Fi	le	No	. 1	1	O	9	2	6
	•				v	v	-	v

Committee Item	No.	3	
Board Item No.	. –		

# **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	<b>Budget and Finance Committee</b>	Date: September 14, 201
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boa	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget & Legislative Analyst Re Ethics Form 126 Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application	• •
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	ce is needed)
	· · <del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</del>	Date: <u>Sept 9, 2011</u> Date:

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

Item 3 Department: File 11-0926 Port

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### Legislative Objective

• The Port is requesting a release of reserved funds in the amount of \$17,907,635 for the Port's Cruise Terminal Project.

## **Key Points**

- On July 22, 2003, the Board of Supervisors approved a supplemental appropriation of \$9,324,000 from the sale of Seawall Lot 330 and \$20,376,000 of then-estimated revenue from the Watermark Condominium sales proceeds, placing the entire \$29,700,000 on Budget and Finance Committee reserve, for the development of the Port's Cruise Terminal Project and the Port's Brannan Street Wharf Project, pending the Port providing specific budget details to the Budget and Finance Committee (File 03-1229).
- In 2010, the Cruise Terminal Project, located at Pier 27, was incorporated into the waterfront development plan for the 34<sup>th</sup> America's Cup tournament. The Cruise Terminal Project is divided into two phases, with Phase I satisfying the City's commitment to the America's Cup Event Authority, and Phase II completing buildout of the Cruise Terminal Project. The total estimated cost of Phases I and II is \$92,283,778.
- On May 10, 2011, the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution finding that the Cruise Terminal Project is fiscally feasible, in accordance with Chapter 29 of the City's Administrative Code (File 10-0920).
- The Port's August 10, 2011 memorandum to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, requesting the release of reserved funds, included a budget and expenditure plan for the two-phase \$92,283,778 Cruise Terminal Project.

# **Fiscal Impact**

- The two sources for the \$17,907,635 in reserved funds requested by the Port are: (1) \$324,000 of the \$9,324,000 in previously reserved proceeds from the sale Seawall Lot 330 at the corner of Beale and Bryant Streets; and (2) \$17,583,635 of the then-estimated \$20,376,000 in City proceeds from the sale of the Watermark Condominiums.
- The requested \$17,907,635 would be used by the Port for Phase I of the Cruise Terminal Project costs, a breakdown of which is provided in Table 2 on page 4 of this report.

#### Recommendation

• Approve the requested release of \$17,907,635.

### MANDATE STATEMENT

In accordance with Section 3.3 of the City's Administrative Code, the committee of the Board of Supervisors that has jurisdiction over the budget (i.e., Budget and Finance Committee) may place any proposed budget expenditures on reserve until released by the Budget and Finance Committee.

### BACKGROUND

On July 22, 2003, the Board of Supervisors approved a supplemental appropriation of \$9,324,000 from the sale of Seawall Lot 330 and \$20,376,000 of then-estimated revenue from the Watermark Condominium sales proceeds placing the entire \$29,700,000 on Budget and Finance Committee reserve for the development of the Port's Cruise Terminal Project and Brannan Street Wharf Project, pending the Port providing specific budget details to the Budget and Finance Committee (File 03-1229).

The Port's Cruise Terminal Project was originally conceived for Piers 30-32. In 2006, an 11-member blue-ribbon Cruise Terminal Advisory Panel, appointed by the Mayor, evaluated the necessity of a new cruise terminal and its value to San Francisco and, if determined necessary and valuable, where that new terminal should be located. In 2007, the Cruise Terminal Advisory Panel recommended the development of a single-berth primary cruise terminal at Pier 27 instead of Piers 30-32, and the Port Commission accepted this recommendation. In 2010, the Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project was incorporated into the Port's Waterfront Development Plan for the 34th America's Cup tournament. In order to meet the requirements for the 34th America's Cup, the Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project will be divided into two phases, as summarized in Table 1 below, at a total estimated cost of \$92,283,778.

# Table 1: Summary of Phases I and II of Cruise Terminal Project

### Phase I: Completion of an Enhanced Building Core and Shell

**Description:** Phase I would result in an enhanced building core and shell, with minimal site improvements to allow the America's Cup Event Authority to install temporary tenant improvements for the America's Cup racing events. **Estimated Completion Date:** January 2013

Total Estimated Cost of Phase I: \$60,162,039

### Phase II: Completion of an Operational Cruise Terminal

Description: Phase II would result in the build-out of offices for the U.S. Customs and Border Protection as well as the installation of a glass enclosure in the lobby, additional escalators, and certain interior finishes. Phase II, at the Port's option, may also include related site improvements including the Northeast Wharf Plaza and the ground transportation area, installation of maritime equipment, pier repair work, and interior renovation of a portion of the adjacent Pier 29 shed. Phase II will take place following the America's Cup racing events.

Estimated Completion Date: October 2014

Total Estimated Cost of Phase II: \$32,121,739

Total Estimated Cost of Phases I and II: \$92,283,778

On May 10, 2011, the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution finding that the Port's Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project is fiscally feasible, in accordance with Chapter 29 of the City's Administrative Code (File 10-0920).

### **DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION**

In an August 10, 2011 memorandum to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors from the Port's Deputy Director Ms. Elaine Forbes, the Port requested that the Board of Supervisors release \$17,907,635, of the \$29,700,000 of the funds previously appropriated and reserved by the Board of Supervisors for the Port's Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project. According to Mr. Lawrence Brown of the Port's Finance Department, the Port is now requesting \$17,907,635 of the previously reserved \$29,700,000 as follows:

- Of the \$29,700,000 in previously reserved funds, \$324,000 of the \$9,324,000 from the proceeds of the sale of Seawall Lot 330 are being requested for the Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project. The remaining \$9,000,000 will be requested at a future date for release from the previously reserved funds for the Port's Brannan Street Wharf Project.
- \$17,583,635 from the proceeds of the sale of the Watermark Condominiums are also being requested for the Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project. The Watermark Condominiums generated less revenue than was projected in 2003. Although the Port had projected condominium sales would generate \$20,376,000 in revenue for the City, actual revenue was \$17,583,635, or \$2,792,365 less than the original projection. According to Mr. Brown, the Port, in 2003 overestimated the sales price for the Watermark Condominiums, as many of the condominiums were sold after condominium values began to decline.

The \$324,000 from the sale of Seawall Lot 330 and the \$17,583,635 from the sale of the Watermark Condominiums result in a total of \$17,907,635 being requested.

As noted in the Background section above, the Board of Supervisors appropriated and placed on reserve \$29,700,000 on July 22, 2003 for both the Cruise Terminal Project and the Brannan Street Wharf Project, pending submission to the Budget and Finance Committee of specific budget details. Ms. Forbes's August 10, 2011 memorandum identified a total estimated amount of \$92,283,778 for the Port's Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project Budget, detailing \$60,162,039 in costs for Phase I and \$32,121,739 in costs for Phase II, including an expenditure plan for the period from July 2011 through January 2015.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

There are two sources for the Port's request of \$17,907,635 from the funding previously appropriated and reserved by the Board of Supervisors:

- 1.\$324,000 in proceeds from the sale of 22,600 square feet of San Francisco's Seawall Lot 330 at the corner of Beale and Bryant Streets; and
- 2.\$17,583,6 35 in proceeds from the sale of the Watermark Condominiums.

The property sale and agreement related to the Watermark Condominiums was approved by the Board of Supervisors on July 15, 2003 (File 03-0371). The Attachment to this report, provided by the Port, provides a project budget for Phase I and Phase II of the Port's Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project.

According to Ms. Forbes, the entire \$17,907,635 requested to be released from the funds previously reserved by the Board of Supervisors would be expended for Phase I of the Port's Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project, as shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Estimated Expenditures for the \$17,907,635 in Reserved Funds

Phase I of the Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Construction Project	Cost
SF Department of Public Works (DPW) Services	\$1,167,292
Design Team Services	2,239,449
Relocation of cruise ship shoreside power equipment*	1,974,932
Structural steel, stairs and metal deck**	5,400,000
Escalators and elevators**	800,000
Funds toward other project construction costs	6,325,962
Total	\$17,907,635

<sup>\*</sup> The shoreside power equipment will be temporarily relocated from Pier 27 during Phase I of the Cruise Terminal Project to allow for uses related to the America's Cup. The Port is to be reimbursed by the America's Cup Event Authority.

Source: Mr. Lawrence Brown, San Francisco Port

The \$17,907,635 now being requested for release, from funds previously appropriated and reserved by the Board of Supervisors, represents 19.4 percent of the total estimated cost of \$92,283,778 for the Port's Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Project. Phase I is estimated to be completed by April 2012.

### RECOMMENDATION

Approve the requested release of \$17,907,635.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Preliminary estimates

Diaz 27 Cruina Chin Tarrainal		·
Pier 27 Cruise Ship Terminal		
ltem .		BUDGET
1.0 Construction		44,590,53
1.1 Construction Purchase and Installation (IPD)	\$	37,945,7
1.1.1 Multipliers (GC, Insurance, Bonding, Fee, LEED, Escalation, Design Contigency)		36,145,7
1.1.2 Shoreside Power Construction		1,800,0
1.2 15% Construction Contigency for scope changes & unforseen conditions (only based on Item #1.1.1)	\$	5,421,8
1.3 Construction Manager/ General Contractor Services	\$	1,222,9
1.3.1 2% contignency for scope gaps between trade packages		722,9
1.3.2 Pre-construction services		500,0
2.0 Project Control		15,171,50
2.1 DPW Project Management	\$	2,081,0
Responsibilities include management of project budget & schedute from start-up to post-construction, monitoring of		2.004.0
project funds, management of design and construction contracts.	<del>  </del>	2,081,0
2.2 City Administrative Services	\$	330,0
2.3.1 City Attorney's fees	+	300,0
2.3.2 Advertisement of public contracts	<del> </del>	30,0
2.3 Regulatory Agency Approvals	\$	1,379,0
2.3.1 Regulatory Agency Approves including permit fees and utility fee connections	ļ	979,0
2.3.2 Printing fees		200,0
2.3.3 Special Inspections	<del> </del>	200,0
2.4 Architectural & Engineering Design Services/KMD	\$	7,498,3
2.4.1 KMD/Pfau/B&A (Programming - Schematic Design) Based on Proposal	<del> </del>	2,419,4
2.4.2 KMD Add services 1-20	<u> </u>	666,2
2.4.3 KMD DD services and some reembursables	<b></b>	1,245,7
2.4.4KMD CD-CA	<del></del>	2,857,50
2.4.5 ADD Service allowance to reach 9.5 cap	<del> </del>	309,39
2.4.A Architectural & Engineering Design Services/KMD-Shoreside Power	\$	174,9:
2.4.A.1 Shoreside Power Soft Costs (Approximate) 2.5 Architectural & Engineering Design Services/DPW	<b> </b>	174,9
2.5.1 DPW Landscape (Programiming - Schematic Design)	<b>-</b>	1,651,4
2.5.2 DPW Landscape DD-CA	<del> </del>	77,5
2.5.3 DPW Architecture through Schematic	<u> </u>	90,0
2.5.4 DPWArchitecture DD-CA	ļ	200,74
2.5.5 DPW BOE through schematic	ļ <u>.</u>	421,8
	<del> </del>	6,3
2.5.6 DPW BOE DD-CA		396,89
2.5.7 Dept of Tech DD-CA	ļ	50,00
2.5.8 Extra Services BOE and BOA	<del>  </del>	408,0
2.6 EIR & Additional A/E Services	\$	780,6
2.6.1 EIR Consultant (ESA)		559,73
2.6.2 Planning Department Fees	<del>  </del>	220,90
2.7 Specialized Consulting Services	\$	280,00
2.7.1 Specialized Consulting Services	<del> </del>	280,000
2.8 City Construction Management Services  2.8.1 Construction Management Services including prevailing wage monitoring, HRC Reviews, RE services, and other	\$	798,000
2.6.1 Construction management Services including prevailing wage monitoring, HRC Reviews, RE services, and other BCM-related services	1	796,00
2.9 Geotechnical, Surveys	\$	100,00
2.9.1 Geotechnical, Surveys	1	100,00
2.10 Commissioning	\$	100,00
2.10.1 Commissioning	+	100,00
.0 Program Contingency	سيوا	400,000
3,1 Program Contingency		400,000
	سيها	
Total Project Budget		60,162

Pier 27 Cruise Ship Terminal	***************************************	Page 2 o
	-	
orenten sam et et en	i kali filofi ili	BUDGET
1.0 Construction		
1.1 Construction Purchase and Installation (IPD)	1 6	27,393,72
1.1.1 Multipliers (GC, Insurance, Bonding, Fee, LEED, Escalation, Design Contigency)	\$	23,242,50
1.1.2 Gangway (More info on the exact date to follow)	<b></b>	20,542,500
1.2 15% Construction Contigency for scope changes & unforseen conditions	\$	2,700,00
1.2.1 15% Construction Contingency for scope changes & unforeseen conditions		3,486,37
1.3 Construction Manager/ General Contractor Services	\$	3,486,37 <b>664,8</b> 5
1.3.1 2% contignency for scope gaps between trade packages	Ψ	464,85
1.3.2 Pre-construction services		200,00
.0 Project Control		
2.1 DPW Project Management	\$	4,328,01
Responsibilities Include management of project budget & schedule from start-up to post-construction, monitoring of		626,83
project funds, management of design and construction contracts, reporting of bond arbitrage and financing.		626,83
2.2 City Administrative Services	\$	24,00
2.3.1 City Attorney's fees		10,00
2.3.2 Advertisement of public contracts		14,00
2.3 Regulatory Agency Approvals	\$	47,60
2.3.1 Regulatory Agency Approves including permit fees and utility fee connections	_	12,00
2.3.2 Printing fees		25,60
2.3.3 Special Inspections		10,00
2.4 Architectural & Engineering Design Services/KMD	\$	2,396,10
2.4.1 KMD DD-CA		2,001,69
2.4.2 Extra Services under 10 cap but requires approval (984,413) total		394,41
2.5 Architectural & Engineering Design Services/DPW	\$	747,47
2.5.1 DPW Landscape DD-CA		324,52
2.5.2 DPW Landscape Extra Services		80,00
2.5.3 DPW Architecture		180,00
2.5.4 DPW BOE DD-CA		112,95
2.5.5 Dept of Tech DD-CA		50,00
2.6 EIR & Additional A/E Services	\$	
2.6.1 EIR, cost estimating, quality assurance reviews, etc.		
There will be extra money here as we only spent 125K		
2.7 Specialized Consulting Services	\$	56,00
2.7.1 Specialized Consulting Services		56,000
2.8 City Construction Management Services	\$	250,000
2.8.1 Construction Management Services including prevailing wage monitoring, HRC Reviews, RE services, and other BCM-related services	er	250,000
2.9 Geotechnical, Surveys	\$	
2.9.1 Geotechnical, Surveys	<del></del>	ween.
2.10 Commissioning	\$	180,00
2.10.1 Commissioning	1	180,000
the state of the s		
U Program Contingency		
.0 Program Contingency 3.1 Program Contingency		400,000



cpage, Bt Chair

RECEIVED

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SAN FRANCISCO

BY

Chair

Chai

2011 AUG 15 AM 10: 54

AL

File 110926

**Date:** 10 August 2011

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

From: Elaine Forbes, Deputy Director, Finance and Administration

Lawrence Brown, Financial Analyst

Re: Request for Release of Funds for the Pier 27 Mixed Use Cruise Terminal Project

#### Madam Clerk:

The Port Commission respectfully requests that the Budget and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors schedule a meeting to consider releasing \$17,907,635 in funding for the Port's cruise terminal project (File No. 031229; Ordinance No. 202-03; approved August 1, 2003). The funds, representing \$324,000 in deferred land sale proceeds from the sale of a portion of SWL 330 and \$17,583,635 in Port proceeds for the sale of condominiums at the Watermark condominium development, were place on reserve by the Committee pending a budget for the cruise terminal project.

The Port plans to use the \$17,907,635, along with other funding, to finance completion of design and the construction of the core of shell (Phase 1) of a new cruise terminal facility at the Port's Pier 27 site. The terminal is being designed by the team of Kaplan McLaughlin & Diaz (KMD, Pfau Long, and Bermello Ajamil & Partners (design team). Turner Construction has been selected as the general contractor for the project. An environmental review of the project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) is currently underway, and the Port is working to obtain the permits needed entitle the project. The cruise terminal and an adjacent 2.5 acre park, known as the Northeast Wharf Plaza, are being constructed in two phases. Construction of Phase 1, which includes the core and shell of the terminal, including passenger circulation improvements, such as escalators and elevators, is anticipated to begin in January, 2012, with completion currently scheduled for the end of 2012. Upon project completion, the cruise terminal facility will be leased to the AC34 Event Authority for approximately 16 months the America's Cup Yacht Race to be held on San Francisco Bay. Construction of Phase II, consisting of the completion of the interior improvements, maritime amenities, and the completion of the Northeast Wharf Plaza, would begin in May of 2014 with completion scheduled for October of 2014.

The budget for the Pier 27 Cruise terminal facility is as follows:

### Project Budget for the Pier 27 Cruise Terminal As of August 10, 2011

Cost Category	Phase I	Phase II	Total
Construction	\$37,945,753	\$23,242,500	\$61,188,253
Construction Contingency	5,421,863	3,486,375	8,908,238
Construction Management	2,018,915	914,850	2,933,765
Project Design and A&E Services	9,324,694	3,143,582	12,468,276
Project Entitlement	2,159,731	47,600	2,207,331
Project Management & Admin Fees	2,411,083	650,832	3,061,915
Other Projects Costs	480,000	236,000	716,000
Program Contingency	400,000	400,000	800,000
Total	\$60,162,039	\$32,121,739	\$92,283,778

To date, the Port has provided \$13,056,483 in funding (actual and committed) for the Pier 27 cruise terminal project and Northeast Wharf Plaza. This funding has come from the following sources: i) the 2010 Port Revenue Bonds, ii) the FY 2009/10 capital budget, and iii) the FY 2011/12 capital budget. The project's design team has completed the design through the design development phase. Funds from the \$17,907,635 currently on reserve are now needed to complete the project design, through construction drawings and construction administration, and for the start of construction.

A more detailed project budget is attached.

Please call Lawrence Brown at 274-0446 with any questions. We request that this items be scheduled at the Chair's earliest convenience.

cc: Supervisor Carman Chu, Chair, Budget and Finance Committee
Harvey Rose, Board of Supervisor's Budget Analyst
Leo Chyi, Mayor's Office
John Doll, Port of San Francisco
Kimber von Blohn, Port of San Francisco

	•				
				and the second s	
			To the second of		
		· .			
Section 1					
		,			
					· '
				$= C_{p,k} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{p,k}} e^{-ikx_i \cdot x_i} = 0$	
		1.			

Pier 27 Cruise Ship Terminel Phase I Cash Drew Down Schedule

Principle State   Principle	了了一句话子以整门新时间的概则的特殊的情况,不是是多么多。	品をはないので	ををおれる はない ないない		新祖院 新安东北北北北京	FY 2013 - 2014	d 33	自然が本地にはなる。	100 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	F1 2014 2013
		音楽型を	. 32	Aug 13	00113	Dec 13 Jan 14 F	1 1	74 May 74 Jun 14	July 14 Aug 14 Sep	11.14 Ool 14 Nov 1
	am	BUDGET	$\neg$				1			The Party Principles of the Pa
	o Construction	112-00-0-2					363	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	のはいいのできる	WHEN COMPANY THE
	1.1 Construction Purchase and lastalistion (IPD)	37,945,750	+	T		+		+		
	1.1.1 Multipleus (GC, Insurance, Bending, Fee, LEED, Escatation, Design Configency)	30,140,100				† †	<u> </u>		-	+
	1.1.2 Shoreside Power Construction	nooring".		+			-			
	15% Construction Configurey for scope changes & unforeser conditions (only based \$	5,421,963								
	on (ten #(3.1)									
	2 Construction Manhael Canoni Contractor Sacultan	1,222,015								
	1.3.1.2%, Configuracy for some grant between fielde ogstende	722,915								
	1.3.2 Pre-construction services	500,000								-
		_					а-	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Company   Comp	A DBW Project Magagamani		A Property of the	The second secon			_			
1   2000   200	Responsibilities include management of project bodget & schedule from start-up to post-									
1	construction, menitoring of project lunds, management of design and construction controls.	2,081,083	52,000	45,500 . 43,800	45,500	50,500	608,08	5741		-
1										+
Control   Cont	2 City Administrative Services	\$30,000				15,770	15,770	5,770		
1	2.3 1 City Altomaty's tress	30.000								-
1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0										
Company   Comp	3 Regulatory Agency Approvate	1,379,096				24.600	24,000	24,000		
10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	2.3.1 Regulatory Agency Approves including parmit fees and utility fee connections	DOG ING								
Comparison   Com	2.0.3 Special Inspections	200,000								1
1,14,144   1,14,144			 				1			
100 OCCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY	2.4 Architectural & Engineering Design Servicea/KWD	7,488,309								
100.000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.000000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.00000 101.000000 101.000000 101.000000 101.000000 101.000000 101.000000 101.000000 101.000000 101.000000 101.0000000 101.0000000 101.00000000	2.4.1 KMD/Plau86A (Programming - Schemelic Design) Based on Proposel	2,418,440		1						.
\$ 174.655  \$ 174.655  \$ 174.656  \$ 174.657	2.4.2 KMO DD services and some memburgables	1,245,726								
\$ 174,428  \$ 174,428	2.4.4KMD CD-CA	2,857,500					-			-
\$ 174.052  \$ 174.052  \$ 174.053	2.4.5 ADD Service allowance to reach 8.5 cap	309,399								
1 145143  1 1451		174 039								
## 1,551,435  ##	A Architectural & Engineering Design Services/KND-Shoreside Power  2.4 & 1. Shorestie Power Soil Costs (Approximate)	174,932								
\$ 1,551,439  1,52,500  1,5	23.3.1 Silingane Louis come Cohbustanias)	1								
## 17,500  ## 17,500										
8 780,000					1					
\$10,000 (10,000) (10,	2.5.1 UPW Lendscape (Programming - Advanture Casgle)	200,77		+						
Column   C	252 DPW Landstage DUCA	200.749		+						
\$ 785.000  \$ 785.000	253 DEW Adding modes on anything	421.827			-					
10,000   1	2.5.4 DEWARDS STREET	6.333								
\$ 784,000 \$ 194,	2.55 UPW BOE DISORDER SOLIENTES	206 300					^			
\$ 780,000 \$ 780,	255 DPW BUE DUCK	50,000								
\$ 790,000  \$ 790,000	25/ Cigarian Bold and Sol	408.072				52,700	52,700	39,172		
\$ 780,000  \$ 780,000	2.5.6 EXIG SEWDES BUT BIG BUA	to referen					П			
\$ 780,000 \$ 780,	25 EUR A Additional ATE Services	760,636								-
\$ 780.000 \$ 780.	2.6.1 EIR Consulant (ESA)	559,731								-
\$400.00   0.000.00   0.000.00   0.000.00   0.000.00	2.9.2 Planning Department Fees	220,905					-			
\$500.00   0.00.0	The state of the s								+	
\$ 785.000  \$ 786.000										
\$4 790.00 000.00	2.7.1 Specialized Consulting Services	280,000								
\$ 786.000  \$ 786.000										-
\$400.00   0.00.0	2.B. City Construction Name compant Services	798,000							-	
\$ 100.000   100.	2.8.1 Construction Management Services technolog prevailing wage monitoring. HRC	796,000								-
\$ 100,000 \$ 100,000	Reviews, RE services, and other BCM-refaled services					-				
\$ 100.000   100.		-								
100,000 100,00	2.9 Geolechnical, Surveye	100,000								
SECULAR TO THE SECULA	2.9.1 Geolechnibel, Surveys	100,000								
4900.000										
SECULAR OF SECULAR DESCRIPTION OF SECULAR DESCRIPTION OF SECULAR DESCRIPTION OF SECULAR DESCRIPTION OF SECURATION	10 Commissioning	100,000								
1807-21   1807-2	2.10.1 Commissioning	100,000								
100 L. C.	-	SOCIONAL SECURITION OF THE SECURITIES OF THE SECURITION OF THE SECURITIES OF THE SECURITION OF THE SECURITIES OF THE SECURITION OF THE SEC	Sales of the sales				缺			
39,000 (\$39,700   \$45,500 (\$45,500 (\$45,500 (\$45,700 (\$45,500 (\$45	-8	NOT THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON		(B) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C	-6			
1911年 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		181291 181	390000	25 STOOM 25 AS 600	700 Y 45,500 41	00 50,500 147,670	100	4,688 24,000 24,00		<b>建筑地域的影响</b>
		Contraction of the Contraction o			-		-	_	-	

Pier 27 Cruise Ship Terminal Phase I Cash Draw Down Schadule

Spent   Spent   Spent   Specific Control   Nov. 11   Specific Control   Nov. 12   Specific Control	87 1915 065 2871 065	0000001 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 0000	1,255,00 1,355,00 1,355,00 1,355,00		72.2915 240,500 (400,000 (400,	的时候,我们的一个时间,我们的一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个		Ц	20.000 20.000 20.000	3,999 2,900 2,900 2,900 2,900 2,900 2,900 2,900 2,900		150,100 150,00	10,000	7.88.70 2.88.40	386,802 139,721 139,721	382,400 302,400 382,512	2019 01:00 1	17/18/20	T/1/1822		1,651,453	80,000 15,002 2200 2200 2200 2300 2300 2300 2300	5000	00/51 000	113,160 34,000 34,000 34,000 34,000 34,000 34,000	000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1 000'1	200 NO 100 NO 10		2000000 (470) (470	784,000   15,000   15,000   25,000   52	20.73 007°9 007°9 007°9 007°8 007°8 007°8 007°8 007°8 007°8 007°8 007°8 007°9	100,000 80,0000 80,000 80,000 80,000 80,000 80,000 80,000 80,000 80,000 80,0000		6700 6570 6570 6570 6570 6570 6570 6570	144 (177 ) 144 (177 )
					100,000	- 10-41	046.51	000,1	20,000	2,900				2,480,184	139,721	362,400			38,000		7,510	22,500	one and	000,00	34,000		550731	220,005	16,709	15,000			がに 受える はころと 数数数	1-7-	Η.
	1.1.1 Multipliers (GC, Insurancia, Bonding, Fee, LEED, Excalation, Design Configurary) 36,146,753		12 15K Construction Configure; for acops changes & unforesen conditions (only based \$ 5,421,863)	1.3 Construction Manager/ General Contrador Services \$ 1,222,915		ESTATE STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	act or in 1990 me magament of project budget & schoole from start up to post the project from the project fr			2.3.2. Adventisement of public contracts 30,000		proves including permit lees and ulffly lee connections	2.3.3 Special inspectors 2.0.3 Special inspectors 2.0.0 § 200,000	2.4 Architectural & Engineering Design Services/KMD 7 7458,309 7 7458,309 2.4.f KMOMPlav/BAA (Programming - Schanelt Design) Based on Proposal 2.4.f KMOMPlav/BAA (Programming - Schanelt Design) Based on Proposal 2.4.f		2.4.3 KMD DD services and some reembursables 1,245,726		<i>so</i>	2.4.A.1 Shoresde Power Soll Costs (Approximate)		2.5 ATCHTRECTURE & ENGINEERING LOBGEN SERVICE ADDRESS 1,851,453 1 1,851,453 2 25.1 DPW Landscape (Programmining - Schemill) Design) 77,582						2.8 ER & Additional AE Services 760,636	Fecs	2.7.1 Specialized Consulting Services 20.7.1 Specialized Consulting Services 280,000	2.8. City Construction Management Services richoling prevaing wage monitoring, FRIC 778,000 796,000	2.8 Geolechnal, Surveys 5 100,000 2.8.1 Geolechnal, Surveys 100,000 10	2.10.1 Commissioning 100,000 1			_

Pler 27 Crulse Ship Terminal Phase II Cash Draw Down Schedule

	1. 五十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二	ARTHUR GOVERNMENT OF THE	5023	Section 1	5,484.5	2000	· SERVICE CONTRACTOR	Shirt of the	897,078	STATE OF THE PARTY	は大田田田田土村	The State of the S	752,600	SHADOLD COM	179,200 September 179,200	179,200	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	58 Sept. 8	2017年 公司 经公司 经公司 经	Quarterly Totals (2.17)
			WARTER PARTY	183 SERVE				DOWN WHITE				THE REPORT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLU	THE REPORT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1	This A PASSING	SHOW THE PROPERTY	WEITENSTEIN STREET		<b>阿米斯提前中</b>	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	
			DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF				TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF												400,000	3.1 Program Contingency
																				S.O Program Confingency
Sign   Control			1	1	1	ŧ				1	+								180,000	2.10.1 Commissioning
	-		F			F	_		-						***				\$ 180,000	2.10 Commissioning
1																	+			COL CONSISSON MILES
Col.				-	-	‡		+	1	1	Ì								3	2.9 (Boilsohnice), Surveys
			-	-	ŀ	Ė		-	-	_								-		
				E	E	F			-	-							*		. 250,000	-c uniniutatio wanagumini stervica wazuung pervaung waga manazeng, ninu- Reviews, RE sanytees, and other BCM related sanytees
			Ŧ	Ŧ	Ī	-		L								L			\$ 250,000	2.8 City Construction Management Services
			-			Ē				,										
Column   C			-					ľ							_				56,000	2.7.1 Specialized Consulting Services
Column   C												2							\$ 58,000	2.7 Specialized Connutting Services
			7			1				1										Trans times was a metral property and the early speak a teats
Column   C			7		1	1	1	-	-									1		There will be exist manual hairs as we only spend 195X
Columb   C		+	T			Ī	+		<del> </del>										\$	26 ER & Additional Art Services
		-	ľ			Ī	L													
Column   C							-												50,000	2.5.5 Dapi of Tech DD-CA
Control   Cont									10,850	(0,300	100		10,300	10,300	10,300	10,300	10,300 }	Ĭ	112,950	2.5.4 DPW BDE DO-CA
Company   Comp																		]	180,000	2.53 DPW Architecture
		1	1	-	#		Ì	1	29,428	29,300			29,300	29,300	28,300	28,300	29,300	Ţ.	90,000	2.5.2 DPW Landscape Edin Sawkes
			1	ļ	Ī	F		+	96/36	T A			200					I	\$ 747,478	2.5 Architectural & Engineering Design ServiceLDPW
COUNTY   C			ď																	
			1			#		+	1	43,000			MAIRE			1		1	384,413	2.4.2 Extre Services under 10 cap but requires approval (894,413) (clai)
			-	-	1	ļ	-	000,000	Τ	9900			000 01	non/nat	000,001	policio	outing		2,001,091	Z.C.I KMD DOCA
				F		H			П										\$ 2,386,104	2.4 Architectural & Engineering Deelgn Services/XHD
																	-		10,000	2.3.3 Special Inspections
Comparison   Com			7		1	Ī				1									25.600	2.3.2 Publico fees
CELEBOOK BEINGS OF BOTH TO STORE THE STORE OF STORE ST		+	Ŧ	Ŧ	ŧ	Ī		-  -	-										\$ 47,500	2.1 Regulatory Apparovate
Control   Cont		1	E		L	Ī			1		-			ļ. 	-					
C																			14,000	2.3.2 Adventsement of public contracts
Company   Comp							-				.								10,000	2.3.1 CBy Allomey's lees
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100							-			1	1		Į.		_			1	\$ 24,000	2.2 City Administrative Services
C   E   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C		/	Ŧ			-	+	T		+								1	628,832	contracts, reporting of bond sittlings and livancing,
Chicago   Chic			_	_	•	-														Hesponsibililes include management of project bedget & schedule from steri-up to posi- construction, monitoring of project funds, management of design and construction
					Ħ														\$ 626,832	2.1 DPW Project Nanagement
Print 2011   Aug TI									220270	000	00 W	450	III SEPTEMBER				39,610,66	金属	TO THE PARTY OF TH	X.O. FO GOLLO
C. E. C.			-	Ī		Ŧ		-										1	464,850	1.3.1.2% contignancy for scope gaps between Irade packages
Company   Comp		-			E	F		-	-											
Prairie and   On   On   On   On   On   On   On						Ī									7	1			3,400,070	12.1 1324 Constitutation Controlligency for scales criterides & dimensional controlling
Print 2011   2012   2013   2014   2014   2015   2		-	<u> </u>	Ī	1	Ŧ		+	-	-	-					-		L	\$ 3,486,375	1.2 15% Construction Conligency for scope changes & unforesen conditions
00.000 ET 100 TO		+		H		Ħ														, and the second
Prant-2013		540,000						-										Ţ	2,700,000	i. 1.2 Gangway (More trito on the exact date to lottow)
Prant 2011   2012   2013   2014   2015   2		-		_															20,542,500	1.1.1 Multipliers (GC, Insurance, Bonding, Fee, LEED, Escalation, Design Contigancy)
THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	-		_		- NO.		SON STREET, SON ST		L L	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	- Addition of the Party of the	Terretainer and the state of th	The second lines with the second	A Charleston (control of control	A PARTICIPATION OF THE PARTIES.	TO STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	Control of the Contro	S. School College	\$ 23,242,500	1.1 Construction Purchase and Installation (IPD)
Project Budget    101													Shan						BUDGET	
TO SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	20 Oct 313 24 2 Nov 113-	13 Aug 13 Sept 13	==		(% (%	- 2	2 June 12	12 May 1	72	12		100 Jan 112 Ch	Dec '11' a.	Nov 11	10	Sept '11	Aug '11	July 11	SALES CONTROL OF	
TO SOLD THE STATE OF THE STATE	02	A	=	0	1.	9		94		部をと	23	Transfer and the	Contract of the second	02.2	東京の はいる		9			Project Budget
		Company of the second			2 3 3	1	20,12,111	the state of		1000		1000		1						

Pier 27 Crulse Ship Terminal Phase II Cash Draw Down Schedule

| 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 de se la companio de de desentación de del proposition de desentación de desentación de desentación de desenta TO A STATE OF THE 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,754 6,250 71,300 435,800 7,000 2,567,000 2,567,800 3,200 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,750 6,250 7,000 31,250 971,600 71,300 Aug 44 Sept 94 Det 14 2,567,800 971,800 009'89 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,750 6,250 7,000 31,250 22,500 2,667,800 22,500 3,200 7,000 71,300 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,750 6,250 31,250 1,250 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,750 6,250 22,500 2,587,800 7,000 31,250 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,750 6,250 154,850 1,250 7,000 31,250 2,567,800 2,567,800 11,300 154,950 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,750 8,250 7,000 31,250 Dec.13 Jan.14 Feb.14 Mir.14 April 14 May 14 Jun 14 2,567,800 154,950 11,250 10,000 22,500 3,750 8,250 31250 1,260 3,200 43,800 7,000 43,300 90,000 43,800 000'06 000'08 324,528 Akanjeni Galabinaja Vistoria 11 Propre Galabinaja Sel Dialek Galabinaja Vistoria 2,700,000 3,486,375 24,000 2,386,104 2,001,691 384,413 250,000 628,832 1.1 Communication Manageri Chamer Communication Services
1.2 I Xet Communication Services to the Communication Services
1.3.2 Pro-communication services
1.3.2 Pro-communication services
1.3.2 Pro-communication services DPW Project Hanspeneric Program Stiffs (Exposured to project budget & statistical Pon startup to post-required by monthing of project lunk, area general of design and construction constructs, monthing of bord appliage and financia. 2.8 City Construction Management Services
2.8.1 Construction Management Services Motivating reveiling wage morellong, HRC
Reviews, RE services, and often BCIA-round services 1.1.3 Mutiphes (GC, Insurance, Bonding, Foe, LEED, Escalalico, Deeljn Contigency) 1.1.2 Congress (More Nico on the seast date in follow) 2.2 Regulatory Agency Approvala

2.2 Regulatory Agency Asprovas Including points less and utility less commediess

2.3.2 Regulatory Asprovas Including points

2.3.3 Sprading less

2.3.3 Spradin lespondors Project Budget 2.2 City Administrative Services
2.3.1 City Attorney's rees
2.3.2 Advertisement of public