File No.	1	l	1050
----------	---	---	------

Committee Item No.	5
Board Item No.	

# **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Rules	Date	11/3/11
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date	
Cmte Boa	rd		
	Motion	<i>y</i>	
T T	Resolution		
	Ordinance		
	Legislative Digest		
育	Budget Analyst Report	e de la companya de l	
	Legislative Analyst Report		
	<b>Youth Commission Report</b>		
	Introduction Form (for heari	ngs)	
	Department/Agency Cover I		t
百 百	MOU		
	Grant Information Form		
	Grant Budget		
	Subcontract Budget		San Paris
	Contract/Agreement		
	Award Letter		
	Application		
<b>一</b>	Public Correspondence		
			· .
OTHER	(Use back side if additional	space is needed)	
			·
			- <u> </u>
		Y The second of	
Completed	<b>by:</b> <u>Linda Wong</u>	Date 10/31/11	
Completed		Date	

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

NOTE:

Section 1. Findings.

[San Francisco Sentencing Commission and Recidivism Reduction Ordinance of 2011]

2

1

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article XXV, Sections 5.250 through 5.250--4 to: (1) establish the San Francisco Sentencing Commission; (2) set forth the Commission's purpose, powers and duties; and (3) establish membership criteria.

> Additions are *single-underline italics Times New Roman*; deletions are strike through italics Times New Roman.

Board amendment additions are double-underlined; Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.

7

12

19

22

23 24

25

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

- After AB 109 and AB 117 take effect on October 1, 2011, and criminal justice "Realignment" begins, San Francisco will face increasing responsibility for custody and supervision of criminal offenders, and will experience additional pressure on the criminal justice system at a time of significant budgetary constraints.
- 2. Although San Francisco has a demonstrated commitment to reformed criminal justice strategies that prioritize evidence-based practices, without a comprehensive review of local custody and sentencing approaches. San Francisco is at-risk of an ever increasing local custody population without experiencing reduced crime or recidivism rates, thereby risking the waste of both financial resources and human potential.
- 3. San Francisco already suffers from high recidivism rates, and unless strategies shift, recidivism rates will likely remain high after Realignment begins. Recidivism rates for San Francisco offenders released from state prison for the first time is 77% and for re-paroles from San Francisco, the recidivism rate is 78%.

- 4. Programs such as electronic monitoring, in home supervision, out of custody cognitive behavioral therapy, and other community corrections alternatives can reduce recidivism of nonviolent, non-serious offenders and may be better options than local custody for nonviolent non-serious offenders in San Francisco.
- 5. Rates of incarceration and recidivism in San Francisco also significantly impact communities of color, particularly African Americans. According to recent data, African Americans make up 6.8% of San Francisco's population and 61% of the people paroled to San Francisco.
- 6. With limited statewide analysis on sentencing practices, local jurisdictions need to review sentencing practices and public safety strategies in order to reduce recidivism, hold offenders accountable, assess and address the impact on communities of color, and efficiently and effectively use public resources.
- 7. Providing alternatives to incarceration for some offenders, both pre-trial and post-conviction, can effectively protect public safety, reduce offender recidivism, stabilize families and communities, and cost less than incarceration, which saves limited City resources.
- 8. To address these issues, the City needs to create an advisory body to analyze sentencing patterns and outcomes, advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and other City departments on the best approaches to reduce recidivism, and make recommendations for sentencing reforms that advance public safety and utilize best practices in criminal justice.

Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Article XXV, Sections 5.250 through 5.250--4, to read as follows:

111.

# Article XXV. SAN FRANCISCO SENTENCING COMMISSION

<u>SEC. 5. 250. – ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE: SAN FRANCISCO SENTENCING</u> COMMISSION.

- (a) The City hereby establishes the San Francisco Sentencing Commission.
- (b) The purpose of San Francisco Sentencing Commission is to encourage the development of criminal sentencing strategies that reduce recidivism, prioritize public safety and victim protection, emphasize fairness, and employ evidence-based best practices.

## SEC. 5.250-1. - MEMBERSHIP AND ORGANIZATION.

- (a) Members. The Commission shall consist of 13 members, or 14 members if the Superior

  Court agrees to provide one member. The head or chair of each of the following agencies and bodies
  shall serve on or will assign one staff member to serve on the Commission as a voting member: District
  Attorney; Public Defender; Adult Probation; Juvenile Probation; Sheriff; Police; the Department of
  Public Health; Human Services Agency; the Reentry Council, and the Superior Court, assuming it
  agrees to participate on the Commission. In addition, the following additional voting members will be
  appointed: a member of a nonprofit organization that works with victims, chosen by the Family
  Violence Council; a member of a nonprofit organization that works with ex-offenders, chosen by the
  Reentry Council; a criminologist chosen by the Board of Supervisors; and an academic researcher with
  expertise in data analysis appointed by the Mayor.
- (b) Quorum. 10 members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, and the Commission shall have the authority to act on the vote of a majority of the quorum.
  - (c) Officers. The District Attorney or his or her designee shall chair the Commission.
- (d) Staff Support. The District Attorney's Office shall provide staff support and administrative assistance to the Commission.
  - (e) Meeting Frequency. The Commission shall meet at least three times a year.

///

Page 4

Page 5

Supervisors Wiener, Farrell, Cohen BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

# **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Sentencing Commission and Recidivism Reduction Ordinance of 2011]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article XXV, Sections 5.250 through 5.250--4 to: (1) establish the San Francisco Sentencing Commission; (2) set forth the Commission's purpose, powers and duties; and (3) establish membership criteria.

#### Existing Law

There is no applicable existing law on this subject.

# Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance creates the San Francisco Sentencing Commission. The purpose of the Sentencing Commission is to encourage the development of sentencing strategies that reduce recidivism, prioritize public safety, emphasize fairness, and employ evidence-based best practices. The Commission will meet for three years. The ordinance designates 14 members to be drawn from the City's law enforcement, criminal justice, public health, and public welfare agencies, the Superior Court, as well as members chosen by other criminal justice stakeholders. The District Attorney will chair the Commission and provide staff support and administrative assistance. In addition, the Controller will provide an annual analysis of recidivism rates and budgetary investments in custody costs and custody alternatives to aid the Commission in making its recommendations.

# **Background Information**

After "Realignment" takes effect on October 1, 2011 (the new state law that shifts fiscal and operational responsibilities for many criminal offenders from the state to the counties), San Francisco will have increased responsibility for housing criminal offenders sentenced to serve time in custody and will assume the new responsibility of supervising certain offenders as they are released from prison. Although the state is currently providing some funding for taking on these responsibilities, the future of continued funding is uncertain.

The added responsibilities of Realignment may cause San Francisco to see an increase in the local custody population while crime and recidivism rates remain unchanged or increase. In anticipation of these issues, the Commission's mandate is to review current sentencing practices and make recommendations regarding future practices with the goal of effectively using available public resources, increasing public safety, and reducing recidivism.

FILE NO.

in an effort to ensure that the City is able to reduce recidivism and effectively use public resources to address its new responsibilities for offenders.