant as specified berein shall				•	
be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be					
considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. A rehearlowing monitoring and/or data monomer among a monitor of the first second					
concrete output into an output a second of the project for a maximum of four weeks. At the				•	
direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four				-	·
weeks outy it such a suspension is the only reasible means to reduce to a less-than- significant level of potential effects on a significant archaeological resource as defined			• • •	•	
n CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 (a)(c).				•	
Archaeological Testing Program					
The archaeological consultant shall prepare and submit to the ERO for review and approval					
an according to the approved ATP. The ATP shall identify the property	Archaeological consultant to	Archaeological Testing Plan to he submitted to	Consultant to prepare ATP in consultation with the		
y people are a current or treatment of the testing method to be used, and the locations	undertake archaeological	and approved by ERO	ERO.		

\*Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.



### SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

### Memo to the Planning Commission

Date: Case No.: Project Address: Project Sponsors:

Staff Contact:

April 12, 2011 2007.0903E Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Treasure Island Development Authority and Treasure Island Community Development, LLC Rick Cooper, Senior Planner – 415-575-9027 Rick.Cooper@sfgov.org 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

#### Introduction

A number of revisions have occurred to the Proposed Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Redevelopment Project since publication and distribution of the Comments and Responses document for the Environmental Impact Report (EIR), scheduled for certification on April 21, 2011. The revisions relate to a change in the governing structure and documents under which the Proposed Project would be implemented, and to changes made in response to public comment in some standards in the proposed *Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Design for Development* since the March 5, 2010, public review draft was circulated. This memorandum summarizes the revisions to the Proposed Project and evaluates their effect on the analysis and conclusions in the EIR. Appendix 1 to this memorandum presents revisions to the text of the Draft EIR and Responses to Comments needed to reflect changes in the Proposed Project.

A Redevelopment Plan is no longer proposed for the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Redevelopment Project; it would be replaced with a proposed new Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Area Plan (Area Plan) to be added to the *San Francisco General Plan*, which would no longer simply reference the provisions of the Redevelopment Plan. Instead the Area Plan would present objectives and policies that provide the foundation for land use and development of the Islands, and a Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Special Use District (SUD) would be added to the Planning Code along with Zoning Map amendments. The SUD references the proposed *Design for Development* and uses its Standards and Guidelines as a basis for development controls set out in the SUD. With this change, the "Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Redevelopment Project" is called the "Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Project" or the "Revised Project" for the remainder of this memorandum. The main financing mechanism also has been revised from use of tax increment financing under a Redevelopment Plan to an infrastructure financing district (IFD) mechanism. As an indirect result of this change, the number of affordable housing units would change from the approximately 2,400 units discussed in the EIR to approximately 2,000 units. The details of this change are provided below in the "Summary of Project Revisions." JOANNE HAYES-WHITE CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT



EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

## SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ТО:	Planning Commission
FROM:	Joanne Hayes-White, Chief of Department
DATE:	April 21, 2011
SUBJECT:	Treasure Island Development Project

The San Francisco Fire Department has been briefed on the layout and infrastructure plan as it relates to the Treasure Island Development Project and has no objections to its movement forward. It is my understanding that as details of the plan are further refined, the San Francisco Fire Department will have the opportunity to review and approve all aspects that fall under its authority.





CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT CASE NO. 2007.0903E

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 2008012105

DRAFT EIR PUBLICATION DATE: JULY 12, 2010 DRAFT EIR PUBLIC HEARING DATE: AUGUST 12, 2010 DRAFT EIR PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: JULY 12, 2010 - AUGUST 26, 2010

Written comments should be sent to:

Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

# TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## Volume 2 – Chapters IV.I – VIII



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT CASE NO. 2007.0903E

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 2008012105

DRAFT EIR PUBLICATION DATE: JULY 12, 2010 DRAFT EIR PUBLIC HEARING DATE: AUGUST 12, 2010 DRAFT EIR PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: JULY 12, 2010 - AUGUST 26, 2010

Written comments should be sent to:

Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco **407** 94103

