File No. <u>110686</u>		Committee Item No.	
		Board Item No. 7	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	Date_	
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date_	July 12, 2011
Cmte Board		
☐ Motion		
Resolution		
Ordinance		
Legislative Digest		
Budget Analyst Report		
Legislative Analyst Report	•	
Introduction Form (for hearings)		
Department/Agency Cover Letter a	and/or Ror	orf
MOU	androi ite	JOIL
Grant Information Form		
Grant Budget		
Subcontract Budget		
Contract/Agreement		
Award Letter		•
Application		
Public Correspondence		•
I ublic correspondence		
OTHER (Use back side if additional space	is needed	
Completed by: <u>Joy Lamug</u> Da	fa luly	7, 2011
Completed by: Da		1, 2011
Da		

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of a decision of the Planning Commission, Motion No. 18342, approving Conditional Use Application 2006.0868CEKTZ on property located at 800 Presidio Avenue.

[Adopting Findings Related to the Conditional Use Application - 800 Presidio Avenue]

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission issued a decision by Motion No. 18342, dated April 28, 2011, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2006.0868CEKTZ subject to certain conditions, to allow construction of a 55-foot tall planned unit development containing community facilities and a five-story, residential building with up to 50 affordable housing units within the RM-1 (Residential, Mixed, Low-Density) District, the Presidio-Sutter Special Use District and a 40-X/55-X Height and Bulk District, on property located at 800 Presidio Avenue, Lot No. 013, in Assessor's Block No. 1073; and

WHEREAS, said determination was timely appealed to the Board of Supervisors on May 31, 2011, by Stephen M. Williams on behalf of Neighbors for Fair Planning;

WHEREAS, on June 21, 2011, the Board conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the appeal from the Planning Commission's approval referred to in the first paragraph of this motion. Following the conclusion of the public hearing on June 21, the Board disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission (Planning Commission Motion No. 18342, dated April 28, 2011) and approved the issuance of requested Conditional Use Application No. 2006.0868CEKTZ, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 18342, and further subject to the following additional conditions imposed by the Board of Supervisors:

SOUND – While the Board has approved the adequacy of the EIR on this issue, following the project's completion, the City will assess noise related to different kinds of

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

events, such as basketball tournaments, dances, etc. and any efforts that might be made to address this type of noise.

GYM CONSTRUCTION NOISE INSULATION – The project sponsor will, to the extent possible, use construction methods and materials to insulate the gym so that noise potentially created by the gym be reduced.

2755 SUTTER - THE HOUSE JUST EAST AND BELOW 800 PRESIDIO – The Planning department will work with the residents of 2755 Sutter to address the affects of the project on 2755 Sutter. Issues to be addressed include the project's exterior paint colors and shades, fencing on the border of 2755 Sutter. The project sponsor also is required to work with the tree owner at 2745 Sutter and prune and/or replace the tree with a smaller variety

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, all of the findings made by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 18342, dated April 28, 2011, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2006.0868CEKTZ, to the extent said findings are consistent with, and valid under, all provisions of State law and the General Plan as are effective on the date of said approval;

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors took notice that the Board affirmed the Final Environmental Impact Report regarding the project described in paragraph one, above. The Board finds that there have been no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report and require additional environmental review under CEQA.