File No. 101574

Committee Item No.____/[©]_____ Board Item No._____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:Rules	Date	7/21/11
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date	9.13.11
Cmte Board Image: Boolution Image: Boolution	(S)	
OTHER (Use back side if additional sp	ace is needed) Date <u>7/18/11</u> Date <u>8</u> 3///	

pages. The complete document is in the file.

Packet Contents Checklist

4/3/07

Amendment of the Whole In Committee Bearing Same Title – 7/28/11

FILE NO. 101574

1

ORDINANCE NO.

[Elections Code – E-mailing the Voter Information Pamphlet]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Municipal Elections Code Section 500, and 2 3 adding Section 502, to require the Director of Elections to establish a process that permits voters to choose to receive the San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and 4 5 associated materials by electronic means instead of by paper mail. 6 NOTE: Additions are *single-underline italics* Times New Roman: deletions are strike-through italics Times New Roman. 7 Board amendment additions are double-underlined: Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal. 8 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: 9 10 Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that: 11 AB 1717, signed into law on July 19, 2010, amends the California Elections (a) 12 Code and permits local election officials to establish procedures permitting a voter to opt out 13 of receiving paper copies of the Voter Information Pamphlet and related materials provided certain conditions are met. 14 15. Over 450,000 residents of the City and County of San Francisco are registered (b) 16 voters. The Department of Elections mails one Voter Information Pamphlet to each registered 17 voter prior to each election. 18 For the November 2010 election, the City and County of San Francisco spent (c) 19 \$504,405.17 on non-fixed costs related to the printing, mailing and postage of all 450,000+ 20 Voter Information Pamphlets, according to the Department of Elections. Stated differently, the 21 printing and mailing of each additional Voter Information Pamphlet costs San Francisco 22 approximately \$1.10. Permitting voters to receive an electronic Voter Information Pamphlet 23 by e-mail or to access it online rather than receiving paper copies through U.S. mail will save 24 25

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS San Francisco hundreds of thousands of dollars at each election, assuming a considerable percentage of voters opt to receive the Voter Information Pamphlet by electronic means.

(d) According to the Department of Elections, Voter Information Pamphlets range from 70 to 300 pages depending on the election. Permitting voters to receive the Voter Information Pamphlet by electronic means rather than paper copies through U.S. mail will reduce San Francisco's paper consumption by millions of pages, assuming a considerable percentage of voters opt to receive the Voter Information Pamphlet by electronic means.

(e) While the benefits of allowing voters to opt out of receiving paper copies of the <u>Voter Information Pamphlet are clear and widely understood, this ordinance would likely gain</u> <u>even greater popularity if voters who provide their e-mail address for the purpose of receiving</u> <u>the e-mail Voter Information Pamphlet could be assured that their e-mail address would</u> <u>remain confidential.</u>

<u>AB 1717 and Section 2194 of California Election Code dictate that e-mail addresses</u> and any other information voters provide under this ordinance will be accessible to "any candidate for federal, state, or local office, to any committee for or against any initiative or referendum measure for which legal publication is made, and to any person for election, scholarly, journalistic, or political purposes, or for governmental purposes, as determined by the Secretary of State." Given the potential for voters to provide an e-mail address without knowing it would be accessible to political campaigns and other entities, this ordinance requires the Department of Elections to provide visible notice to voters about circumstances when their e-mail address may be disclosed.

In order to avoid discouraging voters from opting to receive the Voter Information Pamphlet by e-mail, and to enable the success of this ordinance and AB 1717, Section 2194 of the California Election Code should be amended to allow for voter e-mail addresses and other information provided under this ordinance to remain fully confidential.

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section <u>42</u>. The San Francisco Municipal Elections Code is hereby amended by amending Section 500, and adding Section 502, to read as follows:

SEC. 500. - VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET; CONTENTS; FORMAT.

With respect to any election to be held in the City and County, the Director of Elections shall prepare a voter information pamphlet. The voter information pamphlet shall contain, in addition to any other material required by the Charter or by general law, the following materials:

(a) General contents:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(1) A table of contents;

(2) An index of candidates and measures;

(3) A brief explanation of the purpose and use of the pamphlet;

(4) A summary of voters' rights, including a description of the right provided to every elector by California Elections Code sections 9295 and 13314 to seek a writ of mandate or an injunction prior to the publication of the Voter Information Pamphlet, requiring any or all of the materials submitted for publication in the Pamphlet to be amended or deleted;

(5) A brief description of the rules and procedures that govern the submission, selection and publication of ballot arguments in the pamphlet, including a statement explaining that each person entitled to submit a "Proponent's" or "Opponent's" argument is chosen pursuant to the priority list stated in Section 545 of this Code;

(6) A disclaimer that neither the Director of Elections nor any other City agency, official or employee verifies the accuracy of information contained in the ballot arguments or candidate qualification statements appearing in the pamphlet, and an explanation that any person submitting a ballot argument or qualifications statement bears the sole responsibility for claims made therein;

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (7) Artwork, graphics and other material which the Director of Elections determines will make the pamphlet easier to understand or more useful to the voter;

(8) Definitions of terms appearing in the pamphlet; and

(9) A sample ballot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

(b) Contents as to candidates:

(1) The candidate qualification statement of each candidate for an elective office of the City and County;

(2) A brief statement of the term, compensation, and duties of each elective office of the City and County appearing in the pamphlet; and

(3) Any notice required by the Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance or the Political Reform Act, Government Code Section 85600, informing voters whether the candidate has adopted the applicable voluntary expenditure ceiling.

(c) Contents as to measures:

(1) The identification of each measure by letter and title;

(2) The City Attorney's statement or question for each measure;

(3) The digest of each measure prepared by the Ballot Simplification Committee;

(4) The Controller's financial analysis of each measure;

(5) An explanation of how the measure qualified for submission to the voters;

(A) If the measure was submitted to the voters by the Board of Supervisors, the explanation required by Subsection (c)(5) of this Section shall identify those Supervisors who voted for submission of the measure and those Supervisors who voted against submission of the measure,

(B) If the measure was submitted to the voters by four or more members of the Board of Supervisors, the explanation required by Subsection (c)(5) of this Section shall identify those Supervisors who submitted the measure,

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 4 8/3/2011 n:\ethics\as2010\1100216\00716753.doc (C) If the measure was submitted to the voters by initiative petition, the explanation required by Subsection (c)(5) of this Section shall include the number of valid signatures of registered San Francisco voters that were required to qualify the measure for the ballot, and the date on which the Director of Elections certified that the measure qualified for the ballot;

(6) The full text of each measure to be voted upon at the election;

(7) The opponent, proponent, rebuttal and paid arguments, if any, for or against each measure; and

(8) A disclaimer before the opponent, proponent or rebuttal arguments that are authorized by motion by the Board of Supervisors and submitted by the Board of Supervisors or by one or more members of the Board of Supervisors for or against any measure, stating, "The Board of Supervisors authorized the submission of the following argument. As of the date of the publication of this Voter Information Pamphlet, the following Supervisors endorse the measure [insert names of Supervisors who have by 5:00 p.m. on the deadline for the submission of the proponent and opponent arguments set forth in Section 535 of this Code notified the Department of Elections in writing that they endorse the measure]; oppose the measure [insert names of Supervisors who have by 5:00 p.m. on the deadline for the submission of the proponent and opponent arguments set forth in Section 535 of this Code notified the Department of Elections in writing that they oppose the measure]; take no position on the measure [insert names of Supervisors who have by 5:00 p.m. on the deadline for the submission of the proponent and opponent arguments set forth in Section 535 of this Code either failed to notify the Department of Elections that they support or oppose the measure or notified the Department of Elections in writing that they have not taken a position on the measure]" This disclaimer shall not be counted towards the number of words permitted in each argument.

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

.14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22

Measures, and the material specified in this Section relating to said measures, shall be printed in the voter information pamphlet in the same order in which designated upon the ballot.

If space allows, the items specified in Subsection (c)(1) to (c)(5) of this Section shall be printed together on the same page of the voter information pamphlet. This page shall be known as the "ballot measure title page." The ballot measure title page shall also indicate: the page number at which the full text of the measure is printed; the page number at which the arguments for or against the measure are printed; and, if applicable the page number at which the definitions of terms appearing on ballot measure title page are printed.

The format of the voter information pamphlet shall be determined by the Director of Elections, subject to the approval of the Ballot Simplification Committee.

The voter information pamphlet shall be mailed to each registered San-Francisco voter at least 29 days prior to each election.

SEC. 502. – MAILING THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The Director of Elections shall cause the voter information pamphlet, sample ballot, notice of polling place, and associated materials (collectively "ballot materials") to be mailed to each registered San Francisco voter at least 29 days prior to each election, unless the voter has opted to receive ballot materials by electronic means instead of by paper mail. The Director of Elections shall establish a process to enable a voter to opt out of receiving his or her ballot materials by paper mail, and instead receive them electronically via e-mail or by accessing them on the City and County of San Francisco website or the Department of Elections website. This opt out process shall meet the following requirements:

(a) The procedures shall establish a method of providing voters with notice that they may request to receive ballot materials electronically in lieu of receiving them by paper mail.

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 6 8/3/2011 n:\ethics\as2010\1100216\00716753.doc (b) The voter e-mail address or any other information the voter provides under this section shall remain confidential pursuant to Section 6254.4 of the California Government Code and Section 2194 of the California Elections Code. The Department of Election's opt out procedures shall provide visible notice to voters about these confidentiality provisions and circumstances when voter information may be disclosed.

(c) The procedures shall provide notice and opportunity for a voter who has opted out of receiving ballot materials by paper mail to opt back into receiving them by paper mail.

(d) The procedures shall allow a voter to apply electronically to become a vote by mail voter.

(e) The procedures shall provide a deadline at least 29 days prior to the election by which a voter may opt out of, or opt back into, receiving his or her sample ballot and other ballot materials by paper mail. If a voter misses this deadline, the request shall take effect the following election.

(f) The procedures shall include a verification process to confirm the voter's identity, which may be accomplished either by verification of a signature submitted by the voter or by review of other identifying information to be determined by the Director of Elections.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

MOLLIE LEE Deputy City Attorney

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 7 8/3/2011 n:\ethics\as2010\1100216\00716753.doc

FILE NO. 101574



LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Elections Code - E-mailing the Voter Information Pamphlet]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Municipal Elections Code by amending Section 500 and adding Section 502 to require the Director of Elections to establish a process that permits voters to choose to receive the San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and associated materials by electronic means instead of by paper mail.

Existing Law

The San Francisco Charter requires that a Voter Information Pamphlet (VIP) with information about local measures and candidates "be mailed to each elector so as to be received at least ten days prior to each general, runoff or special municipal election." S.F. Charter § 13.107. The San Francisco Municipal Elections Code requires the VIP to include certain categories of information and requires that it "be mailed to each registered San Francisco voter at least 29 days prior to the election." S.F. MEC § 500.

On July 19, 2010, the Governor signed AB 1717, which amends the California Elections Code to permit local election officials to establish procedures to permit a voter to opt out of receiving physical copies of the voter pamphlet and related materials, provided certain conditions are met. These conditions are as follows:

- 1. The procedures must provide voters with notice and an opportunity to inform the elections official of a desire to receive ballot materials electronically instead of by paper mail.
- 2. E-mail addresses and other information provided by the voter under this section will be kept confidential under the same confidentiality provisions that apply to voter registration information.
- 3. The procedures must permit voters to opt back in to receiving materials by paper mail.
- 4. The procedures must establish a process by which a voter can apply electronically to become a vote by mail voter.
- 5. A voter's request to opt out of, or opt back into, receiving ballot materials by paper mail will be effective only if the official receives the request in time to process it before the California Election Code deadline for mailing those materials, which is 21 days before an election for voters who are registered at least 29 days before an election.
- 6. The procedures must include a process for verifying a voter's identity by checking the signature, California driver's license number, California identification number, or partial social security number provided with the request.

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1 8/3/2011 n:\ethics\as2010\1100216\00716757.doc

25

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed ordinance requires the Director of Elections to establish a process that would permit voters to opt out of receiving the VIP and related materials by paper mail. Voters who opt out of paper mail would receive the VIP and related materials by e-mail or by accessing the materials on-line.

The proposed ordinance requires the opt out process to comply with the basic conditions listed in AB 1717. These conditions are modified for clarity, to reflect local election deadlines, to authorize the Director of Elections to determine what information other than a signature may be used to verify a voter's identity, and to provide notice to voters about the confidentiality of voter information.

Background Information

The proposed ordinance is designed to implement AB 1717, codified at California Elections Code section 13300.7. This section allows local elections officials to permit voters to opt out of receiving hard copies of the voter pamphlet and associated materials, provided certain conditions are met.

The proposed ordinance does not affect the process for mailing the state ballot pamphlet, which is governed by California Elections Code sections 9094-96.

Changes in the Second Draft

The Second Draft is amended to 1) add findings, and 2) require that the Department's opt-out process provide visible notice to voters about state law provisions regarding the confidentiality of voter registration information and note when voter information may be disclosed.

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS City and County of San Francisco www.sfelections.org





DOF SUPERVISORS

Memorandum

To: Linda Wong, Clerk, Rules Compattee

From: John Arntz, Director of Elections

Date: January 11, 2011

RE: Electronic Voter Information Pamphlet

The Department of Elections (Department) supports the proposed changes to the San Francisco Municipal Elections Code allowing voters to choose to receive their Voter Information Pamphlet (VIP) and other election materials via electronic means rather than having the Department mail paper copies.

The Department is unable to provide a cost estimate of possible savings since it has no practical experience by which to compare the effects of this proposed legislation with past practices. However, to provide an example of costs related to the VIP, the printing unit cost for one VIP or the November 2010 election was \$.74 and the unit cost to mail one VIP was \$.19. Thus, the total cost to publish and mail each VIP is \$.93.

Regarding Section 502(e), the Department would be able to mail a paper VIP to a voter who opted back into this method of delivery by the 29th day before an election. Yet, for nearly every election, the Department mails the VIP earlier than the 29th day prior an election. The Department would need notice of at least 40 days before an election from voters who do not want the Department to mail them a paper VIP.

Voice (415) 554-4375 Fax (415) 554-7344

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48 San Francisco, CA 94102-4634

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Department of Elections

FROM: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATE: January 10, 2011

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Rules Committee

The Board of Supervisors Rules Committee has received the following proposed ordinance, which is being referred to the Department of Elections for comment and recommendation.

File: 101574

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Municipal Elections Code by amending Section 500, and adding Section 502, to require the Director of Elections to establish a process that permits voters to choose to receive the San Francisco Voter Information Pamphlet and associated materials by electronic means instead of by paper mail.

Please return this cover sheet with the department's response to Linda Wong, Clerk, Rules Committee.

RESPONSE FROM DEPARTMENT - Date:

No Comment

Recommendation Attached

Signature

RESOLUTION ON THE VOTER PROFILE

File 101574 Received the mi Committee

Whereas, vote-by-mail use in San Francisco County has increased from 25% of all ballots cast in November 1996 to 46% of all ballots cast in November 2008; and

Whereas, vote-by-mail ballots that are submitted without the required voter signature on the exterior ballot return envelope cannot be processed or counted; and

Whereas, if a vote-by-mail envelope arrives at the San Francisco Department of Elections without the required voter signature, the Department is contacting such voters by telephone and/or e-mail to inform them of the error and options to correct the error before the end of election day and have their ballot counted; and

Whereas, if the Department of Elections does not have a telephone number or e-mail for a voter, options to notify a voter of an error with their vote-by-mail ballot are limited to slower postal communications; and

Whereas, California voter registration forms state that providing telephone number or e-mail information is optional; and

Whereas, a voter's telephone number and e-mail information, when provided, becomes part of the voter's public profile; and

Whereas, some voters choose not to provide the optional public profile information because such information is posted outside of polling places on election day and this voter profile information also becomes available to political campaigns and commercial list brokers, often resulting in unsolicited and unwanted telephone calls, which often cause registrants not to provide their phone number when registering; and

Whereas, if a voter does provide telephone and e-mail contact information, the Department of Elections is better able to assist the voter by contacting the voter in a timely fashion should there be an error that may prevent their ballot from being counted,

Therefore, be it resolved,

That the Elections Commission of the City and County of San Francisco requests that the State of California State Legislature Committee of San Francisco urge that the California Secretary of State and the California State Legislature consider changes and modifications to the California Elections Code which would allow counties to accept a voter's telephone number and e-mail on voter registration forms and update requests, with the enhanced option allowing a voter to provide telephone number and e-mail information for the restricted and exclusive use by a county's Department of Elections for notifications regarding issues directly involving a voter's registration status, a voter's ability to cast a ballot, and issues involving the ability to process and count a voter's ballot.

Done this 18th day of November 2009

Joseph B. Phair, President, San

Francisco Elections Commission I so attest:

Shirley Rodriques, Secretary, San Francisco Elections Commission

ow you can make sure your vote counts : Opinion Shop

7/28/11 11:42 AM

	advertisement your ad here		
nome of the	Get the solar-charging Samsung Replenish, and save \$10 per month.		Get it now Sprint
Subscribe to the weekend Chronicle	\$69.99 Linking text, web and calling to any mobile phone.		Restrictions apply. Roli over to expand
Search	SFGate O Web Search by YAHOO! O Businesses Advanced		Sign In Register
3ay Area & State Nation World	Politics Crime Tech Obituaries Education Green Science	e Health Weird Opinion	

The Opinion Shop

breaking thoughts from the members of the San Francisco Chronicle Editorial Board « Bishop Cordileone... | Main | Pro and con on Prop.... »

How you can make sure your vote counts

0 0

JPEN FORUM

3y Gerard Gleason

en years after the election debacle of 2000, we still face problems in counting every vote cast.

n 2000, the famous problems involved ballots that were ambiguous because of a combination of oter carelessness and an error-prone voting method. While these issues were known to elections vorkers for years, it took a close election to spur corrective action. Now there's another issue: voters should provide their telephone numbers so elections officials can resolve ballot problems in ime to let voters' votes count.

Vhat if ballots are perfectly clear, but are forbidden by law from being counted due to a orrectable error made by the voter?

his exact scenario occurs in every election and involves a vital part of securing the integrity of lections: No matter the method of voting, every voter must provide a signature that matches the oter's registration -- after all, only duly registered voters should vote. When voting in person on lection day, voters are guided by a poll worker to sign their name in the precinct roster. In ontrast, vote-by-mail ballots collect this signature on the return envelope. If the voter did not ign the envelope or the signature does not match the records at the elections office, the ballot annot be counted by law.

he only effective ways to contact a voter in sufficient time to get a signature by the end of lection day are by phone or e-mail. The problem: California voter registration forms state that roviding a phone number or e-mail address is optional. Many voters choose not to provide the ptional contact information because California law allows personal voter registration data to be nade available to others -- such as political campaigns and their "robo calls."

urrently, elections offices can and do contact voters who submit incorrectly executed vote-bynail envelopes, effectively rescuing those votes from not being counted: They call by telephone if ne voter gave a number. If there is no telephone number or e-mail address, the only remaining ption is via slower postal delivery. For vote-by-mail ballots submitted days before an election, ostal contact may not reach the voter in time.

solution: Change the Elections Code to give voters the power to provide contact information for dministrative use only. This could help us prevent the next Florida 2000 or Minnesota 2008. Who vould oppose such a commonsense proposal? Basically, every political party, candidate, campaign perative and consultant who has a stake in collecting and mining voters' personal information.

he entrenched political structure of California is literally in a conflict of interest with voters: the stablishment wants easy access to personal information, even at the expense of scaring many oters away from providing to their elections officials the very data that could rescue their votes omeday.

ontact your state legislators to let them know that you would like to protect your vote by giving our local election official your phone number or e-mail address, but don't want telemarketers or ampaigns to get it. Tell them to change the Elections Code. Tell them what they should already now: this is a voter protection issue of growing importance as more citizens vote by mail.

erard Gleason is a member of the San Francisco Elections Commission.

Sted By: Lois Kazakoff (Email, Twitter) | October 26 2010 at 04:38 PM sted Under: 2010 Elections

🕸 Share I Email

30

Weird Opinion **Opinion** Shop Contact Follow on Facebook 6

Backstories, insights and unofficial musings from The Chronicle's opinion pages.



RECENT ENTRIES

Boeing, the NLRB and the GOP PlayStation attacks are just the beginning Is Internet access a human right? A few books may help female inmates stay out of prison

Seabird needs our help -- and that might include poisoning mice

Social networking bill misses target

Needed -- a 21st century voter registration system for California

Small measure of relief from constant pain Ed Lee's pension reform proposal Internet at risk from government blacklisting

websites

More •

CATEGORIES

2010 Elections (24) Animal welfare (1) Cartoons (9) City Voices (3) Congressional race () Copyright Law (1) David Horsey (1) Debra J. Saunders (19) Foster Care (2) Gender roles (2) Laura's Law (-i) Letters to the Editor, (3) Live Chat (9) Lois Kazakoff (22) Mayor's Race (14) Only in San Francisco (1) Open Forum (175) Opinion (164)

California Election Code

2194. (a) The voter registration card information identified in subdivision (a) of Section 6254.4 of the Government Code:

(1) Shall be confidential and shall not appear on any computer terminal, list, affidavit, duplicate affidavit, or other medium routinely available to the public at the county elections official's office.

(2) Shall not be used for any personal, private, or commercial purpose, including, but not limited to:

(A) The harassment of any voter or voter's household.

(B) The advertising, solicitation, sale, or marketing of products or services to any voter or voter's household.

(C) Reproduction in print, broadcast visual or audio, or display on the Internet or any computer terminal unless pursuant to paragraph(3).

(3) Shall be provided with respect to any voter, subject to the provisions of Sections 2166.5, 2166.7, and 2188, to any candidate for federal, state, or local office, to any committee for or against any initiative or referendum measure for which legal publication is made, and to any person for election, scholarly, journalistic, or political purposes, or for governmental purposes, as determined by the Secretary of State.

