era a			4 ^	20	^
THE	≥ No	)_ T	70	.5X	n

<b>Committee Item</b>	No <u>.</u>	2
Board Item No.		

### **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Finance Committee	Date: June 27, 2
	<u>2011</u>
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Board	
☐ Motion   ☐ Resolution   ✓ Ordinance   ☐ Legislative Digest   ✓ *Budget & Legislative Analyst Report (	
Ethics Form 126 Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application	and in File No. 110387)
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is	needed)
Completed by: Victor Young Date: Completed by: Victor Young Date:	: <u>June 2 3 2011</u> :

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

Packet Contents Checklist

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

# PROPOSED ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE



File No. 110386 Ordinance No. \_\_\_\_

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2012 and FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2013 for the

Airport Commission, Port Commission, and Public Utilities Commission

## PROPOSED ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE FY 2011-12

# and FY 2012-13 for departments: Airport Commission, Port Commission, and Public Utilities Commission

Certain pay rates included in this document may not reflect FY 2011/12 year-end rates due to negotiated wage settlements and arbitration awards that have not received final legislative action. If you have a question regarding a rate of pay for a specific classification, please consult the Department of Human Resources Compensation Manual online at <a href="www.sfgov.org/dhr">www.sfgov.org/dhr</a> or contact the Department of Human Resources' Compensation Program at (415) 557-4990.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Departn	<u>1ent</u>	Department <u>Number</u>	Page
	strative Provisions tion of Symbols		A-1 A-9
FY 201 SCI ADP AIR ART AAM ASR	1-2012 Academy of Sciences Adult Probation Airport Arts Commission Asian Art Museum Assessor / Recorder	60 13 27 28 62 02	222 12 14 25 1 27
PAB BOS DBI	Board of Appeals Board of Supervisors Building Inspection	37 01 19	175 30 51
CSS CFC CHF CAT CPC CSC CON USD	Child Support Services Children and Families Commission Children, Youth and Their Families City Attorney City Planning Civil Service Commission Controller County Education Office - Unified School District	17 64 23 03 29 30 09	46 34 35 33 41 45 37 238
DAT	District Attorney	04	47
ECN REG ECD ENV ETH	Economic and Workforce Development Elections Emergency Management Environment Ethics Commission	21 80 77 22 18	126 218 123 129 134

<b>.</b>		Department <u>Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Departs FAM	Fine Arts Museum	61 31	135 136
FIR ADM TIS DPW	Fire Department  General Services Agency - City Administrator  General Services Agency - Department of Technol  General Services Agency - Public Works	70	2 228 99
HSS HRD HRC DSS	Health Service System Human Resources Human Rights Commission Human Services Agency	66 33 34 45	144 141 140 111
JUV	Juvenile Probation	12	145
LLB	Law Library	63	154
MYR MTA	Mayor Municipal Transportation Agency	25 35	172 155
POL PRT PDR DPH LIB PUC	Police Port Public Defender Public Health Public Library Public Utilities Commission	38 39 05 81 41 40	178 184 176 55 149 189
REC RNT RET	Recreation and Park Rent Arbitration Board Retirement System	42 65 44	210 221 219
SHF WOM TTX	Sheriff Status of Women Treasurer / Tax Collector	06 48 08	223 240 232
WAR	War Memorial	46	239
FY 201 AIR PRT PUC	2-2013 Airport Port Public Utilities Commission	27 39 40	241 252 257
Append Notes	lix		APP- N-1

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

#### **BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST**

1390 Market Street, Suite 1150, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 552-9292 FAX (415) 252-0461

June 17, 2011

TO:

**Budget and Finance Committee** 

FROM:

Budget and Legislative Analyst

**SUBJECT:** 

Overview of File 11-0387 Mayor's Proposed Consolidated Budget and Annual

Appropriation Ordinance, for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2012

The Budget and Legislative Analyst is conducting a detailed review of the Mayor's recommended FY 2011-12 budget and will prepare reports and recommendations for submission to the Budget and Finance Committee to reduce the Mayor's recommended budget as justified. Our reports (a) generally describe the changes in expenditures and revenues for each department resulting from the Mayor's recommended budget; (b) contain recommendations to achieve savings by reducing various budgeted items; (c) contain recommendations to reserve various budgeted items if insufficient data has been submitted to support the budget requests; and, (d) contain policy options for consideration of the Budget and Finance Committee to achieve potential additional savings in certain departmental budgets.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst's individual budget reports on the various Departmental budgets are scheduled to be considered by the Budget and Finance Committee at its hearings between June 20 through June 30, 2011.

#### SUMMARY OF CHANGES IN EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The Mayor's recommended FY 2011-12 budget, as submitted to the Board of Supervisors on June 1, 2011, of \$\$6,828,705,831 is \$266,047,488 or approximately 4.1 percent more than the FY 2010-11 budget of \$6,562,658,343 as finally approved by the Board of Supervisors in the FY 2010-11 Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

The table below provides comparative expenditure data between the original FY 2010-11 budget and the Mayor's Recommended 2011-2012 budget, excluding future technical adjustments to be submitted by the Mayor.

#### TOTAL CITY BUDGET COMPARISON

	FY 2010-11 Original Budget	FY 2011-12 Proposed Budget	Increase (Decrease) from FY 2010-11 Original Budget	Percent Increase (Decrease) from FY 2010-11 Original Budget
Sources of Funds				
Prior Year Fund Balance	\$191,479,467	\$247,963,892	\$56,484,425	29.5%
Prior Year Reserves	19,693,338	12,752,069	(6,941,269)	(35.2%)
Regular Revenues	6,351,485,538	6,567,989,870	216,504,332	3.4%
Total Source of Funds	\$6,562,658,343	\$6,828,705,831	\$266,047,488	4.1%
Uses of Funds				
Operating Expenditures:			* j.s. * #	
Gross Expenditures	\$7,025,824,403	\$7,361,790,244	\$335,965,841	4.8%
Interdepartmental Recoveries	(885,125,776)	(911,957,594)	(26,831,818)	3.0%
Net Operating Expenditures	6,140,698,627	6,449,832,650	309,134,023	5.0%
Capital/Facilities Maintenance	352,322,143	302,836,061	(49,486,082)	(14.0%)
Reserves	69,637,573	76,037,120	6,399,547	9.2%
Total Uses of Funds	\$6,562,658,343	\$6,828,705,831	\$266,047,488	4.1%

The Mayor's recommended FY 2011-12 budget includes 26,277.15 funded full time equivalent positions or 169.18 more positions than the 26,107.97 funded positions approved in the original FY 2010-11 budget. Additional details on such positions by department will be covered in the Budget and Legislative Analyst's forthcoming departmental budget reports to the Budget and Finance Committee.

#### GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES AND RESERVES

As shown in the table below, the Mayor's recommended FY 2011-12 total General Fund budget, including General Fund department expenditures and General Fund contributions to General Fund supported departments, of \$3,250,364,938 is \$282,990,110 or approximately 9.5 percent more than the FY 2010-11 General Fund budget of \$2,967,374,828 as finally approved by the Board of Supervisors in the FY 2010-11 Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

#### GENERAL FUND BUDGET COMPARISON

	FY 2010-11 Original Budget	FY 2011-12 Proposed Budget	Increase (Decrease) from FY 2010-11 Original Budget	Percent Increase (Decrease) from FY 2010-11 Original Budget
Sources of Funds		<u> </u>	1 2 2	<u> </u>
Prior Year Fund Balance	\$79,918,951	\$153,351,440	\$73,432,489	91.9%
Prior Year Reserves	19,633,338	12,752,069	(6,881,269)	(35.0%)
Regular Revenues	2,753,665,350	2,927,115,535	173,450,185	6.3%
Transfers	114,157,189	157,145,894	42,988,705	37.7%
Total Source of Funds	2,967,374,828	3,250,364,938	282,990,110	9.5%
Uses of Funds				•
Operating Expenditures:				
Gross Expenditures	2,626,938,336	2,973,765,394	346,827,058	13.2%
Interdepartmental Recoveries	(157,487,949)	(339,013,467)	(181,525,518)	115.3%
Net Operating Expenditures	2,469,450,387	2,634,751,927	165,301,540	6.7%
General Fund Contribution				
Transfer	423,549,904	525,633,917	102,084,013	24.1%
Capital/Facilities Maintenance	26,670,925	40,479,094	13,808,169	51.8%
Reserves	47,703,612	49,500,000	1,796,388	3.8%
Total Uses of Funds	\$2,967,374,828	\$3,250,364,938	\$282,990,110	9.5%

#### CONTROLLER'S RESERVES IN THE PROPOSED FY 2011-12 BUDGET

The Controller has reserved General Fund revenues totaling \$11,400,000 in the Mayor's recommended FY 2011-12 budget due to the uncertainty of the following various revenues:

- \$10,600,000 in the Municipal Transportation Agency's materials and supplies budget, pending (a) revenue from the issuance of taxi medallions (\$10,000,000), and (b) Parking Tax revenue from colleges and universities (\$600,000).
- \$800,000 in the Sheriff's Department for Electronic Monitoring Programs, pending the transfer of responsibility for State prisoners to the counties under the proposed State realignment.

#### BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST RECOMMENDATIONS

At the direction of the Budget and Finance Committee and the full Board of Supervisors, the Budget and Legislative Analyst is analyzing the Mayor's recommended FY 2011-12 budget in

Memo to Budget and Finance Committee June 17, 2011

detail and is making various recommendations to the Committee. Our recommendations for the Committee's first week of hearings are preliminary in nature and may significantly change for the Committee's second week of hearings.

Budget reductions, recommended by the Budget and Legislative Analyst and approved by the Board of Supervisors, have, in the past, been used by the Board of Supervisors as a source of funds to: (a) restore items deleted in the Mayor's recommended FY 2011-12 budget; (b) include new items in the budget based on the priorities of the Board of Supervisors; and/or (c) increase the General Fund Reserve. In accordance with the Charter, reallocation of any savings realized from budget reductions can be made by the Board of Supervisors, without first receiving appropriation approval by the Mayor, in accordance with the priorities of the Board of Supervisors. However, such reallocations would be subject to Mayoral veto.

Harvey M. Rose

cc: Supervisor Chu
Supervisor Mirkarimi
Supervisor Kim
Supervisor Wiener
President Chiu
Supervisor Avalos
Supervisor Campos
Supervisor Cohen
Supervisor Elsbernd
Supervisor Farrell
Supervisor Mar
Clerk of the Board
Cheryl Adams
Controller
Greg Wagner