**File No.** 250130 **Committee Item No.** _____
**Board Item No.** 22

# COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

**Committee:** _____ **Date:** _____
**Board of Supervisors Meeting** **Date:** February 25, 2025

## Cmte Board

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ☐ | ☒ | **Motion** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Resolution** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Ordinance** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Legislative Digest** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Budget and Legislative Analyst Report** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Youth Commission Report** |
| ☐ | ☒ | **Introduction Form** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **MOU** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Grant Information Form** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Grant Budget** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Subcontract Budget** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Contract/Agreement** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Form 126 – Ethics Commission** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Award Letter** |
| ☐ | ☐ | **Application** |
| ☐ | ☒ | **Public Correspondence** |

**OTHER**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ☐ | ☒ | Mayor's Letter 2/4/25 |
| ☐ | ☐ | |
| ☐ | ☐ | |
| ☐ | ☐ | |
| ☐ | ☐ | |
| ☐ | ☐ | |
| ☐ | ☐ | |

**Prepared by:** Lisa Lew **Date:** February 21, 2025
**Prepared by:** _____ **Date:** _____

[Removal of Max Carter-Oberstone from the Police Commission]

**Motion consenting/dissenting the Mayor's removal of Max Carter-Oberstone from the Police Commission.**

WHEREAS, Mayor London N. Breed nominated Max Carter-Oberstone to the Police Commission on October 7, 2021, and the Board of Supervisors approved that nomination in Motion No. M21-157 on November 16, 2021; and

WHEREAS, Mayor Breed renominated Commissioner Carter-Oberstone on April 26, 2022, for a new term that will expire on April 30, 2026, and the Board of Supervisors approved that nomination in Motion No. M22-070 on May 3, 2022; and

WHEREAS, Charter, Section 4.109 provides that the Mayor, with the consent of the Board of Supervisors, may remove any member of the Commission that the Mayor has nominated; and

WHEREAS, Mayor Daniel Lurie sent a letter to the Board of Supervisors on February 4, 2025, stating that he is removing Commissioner Carter-Oberstone immediately upon the Board's adoption of a Motion consenting to the removal; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors consents/dissents on the Mayor's removal of Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone from the Police Commission, effective upon the final adoption of this Motion.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO

DANIEL LURIE
MAYOR

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

February 4, 2025

Dear Madam Clerk and Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.109, I hereby remove Max Carter-Oberstone from the Police Commission, subject to the consent of the Board of Supervisors. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's removal will be effective immediately upon the Board of Supervisors' adoption of a motion consenting to the removal. I appreciate Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's service to the City. I look forward to nominating a new commissioner in the near future who will work collaboratively to make our city safer.

Attached please find a motion consenting to Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's removal.

Sincerely,

Daniel Lurie
City and County of San Francisco
Mayor

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681
TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141

**From:** Rishav Rout
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 12:17:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Rishav Rout

Rishav Rout
rout.rishav@gmail.com
1075 Valencia St, Apt. 1
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** Natalie Lopez
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 12:38:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Natalie Lopez
naylo245@gmail.com
1300 Mills St
Menlo Park, California 94025

**From:** Tyler Breisacher
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 12:39:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Tyler Breisacher (D5 resident)

Tyler Breisacher
matrixfrog@gmail.com
888 O'Farrell St, Apt E706
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** Jen Snyder
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:01:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jen Snyder
jencsnyder@gmail.com
1161 Hayes Street, Apartment 3, Apartment 3
San Francisco, California 94117-1651

**From:** Jesse Stout
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Please vote AGAINST Removing Commissioner Carter-Oberstone
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:02:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear BOS Members,

Please oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in

traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jesse Stout
jessestout@gmail.com
1400 MISSION ST, APT 1309
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94103-3082

**From:** Christopher Mika
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:05:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Christopher Mika
mika.christopher@gmail.com
811 Geary St.
San Francisco , California 94109

**From:** Justin Dolezal
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:12:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Justin Dolezal
justin.t.dolezal@gmail.com
826 TREAT AVE
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** Adrian Plaisance
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:14:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Adrian Plaisance
adrianplaisance@gmail.com
1618 Broderick Street
San Francisco, California 94115

**From:** Shasta Garcia
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:19:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Shasta Garcia

Shasta Garcia
shastablasta@gmail.com
872 Rhode Island St
San Francisco , California 94107

**From:** Victoria Adams
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:20:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Victoria Adams
victorialeighadams@gmail.com
510 26TH AVE
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** Isabella Hill
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:22:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Isabella Hill

Isabella Hill
isabella.w.hill@gmail.com
836 HAIGHT ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94117

**From:** Mike Midden
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:23:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Mike Midden
slacks@gmail.com
501 Capp
SF, California 94110

**From:** Tanvi Mer
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:24:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Tanvi Mer
tmeringengi@gmail.com
185 Channel St
San Francisco , California 94158

**From:** Raya Steier
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:32:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Raya Steier
sarkar.raya@gmail.com
1723 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco , California 94132

**From:** Gwen McLaughlin
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:40:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Gwen McLaughlin
gm72297@gmail.com
2008 BALBOA ST
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** Carlos Ciudad-Real
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:41:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Carlos Ciudad-Real
cmciudadreal@gmail.com
1222 Harrison St, Apt 1203
San Francisco, California 94103

**From:** Alvin Lee
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:52:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alvin Lee

Alvin Lee
alvin.ch.lee@gmail.com
174 1/2 Hartford Street
San Francisco, California 94114

**From:** Marisol Ramirez
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:57:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Marisol Ramirez
willwork4tacos@gmail.com
1367 Hudson Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

**From:** Shanti Singh
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:01:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Shanti Singh
shanti.priyasingh@gmail.com
1441 Clay St, Apt. 10
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** Matthew Coleman
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:03:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Matthew

Matthew Coleman
matthewbcoleman2017@gmail.com
319 20th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** Alice Duesdieker
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:04:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alice Duesdieker
D4 Resident

Alice Duesdieker
alice.dues@gmail.com
1850 39th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

**From:** Luc Bouchard
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:10:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Luc Bouchard
1215 Greenwich St. Apt 1A
San Francisco CA, 94109

Luc Bouchard
lbouchard010@gmail.com
1215 Greenwich St
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** Dimitry Yakoushkin
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:13:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

To the six or seven of the Members of the Board of Supervisors who are on the right side of history, who are not in the pocket of the SFPOA and Mayor Lurie, and who will listen,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. Without oversight of the SFPD, and with our DA's explicit messaging that she will not prosecute any police, we will be in a functional police state.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then

can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Dimitry Yakoushkin, district 8 resident and SF resident of 20+ years.

Dimitry Yakoushkin
dimitry.multi@gmail.com
29 Ford St, San Francisco CA 94114
San Francisco, California 94114

**From:** Cindy Rodríguez
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:24:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rodríguez
cincyerrr@yahoo.com
47 Gutenberg
San Francisco , California 94112

**From:** Emilie Struthers
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:26:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Emilie

Emilie Struthers
emiliestruthers@gmail.com
655 shotwell street
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** Brette Howard
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:27:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Brette Howard
lteinhorn@yahoo.com
164 4TH AVE
San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** Michael Smith
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:34:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Michael Smith

Michael Smith
msmithtransit@gmail.com
536 Broderick St
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** Alex Lantsberg
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:42:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alex Lantsberg
lantsberg@gmail.com
991 Innes Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

**From:** danielle mcvay
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:45:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

danielle mcvay
lovedanielle@gmail.com
300 ANZAVISTA AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94115

**From:** Brendan Kierans
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Brendan Kierans
bpkierans@gmail.com
3318 WILSON PL
Oakland, California 94602

**From:** Olivia Cunningham
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:52:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Olivia Cunningham
cunninghamolivia@gmail.com
431 12th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

**From:** Darcie Bell
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:02:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Darcie Bell
darciebell@gmail.com
7 Beaver Street
San Francisco , California 94114

**From:** Michela Garber
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:02:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Michela Garber
D7 Resident, D2 worker, pedestrian and transit rider

Michela Garber
garber.michela@gmail.com
1246A 9th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** Julien Ball
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:15:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Julien Ball
julienball4@gmail.com
10 Lundys ln
san Francisco , California 94110

**From:** Melinda Huot
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:20:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Melinda H
18 year SF resident

Melinda Huot
melhuot@gmail.com
1316 Hayes street
San Francisco , California 94117

**From:** Serena McNair
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:21:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Serena McNair
serenadmcnair@gmail.com
50 Chumasero Drive, Apt. 5F
San Francisco, California 94132-2306

**From:** Ian James
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:58:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Ian James
Ianhenryjames@gmail.com
155 Haight St, Unit 304
San Francisco, California 94102

**From:** Max Wickham
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:19:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Max Wickham
mtorchiowickham@gmail.com
1407 Castillo st
San Francisco , California 94118

**From:** Noah Sloss
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:36:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Noah Sloss
noahsloss@gmail.com
2280 Pine St
SF, California 94115

**From:** Anya Worley-Ziegmann
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:37:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Anya

Anya Worley-Ziegmann
anyaziegmann@gmail.com
440 Davis Ct
San Francisco, California 94111

**From:** Lisa Awbrey
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:51:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Mayor Lurie and members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election.

San Francisco needs 100 more Max Carter Oberstones. San Francisco, skins demand and expect oversight, transparency, and accountability from our elected leaders and from the SFPD.
Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data

showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lisa Awbrey

Lisa Awbrey
weegreenmea@gmail.com
1969 Hayes Street
San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** Edna Kozikaro
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Edna Kozikaro
ednakozikaro@gmail.com
115 gough street
san francisco, California 94102

**From:** Sean Presley
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:59:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Sean Presley

Sean Presley
seanpaulpresley@gmail.com
1006 HOWARD ST
Santa Rosa, California 95404

**From:** Ren Fitzgerald
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:05:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Ren Fitzgerald
renfitzgerald04@gmail.com
1837 Parker Street
Berkeley, California 94703

**From:** Zoe Williams
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:08:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Zoe Williams
zoephillipsw@gmail.com
1240 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** Madeline Love
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Please Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:26:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Madeline Love
madilove27@gmail.com
1211 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco , California 94122

**From:** Julia Gitis
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:48:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Julia Gitis
juliag@gmail.com
109 madrone Ave
San Francisco, California 94127

**From:** lilysloane@gmail.com
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:58:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Lily Sloane
Noe Valley Resident

lilysloane@gmail.com
1192 Dolores St
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** Brendan Hagarty
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:13:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Brendan Hagarty

Brendan Hagarty
brndnhgrty@gmail.com
125 shotwell st
San Francisco , California 94103

**From:** Nicole Greene
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:15:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. WE NEED POLICE OVERSIGHT. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Nicole Greene
nicolecolettegreene@gmail.com
1080 EDDY ST APT 604
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** Lauren Christensen
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:16:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lauren Christensen

Lauren Christensen
lchristensen314@gmail.com
325 Guerrero St
San Francisco, California 94103

**From:** Jeremiah Currier
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:42:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

JEREMIAH CURRIER

Jeremiah Currier
jeremiah.currier@gmail.com
359 Fillmore St, Apt 12
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** Victoria Adams
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:45:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Victoria Adams

Victoria Adams
victorialeighadams@gmail.com
510 26TH AVE
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** Stevie Garcia
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:47:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Stevie Garcia
fleetfeet88@yahoo.com
150 Carl st
San Francisco , California 94117

**From:** Meena Rezaei
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:48:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Meena Rezaei
mnrz4545@gmail.com
3226 25th St
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** Elizabeth Hille
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:07:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hille
elizhille@gmail.com
2639 21st Street
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** Lor OConnor
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:16:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lor OConnor
loco.lark@gmail.com
1145 KEARNY ST APT 7
San Francisco, California 94133

**From:** Thomas Skelly
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:30:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Thomas Skelly
tskelly99@gmail.com
2332 Ortega Street
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** Bunny McFadden
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:49:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Bunny McFadden
residue.wooden0h@icloud.com
667 Fell st
San Francisco, California 94102

**From:** Joshua Ochoa
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:17:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Joshua Ochoa
joshuarudyochoa@gmail.com
2155 15th St
San Francisco, California 94114

**From:** Bianca Gonzalez
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:29:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Bianca Gonzalez

Bianca Gonzalez
bgonzalez493@gmail.com
256 Brighton Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** torotoro26@gmail.com
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:43:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Fadi Shihadeh

torotoro26@gmail.com
2006 22nd Street
San Francisco, California 94107

**From:** Joscelyn Meador
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:51:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Joscelyn Meador
josci_mead@yahoo.com
269 church st
San Francisco , California 94114

**From:** Langford Poh
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:02:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Langford J Poh

Langford Poh
pohpoh@gmail.com
269 Church St #9
San Francisco, California 94114

**From:** Lindsey Coonan
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:04:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Coonan

Lindsey Coonan
lindsey.coonan@gmail.com
1342 Hayes Street
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** emma jackson
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:10:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

emma jackson
emmajackson@gmail.com
175 RED ROCK WAY
San Francisco, California 94131

**From:** Carl Castro
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:27:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Carl R Castro

Carl Castro
carlrcastro@me.com
55 Hermann No. 502
San Francisco, California 94102

**From:** Molly Hayden
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:34:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Molly

Molly Hayden
molly.hayden@me.com
144A SCOTT ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94117

**From:** Zaki Shaheen
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:46:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Zaki

Zaki Shaheen
zakishaheen1@gmail.com
2390 31st Avenue Apt. 103
San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** Gloria Maciejewski
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:51:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission.

San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

On a personal note, I am raising two children in the Mission district, and when they were young, there were so many incidents where the police had shot and killed men near our home, that my kids began to think all police officers were bad people and corrupt.
Things have improved so much since Police Chief Greg Suhr was forced to leave his position, but it is so important to have oversight!

Sincerely,
Gloria Maciejewski

Gloria Maciejewski
glowglow9@gmail.com
3277 20th street
San Francisco , California 94110

**From:** Msry Bugarin
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:53:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Msry Bugarin
maria.bugarin7@gmail.com
1130 Filbert Street. Apt.4
S an Francisco, California 94108

**From:** Veena Bansal
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:57:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Veena Bansal
vab56@georgetown.edu
1426 pine grove way
San Jose , California 95129

**From:** Alexander Lawhorn
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:59:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alexander Lawhorn
alexanderlawhorn@gmail.com
612 Central Ave.
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** Susan Englander
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:00:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Susan Englander
senglander52@gmail.com
3456 17th St
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** kristaannelkin@gmail.com
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

kristaannelkin@gmail.com
2250 30TH AVE
San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** Thomas Swanson
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:10:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Thomas Swanson
swansont96@gmail.com
3 Natalie circle
petaluma, California 94952

**From:** Vanessa Pimentel
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:26:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Vanessa Pimentel

Vanessa Pimentel
vanessa.pimentel26@gmail.com
2026 Palou Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

**From:** Luana Lima Silva
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:28:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Luana Lima Silva
limaluana141@gmail.com
1808a 8th St
Berkeley, California 94710

**From:** Isabella Kintz
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:33:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Isabella Kintz

Isabella Kintz
izzykintz6@gmail.com
650 arguello
San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** Annabelle Littlejohn-Bailey
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:34:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Annabelle

Annabelle Littlejohn-Bailey
annabellelittlejohnbailey@gmail.com
890 Geary Street, Apt 43
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** dorothywins@gmail.com
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:40:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. It is shocking and upsetting that a new leader could come in and remove a voice for justice, integrity, and honesty. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of

white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Young

dorothywins@gmail.com
168 Ridgewood Ave., Apt. B
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** Nathan Lomas
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:46:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Nathan Lomas
natedlomas@gmail.com
1628 FOLSOM ST
San Francisco, California 94103

**From:** Megan Launer
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:53:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Megan Launer
meganlauner@gmail.com
624 Haight St
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** liz hatfield
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:17:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

liz hatfield
liz.hatfield@me.com
1230 HYDE ST
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** Charlie Ertola
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:24:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Charlie Ertola
charlieertola@gmail.com
664a Greenwich St Apt A
San Francisco, California 94133

**From:** Danielle Hoang
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:26:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Danielle Hoang
brokenpebblejewelry@gmail.com
129 Bache St
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** Samantha Mutter
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:57:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Samantha Mutter
alison.mutter@gmail.com
1951 Clement Street
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** Jessica Goss
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 12:10:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jessica Goss
news.snooze@gmail.com
247 25th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

**From:** Sydney Simpson
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 12:16:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Sydney Simpson

Sydney Simpson
sjsimpson67@gmail.com
537 NATOMA ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94103

**From:** Ryan Khojasteh
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 7:41:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Ryan Khojasteh

Ryan Khojasteh
ryan.khojasteh@gmail.com
368 20th avenue
San Francisco , California 94121

**From:** Nathaniel Hale
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 8:05:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,
Nathaniel Hale

Nathaniel Hale
thanehale@gmail.com
819 Judah St
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** Jad Shaheen
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone"s Removal
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 8:44:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jad Shaheen
shaheenjad@gmail.com
3883 18th Street
San Francisco, California 94104

# Introduction Form

*(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)*

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- [x] 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- [ ] 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)
  *(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)*
- [ ] 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- [ ] 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor _____ inquiries…"
- [ ] 5. City Attorney Request
- [ ] 6. Call File No. _____ from Committee.
- [ ] 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- [ ] 8. Substitute Legislation File No. _____
- [ ] 9. Reactivate File No. _____
- [ ] 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on _____

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Commission

☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission ☐ Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

☐ Yes ☐ No

*(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)*

Sponsor(s):

Clerk of the Board

Subject:

Removal of Max Carter-Oberstone from the Police Commission

Long Title or text listed:

Motion consenting/dissenting the Mayor's removal of Max Carter-Oberstone from the Police Commission.

Signature of Requester: _____