FILE NO. 111050

ORDINANCE NO.

[Administrative Code - San Francisco Sentencing Commission and Recidivism Reduction Ordinance of 2011]		
	-	
Ordinance an	nending the	e San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article XXV,
Sections 5.25	50 through \$	5.2504 to: (1) establish the San Francisco Sentencing
Commission;	; (2) set fort	h the Commission's purpose, powers and duties; and
(3) establish	membershi	p criteria.
1	NOTE:	Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u> ;
		deletions are <i>strike through italics Times New Roman</i> . Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u> ;
		Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.
Be it or	dained by th	e People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section	1. Finding	5.
1. /	After AB 109	and AB 117 take effect on October 1, 2011, and criminal justice
"Realignment"	' begins, Sai	n Francisco will face increasing responsibility for custody and
supervision of	criminal offe	enders, and will experience additional pressure on the criminal
justice system	at a time of	significant budgetary constraints.
2. /	Although Sa	n Francisco has a demonstrated commitment to reformed criminal
justice strateg	ies that prio	ritize evidence-based practices, without a comprehensive review of
local custody a	and sentenc	ing approaches, San Francisco is at-risk of an ever increasing local
custody popul	ation withou	t experiencing reduced crime or recidivism rates, thereby risking the
waste of both	financial res	ources and human potential.
3.	San Francis	co already suffers from high recidivism rates, and unless strategies
shift, recidivisr	m rates will I	ikely remain high after Realignment begins. Recidivism rates for
San Francisco	o offenders r	eleased from state prison for the first time is 77% and for re-paroles
from San Fran	ncisco, the re	ecidivism rate is 78%.
	Ordinance ar Sections 5.25 Commission (3) establish Be it or Section 1. "Realignment" supervision of justice system 2. justice system 2. justice strateg local custody custody popul waste of both 3. shift, recidivisi	Ordinance amending the Sections 5.250 through a Commission; (2) set fort (3) establish membershi (3) establish membershi NOTE: Be it ordained by th Section 1. Findinga 1. After AB 108 "Realignment" begins, Sat supervision of criminal offe justice system at a time of 2. Although Sat justice strategies that prior local custody and sentence custody population without waste of both financial res 3. San Francisc shift, recidivism rates will f

4. Programs such as electronic monitoring, in home supervision, out of custody
 cognitive behavioral therapy, and other community corrections alternatives can reduce
 recidivism of nonviolent, non-serious offenders and may be better options than local custody
 for nonviolent non-serious offenders in San Francisco.

5 5. Rates of incarceration and recidivism in San Francisco also significantly impact 6 communities of color, particularly African Americans. According to recent data, African 7 Americans make up 6.8% of San Francisco's population and 61% of the people paroled to 8 San Francisco.

9 6. With limited statewide analysis on sentencing practices, local jurisdictions need 10 to review sentencing practices and public safety strategies in order to reduce recidivism, hold 11 offenders accountable, assess and address the impact on communities of color, and 12 efficiently and effectively use public resources.

7. Providing alternatives to incarceration for some offenders, both pre-trial and
 post-conviction, can effectively protect public safety, reduce offender recidivism, stabilize
 families and communities, and cost less than incarceration, which saves limited City
 resources.

To address these issues, the City needs to create an advisory body to analyze
 sentencing patterns and outcomes, advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and other City
 departments on the best approaches to reduce recidivism, and make recommendations for
 sentencing reforms that advance public safety and utilize best practices in criminal justice.

- Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding
  Article XXV, Sections 5.250 through 5.250--4, to read as follows:
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25

Supervisors Wiener, Farrell, Cohen **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** 

///

1	Article XXV. SAN FRANCISCO SENTENCING COMMISSION
2	<u>SEC. 5. 250. – ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE: SAN FRANCISCO SENTENCING</u>
3	<u>COMMISSION.</u>
4	(a) The City hereby establishes the San Francisco Sentencing Commission.
5	(b) The purpose of San Francisco Sentencing Commission is to encourage the development
6	of criminal sentencing strategies that reduce recidivism, prioritize public safety and victim protection,
7	emphasize fairness, and employ evidence-based best practices.
8	SEC. 5.250-1 MEMBERSHIP AND ORGANIZATION.
9	(a) Members. The Commission shall consist of 13 members, or 14 members if the Superior
10	Court agrees to provide one member. The head or chair of each of the following agencies and bodies
11	shall serve on or will assign one staff member to serve on the Commission as a voting member: District
12	Attorney; Public Defender; Adult Probation; Juvenile Probation; Sheriff; Police; the Department of
13	Public Health; Human Services Agency; the Reentry Council, and the Superior Court, assuming it
14	agrees to participate on the Commission. In addition, the following additional voting members will be
15	appointed: a member of a nonprofit organization that works with victims, chosen by the Family
16	Violence Council; a member of a nonprofit organization that works with ex-offenders, chosen by the
17	Reentry Council; a criminologist chosen by the Board of Supervisors; and an academic researcher with
18	expertise in data analysis appointed by the Mayor.
19	(b) Quorum. 10 members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, and the Commission
20	shall have the authority to act on the vote of a majority of the quorum.
21	(c) Officers. The District Attorney or his or her designee shall chair the Commission.
22	(d) Staff Support. The District Attorney's Office shall provide staff support and administrative
23	assistance to the Commission.
24	(e) Meeting Frequency. The Commission shall meet at least three times a year.
25	///

1	<u>SEC. 5.250-2 POWERS AND DUTIES.</u>			
2	The Commission shall have the following powers and duties:			
3	(a) Review and assess sentencing approaches locally and compare to other jurisdictions.			
4	(b) Develop a recommended system of uniform definitions of recidivism for City			
5	departments to track and report on the outcomes of various criminal sentences and City programs			
6	6 <u>meant to aid in reducing recidivism.</u>			
7	(c) Develop data collection standards and recidivism reporting standards.			
8	(d) Develop and recommend department specific goals to reduce recidivism for the City			
9	departments represented on the Sentencing Commission, and other relevant City departments.			
10	(e) Make recommendations regarding changes that should be made to the Penal Code and			
11	any other state laws to remove barriers to effective implementation of best practices in criminal justice.			
12	(f) To the extent budgetary savings in custody costs are identified by the Controller			
13	pursuant to the analysis described in Administrative Code section 5.506-4, no later than December 30,			
14	4 <u>2012, and on an annual basis thereafter, provide recommendations to the Mayor and Board of</u>			
15	Supervisors on how to reinvest any savings into effective alternatives to incarceration and alternative			
16	16 <u>sanctions programs.</u>			
17	(g) Facilitate trainings on best practices in sentencing for various criminal justice agencies.			
18	(h) Share information and work in collaboration with the Reentry Council, established			
19	pursuant to the San Francisco Administrative Code, and the Community Corrections Partnership, as			
20	established by the California Penal Code.			
21	(i) Nothing in this legislation shall infringe on any agency's legally mandated responsibilities in			
22	the criminal justice system, and, as such, recommendations are not statutorily binding on any City			
23	<u>department.</u>			
24	///			
25	///			
	Supervisors Wiener Farrell Ocher			

1 SEC. 5.250-3. – Duties of the Controller. 2 No later than December 15, 2012 and annually thereafter, the Controller's City Services (a)3 Auditor shall provide the Sentencing Commission with an analysis of: (1) Any budgetary savings in funding allocations associated with custody that could be 4 5 reinvested into alternatives to incarceration or alternative sanctions programs 6 (2) Recidivism rates in the sentencing categories identified by the Sentencing Commission 7 SEC. 5.250-4. SUNSET CLAUSE. 8 This legislation shall expire on June 1, 2015, unless the Board of Supervisors adopts an 9 ordinance continuing its existence. The Commission shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors no fewer than six months prior to the expiration date recommending whether the Commission should 10 continue to operate, and if so, whether the Board of Supervisors shall consider legislative changes that 11 12 would enhance the capacity of the Commission to achieve the goals underlying this ordinance. The 13 Commission's recommendations shall include drafts of ordinances that would implement its 14 recommendations. Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the 15 16 date of passage. 17 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 18 **DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney** 19 By: 20 SALLIE P. GIBSON Deputy City Attorney 21 22 23 24 25