File	No.	11	07	'96

Committee	Item	No.	2
Board Item	No		

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Government Audit & Oversight	Date: <u>October 13, 2011</u>
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date:
Cmte Boa	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement (Approved as Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	e is needed)
Completed I	• ————	ate October 6, 2011 ate

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

[Board Response to the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Hunters Point Shipyard: A Shifting Landscape"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Hunters Point Shipyard: A Shifting Landscape" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and,

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, The 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Hunters Point Shipyard: A Shifting Landscape" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 110795, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and,

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Finding Nos. 5 and 6 as well as Recommendation Nos. 6 and 7 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "Governor Brown's announcement earlier this year that he intends to cut funding to redevelopment agencies in the next fiscal year directly

threatens the Hunters Point Shipyard (HPS) redevelopment project. Up to now, there has been no indication from either the City or the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) how they intend to continue the HPS redevelopment project should redevelopment funds actually be cut or eliminated by the State;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 6 states: "The City and the SFRA should have contingency plans in place for continuing SFRA related projects, including the HPS redevelopment project, in the event that State redevelopment funds are cut or eliminated;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "Previous efforts by the City to implement work force policies at city-funded construction projects such as the HPS redevelopment project have largely proved ineffective as they only require a contractor to make a good faith effort to hire local workers. Earlier this year a new work force ordinance came into effect that has stricter requirements and mandates;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 7 states: "In order to ensure that the job creation goals promised for the HPS redevelopment project are realized, the City should insure that the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement has sufficient resources to allow it to effectively enforce the provisions of the new workforce laws;" and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on Finding Nos. 5 and 6, as well as Recommendation Nos. 6 and 7 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it ___agrees/disagrees___ with Finding Nos. 6 and 7, for reasons as follows ____; and be it