Amendment of the Whole In Committee, Bearing Same Title 7/28/11

FILE NO. 110688

RESOLUTION NO.

[Board Response to the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report Entitled "The Parkmerced:
Government by Developer"]
Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
and recommendations contained in the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The
Parkmerced Vision: Government by Developer" and urging the Mayor to cause the
implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his department
heads and through the development of the annual budget.
WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of
Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
which it has some decision making authority; and
WHEREAS, The 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The Parkmerced Vision:
Government by Developer" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
110687, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
to Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 as well as the Recommendation contained in the subject Civil
Grand Jury report; and

25

1	WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 States. By not explaining now it will overfide/resolve
2	potentially conflicting provisions of state law, the Development Agreement does not protect
3	tenants against rent increases as it claims;" and
4	WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "Having no penalties or disincentives for the
5	owner/developer in the Development Agreement should it choose to abandon the project
6	before completion, encourages short term investment speculation over long term collaborative
7	development with the City, and adds risk to the program;" and
8	WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "The owner/developer fails to address the social and
9	financial impact to the Parkmerced citizen/tenants, local businesses and citizen users of the
10	19th Avenue traffic corridor if it elects to abandon re-development of Parkmerced and sell the
11	property to another party;" and
12	WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "The Development Agreement presumes demolition
13	is necessary, and presents no alternative, or combination of alternatives, that might satisfy the
14	programmatic goals of redevelopment without the demolition of 1,583 occupied units;" and
15	WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "The Development Agreement's claim that it provides
16	rent control protection on newly constructed units under the City's rent stabilization ordinance
17	is uncertain. It may not be enforceable;" and
18	WHEREAS, the Recommendation states: "In addition to addressing the findings of this
19	report, the Civil Grand Jury recommends the City and County of San Francisco remove
20	Section 2.2.2 (h) of the Development Agreement, and enact legislation prior to signing the
21	Development Agreement that adequately assures the statutory rights of existing tenants to
22	remain at Parkmerced and enjoy undisturbed continued tenancy. A possible provision would
23	include: "If a landlord demolishes residential property currently protected under the City's Rent
24	Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance, and builds new residential rental units on the same

property within five (5) years, the newly constructed units are subject to the San Francisco

25

1	Rent Stabilization Ordinance. (See Los Angeles City Ordinance No. 178848, codilled as Los
2	Angeles Municipal Code section 151.28). The new legislation should be applicable to all
3	development, including Special Use Districts. With such an ordinance, tenants and citizens of
4	San Francisco can be reasonably assured that the City and County of San Francisco is
5	making its best efforts to ensure rights are being upheld regardless of development
6	arrangements in the future;" and
7	WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
8	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
9	Court on Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, as well as the Recommendation contained in the
10	subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it
11	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
12	Superior Court that it disagrees with Finding 1 of the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report
13	Entitled "The Parkmerced Vision: Government by Developer" and incorporates and adopts as
14	its own the response of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development; and, be it
15	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagrees with Finding 2 and
16	incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Office of Economic and Workforce
17	Development; and, be it
18	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagrees with Finding 3 and
19	incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Office of Economic and Workforce
20	Development; and, be it
21	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagrees with Finding 4 and
22	incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Office of Economic and Workforce
23	Development; and, be it
24	
25	

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagrees with Finding 5 and
2	incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Office of Economic and Workforce
3	Development; and, be it
4	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its
5	own the response of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development to the
6	Recommendation; and, be it
7	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
8	implementation of accepted findings and the recommendation through his/her department
9	heads and through the development of the annual budget.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	