1	[Board Response to the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report Entitled "Central Subway Too
2	Much Money for Too Little Benefit"]
3	Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
4	and recommendations contained in the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled
5	"Central Subway Too Much Money for Too Little Benefit" and urging the Mayor to
6	cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her
7	department heads and through the development of the annual budget.
8	
9	WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of
10	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11	Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
12	WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
13	recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
14	county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
15	and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
16	response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
17	which it has some decision making authority; and
18	WHEREAS, The 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Central Subway Too
19	Much Money for Too Little Benefit" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File
20	No. 110817 which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein;
21	and
22	WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
23	to Findings Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 3, 4 and 8 contained
24	in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

25

1	WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "Muni is not providing adequate service to its
2	customers;" and,
3	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "Either the City and San Francisco
4	Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) need to increase Muni's funding, or the City and
5	SFMTA need to lower their expectations for Muni's performance;" and
6	WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "Muni has had financial troubles in recent years and,
7	absent an unforeseen windfall, will continue to have financial troubles in the foreseeable
8	future;" and
9	WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "Given the current and projected state of Muni's
10	funding, difficult times lie ahead. This will impact the agency's ability to deliver the level of
11	performance demanded by the charter;" and
12	WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "Raising passenger fares can only have a minimal
13	impact on Muni's financial shortfalls;" and
14	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 4 states: "The SFMTA should hire an outside
15	auditor to evaluate the potential gains in revenue brought by higher fares against the potential
16	loss in total ridership due to such higher prices;" and
17	WHEREAS, Finding No. 11 states: "Following the manufacturer's suggested preventive
18	maintenance program is inadequate for maintaining Muni's fleet. This inadequate preventive
19	maintenance negatively impacts Muni's ability to properly serve its riders;" and
20	WHEREAS, Finding No. 12 states: "Mid-life overhauls are not enough to properly
21	maintain Muni's fleet. Targeted component rebuilds are essential to their maintenance;" and
22	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 8 states: "The Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
23	County Transportation Authority (SFCTA), and SFMTA should determine how to fund
24	adequate preventive maintenance and a targeted component rebuild program on an ongoing
25	basis;" and

1	WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
2	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
3	Court on Finding Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 3, 4 and 8
4	contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it
5	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
6	Superior Court that itagrees/disagrees with Finding Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12, for
7	reasons as follows; and be it
8	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it
9	agrees/disagrees with Recommendation Nos. 3, 4 and 8, for reasons as follows
10	; and be it
11	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
12	implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads
13	and through the development of the annual budget.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	