Ben Rosenfield Controller Monique Zmuda Deputy Controller

September 9, 2012

Honorable Katherine Feinstein, Presiding Judge San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Superior Court of California County of San Francisco 400 McAllister Street, Dept. 205 San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Response to Civil Grand Jury Report on the San Francisco Whistleblower Program

Honorable Judge Feinstein:

The Office of the Controller has reviewed the Civil Grand Jury's report, "Whistling in the Dark: The San Francisco Whistleblower Program," dated July 11, 2011, and provides this required response to the report's findings and recommendations.

The Controller's Office thanks the Civil Grand Jury members for their service, and believes that Grand Jury reports can be an important tool for the improvement of government services.

Attached to this letter is an item-by-item response to the specific findings and recommendations of the report. We share the Civil Grand Jury's belief in the importance of a well-run Whistleblower Program and the need to continually seek to improve this vital government function. We are in full or partial agreement with 8 of the 14 recommendations in the report. In several cases, these recommendations have already been implemented, and the remainder will be during the current fiscal year.

However, we believe that the report leaves a general and false impression that the Whistleblower Program is not meeting its goal of investigating and resolving confidential claims of fraud, waste, and abuse of public resources. We believe that several errors in the report could have been corrected or clarified through a more thorough review process, which we would encourage in future reports.

Respectfully,

Ben Rosenfield Controller

Findings	Response to Finding	Recommendations	Response to Recommendations
F 1) The investigation of whistleblower complaints is not independent when performed by the targeted agency or department.	Disagree. The San Francisco Charter (charter) states that the Controller's Office may refer a complaint to a City department, either before or after conducting an initial investigation. Further, the practice of referring certain complaints to departments for investigation is in alignment with standard practices of other local government whistleblower programs.	R 1) CSA should perform all investigations. This would require a Charter change.	Disagree. This recommendation will not be implemented. The Civil Grand Jury is correct in concluding that the Charter would need to be amended to require the Controller's Office to perform all investigations. As the Charter is currently written, the Controller has the authority to refer complaints for investigation. From a workload or specialization standpoint, it is neither practical nor advisable not to refer whistleblower complaints. It is also a standard protocol of whistleblower programs in other jurisdictions to refer complaints to those in the best position to investigate them, with involvement as appropriate from the central whistleblower program.

- F 2) The Whistleblower Program is confusing and difficult to navigate.
- F 3)The City's Whistleblower website needs updating but this does not appear to be a high priority for the Whistleblower Program.
- 2) Disagree. The Civil Grand Jury does not elaborate on what makes the Whistleblower Program confusing and difficult to navigate. The Whistleblower Program makes great efforts to educate City employees on the complaint process via employee outreach and by meeting with complainants. For example, the Whistleblower Program is involved in new employee and new manager orientation programs conducted by the Department of Human Resources.
- 3) Disagree in part. While upgrades to the website are needed, the Controller's Office disagrees that this is not a high priority. The Whistleblower Program met with the Department of Technology before the Civil Grand Jury's report to discuss potential changes to the web page, and will implement changes in the current fiscal year.

- R 2) There are major deficiencies in the whistleblower procedures. The website should be revised to:
- Make it more user-friendly;
- Provide clear guidelines for what qualifies as a whistleblower complaint as opposed to a general complaint;
- Provide examples of what doesn't qualify as waste fraud and abuse;
- Provide information about the investigation process when a complaint is submitted;
- Provide detailed information about how confidentiality of the complainant can be maintained when contact information is supplied;
- Regularly update the reports section and legal status sections;
- Create a box that indicates there are additional documents to support the allegations in a complaint;
- Provide information on who to contact if a whistleblower is facing retaliation;
- Include a box indicating who to contact about the status of an investigation at regular intervals;
- Describe the general procedure that will ensue in the course of the investigation.

Partially agree, and will be partially implemented. The Whistleblower Program website already contains a great deal of information (fraud, waste, and abuse definitions, legal statutes, complaint status check). The website does not have examples of what does not qualify as fraud, waste, or abuse because the charter gives the Controller the broad authority to receive individual complaints concerning the quality and delivery of government services, wasteful and inefficient City government practices, misuse of City government funds, and improper activities by City government officers and employees.

The Whistleblower Program met with the Department of Technology before the issuance of the Civil Grand Jury's report to discuss potential changes to the website, and will incorporate several of the Civil Grand Jury's recommended changes to the website.

F 4) The COWS/Internal record keeping/tracking	4) Disagree in part. The COWS database meets	R 3) The COWS system should be modified to: (1) Define whether it is a high-	Partially agree and will be partially
system is inadequate.	the needs of the Whistleblower Program. The	, medium-, or low-level risk complaint; (2) Remove the ability to edit or	implemented:
	Whistleblower Program has explored possible	delete investigation notes after they have been entered; (3) Add a field to	
	enhancements to the COWS database with the	indicate the source (web, phone, letter, etc.); (4) Remove the constraint, if	1) Agree. We have discussed adding this
	Department of Technology. These potential	it exists, to allow investigators to copy full e-mails and correspondence into	feature to COWS with the Department of
	upgrades will provide additional functionality and	the notes.	Technology, and will implement this
	reporting capabilities.	the notes.	recommendation.
	reporting capabilities.		recommendation.
			2) Disagree. It is unclear what benefit this
			change would provide. The inability to edit
			investigator notes would make the database
			more difficult to use.
			inore difficult to use.
			3 - 4) Disagree. The COWS database already
			allows the Whistleblower Program to record
			complaint source, and to copy and paste full
			emails into investigator notes. Complaint
			source statistics have been published in our
			fiscal year 2008-09 and 2009-10 annual
			newsletters.

F 5) Confidentiality throughout the entire	Disagree. Confidentiality throughout the process	R 4) A more proactive system must be developed for communicating with	Partially agree and will be partially
process eliminates any level of transparency.	is of utmost importance for the Whistleblower	the whistleblower.	implemented. Whistleblowers are provided
	Program to succeed. Residents and employees file		tracking numbers for their complaints, and can
	complaints with the program because it offers		use these numbers on the program's website
	them a way to confidentially raise their concerns.		to learn the general status (progress or
	Whistleblower investigations must remain		outcome) of the investigation of their
	confidential in order to prevent retribution		complaint.
	against complainants. Failure to uphold		
	confidentiality at any point of an investigation		The Whistleblower Program also issues an
	could result in acts of retaliation against those		annual newsletter that summarizes selected
	involved in the case. The risk and fear of		complaints and their outcomes.
	retaliation can deter individuals from reporting		
	allegations of wrongdoing.		The Whistleblower Program will implement a
			series of changes aimed at increasing
	Further, California Government Code section		communication with whistleblowers.
	53087.6(2) states that such investigations shall be		Specifically, we are determining how to provide
	kept confidential. Specifically, the identities of		complainants with more information during
	those reporting the improper government activity		the investigation process and the outcome of
	and the employee or employees that are the		their complaints, while balancing the critical
	subject of an investigation must be kept		need to safeguard the confidentiality of both
	confidential.		the complainant and others involved in the
			investigation.
İ			

F 6) No detailed final public report of substantiated whistleblower complaints is issued by the City Services Auditor. The lack of public reporting of whistleblower investigations fails to provide transparency in government.

Disagree in part. California Government Code section 53087.6(2) states that investigations shall be kept confidential, except to issue any report of an investigation that has been substantiated, or to release any findings resulting from a completed investigation that are deemed necessary to serve the interests of the public. In any event, the identities of the individual or individuals reporting the improper government activity and the subject employee or employees must be kept confidential. Further, the practice of not releasing a detailed final report is in alignment with other local jurisdiction whistleblower programs.

R 5) If a complaint is substantiated, a public finding should be issued that details: the nature of the complaint; what the investigation determined; the name of the respondent; and the penalty applied or actions taken.

Partially agree. This recommendation will be implemented in part. Currently, the Whistleblower Program issues an annual report listing, in general terms, selected complaint allegations and the investigation outcome. These complaints are discussed in general terms in order to discourage retaliation against whistleblowers.

In fiscal year 2011-12, in an effort to provide additional public information while maintaining confidentiality of individual complainants and others involved in the program, the Whistleblower Program will issue quarterly reports containing all sustained complaints in that quarter, and the corrective action taken. State Government Code prohibits the disclosure of the name of the respondent in most if not all cases.

F 7) The current whistleblower protections are	Disagree. Retaliation issues are under the	R 6) An independent administrative law judge should deal with retaliation	Not appropriate for a response from the
inadequate.	jurisdiction of the Ethics Commission.	issues. The responsibility for retaliation complaints should be removed from	Controller's Office, as retaliation issues are
	Accordingly, the Civil Grand Jury should consult	the Ethics Commission.	under the jurisdiction of the Ethics
F 8) The Jury found that whistleblowers who	with the Ethics Commission regarding these		Commission. Accordingly, the Civil Grand Jury
faced retaliation choose to initially use their	findings. The Ethics Commission has received	R 7) If an employee who has filed a whistleblower complaint is laid off	should consult with the Ethics Commission
union or sue the City rather than using the	three retaliation complaints since 2004, one of	within two years of having filed the complaint, or within one year of the	regarding these findings and
Ethics Commission to resolve their retaliation	which was not related to a whistleblower	complaint being closed, an administrative law judge will conduct a full	recommendations.
complaint.	complaint. Employee education about retaliation	review. Should it be determined that retaliation is a factor in the	
	is a continued focus area of the Whistleblower	layoff/termination; the employee shall be awarded up to two years full	
	Program, with planned expansion.	salary as part of his or her severance package.	
F 9) CGOBOC does not provide effective or	Disagree. The Whistleblower Program meets	R 8) CGOBOC must become an effective Whistleblower Program oversight	Agree. This recommendation has been
independent oversight of the Whistleblower	quarterly with a representative of the Citizens	entity by reviewing the number and type of whistleblower complaints, the	implemented, and is ongoing. CARB has always
program.	Audit Review Board (CARB, which also functions	investigative process used and the final results of investigations at least	been aware of its oversight responsibilities of
	as the Citizens' General Obligation Bond	twice a year.	the Whistleblower Program since its charter-
	Oversight Committee, or CGOBOC) to discuss		mandated inception in 2004. The
	complaints and program administration, and		Whistleblower Program meets quarterly with a
	provides feedback to program staff on individual		CARB representative to discuss complaints and
	cases.		program administration, and provides
			feedback to program staff on individual cases.
			The Whistleblower Program welcomes
			opportunities for enhanced oversight and
			feedback from CARB, and will work to support
			any enhanced level of oversight that CARB
			deems appropriate.
] " '

F 10) It appears there are no procedural	Disagree. Procedures are in place to ensure that	R 9) Anyone filing a non-website initiated complaint should be sent a form	Agree and implemented. The Whistleblower
mechanisms in place to address the following:	all complainants can receive a complaint tracking	letter that indicates the tracking number and an acknowledgment that their	Program issues tracking numbers to all
A) Complainants are not consistently receiving	number, unless the complainant does not provide	complaint has been received.	complainants who provide some form of
the assigned complaint tracking number as an	any contact information. Complainants who file		contact information.
acknowledgement of their claim.	through the web or 311 are automatically		
B) The program fails to provide a meaningful	provided a tracking number when they submit a		
way for complainants to obtain substantive	complaint. In fiscal year 2009-10, 83 percent of		
information regarding the status of the	complainants filed through the web or through		
investigation, specific actions being taken, and	311. Complainants who file through other means		
an opportunity to respond to the department's	(letter, email, etc.) are provided a tracking		
finding of the investigation.	number if they provide their contact information		
	to the Whistleblower Program.		
	Starting in fiscal year 2011-12, the Whistleblower		
	Program will issue quarterly reports containing all		
	sustained complaints in that quarter, and the		
	corrective action taken. In addition, the program		
	is exploring how to provide individual		
	complainants with more information regarding		
	the status of the investigation of their complaint		
	and the action taken while balancing the need for		
	confidentiality.		

F 11) Whistleblower Program staff are spending	Disagree. Consistent with the charter, the	R 10) Create and institute a filter process to allow redirection of non-waste,	Disagree. This recommendation will not be
an inordinate amount of time on low level	Whistleblower Program is intended to receive	fraud and abuse complaints to 311. This would require a change to the	implemented. The charter gives the Controller
complaints.	complaints concerning the quality and delivery of	Charter.	the authority to receive complaints about
	government services, wasteful and inefficient City		issues other than fraud waste and abuse,
	government practices, misuse of City government		including the quality and delivery of
	funds, and improper activities by City government		government services. The Civil Grand Jury is
	officers and employees. Of the complaints		correct in concluding that the charter would
	received that allege problems under the		have to be changed to allow this
	program's jurisdiction, potentially low		recommendation to be implemented.
	importance complaints must be pursued as well		
	as those of seemingly greater importance.		
	Although some complaints are clearly of lower		
	potential importance than others, it is unclear		
	how the Civil Grand Jury determined that an		
	inordinate amount of time is spent trying to		
	resolve these complaints. The current practice of		
	the Whistleblower Program is to refer low-level		
	complaints to departments for investigation, with		
	appropriate oversight and follow-up from the		
	Whistleblower Program.		

F 12) The Whistleblower Program is	Disagree. Continued and expanded outreach to	R 11) The Office of the Controller should develop and implement training to	Agree. Implementation continual and ongoing
inadequately marketed by the program staff, as	city employees is a key focus of the	educate all city employees about the Whistleblower Program.	See response to finding.
shown by the limited awareness of the program	Whistleblower Program. Outreach has for years		
by many city employees.	included quarterly written communication to each of the City's 27,000 employees and periodic presentations at various department staff meetings. Outreach was recently expanded to include a segment about the program in both the new employee and new manager orientation training conducted by the Department of Human Resources.		
F 13) A process is needed to give complainants	Disagree. If not in agreement with the findings of	R 12) Establish an appeals process using an independent administrative law	Disagree. This recommendation will not be
an avenue to appeal a whistleblower	an investigation, complainants have many other	judge for whistleblower complaints that qualify for review. Guidelines must	implemented. See response to finding. The
investigation if they have questions about how	means to pursue their concerns, including but not	be established to determine legitimate reasons for the appeal of a	Whistleblower Program is unaware of any
the investigation was conducted or if they	limited to department commissions, the Board of	"dismissed", "no violation found" or "closed" complaint.	other local jurisdiction with a complaint
disagree with the investigation's conclusions.	Supervisors Audit Committee, CARB, the Ethics Commission, the District Attorney, or the court system. The Whistleblower Program is unaware of any other local jurisdiction with a complaint appeals process.		appeals process.

F 14) Adding a reward program would create an	Disagree. The Controller's Office does not believe	R 13) Establish a reward system for substantiated high-risk whistleblower	Disagree. This recommendation will not be
incentive for individuals to become	that rewards will enhance the effectiveness of the	complaints with a \$500 minimum or 10% of funds recovered, whichever is	implemented. With the exception of the
whistleblowers.	program.	greater.	Assessor's Office Real Estate Watchdog
			Program and comparable tax revenue recovery
			programs, rewards are not a standard or
			recommended practice for local government
			whistleblower programs.

	П		T
F 15) The San Francisco Whistleblower	Disagree. The Whistleblower Program issues	R 14) The Jury recommends that a best practices/benchmark study be done	Agree. Implemented and ongoing. The
Program's confidentiality policies eliminate any	annual reports stating complaint volume, sources	of other jurisdictions as to how confidentiality issues might be better	Whistleblower Program is overseen by the
possible transparency.	of complaints, and allegations and action taken	managed.	CARB and the Board of Supervisors'
	on selected complaints. In fiscal year 2011-12 the		Government Audit & Oversight Committee,
	program will issue quarterly reports containing all		both of which provide accountability and
	sustained complaints in that quarter, and the		transparency.
	corrective action taken.		
			Benchmarking and evaluation of other
			programs was conducted during the initial
			establishment of the program. As an ongoing
			practice in FY10-11, the Whistleblower
			Program began a refreshed benchmarking
			study to compare practices with local and state
			whistleblower programs. A preliminary report
			of this study's findings was provided to the Civil
			Grand Jury during its investigation. The study
			found that the program's practices are
			consistent with other local and state
			whistleblower programs. Review of the
			practices of programs in other jurisdictions is
			ongoing and will continue in the future.
			ongoing and this continue in the fatares
			In fiscal year 2011-12, in an effort to provide
			1 ' '
			additional public information while
			maintaining confidentiality of individual
			complainants and others involved in the
			program, the Whistleblower Program will issue
			quarterly reports containing all sustained
			complaints in that quarter, and the corrective
			action taken.