| File | No. | 11 | 091 | 4 |
|------|-----|----|-----|---|
|------|-----|----|-----|---|

| Committee Item | No. | 1 |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Board Item No | | |

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee: Budget and Finance Committee | ee Date: Septembe | r 14 2014 |
|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Board of Supervisors Meeting | Date | 11, 201 |
| Cmte Board | | |
| Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget & Legislative Analyst Ethics Form 126 Introduction Form (for hearin Department/Agency Cover Le MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application | ine) | |
| OTHER (Use back side if additional sp | pace is needed) | - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Completed by: Victor Young Completed by: Victor Young | Date: <u>Sept 9, 2011</u> Date: | - |

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

1 2

3

5 6

7 8

9

111213

14 15

161718

19 20

2122

23 24

25

Supervisor Kim

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[Increase the Assessor-Recorder's Base Recording Fee]

Resolution authorizing an increase in the Assessor-Recorder's base fee for recording and indexing the first page of every instrument, paper, or notice from \$3 to \$10 pursuant to California Government Code Section 27361(a).

WHEREAS, The existing base fee for recording and indexing the first page of every instrument, paper or notice in the San Francisco County Assessor-Recorder's office was established in 1985 as \$3 and has remained the same since that time; and,

WHEREAS, Section 2 of Senate Bill 676 (stats. 2009, Chapter 606, approved by the Governor on October 11, 2009) amended the California Government Code to increase the maximum fee the county recorder may charge for the first page component of the base recording fee, from \$4 to a maximum of \$10 for the first page, in an effort to more fully reimburse counties for the costs of services rendered by county recorders to the public pursuant to California Government Code Section 27361(a); and,

WHEREAS, The San Francisco County Assessor-Recorder has performed a cost study on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 110914, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein which indicates San Francisco County's costs for this service is in excess of \$23; and,

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 27366 states the fee for copying any other record or paper on file in the Office of the Recorder shall be set by the Board of Supervisors in an amount necessary to recover costs; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board approves and authorizes the San Francisco County

Assessor-Recorder to implement an increase in the recording fee for the first page of every instrument, paper, or notice required or permitted by law to be recorded to \$10 for the first

page, in order to reimburse the County of San Francisco for the costs of services rendered pursuant to Government Code Section 27361(a), to become effective upon approval of this Resolution; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board accepts the San Francisco County Assessor-Recorder's cost study regarding the cost of making a copy of a record on file in the office of the Recorder as supporting documentation for the Assessor-Recorder to increase fees for said service pursuant to Government Code Section 27366.

| A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------|-------------|--|
| Item 1 | Department(s): | | | |
| Files 11-0914 | Assessor/Recorder | | | |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Note: An Amendment of the Whole will be introduced at the September 14, 2011 Budget and Finance Committee Meeting to correct the proposed resolution. The following report is based on the proposed Amendment of the Whole.

Legislative Objective

• The proposed resolution would authorize an increase in the Assessor-Recorder's existing base fee charged to persons recording and indexing the first page of every document by \$6 from \$4 to \$10.

Key Points

- Documents recorded with the Assessor's Office include deeds, trusts and notices of default.
- The current base fee of \$4 has been in place since 1987.
- The Assessor-Recorder's Office estimates the actual cost of each recording of a document is \$23.91, or \$19.91 more than the existing base fee of \$4.
- California State Senate Bill 676 increased the maximum allowable base fee to be charged from \$4 to \$10 in 2009.

Fiscal Impact

• In FY 2010-11, the Assessor-Recorder collected \$869,148 in base fees for recording 217,287 documents. Mr. Mark McLean, Senior Administrative Analyst in the Assessor-Recorder's Office, estimates that the proposed base fee increase of \$6.00 would generate an additional \$1,200,000 a year, resulting in an estimated \$2,069,148 in total annual base fee revenues for recording documents (\$869,148 plus \$1,200,000).

Recommendation

• Approve the proposed resolution.

MANDATE STATEMENT/BACKGROUND

Mandate Statement

Charter Section 2.109 provides that within 30 days of submission by the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors shall approve or reject any rate, fee, or similar charge to be imposed by any department, official, board or commission.

Background

The Assessor-Recorder collects fees charged to persons recording various types of documents, such as deeds, trusts and notices of default. Currently, the fee for recording the first page of a document is \$11, which includes the \$4 base fee and \$7 in other fees authorized by the Administrative Code, as shown in Table 1:

TABLE 1

| TABLE 1 | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|---|--|
| | Currently | Proposed | Purpose of Fee | |
| Base Fee for Recording Various Documents | \$4 | \$10 | \$3 to General Fund, \$1 to Modernization Fund | |
| Microfilm Fee | \$1 | \$1 | To fund storage of documents on microfilm | |
| Access Fee | \$1 | \$1 | To ensure the office is accessible and open to the public | |
| Indexing Fee | \$1 | \$1 | To ensure the document is indexed within 48 hours | |
| Social Security Number Truncation Fee | \$1 | \$1 | To redact social security numbers from old files | |
| Fraud Protection Fee | \$3 | \$3 | 10 percent to Assessor-Recorder, 90 percent to law enforcement for overall fraud prevention | |
| TOTAL FEE | \$11 | \$17 | | |

The Assessor-Recorder has charged the existing base fee of \$4 since 1987. Of the \$4 base fee, \$3 reimburses the Assessor-Recorder for recording documents and \$1 is deposited into the Modernization Fund. According to Mr. Mark McLean, Senior Administrative Analyst in the Assessor-Recorder's Office, the Assessor-Recorder's Office's actual costs to record documents are an estimated \$23.91 per document, or \$19.91 more than the existing base fee of \$4.

¹ The \$1 fee deposited into the Modernization Fund is to be used exclusively to pay the costs required to support, maintain, improve, and provide for the full operation for modernized creation, retention, and retrieval of information in the Recorder's system of recorded documents (Administrative Code Section 8.24-2).

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

In 2009, the State Legislature passed Senate Bill (SB) 676, allowing counties to increase the maximum allowable base fee charged to persons for recording the first page of every document with the Assessor-Recorder's Office from \$4 per document to \$10 per document. Therefore the proposed resolution would authorize the Assessor-Recorder to increase the existing base fee by \$6 from \$4 to \$10, which is the maximum allowable fee under State law. The total fees charged by the Assessor-Recorder would also increase by \$6 from \$11 to \$17, as shown in Table 1 above. The existing \$4 fee has not been increased since 1987.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

In FY 2010-11, the Assessor-Recorder collected \$869,148 in base fees from 217,287 documents recorded (\$4 per document). Of the \$869,148 collected from the base fees, \$651,861 was allocated to the General Fund to offset the cost of recording the documents (\$3 per document) and \$217,287 was allocated to the Modernization Fund (\$1 per document). Mr. McLean estimates that the proposed base fee increase of \$6.00 would generate an additional \$1,200,000 per year (based on estimated 200,000 documents being recorded), resulting in an estimated \$2,069,148 total annual base fee revenues for recording documents (\$869,148 plus \$1,200,000).²

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the proposed resolution.

BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

² Due to the fact that the fee increase would go into effect on October 21, 2011 at the earliest (30 days after the proposed resolution is approved), the additional revenue generated, based on the Assessor-Recorder's estimate for FY 2011-12 would be approximately \$831,781 (\$1,200,000 pro-rated by partial year * (253 days left in the year as of October 21 / 365).

FEE STUDY WORKSHEET December 2010

Recording, Indexing, Scanning, and Microfilming

| | Sen Doc Exam Tech (8111) | Sen Mic/ Imag Tech (1752) | Doc Exam Tech (8110) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Hr. Rate | \$41.99 | \$38.33 | \$38.00 |
| Minutes | 7 | . 5 | 4 |
| Hours (Ave) | 0.117 | 0.083 | 0.067 |
| Direct Personnel Cost | \$4.91 | \$3.18 | \$2.55 |
| Total Personnel Cost | | | \$10.64 |
| Overhead Rate | \$9.69 | \$9.69 | \$9.69 |
| Hours (Ave) | 0.117 | 0.083 | 0.067 |
| Overhead Cost | \$ 1.13 | \$0.80 | \$0.65 |
| Total Overhead Cost | | | \$2.58 |
| Services & Supply Rate | \$8.08 | \$8.08 | \$8.08 |
| Hours (Ave) | 0.117 | 0.083 | 0.067 |
| Services & Supply Cost | \$0.95 | \$0.67 | \$0.54 |
| Total Services & Supply (| Cost | | \$2.16 |
| Supervision Rate | \$31.98 | \$31.98 | \$31.98 |
| Hours (Ave) | 0.117 | 0.083 | 0.067 |
| Supervision Cost | \$3.74 | \$2.65 | \$2.14 |
| Total Supervision Cost | | | \$8.53 |
| | | | |
| Total Cost | | | \$23.91 |
| Current Fee | | | \$ 4.00 |
| Subsidy Service Unit | | | \$19.91 |
| New Fee | | | \$10.00 |
| New Subsidy Service Unit | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | ************************************** | \$13.91 |

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

2/27/08

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Planning Department

Environmental Review Officer

FROM:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATE:

August 17, 2011

SUBJECT:

REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Budget and Finance Committee

The Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee has received the following, which is being referred to the Planning Department for determination as to whether the proposed fee increase will impact the environment.

Please provide your findings within 10 days from the date of referral.

File: 110914

Increase the Assessor-Recorder's Base Recording Fee

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to Victor Young, Clerk, **Budget and Finance Committee.**

c: Nannie Turrell, Major Environmental Analysis Brett Bollinger, Major Environmental Analysis RESPONSE FROM PLANNING DEPARTMENT - Date: <u>August 23, 2011</u>

No Comment

Recommendation Attached Recommendation Attached EXEMPT FROM CERA per 4/anne & June & June & Section 15273 Bill Wycko, Environmental Review Officer RATES TOLLS FARES and Referral - Fees CHARGES.