Amendment of the Whole In Committee, Bearing Same Title 10/13/11 RESOLUTION NO.

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[Response to the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report Entitled "Log Cabin Ranch Moving Towards Positive Horizons"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
and recommendations contained in the 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Log
Cabin Ranch Moving Towards Positive Horizons" and urging the Mayor to cause the
implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her

- 7 department heads and through the development of the annual budget.
- 8

1

2

FILE NO. 110816

9 WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seg., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior 10 Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and 11 12 WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a 13 14 county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the 15 response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over 16 17 which it has some decision making authority; and WHEREAS, The 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Log Cabin Ranch Moving" 18

 18
 WHEREAS, The 2010-2011 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled Log Cabin Ranch Moving

 19
 Towards Positive Horizons" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.

110815, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
 WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
 to Finding Nos. 5 and 6 as well as Recommendation Nos. 5 and 6 contained in the subject
 Civil Grand Jury report; and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "Due to the age of the buildings (circa, 1950),
structural improvements to the Ranch are greatly needed;" and,

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 5 states: "The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors
 should immediately provide capital funding for long neglected infrastructure needs;" and
 WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "The Grand Jury believes that the Log Cabin Ranch
 is under-utilized and the recent positive programmatic changes warrant expansion to

5 maximum capacity;" and

6 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 6 states: "The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors
7 should support funding for a third cohort in the fiscal year 2011-2012 budget cycle, and for a
8 fourth cohort in the 2012-2013 budget cycle;" and

9 WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
10 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11 Court on Finding Nos. 5 and 6, as well as Recommendation Nos. 5 and 6 contained in the
12 subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

13 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
14 Superior Court that it agrees with Finding No. 5; and be it

15 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the 16 Superior Court that it partially disagrees with Finding No. 6, for reasons as follows: that the 17 Board of Supervisors agrees that "The Grand Jury believes that the Log Cabin Ranch is 18 under-utilized and the recent positive programmatic changes warrant expansion to maximum capacity," and adds the clarification of "if and when funding is available"; and be it 19 20 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially 21 disagrees with Recommendation No. 5, for reasons as follows: that the Board of Supervisors 22 agrees that "The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should immediately provide capital 23 funding for long neglected infrastructure needs," and adds the clarification of "if and when 24 funding is available"; and be it

25

| 1 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | disagrees with Recommendation No. 6, for reasons as follows: that the Board of Supervisors |
| 3 | agrees that "The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should support funding for a third cohort |
| 4 | in the fiscal year 2011-2012 budget cycle, and for a fourth cohort in the 2012-2013 budget |
| 5 | cycle," and adds the clarification of "if and when funding is available"; and be it |
| 6 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the |
| 7 | implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads |
| 8 | and through the development of the annual budget. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |